

**Child Abuse, Neglect, and Violent Criminal
Behavior in a Midwest Metropolitan Area
of the United States, 1967-1988**

Cathy Spatz Widom

ICPSR 9480

This document was previously available in ASCII format. It was converted to Portable Document Format (PDF) on the date below as part of ICPSR's CD-ROM project CD0030, supported by the National Institute of Justice. The document is completely searchable. No additional updating of this collection has been performed.

September 1999

**ICPSR
Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research**

**Child Abuse, Neglect, and Violent Criminal
Behavior in a Midwest Metropolitan Area
of the United States, 1967–1988**

Cathy Spatz Widom

ICPSR 9480

CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, AND VIOLENT CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR IN A
MIDWEST METROPOLITAN AREA OF THE UNITED STATES, 1967-1988

(ICPSR 9480)

Principal Investigator

Cathy Spatz Widom
Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology
Indiana University at Bloomington

First ICPSR Edition
November 1994

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Widom, Cathy Spatz. CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, AND VIOLENT CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR IN A MIDWEST METROPOLITAN AREA OF THE UNITED STATES, 1967-1988 [Computer file]. Compiled by Depts. of Criminal Justice and Psychology, Indiana University. ICPSR ed. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [producer and distributor], 1994.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Cathy Spatz Widom

CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, AND VIOLENT CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR IN A
MIDWEST METROPOLITAN AREA OF THE UNITED STATES, 1967-1988
(ICPSR 9480)

SUMMARY: These data examine the relationships between childhood abuse and/or neglect and later criminal and violent criminal behavior. In particular, the data focus on whether being a victim of violence and/or neglect in early childhood leads to being a criminal offender in adolescence or early adulthood and whether a relationship exists between childhood abuse or neglect and arrests as a juvenile, arrests as an adult, and arrests for violent offenses. For this data collection, adult and juvenile criminal histories of sampled cases with backgrounds of abuse or neglect were compared to those of a matched control group with no official record of abuse or neglect. Variables contained in Part 1 include demographic information (age, race, sex, and date of birth). In Part 2, information is presented on the abuse/neglect incident (type of abuse or neglect, duration of the incident, whether the child was removed from the home and, if so, for how long, results of the placement, and whether the individual was still alive). Part 3 contains family information (with whom the child was living at the time of the incident, family disruptions, and who reported the abuse or neglect) and data on the perpetrator of the incident (relation to the victim, age, race, sex, and whether living in the home of the victim). Part 4 contains information on the charges filed within adult arrest incidents (occasion for arrest, multiple counts of the same type of charge, year and location of arrest, and type of offense or charge), and Part 5 includes information on the charges filed within juvenile arrest incidents (year of juvenile charge, number of arrests, and type of offense or charge). The unit of analysis for Parts 1 through 3 is the individual at age 11 or younger, for Part 4 the charge within the adult arrest incident, and for Part 5 the charge within the juvenile arrest incident.

UNIVERSE: All children under 12 years of age during the period 1967-1972 in a metropolitan area in the Midwest.

SAMPLING: Prospective cohorts research design matched with a control group cohort.

NOTE: (1) The data contain duplicate case numbers. (2) Parts 2 and 3 appear to contain a large amount of missing data. (3) The data apply only to reported and substantiated cases of childhood victimization. (4) Misdemeanor criminal behavior for individuals may not show up in the records checked.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION: 5 data files + machine-readable documentation (text) + SAS data definition statements + SPSS data definition statements

EXTENT OF PROCESSING: DDEF.ICPSR/ MDATA.PR/ RECODE/ REFORM.DATA/ SCAN/ UNDOCCHK.ICPSR

DATA FORMAT: Logical Record Length with SAS and SPSS data definition statements

Part 1: Demographic Information
File Structure: rectangular
Cases: 1,575
Variables: 6
Record Length: 15
Records Per Case: 1

Part 2: Abuse/Neglect
File Structure: rectangular
Cases: 908
Variables: 28
Record Length: 56
Records Per Case: 1

Part 3: Family and Perpetrator
File Structure: rectangular
Cases: 908
Variables: 30
Record Length: 60
Records Per Case: 1

Part 4: Adult Criminality
File Structure: rectangular
Cases: 2,578
Variables: 8
Record Length: 14
Records Per Case: 1

