

---

**Comparison of Drug Control Strategies in**  
**San Diego, 1989**

---

Codebook for Arrest Tracking Data File

Susan Pennell and Christine Curtis

ICPSR 9990



COMPARISON OF DRUG CONTROL STRATEGIES IN SAN DIEGO, 1989

(ICPSR 9990)

Codebook for Arrest Tracking Data File

Principal Investigators

Susan Pennell and Christine Curtis  
Sociometrics Corporation

Second ICPSR Version  
August 1999

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research  
P.O. Box 1248  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106



#### BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Pennell, Susan, and Christine Curtis.  
COMPARISON OF DRUG CONTROL STRATEGIES IN SAN  
DIEGO, 1989 [Computer file]. 2nd ICPSR version.  
Los Altos, CA: Sociometrics Corporation  
[producer], 1992. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-  
university Consortium for Political and Social  
Research [distributor], 1999.

#### REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

#### DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.



## DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Susan Pennell and Christine Curtis

COMPARISON OF DRUG CONTROL STRATEGIES IN SAN DIEGO, 1989  
(ICPSR 9990)

**SUMMARY:** This study assesses the consequences for offenders of various drug enforcement strategies employed by the San Diego Police Department and profiles the factors that characterize street-level and mid-level dealers, drug users, and the drug market. The drug enforcement strategies examined include the use of search warrants, body wires, police decoys, surveillance, officer buys and sells, wiretaps, and sweeps. Measures of the consequences of arrests include drug and property seizures, convictions, and sentences. The data were drawn from police and court records of drug arrests made by three special sections of the police department in San Diego, California. Additionally, data were collected through personal interviews conducted at the time of arrest with a subsample of persons arrested for drug charges. The interview portion of the study, Part 2, provides information about the demographics and characteristics of drug users and dealers, criminal history and drug use history, current arrest information, and offenders' opinions about drug use, drug sales, police strategies, and the drug market. The arrest tracking file, Part 1, contains demographic information about the offender, including criminal history and gang membership, as well as data on each arrest through final disposition, charges, and sentencing.

**UNIVERSE:** Individuals arrested on drug charges in San Diego.

**SAMPLING:** In Part 1, the Arrest Tracking File, the data were drawn from arrest records with at least one drug charge made by specialized narcotic and gang divisions at the San Diego Police Department from June to November of 1989. For the Interview Data (Part 2), individuals were chosen using an availability sampling method. Two to three days a week, the logs of arrests were reviewed to develop a list of persons arrested for at least one drug charge by one of the three specialized police divisions. Women were excluded from the interview sample.

**NOTE:** Data about the arrest case (including the arresting division, location of arrest, drugs and property seized, and the police strategies used in making the arrest) were recorded only for those individuals considered the key arrest in each case. In most instances, the key arrest was the person with the highest, most serious drug charge.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION: 2 data files + machine-readable documentation (text) + SAS data definition statements + SPSS data definition statements

EXTENT OF PROCESSING: UNDOCCHK.PR/ DDEF.ICPSR/ REFORM.DATA

DATA FORMAT: Logical Record Length with SAS and SPSS data definition statements

Part 1: Arrest Tracking Data File  
File Structure: rectangular  
Cases: 1,432  
Variables: 133  
Record Length: 326  
Records Per Case: 5

Part 2: Interview Data File  
File Structure: rectangular  
Cases: 123  
Variables: 408  
Record Length: 620  
Records Per Case: 8

RELATED PUBLICATION:

Pennell, S., and C. Curtis. CRACK ABATEMENT: COMPARISON OF DRUG CONTROL STRATEGIES. San Diego, CA: San Diego Association of Governments Press, 1992.



U.S. Department of Justice  
Office of Justice Programs  
National Institute of Justice

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE  
DATA RESOURCES PROGRAM

JUNE 1992  
DATA SET JU.172.173

Comparison of Drug Control  
Strategies in San Diego,  
June-November, 1989

Susan Pennell  
Christine Curtis

A User's Guide  
To the Machine-Readable Files and Documentation



## SUMMARY

In recent years law enforcement drug control policies and strategies have shifted focus from high-level dealers to street-level dealers. The impact of such policies on the drug market, offenders, and the justice system is not known. This study assesses the consequences for offenders of various drug enforcement strategies employed by the San Diego Police Department and profiles the factors that characterize street-level and mid-level dealers, drug users, and the drug market.

The data are drawn from police and court records of drug arrests made over a 6 month period from June 1989 to November 1989 by 3 special sections of the police department in San Diego, California (n = 1,432). In addition, data were collected through personal interviews conducted at the time of arrest with a subsample of persons arrested for drug charges (n = 123). The data are contained in 2 files; the arrest tracking data is contained in file 1 and includes 122 variables; the interview data is contained in file 2 and includes 393 variables.

## GENERAL STUDY OVERVIEW

Source: Pennell, S. & Curtis, C. (1992). Crack Abatement Comparison of Drug Control Strategies San Diego: San Diego Association of Governments Press.

## STUDY IDENTIFICATION

Comparison of Drug Control Strategies in San Diego,  
June-November, 1989

Susan Pennell and Christine Curtis

Criminal Justice Research Division San Diego Association of  
Governments

Award No. 88-IJ-CX-0034

## KEY WORDS

Drugs, enforcement strategies, drug seizures, asset seizures,  
arrests, drug market.

## PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

In recent years, the emphasis of law enforcement drug control policies has shifted from investigating high-level drug dealers to

smaller street-level dealers. Programs to investigate the smaller dealers and users have been implemented in many communities for the purpose of drug control, but the impact of such policies on the drug market, offenders, and the justice system is not widely known.

This study investigates the consequences of drug enforcement strategies used by the San Diego Police Department on offenders arrested for drug charges. The drug enforcement strategies examined include the use of search warrants, body wires, police decoys, surveillance, officer buys and sells, wiretaps, and sweeps. Measures of the consequences of arrests include drug and property seizures, convictions, and sentences. The interview portion of the study provides information about the characteristics of drug users and dealers, offenders opinions about drug use and sales, and the drug market.

