

## IFSS\_ADPKDRAC\_1: Race of first adopted child

### Summary for Variable Group: Adoption and adopted children

This variable group describes the respondent's experience with adoption, including whether she has ever considered adoption, as well as information about the first three children she adopted. This information includes the child's sex, relationship to the respondent, date of birth, Hispanic origin, and race, as well as when the child came to live with the respondent, whether the child still lives with the respondent, and whether the child was born in the U.S.

---

### IFSS\_ADPKDRAC\_1

Race of first adopted child

### Availability

[2002 NSFG](#)

[1995 NSFG](#)

### Source Variables

[OTHKDRAC6](#)

[OTHKDRAC36](#)

[OTHKDRAC21](#)

[OTHKDRAC16](#)

[OTHKDRAC11](#)

[OTHKDRAC1](#)

[OTHKDR15](#)

[OTHKDR12](#)

[OTHKDR09](#)

[OTHKDR06](#)

[OTHKDR03](#)

[OTHKDR00](#)

### Source Questions

BJ-13

BJ-13

BJ-13

BJ-13

BJ-13

BJ-13

BL-13

BL-13

BL-13

BL-13

BL-13

BL-13

2002 NSFG

2002 NSFG

2002 NSFG

2002 NSFG

2002 NSFG

2002 NSFG

1995 NSFG

1995 NSFG

1995 NSFG

1995 NSFG

1995 NSFG

1995 NSFG

### Description

IFSS\_ADPKDRAC\_1, IFSS\_ADPKDRAC\_2, and IFSS\_ADPKDRAC\_3 give the first mentioned race of each of the first three adopted children.

### General Comparability Notes

*Summary: This variable is completely comparable across both surveys. Respondents were asked to choose a race from categories listed on a show card for each non-biological child reported - this variable represents only those children who were legally adopted.*

In both 1995 and 2002, the respondent was asked to choose one or more races that best describe the focal child from a list shown on a card. In 1995, four options were listed: Alaskan Native or American Indian, Asian or Pacific Islander, Black, and White. In 2002, "Asian" was listed alone and "Hawaiian Native or Other Pacific Islander" was provided as a choice. In harmonizing the two variables, we collapsed these two options to generate consistent information across the years.

The question universes were generally equivalent (the respondent reported having non-biological children that had been under her care and whom she adopted). The differences in the applicability criteria, such as that the respondent had not yet begun her period, are unlikely to result in many women being left out of the question sample in 1995 who would have been included in 2002.

We used the variables ADPTOTKD (2002) and ADPT00 (1995) to determine whether the referenced non-biological child was legally adopted by the respondent and included only those who were to make the answers as comparable to the earlier years as possible. IFSS\_ADKIDNUM provides the non-biological child index of the first three adopted children.

We chose to harmonize only the first three adopted children across the studies to keep this variable consistent with the other non-biological children variables.

### See also:

[IFSS\\_ADKBORNUS\\_1](#)

[IFSS\\_ADKBORNUS\\_2](#)

[IFSS\\_ADKBORNUS\\_3](#)

IFSS\_ADKIDNUM1  
IFSS\_ADKIDNUM2  
IFSS\_ADKIDNUM3  
IFSS\_ADPKDSPN\_1  
IFSS\_ADPKDSPN\_2  
IFSS\_ADPKDSPN\_3  
IFSS\_ADPTOTKD\_1  
IFSS\_ADPTOTKD\_2  
IFSS\_ADPTOTKD\_3  
IFSS\_CMADKCAM\_1  
IFSS\_CMADKCAM\_2  
IFSS\_CMADKCAM\_3  
IFSS\_CMADKDOB\_1  
IFSS\_CMADKDOB\_2  
IFSS\_CMADKDOB\_3  
IFSS\_RELADPKD\_1  
IFSS\_RELADPKD\_2  
IFSS\_RELADPKD\_3  
IFSS\_STILHERAD\_1  
IFSS\_STILHERAD\_2  
IFSS\_STILHERAD\_3  
IFSS\_SEXADPKD\_1  
IFSS\_SEXADPKD\_2  
IFSS\_SEXADPKD\_3