Part 5: Juvenile Criminality
File Structure: rectangular
Cases: 1,101
Variables: 5
Record Length: 10
Records Per Case: 1

Part 6: Codebook for All
Parts
Record Length: 79

Parts 7-11: SAS Data Definition
Statements
Record Length: 75

Child Abuse, Neglect, and Violent Criminal Behavior

Award No. 86-IJ-CX-0033

Original Investigator:

Cathy Spatz Widom
Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology
Indiana University
302 Sycamore Hall
Bloomington, Indiana 47405

Documentation Produced by

Kathy J. Kuipers
James L Peterson

Sociometrics Corporation

1st ed., 1990
(revised March, 1991)

Distributed by
Data Resources Program of the
National Institute of Justice

Sociometrics Corporation
170 State Street, Suite 260
Los Altos, California 94022-2812
(415) 949-3282

CONTENTS OF THE DATA SET

Machine-Readable

- (1) Demographic Information Data File (1 data file; 1,575 records; 1,575 cases; 6 variables)
- (2) Abuse/Neglect Data File (1 data file; 2,724 records; 908 cases; 28 variables)
- (3) Family and Perpetrator Data File (1 data file; 1,816 records; 908 cases; 30 variables)
- (4) Adult Criminality Data File (1 data file; 2,578 records; 2,578 cases; 8 variables)
- (5) Juvenile Criminality Data File (1 data File; 1,101 records; 1,101 cases; 5 variables)

Paper

User's Guide to the Machine-Readable Files and Documentation (this document; 23 pages)

Original Codebook (15 pages)

Variable names; variable descriptions; value descriptions; record and column locations; formats

Suggested Bibliographic Citation for the Data Set
(All Machine-Readable Files and Paper Documentation)

Widom, C S.(1990) Child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior (Data Set TU.2428, Kuipers, K. J., & Peter-son, J. L, Archivists) (machine-readable data files and documentation). Bloomington, IN: Indiana University, Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology (Producer). Los Altos, CA: Sociometrics Corporation, Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice (Distributor).

Kuipers, K.J., & Peterson, J.L. (1990). Child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior: A user's guide to the machine-readable files and documentation (Data Set JU.2428). Los Altos, CA: Sociometrics Corporation, Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Child Abuse, Neglect, and Violent Criminal Behavior has been deposited at the Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice, Sociometrics Corporation, for public distribution, by Cathy Spatz Widom of the Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology, Indiana University. Data collection was funded by the National Institute of Justice under Award No. 86-IJ-CX-0033. Funding for the work done by the Data Resources Program to prepare the data for public use was provided by the U. S. Office of Justice Programs under Contract No. OJP-89-C-008 to Sociometrics Corporation.

Users of the data are strongly urged to inform the Data Resources Program of any errors or discrepancies. They are further urged to bring to the attention of the Data Resources Program all problems and difficulties encountered, particularly those that may prevent effective and convenient use of the data.

All manuscripts based on data made available through the Data Resources Program should acknowledge that fact as well as cite the data set (see suggested citation format, inside front cover). Users of these data are urged to follow some adaptation of the following statement.

The data used in this publication were made available by the Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice, Sociometrics Corporation, 170 State Street, Suite 260, Los Altos, CA 94022-2812. The study entitled Child Abuse, Neglect, and Violent Criminal Behavior was conducted by Cathy Spatz Widom, Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology, Indiana University, 302 Sycamore Hall, Bloomington, Indiana 47405. Data collection was funded by the National Institute of Justice (Award No. 86-IJ-CX-0033). Funding support for preparing the revised documentation for public distribution was provided by a contract (OJP-89-C-008) between the U. S. Office of Justice Programs and Sociometrics Corporation. Neither the original investigators, nor the funding agency, nor the Data Resources Program bear any responsibility for the analyses or interpretation presented here.

In order to provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about Data Resources Program participants' research activities, each user of these facilities is requested to send a copy of each completed manuscript, thesis abstract, or reprint to the Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice, Sociometrics Corporation, 170 State Street, Suite 260, Los Altos, CA 94022-2812.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Summary	1
General Study Overview	3
Study Identification	3
Key Words	3
Purpose of the Study	3
Methods	3
Overview	3
Files 1 through 3	5
Files 4 and 5	7
Summary of Contents	8
Files 1 through 3	9
Files 4 and 5	10
Geographic Coverage	10
Evaluation	10
Reports and Publications	11
Data Completeness and Consistency Report	13
Codebook.....	19
Record Layouts.....	35

SUMMARY

This project examined the relationship between childhood abuse and/or neglect, and later criminal and violent criminal behavior. Using a prospective cohorts design, cases of physical and sexual abuse and neglect for children under 12 years of age during the years 1967 through 1971 were sampled from a metropolitan area in the Midwest. Adult and juvenile criminal histories of sampled cases were compared to those of a matched control group with no official record of abuse or neglect.