Specific research objectives and corresponding questions are as follows:

Objective 1: Provide detailed information on the techniques used to identify and arrest drug dealers and users.

1. What kinds of activities are required to implement specific strategies?
2. What types of information must be available to officers prior to initiating a particular strategy?
3. On what bases are "targeting" decisions made (e.g., citizen complaints, informants, other law enforcement agencies, political necessity)?

Objective 2: Determine which strategies are most effective with respect to consequences for drug dealers, particularly crack cocaine dealers.

1. What are the results of different strategies in terms of complaints filed, convictions, sentences, and drug and asset seizures?
2. How do efforts of other agencies/divisions impact the activities and results of implementation of strategies?
3. What are offender opinions regarding consequences?

Objective 3: Profile the factors that characterize street and mid-level dealers and users and delineate by type of drug.

1. What are the socio-demographic characteristics of individuals arrested for selling/using drugs?

2. How do drug offenders compare by type of drug in which involved at arrest?
3. In what other types of crime do drug offenders become involved?
4. How do arrestees perceive their drug involvement, the drug market, and the response by the justice system?

## METHODS

### Study Design

The first part of this study, contained in file 1, employed an observational design of data gathered from arrests made by three sections of the San Diego Police Department. The second portion of this study, contained in file 2, includes interviews with arrestees chosen by an availability sampling method. Data were collected on the drug enforcement activities of three sections of the San Diego Police Department: 1) The Special Enforcement Division (SED), which includes gang unit detectives, uniformed special enforcement units, the SWAT special response team, and the tactical motorcycle squad; 2) The Narcotics Section, which consists of undercover detectives; and 3) The Crack Abatement Team (CAT), which exists within the Narcotics Section but for this research is considered a separate division. For purposes of the original research, CAT was considered a separate operational division to allow an evaluation of this Bureau of Justice Assistance-funded project.

Over a six month period, all arrests made by the Narcotics Section, CAT division, and gang unit of the SED and 50% of all arrests from the remainder of the SED were tracked and recorded. During that six month period, data were also collected through interviews with arrestees. Two to three days a week the logs of arrests were reviewed to develop a list of persons arrested in the preceding 24 hours for at least one drug charge by one of the three police divisions. Interviewers contacted arrestees who were still in custody at the San Diego Central Detention Facility and conducted interviews.

### Sources of Information

Data were collected from multiple sources. The arrest data were tracked from arrest through disposition using logs maintained by the arresting division, arrest reports, and prosecutor, court, and state criminal history records. Data were also collected from personal interviews with persons arrested for drug offenses.

### Sample

The data in file 1 were drawn from arrest records with at least one drug charge made by specialized narcotic and gang divisions at the San Diego Police Department from 1989 (n = 1,432). Most offenders were white, black, or Hispanic, ranging in age from 13 to 68, and were primarily men. The interview data in file 2 was drawn using an availability sampling method. Two to three days a week the logs of arrests were reviewed to develop a list of persons arrested in the preceding 24 hours for at least one drug charge by one of the three police divisions. Interviewers contacted arrestees who were still in custody at the detention facility and conducted personal interviews (n = 123). Women were excluded from the interview sample. Interviewed offenders were white, black, and Hispanic and ranged from 18 to 60 years of age. The sample of interviewees in file 2 is a subsample of arrestees in file 1 and can be linked between files.

#### Response Rates

A response rate 's not appropriate for the arrest data, since all eligible cases in the population during the specified time period were included as part of the study. The response rate for the interviews is unknown.

#### Dates of data Collection

The data were collected for arrests occurring from June 1989 to November 1989 through final case disposition, with a cutoff date of September 1991. The interviews were conducted from June 1989 to November 1989.

### SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

#### Description of Variables

File 1: The arrest tracking file contains demographic information about the offender including prior arrest history and gang membership. The file includes data concerning the individual arrests from initial arrest status to final disposition, charges and sentencing. The data can be analyzed based on individual arrests and related cases. All CAT and Narcotics Section arrests and felony arrests related to a single case were identified using the same case identification number. A case was defined as one or more arrests occurring on the same date, at the same location, and time for a related incident. Misdemeanor arrests made by SED were not identified as part of a case because they were listed on a separate arrest log. Data about the case in which the arrest took place, such as the arresting division, location of arrest, the drugs and property seized, and the strategies used by the police for the arrest, are recorded for individuals who were considered the key arrest in each case. In most instances, the key arrest was the person with the highest, most serious drug charge. Key cases that contain the case

information are designated by an 'A' for the variable ID.

File 2: view data includes demographic information about the offender, his criminal history and current arrest information. Data about drug use by the offender including age at first use and frequency of use for many types of drugs is also included. In addition the interview data includes offenders opinions about police efforts, the effects of police strategies, the risks of drug sales and use, and the best and worst things about drug sales and use. Also included is data about the offender's view of the drug market and his place in it.

Presence of Common Scales

None

Unit of Observation

The unit of observation for file 1 is the individual arrest. The possibility exists that a single offender may appear in multiple cases. The unit of observation for file 2 is the individual arrestee.

GEOGRAPHIC COVERAGE

San Diego, California

EVALUATION

Data Quality

Checks on data quality reveal that file 1 has very few variables with a large number of missing values. Checks for missing values in file 2 indicate relatively few missing values. The checks for missing values in data file 2 may have produced an artificially high percentage of missing values because there is no differentiation in the coding of not applicable responses and missing values. Both file 1 and file 2 are completely free of out of range values. For complete information on missing and out of range values see tables 1-8.

Data Limitations

Due to the time limitations imposed on the collection of the arrest tracking data in file 1 it is likely that many arrests had not received final disposition and sentencing before the cut-off date. In addition, data collection was discontinued for some cases due to attrition. The following are reasons for attrition in the study, during the process of data collection the offender was released to another agency, the offender was placed on informal probation; the case was rejected; the case was dismissed; or the case was still

pending at the end of the tracking period. Also, because both files pertain to events occurring only during a six month portion of the year, caution should be used when generalizing conclusions beyond the six month time frame, as there may be seasonal variation in the occurrence of these events.

