

IFSS_STILHEROK_3: Third non-bio child still lives with R

Summary for Variable Group: Non-biological children

This variable group provides information on whether the respondent raised her husband/partner's children or other non-biological children, and how many of those children she raised. Additional information is provided for the first three non-biological children who lived with the respondent. This information includes the child's sex, relationship to the respondent, date of birth, Hispanic origin, and race, as well as whether the respondent adopted the child, when the child came to live with the respondent, whether the child still lives with the respondent, and whether the child was born in the U.S.

IFSS_STILHEROK_3

Third non-bio child still lives with R

Availability

[2002 NSFG](#)

[1995 NSFG](#)

Source Variables

[STILHERE3](#)

[STILHE02](#)

Source Questions

BJ-8

BL-16

2002 NSFG

1995 NSFG

Description

IFSS_STILHEROK_1, IFSS_STILHEROK_2, and IFSS_STILHEROK_3 describe whether the first through third non-biological child still lives with the respondent.

General Comparability Notes

Summary: For each non-biological child reported, the respondent was asked whether the child was still living with her. Respondent universe is highly comparable, but the non-biological child universe changes dramatically in 2002, resulting in a severe undercount of children living with the respondent in that year.

The same question, "Is [child] still living with you?", was asked in both survey years. The data are exactly comparable. The respondent universes were also functionally equivalent (the respondent reported having non-biological children that had been under her care). The differences in the applicability criteria, such as that the respondent had not yet begun her period, are unlikely to result in many women being left out of the question sample in 1995 who would have been included in 2002.

The universe of children about whom the question was asked changes dramatically between surveys. In 1995, the question was asked of all non-biological children. In 2002, however, the question was only asked of non-biological children who it was not clear already lived in the household from the household roster. As a result, the number of non-biological children still living with the respondent is likely dramatically higher than that reported by this variable in 2002. Unfortunately, since we do not have access to the detailed household roster information, we cannot address this concern.

We chose to harmonize only the first three non-biological children across the studies to keep this variable consistent with the other non-biological children variables.

See also:

[IFSS_OTHERKID](#)

[IFSS_ADPTOTKD_1](#)

[IFSS_ADPTOTKD_2](#)

[IFSS_ADPTOTKD_3](#)

[IFSS_CMOKDCAM_1](#)

[IFSS_CMOKDCAM_2](#)

[IFSS_CMOKDCAM_3](#)

[IFSS_CMOKDDOB_1](#)

[IFSS_CMOKDDOB_2](#)

[IFSS_CMOKDDOB_3](#)

[IFSS_NOTHERKID](#)

[IFSS_OKBORNUS_1](#)

[IFSS_OKBORNUS_2](#)

[IFSS_OKBORNUS_3](#)

IFSS_OKDISABL
IFSS_OTHKDRAC_1
IFSS_OTHKDRAC_2
IFSS_OTHKDRAC_3
IFSS_OTHKDSPN_1
IFSS_OTHKDSPN_2
IFSS_OTHKDSPN_3
IFSS_RELOTHKD_1
IFSS_RELOTHKD_2
IFSS_RELOTHKD_3
IFSS_SEXOTHKD_1
IFSS_SEXOTHKD_2
IFSS_SEXOTHKD_3
IFSS_STILHEROK_1
IFSS_STILHEROK_2