Data were collected from 1986 through 1988 and are organized into five files. File 1 includes demographic information, such as race, sex, and date of birth; it contains 1,575 cases (908 cases in the abuse/neglect group and 667 cases in the control group) with 6 variables. File 2 includes information on the abuse/neglect incident, such as type of abuse or neglect, the duration, whether and for how long the child was removed from the home; it contains 908 cases with 28 variables. File 3 includes information on the family living situation and the perpetrator of the abuse/neglect incident; it contains 908 cases with 30 variables. File 4 includes information on the adult criminal histories of both the abuse/neglect group and the control group; it contains 2,578 cases with 8 variables, each case corresponding to a separate adult criminal charge. File 5 includes similar information on the juvenile histories of both groups; it contains 1,101 cases with 5 variables, each case corresponding to a separate juvenile criminal charge.

GENERAL STUDY OVERVIEW

Source: Widom, C. S. (1989). Child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior. Final report to the National Institute of Justice.

Study Identification

Child Abuse, Neglect, and Violent Criminal Behavior

Cathy Spatz Widom

Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology
Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana

Award No. 86-IJ-CX-0033

Key Words

Childhood victimization, child abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse, neglect, delinquency, adult criminality, violent criminal behavior.

Purpose of the Study

This project examined the cycle-of-violence hypothesis. Researchers asked whether being a victim of violence and/or neglect in early childhood leads to being a criminal offender in adolescence or early adulthood. In particular is there a relationship between childhood abuse or neglect and arrests as a juvenile, arrests as an adult, and arrests for violent offenses? Specific hypotheses designed to be tested with the data include: abused and neglected children are more likely than others to be arrested for delinquency, to be arrested for criminal offenses as adults, to commit violent crimes as adults, to be arrested at an earlier age, and to be chronic offenders.

Methods

Overview

There are five separate, topically organized data files. File 1 (JU24W.DAT) contains basic demographic information on 908 abuse/neglect cases and 667 matched control group cases. File 2 (JU25W.DAT) contains information on the abuse/neglect incident for the 908 abuse/neglect cases. File 3 (JU26W.DAT) contains family and perpetrator information for the 908 abuse/neglect cases. These three files can be linked through case numbers (variable CASENO). File 4 (JU27W.DAT) contains information on adult criminal charges filed in arrest

incidents involving members of both the abuse/neglect group and the control group. There are 2,578 charges/cases. File 5 (JU28W.DAT) contains information on juvenile criminal charges filed in arrest incidents involving members of both groups. There are 1,101 charges/cases. Files 4 and 5 can be linked through case numbers (variable CASENO) with each other and with Files 1 through 3. Files 4 and 5 can also be linked through group numbers (variable GRP), with each other and with File 1 where GRP distinguishes between abuse/neglect cases and controls. Files 2 and 3 include only abuse/neglect cases and are not coded for the variable group (GRP). Because of the differences in the unit of analysis among these files, information for Files 1 through 3 is presented separately from information for Files 4 and 5.

Files 1 through 3

Sources of Information

This research used existing official records on individual cases from a metropolitan area in the Midwest. Descriptions of abuse and neglect were obtained from county juvenile Court and juvenile probation department records. A control group was selected using county birth records or school records. Juvenile probation department records were also used to check for the presence of abuse and neglect within the control group, and for records of delinquent activities within all groups.