The cases in file 2, having been drawn on the basis of availability, do not necessarily represent the entire population of arrestees from which they were drawn. Additionally, data file 2 is coded with no differentiation between missing values and not applicable responses.

#### REPORTS AND PUBLICATIONS

Pennell, S. & Curtis, C. (1992). Crack Abatement Comparison of Drug Control Strategies. San Diego: San Diego Association of Governments Press.



## DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This section presents information regarding the quality of the data in this Data Set. Tables 1-2 and 5-6 indicate the extent and location of out-of-range values, and Tables 3-4 and 7-8 summarize the incidence of missing data.

## FILE 1

Number of Cases: 1,432  
 Number of Variables: 122

Table 1. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Out-of-Range Values

Distribution of Variables By Percent Out-of-Range Values		
Percent of Cases with Out-of-Range Values	Number	Percent
0% (0 cases)	122	100.0%
Total	122	100.0%

Table 2. List of Variables With Out-of-Range Values

Variable Name and Label	Out-of-Range Values	Number of Cases
-------------------------	------------------------	--------------------

There are no out-of-range values in data file 1.

Table 3. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

Distribution of Variables By Percent Missing Values		
Percent of Cases with Missing Values	Number	Percent
0% (0 cases)	68	55.7%
> 0% to 1% (1 to 14 cases)	30	24.6%
> 1% to 3% (15 to 43 cases)	18	14.8%
> 3% to 5% (44 to 71 cases)	3	2.5%

> 5%	to 10%	(72 to 143 cases)	2	1.6%
> 10%	to 20%	(144 to 286 cases)	1	0.8%
> 20%	to 40%	(287 to 572 cases)	0	0.0%
> 40%	to 100%	(573 to 1432 cases)	0	0.0%
Total			122	100.0%

Table 4. List of Variables With Over 5% Missing Values (72 Missing Values or More)

Variable Name and Label	Number of Cases
EMPLOY Employed?	132
NARCUSER Narcotics user	143
REJECT Reason for prosecutor rejection of case	163

Note. The variable names used in this table are taken from the codebook.

#### FILE 2

Number of Cases: 123  
Number of Variables: 393

Table 5. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Out-of-Range Values

Percent of Cases with Out-of-Range Values	Distribution of Variables By Percent Out-of-Range Values	
	Number	Percent
0% (0 cases)	393	100.0%
Total	393	100.0%

Table 6. List of Variables With Out-of-Range Values

Variable Name and Label	Out-of-Range Values	Number of Cases
-------------------------	---------------------	-----------------

There are no out-of-range values in data file 2.

Table 7. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

			Distribution of Variables By Percent Missing Values	
Percent of Cases with Missing Values			Number	Percent
		0% (0 cases)	269	68.5%
> 0%	to	1% (1 to 1 cases)	56	14.2%
> 1%	to	3% (2 to 3 cases)	57	14.5%
> 3%	to	5% (4 to 6 cases)	3	0.8%
> 5%	to	10% (7 to 12 cases)	0	0.0%
> 10%	to	20% (13 to 24 cases)	0	0.0%
> 20%	to	40% (25 to 49 cases)	8	2.0%
> 40%	to	100% (50 to 123 cases)	0	0.0%
Total			393	100.0%

Note. The distribution of variables by percentage of missing values has been calculated using the explanations of missing values provided by the original investigator. For complete information about the explanations of missing values see the appendix

Table 8. List of Variables With Over 5% Missing Values (7 Missing Values or More)

Variable Name and Label	Number of Cases
RISKUTI1 Of 100 people, number arrested for drug use	25
RISKUTI2 Of 100 arrests for use, how many convictions	27
RISKUTI3 Of 100 arrests for drug use, how many get jail	27
RISKUTI4 Would drug use be worth it if risk doubled	26
RISKSEL1 Of 100 people, number arrested for drug sales	28
RISKSEL2 Of 100 arrests for sales, how many convictions	28
RISKSEL3 Of 100 arrests for drug sales, how many get jail	28
RISKSEL4 Would drug sales be worth it if risk doubled	28

Note. The variable names used in this table are taken from the codebook.



## APPENDIX

CAT DOCUMENTATION  
 POSSIBLE EXPLANATIONS FOR MISSING VALUES IN FILE 2 (ARRESTEE  
 VIEWS)

MJMO Month last used marijuana  
 COCMO Month last used cocaine  
 CRKMO Month last used crack  
 PCPMO Month last used PCP

## EXPLANATION

During the interview process, several arrestees admitted to using one or more drugs but said they did not use any of them in the previous thirty days. When asked when was the last time that they used, they could only remember a year. Hence, if the response was "1987", the data was entered as "--/87", and should have been entered "99/87" ("9" indicating a Don't Know response).

USEMOST1 Which drug used most  
 USEMOST2 Which drug used second most  
 USEMOST3 which drug used third most

## EXPLANATION

Four of the seven cases are Non-Applicable because the arrestee claimed no drug use in the last month, therefore, there was no response for the drug used most. Three of the cases were coded incorrectly and are truly missing.

TYPOCC Type of employment

## EXPLANATION

There are no missing values for this variable. The arrestees that said they were "unemployed", "in school", "incarcerated", or "welfare" were not employed and, therefore, did not have a type of employment.

SAMEMJ1 Usually get marijuana from same location  
 LOCMJ1 Location of marijuana buy  
 AMT1MJ1 Amount of marijuana purchased  
 PERMJ1 Get marijuana from the same person as before

## EXPLANATION

There are no missing values for these variables. There are sixteen cases where the response for question #11, for marijuana, was "3". Generally, this response was a skip to question #13. The skip was included because a response of "3" indicated that the arrestee was unable to give accurate information about drug deals and locations because he got his drugs from friends. In one case, the arrestee did respond with a "3", but only because his dealer brought his drugs to his house. In this case the arrestee was able to answer the remaining questions and the skip was not necessary.