Sample

This study employed a prospective cohorts research design where a cohort of cases of childhood abuse and/or neglect was matched with a control group cohort on the basis of sex, race, age, and approximate family socioeconomic status during the time period of the abuse and neglect incidents (1967-1971). The cohorts were chosen so as to differ from each other only in terms of the variable of interest—abuse and/or neglect from ages 0 through 11. A large sample (N=908) of cases of physical and sexual abuse and neglect was obtained. To insure that cases were chosen in which possible delinquency did not precede child abuse and/or neglect, cases were restricted to those in which children were 11 years of age or less at the time of the incident. Specifically, cases were defined as any persons ages 0 through 11 in 1967-1971 who appeared in the records of the juvenile court and juvenile probation department as victims of abuse and/or neglect. The abuse/neglect incident was substantiated by investigation and the intervention of agencies on behalf of the child at that time. The control group of individuals with no official record of abuse or neglect (n=667) was matched in one of two ways. For abuse/neglect cases who were known to be under school age at the time of the abuse or neglect (n=318), controls were selected using county birth records and matching on the basis of sex, race, date of birth (plus or minus one week), and hospital of birth. For the 318 cases, a total of 229 matched controls were found in this way. For the 89 remaining cases, no matched controls were found. For abuse/neglect cases who were known to be of school age (n=587), controls were selected matching on the basis of sex, race, date of birth (plus or minus 6 months), and the same class in the elementary school system. A total of 438 matched controls were found in this way.

Cases and their matched controls are given the same case number to indicate the matched pairs. Therefore it is necessary to use a combination of the variable for case number (CASENO) and the variable for group (GRP) to uniquely identify each person in the file.

Response Rates

Information was collected for 1,575 individuals who met the above criteria (1).

Dates of Data Collection

The data were collected from 1986 through 1989 from records covering the period from 1967 to 1971.

Files 4 and 5

Sources of Information

This research used existing official records of charges as a result of arrest incidents for individuals from both cohorts. Juvenile probation department records were used to check for delinquent activities within both groups. Adult criminal histories for all cases were searched at three levels: local, state, and federal.

1. For a complete discussion of research design and response rates, see Widom, C S. (1989). Child abuse, neglect, and adult behavior: Design and findings on criminality, violence, and child abuse. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 59, 355-367.

Additionally, Bureau of Motor Vehicle records were searched to locate subjects and find social security numbers for tracing. Marriage license bureau records were used to find married names for the females.

Sample

Data were collected from arrest records at the local, state, and federal levels. Specifically, the unit of analysis is defined as charges resulting from adult arrest incidents for File 4 and charges resulting from juvenile arrest incidents for File 5. Information on charges was collected for individuals from both cohorts. A given individual from either cohort could have no arrests on record, in which case that individual would not be present in File 4 or 5. In contrast, a given individual may have one or more than one arrest and each arrest could involve one or more than one charge. Therefore an individual could be present in either file two or more times.

Response Rates

Information was collected for 2,578 charges resulting from adult arrest incidents for File 4 and 1,101 charges resulting from juvenile arrest incidents for File 5. These incidents were taken from records sought for all 1,575 individuals in both cohorts. However, juvenile arrest records were found for only 348 individuals, and adult arrest records for 417 individuals. Because sampled individuals could have either juvenile or adult arrest records in localities not searched by the investigators, a complete record of charges may not have been obtained.

Dates of Data Collection

The data were collected from August 1, 1986, through December 31, 1988, from

records covering the period from 1967 to 1988.

Summary of Contents

Special Characteristics of the Study

The 1967-1971 time period was chosen for sampling to balance two conflicting demands. One, a period sufficiently far in the past was needed to maximize the likelihood that the cases of abuse and neglect were closed and to allow for the maturing of the individuals; and two, a period not too far in the past was needed to avoid problems associated with older files.

Files 1 through 3

Description of Variables

The variables for data File 1 include demographic information such as group (abuse/neglect or control), age (at the time of petition to the court for cases of abuse and neglect), race, sex, date of birth, and match type (school or hospital of birth).

Variables for data File 2 include information on the abuse/neglect incident: the type of abuse or neglect and duration of the incident; whether the child was removed from the home, for how long, and the results of the placement; and whether the individual is still alive. No information on members of the control cohort is included in this file.

Variables for data File 3 include information on the family: with whom the child was living at the time of the incident, family disruptions, and who reported the abuse or neglect; and information on the perpetrator of the incident: relation to abused/neglected, age, race, sex, and whether living in the home of the victim. No information on members of the control cohort is included in this file.

Presence of Common Scales

None.

Unit of Observation

For Files 1 through 3, the unit of observation is the individual at age 11 or younger.