PRCEMJ1 Price of marijuana higher in past 6 months

## EXPLANATION

There are no missing values for this variable. There are sixteen cases where the response for question #11, for marijuana, was "3". This response was a skip to question #13. The skip was included because a response of "3" indicated that the arrestee was unable to give accurate information about drug deals and locations because he got his drugs from friends.

WHENMJ1 When last bought marijuana in past 30 days

NEGMJ1 Negotiate price of the marijuana

LONGMJ1 Length of time marijuana supply lasts

UP1MJ1 Action will take if price of mj goes up

## EXPLANATION

There are sixteen cases where the response for question #11 for marijuana, was "3". This response was a skip to question #13. The skip was included because a response of "3" indicated that the arrestee was unable to give accurate information about drug deals and locations because he got his drugs from friends. In one case, the data was entered incorrectly, therefore, there is one missing value for each variable.

NOMJ1 Ever not able to get marijuana

## EXPLANATION

There are sixteen cases where the response for question #11, for marijuana, was "3". This response was a skip to question #13. The skip was included because a response of "3" indicated that the arrestee was unable to give accurate

information about drug deals and locations because he got his drugs from friends. In two case, the data was antered incorrectly, therefore, there are two missing value for each variable.

SAMECRY1 Usually get crystal from same location  
 LOCCRY1 Location of crystal buy  
 PRCECRY1 Price of crystal in last 6 months  
 WHENCRY1 When last bought crystal in past 30 days  
 PERCRY1 Get crystal from same person as before  
 NEGCRY1 Negotiate price of the crystal  
 NOCRY1 Ever not able to get crystal  
 UP1CRY1 Action will take if price of crystal goes up

#### EXPLANATION

There are no missing values for these variables. There are ten cases where the response for question #11, for crystal, was "3". Generally, this response was a skip to question #13. The skip was included because a response of "3" indicated that the arrestee was unable to give accurate information about drug deals and locations because he got his drugs from friends. In three cases, the arrestees did respond with a "3", but only because their dealers brought their drugs to their house. In these cases the arrestees were able to answer the remaining questions and the skip was not necessary.

LONGCRY1 Length of time crystal supply lasts

#### EXPLANATION

There are no missing values for this variables. There are ten cases where the response for question #11, for crystal, was "3". Generally, this response was a skip to question #13. The skip was included because a response of "3" indicated that the arrestee was unable to give accurate information about drug deals and locations because he got his drugs from friends. In three cases, the arrestees did respond with a "3", but only because their dealers brought their drugs to their house. In one case the data is truly missing.

REGUSER Type of drug user (regular or occasional)  
 SPENDWK Amount spent per week on drugs

#### EXPLANATION

There are no missing values for these variables. There are eight respondents that either did not use any drugs in the

previous month or truly got there drugs from a friend and were unable to accurately respond to many of the questions. In these eight cases, these two variables were included in a skip and the accompanying questions were never asked.

AREABUY1 Area of city drugs purchased in  
 AREABUY2 Second area of city purchased in  
 AREABUY3 Third area of city purchased in

## EXPLANATION

It appears that there are no missing values for these variables, however, the eleven cases represent Non-Applicable and No Response answers and I am unaware of a way these responses can be distinguished. Eight of the eleven cases are Non-Applicable because the arrestee claimed no drug use in the last month, therefore there is no response for area the drug used was bought. In three of the cases, the arrestee did not give a response.

SAMECOC1 Usually get cocaine from the same location  
 LOCCOC1 Location of cocaine buy  
 AMT1CIC1 Amount of cocaine purchased  
 PRCECOC1 Price of cocaine higher in last 6 months  
 PERCOC1 Get cocaine from same person as before  
 NEGCO1 Negotiate price of the cocaine  
 LONGCOC1 Length of time cocaine supply lasts  
 NOCOC1 Ever not able to get cocaine

## EXPLANATION

There are no missing values for these variables. There are eight cases where the response for question #11, for cocaine was "3". Generally, this response was a skip to question #13. The skip was included because a response of "3" indicated that the arrestee was unable to give accurate information about drug deals and locations because he got his drugs from friends. In one case, the arrestee did respond with a "3", but only because his dealer brought his drugs to his house. In this case the arrestee was able to answer the remaining questions and the skip was not necessary.

WHENCOC1 When last bought cocaine in past 30 days

There are no missing values for this variable. There are eight cases where the response for question #11, for cocaine, was "3". Generally, this response was a skip to question #13. The skip was included because a response of "3" indicated



that the arrestee was unable to give accurate information about drug deals and locations because he got his drugs from friends.

CONV# Number of prior convictions  
INCAR Ever incarcerated

EXPLANATION

There are no missing values for these variables. Fourteen people said that they were never arrested and, therefore, don't have a response for prior convictions or incarcerations.

GRPDEAL Worked for a drug dealing group

EXPLANATION

There are no missing values for this variable. This question was part of the skip from question #41, when the arrestee claimed he never sold drugs.

RISKUTI1 Of 100 people, number arrested for drug use  
RISKUTI2 Of 100 arrests for use, how many convictions  
RISKUTI3 Of 100 arrests for use, how many get Jail  
RISKUTI4 Would drug use be worth it if risk doubled

EXPLANATION

The missing values for these variables appear to be Don't Know and No Responses. Two of the 27 incomplete cases chose to answer RISKUTI1 and one chose to answer RISKUTI4.

RISKSEL1 Of 100 people, number arrested for sales  
RISKSEL2 Of 100 arrests, how many convictions  
RISKSEL3 Of 100 arrests for sales how many get jail  
RISKSEL4 Would drug sales be worth it if risk doubled

EXPLANATION

The missing values for these variables appear to be Don't Know and No Responses.

CHANGE1 How will arrest change drug activities  
CHANGE2 How else will arrest change drug activities

EXPLANATION

Nine of the ten responses for this variable are Non-Applicable. Nine people said they did not know if the current arrest would change their drug activities so they did not have a response for how it would change or why it wouldn't. one case should have a response and does not, so there is truly one missing case.

U.S. Department of Justice  
Office of Justice Programs  
National Institute of Justice

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE  
DATA RESOURCES PROGRAM

JUNE 1992  
DATA SET JU.172

Comparison of Drug Control  
Strategies in San Diego  
June-November, 1989

Susan Pennell  
Christine Curtis

Codebook  
Arrest Tracking Data



## CODEBOOK NOTES

1. The data are coded in ASCII format as raw data. Five records of up to 80-columns are used to code the data. Each record starts with a one column case identifier, four-column ID number, and a two-column record number. For each variable, the codebook provides a short variable name, a longer descriptive label, the record number on which the variable is coded, the starting and ending column positions within the record, and the format by which the data are to be read. Fw.d refers to standard numeric format where w indicates the total number of columns used to code the variable, including any decimal points, and d indicates the number of positions to the right that are interpreted as decimals. String format - Aw - is used to read character data, in which w indicates that total column width of the character string.
2. For all variables in this data file not applicable responses are represented by blank spaces.
3. The variable FORMID represents the whole identification number of each case. The variables ID and IDN01 break the identification number into smaller components. The data can be linked to file 2 by matching the variable IDN01 in data file 1 to the variable IDNO in data file 2.
4. The data file consists of 1,432 arrests made in 946 drug case investigations. Some data were only collected for the drug case investigations. This type of data information is recorded only on the cases designated in the first column of the ID number as the "A" case. The following is a list of the variables recorded only for cases designated with an "A": SOURCE1, SOURCE2, INITIATE, STRAT1, STRAT2, STRAT3, STRAT4, STRAT5, STRAT6, STRAT7, STRAT8, STRAT9, STRAT10, STRAT11, STRAT12, STRAT13, STRAT14, STRAT15, GRAMS1, GRAMS2, GRAMS3, GRAMS4, GRAMS5, GRAMS6, GRAMS7, GRAMS8, GRAMS9, GRAMS10, TYPPROP1, TYPPROP2, TYPPROP3, TYPPROP4, MONYSEIZ, WPNSEIZ1, WPNSEIZ2, WPNSEIZ3, WPNSEIZ4, BUYMONEY.



FORMID	WHOLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	1	1	5	A5
ROW1	RECORD MARKER 1	1	6	7	F2.0
ID	FIRST LETTER OF IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	1	8	8	A1
IDNO1	NUMERIC PORTION OF IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	1	9	12	F4.0
ORDER	ORDER OF ARREST	1	13	14	F2.0
DIV1	LEVEL OF CAT TEAMS INVOLVEMENT IN THIS ARREST/INVESTIGATION	1	15	15	F1.0
	Value		Label		
	1		PRIMARY INVESTIGATORS		
	2		ASSIST INVESTIGATION		
	3		ASSIST ARREST		
DIV2	LEVEL OF NARCOTICS SECTION INVOLVEMENT IN THIS ARREST/INVESTIGATION	1	16	16	F1.0
	Value		Label		
	1		PRIMARY INVESTIGATORS		
	2		ASSIST INVESTIGATION		
	3		ASSIST ARREST		
DIV3	LEVEL OF SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT DIVISION INVOLVEMENT IN THIS ARREST/INVESTIGATION	1	17	17	F1.0
	Value		Label		
	1		PRIMARY INVESTIGATORS		
	2		ASSIST INVESTIGATION		
	3		ASSIST ARREST		
DIV4	LEVEL OF NARCOTICS TASK FORCE INVOLVEMENT IN THIS ARREST/INVESTIGATION	1	18	18	F1.0
	Value		Label		

	1	PRIMARY INVESTIGATORS				
	2	ASSIST INVESTIGATION				
	3	ASSIST ARREST				
DIV5		LEVEL OF PATROL DIVISION INVOLVEMENT IN THIS ARREST/INVESTIGATION	1	19	19	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	PRIMARY INVESTIGATORS				
	2	ASSIST INVESTIGATION				
	3	ASSIST ARREST				
DIV6		LEVEL OF OTHER UNITS INVOLVEMENT IN THIS ARREST/INVESTIGATION	1	20	20	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	PRIMARY INVESTIGATORS				
	2	ASSIST INVESTIGATION				
	3	ASSIST ARREST				
DIV7		LEVEL OF OUTSIDE AGENCY INVOLVEMENT IN THIS ARREST/INVESTIGATION	1	21	21	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	PRIMARY INVESTIGATORS				
	2	ASSIST INVESTIGATION				
	3	ASSIST ARREST				
ARRMO		MONTH ARREST OCCURED	1	22	23	F2.0
ARRDAY		DAY ARREST OCCURED (BLANKED)	1	24	25	F2.0
ARRAYR		YEAR ARREST OCCURED	1	26	27	F2.0
AREA		AREA ARREST OCCURED	1	28	30	F3.0
	Value	Label				
		SEE APPENDIX B FOR AREA CODES				
	888	OUTSIDE SAN DIEGO CITY				
	999	UNKNOWN				



HIARCHG	HIGHEST ARREST CHARGE	1	31	33	F3.0
	Value      Label				
	SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES				
TYPDRUG1	TYPE OF DRUG AT ARREST	1	34	34	F1.0
	Value      Label				
	1      CRACK COCAINE				
	2      COCAINE				
	3      METH/METH OIL				
	4      PCP				
	5      MARIJUANA				
	6      HEROIN-TAR				
	7      LSD				
	8      EPHEDRINE				
	9      OTHER				
TYPDRUG2	SECOND TYPE OF DRUG AT ARREST	1	35	35	F1.0
	Value      Label				
	1      CRACK COCAINE				
	2      COCAINE				
	3      METH/METH OIL				
	4      PCP				
	5      MARIJUANA				
	6      HEROIN-TAR				
	7      LSD				
	8      EPHEDRINE				
	9      OTHER				
OTHARCH1	SECOND ARREST CHARGE	1	36	38	F3.0
	Value      Label				
	SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES				
	999      WARRANTS				
OTHARCH2	THIRD ARREST CHARGE	1	39	41	F3.0
	Value      Label				
	SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES				
	999      WARRANTS				