Files 4 and 5

Description of Variables

Variables for data File 4 include information on the charges filed within adult arrest incidents: occasion for arrest, multiple counts of the same type of charge, year and location of arrest, and type of offense or charge. Variables for data File 5 include information on the charges filed within juvenile arrest incidents: year of juvenile charge, number of arrests, and type of offense or charge. Juvenile arrests referred to arrests before the individual was 18 years old.

Presence of Common Scales

None.

Unit of Observation

For File 4, the unit of observation is the charge within the adult arrest incident. For File 5, the unit of observation is the charge within the juvenile arrest incident.

Geographic Coverage

A metropolitan area in the Midwest. No information on what area or its characteristics is provided in order to protect the confidentiality of the individual cases.

Evaluation

Data Quality

Checks for out-of-range values suggest that the five data files are relatively free from detectable coding errors. However, for File 2, 36% of the variables have missing values (see Data Limitations) and 42% of the relational edit checks performed between related variables had some cases failing. For File 3, 73% of the variables have missing values (see Data Limitations) and 67% of the relational edit checks between related variables revealed failing cases.

Data Limitations

Files 2 and 3 appear to contain a large number of missing data. The code of 99 or "unknown" is used to indicate missing information as well as questions which do not apply to a case. Therefore, for some variables, it is not

possible to distinguish between truly missing and inapplicable responses without crosstabulating these variables with related indicator variables. File 2 contains an additional problem because the code of 98 or "unknown if applicable" was used as well as the code of 99 or "unknown". By crosstabulating these variables with related indicator variables, Code 98 was found to be used for both applicable and inapplicable cases.

Based on official records, the data apply only to reported and substantiated cases of childhood victimization. Because the time period for which data were collected was before the passage of child abuse laws requiring reporting, such cases represent only a small proportion of abuse/neglect cases. Because of reporting biases, these cases are skewed toward the lower end of the socioeconomic spectrum, and toward the extreme end of abuse/neglect incidents.

The user should be aware that misdemeanor criminal behavior for individuals may not show up in the records checked for a variety of reasons. If individuals had moved or traveled from the midwestern metropolitan area originally sampled it would be possible to keep track of arrests only at the federal level. Even with the help of Department of Motor Vehicles records and marriage license records, it would be difficult to keep track of mobile individuals at the state and local levels.

Reports and Publications

- Ames, A., & Widom, C. S. (1988, November). Childhood sexual abuse and later delinquency and criminal behavior. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Society of Criminology. Chicago, IL.
- Rivera, B., & Widom, C. S. (1990). Childhood victimization and violent offending. *Violence and Victims*, 5, 19-35.
- Widom, C. S. (1989). Early child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior. In D. A. Brizer & M. Crowner (Eds.), *Current Approaches to the Prediction of Violence*. Washington, D. C.: American Psychiatric Press.
- Widom, C. S. (1989). Intergenerational transmission of violence. In N. A. Weiner & M. E. Wolfgang (Eds.), *Pathways to criminal violence* (pp. 137-201). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Widom, C. S. (1989). Child abuse, neglect, and adult behavior: Design and findings on criminality, violence, and child abuse. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 59, 355-367.
- Widom, C. S. (1989). Child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior. *Criminology*, 27, 251-271.
- Widom, C. S. (1989). Does violence beget violence? A critical examination of the literature. *Psychological Bulletin*, 106, 3-28.

Widom, C. S. (1989). The cycle of violence. *Science*, 244, 160-166.

Widom C. S. (in press). Childhood victimization: Risk factor for delinquency. In M. E. Colten & J. Gore (Eds.), *Adolescent stress: Causes and consequences*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

Widom, C. S. (in press). Avoidance of criminality in abused and neglected children. *Psychiatry*.

Widom, C. S. (1990). The role of placement experiences in mediating the criminal consequences of childhood victimization. Manuscript submitted for publication.

Widom, C. S. & Ross, B. (1988, November). Pathways to delinquency and adult criminality. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Research in Psychopathology. Cambridge, MA

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA9480.P1

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=1575)                                    Missing Values
-----
    
```

83.3% (5 of 6 variables) have 0% Missing Values

16.7% (1 of 6 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

```

=====
*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.
    