	9	UNKNOWN				
SEX	SEX OF SUSPECT		1	51	51	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	MALE				
	2	FEMALE				
AGE	AGE OF SUSPECT		1	52	53	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
BIRTHMO	MONTH OF BIRTH (BLANKED)		1	54	55	F2.0
BIRTHDA	DAY OF BIRTH (BLANKED)		1	56	57	F2.0
BIRTHYR	YEAR OF BIRTH (BLANKED)		1	58	59	F2.0
POS	PLACE OF BIRTH		1	60	60	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	UNITED STATES				
	2	MEXICO				
	3	OTHER				
	9	UNKNOWN				

CTYRESID CITY OF RESIDENCE 1 61 62 F2.0

Value	Label
13	SAN DIEGO CITY
16	SD COUNTY - INC
17	SD COUNTY - UNINCORP
18	OUT OF COUNTY
19	OUT OF STATE
20	OUT OF COUNTRY
99	TRANSIENT

OCCUP OCCUPATION 1 63 64 F2.0

Value	Label
1	EXEC, ADMIN, MANAGERIAL
2	PROFESSIONAL SPECIALIST
3	TECHNICAL
4	SALES
5	ADM SUPPORT
6	PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD
7	PROTECTIVE SERVICE
8	OTHER SERVICE
9	FARMING, FORESTRY, FISHING
10	PRECISION PRODUCTION
11	MACHINE OPERATOR
12	TRANSPORTATION, MATERIAL MOVING
13	HANDLERS
94	ILLEGAL OCCUP
95	JOB CORPS
96	MILITARY
97	HOUSEWIFE/HUSBAND
98	STUDENT
99	NONE-UNKNOWN

EMPLOY	EMPLOYED?	1	65	65	F1.0
	Value	Label			
	1	YES			
	2	NO			
	4	SELF EMPLOYED			
	9	UNKNOWN			
NARCUSER	NARCOTICS USER	1	66	66	F1.0
	Value	Label			
	1	YES			
	2	No			
	9	UNKNOWN			
CITIZEN	CITIZENSHIP STATUS	1	67	67	F1.0
	Value	Label			
	1	CITIZEN			
	2	UNDOCUMENTED ALIEN			
	3	RESIDENT ALIEN			
	9	UNKNOWN			
ARRZIP	ZIP CODE OF AREA OF ARREST (BLANKED)	1	68	72	F5.0
OFFZIP	ZIP CODE OF AREA OF OFFENSE (BLANKED)	1	73	77	F5.0
GANG	GANG MEMBERSHIP STATUS	1	78	78	F1.0
	Value	Label			
	1	YES			
	2	No			
	3	SUSPECTED			
	9	UNKNOWN			
SOURCE1	SOURCE OF INFORMATION	1	79	79	F1.0
	Value	Label			
	1	CITIZEN			

	2	INFORMANT				
	3	OFFICER				
	4	OTHER				
	9	UNKNOWN				
SOURCE2	SECOND SOURCE OF INFORMATION		1	80	80	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	CITIZEN				
	2	INFORMANT				
	3	OFFICER				
	4	OTHER				
	9	UNKNOWN				
IDR2	FIRST LETTER OF IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		2	1	1	A1
IDNO1R2	NUMERIC PORTION OF IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		2	2	5	F4.0
ROW2	RECORD MARKER 2		2	6	7	F2.0
INITIATE	DATE CASE INITIATED NOT APPLICABLE		2	8	13	F6.0
STRAT1	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED SEARCH WARRANT		2	14	14	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED				
	9	UNKNOWN				
STRAT2	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED OFFICER BUY/SELL		2	15	15	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED				
	9	UNKNOWN				
STRAT3	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED INFORMANT BUY/SELL		2	16	16	F1.0

	Value	Label				
	1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED				
	9	UNKNOWN				
STRAT4	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED USE OF BODY WIRE		2	17	17	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED				
	9	UNKNOWN				
STRAT5	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED NUMBER OF BUYS		2	18	18	F1.0
STRAT6	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED BUY/BUST		2	19	19	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED				
	9	UNKNOWN				
STRAT7	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED NUMBER OF SELLS/BUST		2	20	20	F1.0
STRAT8	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED APPROACH OF SUSPECT		2	21	21	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED				
	9	UNKNOWN				
STRAT9	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED OBSERVATION		2	22	22	F1.0
	Value	Label				
	1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED				
	9	UNKNOWN				
STRAT10	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED		2	23	23	F1.0

## A CONSENT SEARCH

Value	Label
1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED
9	UNKNOWN

STRAT11	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED A SWEEP	2	24	24	F1.0
---------	-------------------------------------	---	----	----	------

Value	Label
1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED
9	UNKNOWN

STRAT12	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED SURVEILLANCE	2	25	25	F1.0
---------	--	---	----	----	------

Value	Label
1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED
9	UNKNOWN

STRAT13	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED TAPING CONVERSATIONS	2	26	26	F1.0
---------	--	---	----	----	------

Value	Label
2	TAPED THE CONVERSATION
9	UNKNOWN

STRAT14	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED EXECUTED ARREST WARRANT	2	27	27	F1.0
---------	---	---	----	----	------

Value	Label
1	SELECTED AS STRATEGY USED
9	UNKNOWN

STRAT15	POLICE STRATEGY INCLUDED OTHER	2	28	28	F1.0
---------	--------------------------------	---	----	----	------

Value	Label
1	PATROL-TRAFFIC STOP
2	PROBATION-PAROLE
3	OTHER



	4	REVERSE STING				
	5	STOP/SEARCH				
	6	SW MOT FOUND				
	9	UNKNOWN				
BLANK1	COLUMN		2	29	29	F1.0
BLANK2	COLUMN		2	30	30	F1.0
FORMID2	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		2	31	35	A5
ID2	FIRST LETTER OF ID NUMBER		2	36	36	A1
IDN02	NUMERIC PORTION OF ID NUMBER		2	37	40	F4.0
GRAMS1	GRAMS OF ROCK/CRACK COCAINE SEIZED		2	41	47	F7.0
GRAMS2	GRAMS OF COCAINE SEIZED		2	48	54	F7.0
GRAMS3	GRAMS OF METH/AMPHETAMINE SEIZED		2	55	61	F7.0
GRAMS4	QUARTS OF METH OIL SEIZED		2	62	68	F7.0
GRAMS5	GRAMS OF PCP SEIZED		2	69	75	F7.0
IDR3	FIRST LETTER OF IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		3	1	1	A1
IDN01R3	NUMERIC PORTION OF IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		3	2	5	F4.0
ROW3	RECORD MARKER 3		3	6	7	F2.0
GRAMS6	GRAMS OF MARIJUANA SEIZED		3	8	14	F7.0

GRAMS7	GRAMS OF HEROIN/BLACK TAR SEIZED	3	15	21	F7.0
GRAMS8	LSD TABLETS SEIZED	3	22	28	F7.0
GRAMS9	GRAMS OF EPHEDRINE SEIZED	3	29	35	F7.0
GRAMS10	AMOUNTS OF OTHER DRUGS SEIZED-VARIOUS MEASURES	3	36	42	F7.0
TYPPROP1	WAS CURRENCY SEIZED	3	43	43	F1.0
	Value      Label				
	1      YES				
TYPPROP2	WERE VEHICLES SEIZED	3	44	44	F1.0
	Value      Label				
	1      YES				
TYPPROP3	WERE WEAPONS SEIZED	3	45	45	F1.0
	Value      Label				
	1      YES				
TYPPROP4	OTHER TYPES OF PROPERTY SEIZED	3	46	46	F1.0
	Value      Label				
	1      OTHER				
	2      DEALER PARAPHENALIA				
	3      USER PARAPHENALIA				
	4      OTHER AND DEALER				
	5      OTHER AND USER				
MONYSEIZ	DOLLAR AMOUNT OF CURRENCY SEIZED	3	47	55	F9.0
WPNSEIZ1	NUMBER OF AUTOMATIC WEAPONS	3	56	57	F2.0

SEIZED					
WPNSEIZ2	NUMBER OF RIFLES/SHOTGUNS SEIZED	3	58	59	F2.0
WPNSEIZ3	NUMBER OF PISTOLS/REVOLVERS SEIZED	3	60	61	F2.0
BUYMONEY	DOLLAR AMOUNT OF BUY MONEY RECOVERED	3	64	69	F6.0
JAILDAYS	INITIAL PRESENTENCE DAYS IN CUSTODY	3	70	72	F3.0
CUSTDEC	INITIAL CUSTODY RELEASE DECISION	3	73	73	A1

Value	Label
0	NO COMP FILED
1	RELEASED, NO BAIL
2	BAILED OUT
3	BAIL DENIED
4	STAYED IN CUSTODY
5	OTHER
6	DETENTION ONLY
7	RELEASED 825
8	RELEASED TO I.N.S.
9	RELEASED TO PRISON, NOT FILED
A	JUVENILE

PROSDISP	PROSECUTOR DISPOSITION	3	74	74	A1
----------	------------------------	---	----	----	----

Value	Label
1	COMPLAINT FILED
2	COMPLAINT REJECTED
3	REFERRED TO CITY ATTORNEY
4	OTHER
5	FILED, DISM PAROLE
6	FILED. DISM, I.N.S.
7	FILED, PENDING, PRISON
A	JUVENILE PETITION FILED
B	JUVENILE INFORMAL PROBATION
C	JUVENILE CLOSED TRANSFERRED
D	REMAND

E HELD IN HALL-TRANSFER

REJECT REASON FOR PROSECUTOR REJECTION OF CASE 3 75 76 F2.0

Value	Label
0	VICTIM-WITNESS
5	OTHER VICTIM CONS
10	EVIDENTIARY
11	INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE
12	INSUFFICIENT NEXUS
15	ANALYSIS NEGATIVE
18	OTHER EVIDENCE
20	REFERRED
25	CITY ATTORNEY
32	NATURE
40	OTHER PROS DISCRETION
45	SEARCH AND SEIZURE
47	QUESTIONABLE STOP
48	QUESTIONABLE POLICE CONDUCT
49	QUESTIONABLE SEARCH OF PERSON
52	QUESTIONABLE CONSENT
53	SEARCH AND SEIZURE
63	DUE PROCESS
66	PAROLE
99	UNKNOWN

HICOMPCH HIGHEST COMPLAINT CHARGED WITH 3 77 79 F3.0

Value Label  
SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES

IDR4 FIRST LETTER OF IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 4 1 1 A1

IDN01R4 NUMERIC PORTION OF IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 4 2 5 F4.0

ROW4 RECORD MARKER 4 4 6 7 F2.0

OCOMPCH1 SECOND COMPLAINT CHARGED WITH 4 8 10 F3.0

Value Label

SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES

OCOMPCH2	THIRD COMPLAINT CHARGED WITH	4	11	13	F3.0
	Value      Label				

SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES

OCOMPCH3	FOURTH COMPLAINT CHARGED WITH	4	14	16	F3.0
	Value      Label				

SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES

OCOMPCH4	FIFTH COMPLAINT CHARGED WITH	4	17	19	F3.0
	Value      Label				

SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES

NODEF	NUMBER OF DEFENDENTS	4	20	21	F2.0
-------	----------------------	---	----	----	------

INDGDEF	INDIGENT DEFENSE	4	22	22	F1.0
---------	------------------	---	----	----	------

Value	Label
1	YES
2	NO
3	NOT ON SCREEN

FINDISPO	FINAL DISPOSITION	4	23	23	A1
----------	-------------------	---	----	----	----

Value	Label
0	DISMISSED PROS VIOLATION
1	GUILTY PLEA
2	CONVICTED
3	ACQUITTED
4	DISMISSED
5	FTA-PENDING
6	DIVERSION
8	DISMISSED PAROLE VIOLATION
9	DISMISSED, GUILTY OF OTHER CHARGE
A	JUVENILE TRUE FINDING
B	JUVENILE DISMISSED-TRANSFERRED