```

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA9480.P2

Table 2: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=908)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

53.6% (15 of 28 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 28 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 28 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 28 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 28 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 28 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
14.3% (4 of 28 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
PLAC1 IF REMOVAL WHERE WAS CHILD PLACED	29.5%
PLYR DATE INITIAL PLACEMENT: YEAR	36.8%
PMON DATE INITIAL PLACEMENT: MONTH	36.8%
PLDY DATE INITIAL PLACEMENT: DAY	38.1%
32.1% (9 of 28 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
NOREL NO OTHER RELATIVES TO ASSUME CARE	48.7%
PLTIM MONTHS CHILD IN INITIAL PLACEMENT	69.2%
FOS1 PLACEMENT IN FOSTER HOME WAS OUTCOME	69.5%
SEXDUR DURATION OF SEXUAL ABUSE	97.4%
SEXIJ INJURIES SUSTAINED SEXUAL ABUSE	98.0%
NEGDUR DURATION OF NEGLECT	99.0%
PHYDUR DURATION OF ABUSE	99.3%
DEAD2 AGE AT DEATH IN YEARS	99.8%
DEAD3 CAUSE OF DEATH	99.9%

 *Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA9480.P3

Table 3: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                     Percent of Cases with
  (Total cases=908)                                       Missing Values
-----
16.7% (5 of 30 variables) have 0% Missing Values

3.3% (1 of 30 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

3.3% (1 of 30 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

13.3% (4 of 30 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

3.3% (1 of 30 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

PERRCE1  RACE OF PERPETRATOR #1                             9.4%

10.0% (3 of 30 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

PERAGE1  AGE OF PERPETRATOR #1                             11.3%
REPORT   COMPLAINT INITIALLY REPORTED TO                  14.5%
COMPL1   COMPLAINANT                                       17.3%

0.0% (0 of 30 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

50.0% (15 of 30 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

PERP2    OTHER PERP INVOLVED IN INCIDENT                   58.8%
PERSEX2  SEX OF PERPETRATOR #2                             59.5%
INHOME2  PERP #2 LIVE IN HOME OF VICTIM AT TIME           59.7%
PAR2     OTHER INVOLVED W/CARE OF CHILD AT TIME           61.3%
SEPAR    EVIDENCE OF RECENT FAMILY DISRUPTION             61.7%
PERRCE2  RACE OF PERPETRATOR #2                             63.3%
PERAGE2  AGE OF PERPETRATOR #2                             67.0%
MOVES    FAMILY MOVE 2 OR MORE TIMES                       76.1%
NFATH    CHILDREN IN FAM BORN MORE THAN 1 FATHER          77.1%
SEXPERP  RELATION SEX PERP #1 TO CHILD                    83.3%
SRACE    RACE OF SEX PERPETRATOR #1                       84.4%
SAGE     AGE OF SEX PERPETRATOR #1                         85.2%
=====

```

Table 3 (continued)

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                     Percent of Cases with
                                                           Missing Values
-----
SEXIN      SEX PERP #1 LIVE IN HOME OF VICT AT TIM        86.2%
COMP2      OTHER COMPLAINANT                                   90.7%
SEXPERP2   PERP #2 OF SEXUAL ABUSE INCIDENT                     98.9%
=====
    
```

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA9480.P4

Table 4: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                     Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=2578)                                       Missing Values
-----
62.5% (5 of 8 variables) have 0% Missing Values
25.0% (2 of 8 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values
0.0% (0 of 8 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values
0.0% (0 of 8 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values
0.0% (0 of 8 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values
0.0% (0 of 8 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values
0.0% (0 of 8 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values
12.5% (1 of 8 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values
MULT      NO. OF MULTIPLE COUNTS FOR SAME ARREST          90.5%
=====
    
```

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA9480.P5

Table 5: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                               Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=1101)                                   Missing Values
-----

```

80.0% (4 of 5 variables) have 0% Missing Values

20.0% (1 of 5 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