C TO JUVENILE-GUILTY  
 D TO JUVENILE PENDING

HICONVCH HIGHEST CONVICTION CHARGE 4 24 26 F3.0

Value Label

SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES

OCONVCH1 SECOND CONVICTION CHARGE 4 27 29 F3.0

Value Label

SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES

OCONVCH2 THIRD CONVICTION CHARGE 4 30 32 F3.0

Value Label

SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES

OCONVCH3 FOURTH CONVICTION CHARGE 4 33 35 F3.0

Value Label

SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES

OCONVCH4 FIFTH CONVICTION CHARGE 4 36 38 F3.0

Value Label

SEE APPENDIX A FOR OFFENSE CODES

SENTENCE SENTENCE RECEIVED 4 39 39 A1

Value Label

- 1 PROBATION
- 2 PROBATION-JAIL
- 3 JAIL
- 4 PRISON
- 6 FTA-PENDING
- 7 DIVERSION
- 8 OTHER
- A JUVENILE-CALIFORNIA YOUTH AUTHORITY

B JUVENILE-OUT OF HOME  
 C JUVENILE-LOCKED FACILITY  
 D JUVENILE-NO CUSTODY, PROBATION ONLY  
 E JUVENILE AWAITING TRANSFER IN JUV HALL  
 F PENDING JUVENILE

DAYLOCAL	NUMBER OF DAYS ORDERED TO LOCAL CUSTODY	4	40	42	F3.0
DAYPROB	NUMBER OF DAYS ORDERED TO PROBATION	4	43	46	F4.0
DAYSTATE	NUMBER OF DAYS ORDERED TO STATE INSTITUTION	4	47	50	F4.0
CTS	NUMBER OF DAYS OF CREDIT GIVEN FOR TIME SERVED	4	51	53	F3.0
COURTMO	MONTH OF FINAL COURT ACTION	4	54	55	F2.0
COURTDA	DAY OF FINAL COURT ACTION (BLANKED)	4	56	57	F2.0
COURTYR	YEAR OF FINAL COURT ACTION	4	58	59	F2.0
FTABW	NUMBER OF FAILURE TO APPEAR /BENCH WARRANT ISSUED	4	60	61	F2.0
	Value      Label				
	99          UNKNOWN				
NARCSALE	NUMBER OF PRIOR FELONY NARCOTICS SALES	4	62	63	F2.0
	Value      Label				
	99          UNKNOWN				
DANGDRSL	NUMBER OF PRIOR FELONY DANGEROUS DRUGS SALES	4	64	65	F2.0
	Value      Label				

	99	UNKNOWN				
OTHSALE	NUMBER OF PRIOR FELONY OTHER DRUG SALES		4	66	67	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
MSDSALE	NUMBER OF PRIOR MISDEMEANOR DRUG SALES		4	68	69	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
NARCPOSS	NUMBER OF PRIOR FELONY NARCOTICS POSSESSION		4	70	71	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
DANGPOSS	NUMBER OF PRIOR FELONY DANGEROUS DRUGS POSSESSION		4	72	73	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
OTHPOSS	NUMBER OF PRIOR OTHER POSSESSION		4	74	75	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
MISDPOSS	NUMBER OF PRIOR MISDEMEANOR DRUG POSSESSION		4	76	77	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
OTHFELDR	NUMBER OF OTHER PRIOR		4	78	79	F2.0



FELONY DRUG CHARGES

	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
IDR5	FIRST LETTER OF IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		5	1	1	A1
IDN01R5	NUMERIC PORTION OF IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		5	2	5	F4.0
ROW5	RECORD MARKER 5		5	6	7	F2.0
OTHMISDR	NUMBER OF OTHER PRIOR MISDEMEANOR DRUG CHARGES		5	8	9	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
OTHFELY	NUMBER OF OTHER PRIOR FELONY		5	10	11	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
OTHMISD	NUMBER OF OTHER PRIOR MISDEMEANOR		5	12	13	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
PPVIOL	NUMBER OF PRIOR PROBATION /PAROLE VIOLATIONS		5	14	15	F2.0
	Value	Label				
	99	UNKNOWN				
BLANK3	COLUMN		5	16	16	F1.0



## APPENDIX A

BCS OFFENSE	BCS CODE
Murder & Nonnegligent Manslaughter	100,101,110,120,150 151,155
Manslaughter by Negligence	160
Forcible Rape	600,610,611,620,630 635,650
Robbery	200-202,221,230,231 240
Aggravated Assault	300,310,320-323 330,341-346 350-352,360-366,370 373,376,377,380,382- 385,390-392,396-399
Burglary	400-404,410,411,420 421,425,430,431,440 450
Larceny-Theft	500-506,510,516,517 530,531,536,537,550 551,552,556-558,572
Motor Vehicle Theft	560,561,570,571
Arson	920-925,927
Forgery & Counterfeiting	580-587
Embezzlement	506
Vandalism	66
Weapons	840-849
Prostitution	28
Sex Offenses	640,705,710,711-714 730-734,740,750,751 760,770,775,780,781 790-794
DRUG VIOLATIONS	

Marijuana	810-816, 819, 828, 829
Narcotics	92, 800-804, 806, 807 808
Dangerous Drugs	820-825
Other Drug Violations	805, 817, 818, 826, 827 830-839, 851, 852
Gambling	76
Driving Under the Influence	850, 855
Liquor Laws	77, 78
Drunkenness	46, 47
Curfew	3
Runaways	2, 6
All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)	1, 4, 7, 9, 16, 17, 26, 27 36, 47, 49, 56-59, 64, 65 67-69, 75, 79, 87, 90-94 96-99, 476-478, 576 739, 766, 776, 786, 860 865, 867, 870-876, 880- 887, 890, 900, 905, 910 911, 926, 929, 930, 940- 946, 950, 960, 961, 966 970, 971, 976, 977, 980 981, 986, 990-995, 998