```

=====
*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

```


Data Resources Program of the
National Institute of Justice

Data Set JU.2428

CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT AND
VIOLENT CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR

Cathy Spatz Widom

Codebook

July 1990

Sociometrics Corporation
170 State Street, Suite 260
Los Altos, CA 94022-2812
(415) 949-3282

NIJ grant 86-IJ-CX-0033

Note: Column locations of variables are presented on pages 13-15 of this codebook.

(PART 1: DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION)

CASENO: 3 digit numeric case identification number.

GRP: Whether abuse/neglect or control group.

- 1 - Control
- 2 - Abuse/neglect,

AGE: Age, in years, at time of petition (Variable deleted from data file by investigator)

RACE:

- 1 - Black
- 2 - White
- 3 - Hispanic
- 9 - Race unknown

SEX:

- 1 - Female
- 2 - Male

DOB: Date of birth (string variable)

Several formats for recording date of birth are used. In general order of frequency these are:

- MM-DD-YY Exact date of birth
- YYYY-YY Approximate year of birth
- MM-YY Month and year of birth
- YYYY Year of birth
- ? Date of birth unknown

MATCHTYP: Whether Control is birth match or school match.

- 1 - Birth
- 2 - School
- 3 - Abuse/neglect

(PART 2: ABUSE/NEGLECT)

CASENO: 3 digit numeric case identification number.

PHY1, PHY2, PHY3, PHY4: Physical abuse codes

- 0 - No apparent physical abuse to this child nor to other children in family.
- 1 - No apparent physical abuse to this child but physical abuse to a sibling.
- 2 - Mention of physical abuse but no mention of injuries sustained.
- 3 - Bruises, welts
- 4 - Sprains, dislocations
- 5 - Malnutrition
- 6 - Freezing
- 7 - Burns, scalding
- 8 - Abrasions, lacerations
- 9 - Wounds, cuts, punctures
- 10 - Internal injuries
- 11 - Bone fractures (other than skull)
- 12 - Skull fracture
- 14 - Teeth knocked out
- 51 - Failure to thrive
- 52 - Tied up
- 98 - Other
- 99 - Physical injuries possibly sustained, not certain (old scars, etc)

PHY2, PHY3, PHY4 - 0 IF NO OTHER PHYSICAL ABUSE

PHYDUR: Duration of abuse, ie., whether isolated instance or longer term:

- 0 - Isolated instance
- 1 - 1 year or less
- 1 - Exact duration unknown, evidence of long standing duration. - MISSING
- 98 - Not applicable - MISSING
- 99 - Duration not known - MISSING

NEG1, NEG2, NEG3, NEG4: Type neglect

- 0 - No apparent neglect of this child nor other children in family
- 1 - No apparent neglect of this child but neglect of a sibling
- 2 - Physical neglect: bodies not kept clean, does not provide for adequate, food, clothing, or housing (unclean home, bodies, etc).
- 3 - Physical neglect: does not provide adequate medical attention (Including other physical complaints as pain, fatigue).
- 4 - Neglect related skin disorders (infections, etc.)
- 5 - Does not provide adequate supervision, child left alone for periods of time (but not directly abandoned).
- 6 - Cannot keep child in school regularly (Educational neglect).
- 7 - Abandoned by mother and father (or father unable to care, dead, whereabouts unknown)
- 8 - Mother (or other person in charge of child) does not wish to keep child (whether permanently or temporarily)

- 9 - Child in care of someone other than mother (or other parent) who does not wish to continue care (whether permanently or temporarily)
- 10 - Verbal abuse (swearing, threats, etc)
- 11 - Does not keep appointments with welfare department or school officials
- 12 - Confinement
- 51 - Emotional neglect
- 99 - Not certain whether incidence of neglect.

NEG2, NEG3, NEG4 = 0 IF NO OTHER NEGLECT

NEGDUR: Duration of neglect, i.e., whether isolated incident or longer term.

- 0 - Isolated instance
- 1 - 1 year or less
- 1 - Exact duration unknown, evidence of long standing duration. - MISSING
- 98 - Not applicable - MISSING
- 99 - Duration not known - MISSING

SEX1 AND SEX2: Sexual abuse codes (SEX2 CODED 0 IF NO OTHER SEXUAL ABUSE)

- 0 - No apparent sexual abuse of this child nor other children in family
- 1 - No indication of sexual abuse to this child but mentioned in relation to a sibling.
- 2 - Fondling, touching in obscene manner.
- 3 - Sexual abuse but specifics not given (eg., A & B with intent)
- 4 - Vaginal penetration with penis.
- 5 - Vaginal penetration with other than penis.
- 6 - Sodomy/anal penetration.
- 7 - Forced to perform sexual acts.
- 8 - Evidence of sexually transmitted disease.
- 9 - Evidence of sibling incest
- 10 - Forced to perform oral sodomy
- 11 - Forced to submit to oral sodomy
- 12 - Evidence of parental incest.
- 13 - Exposing to child
- 14 - Tried to entice into car
- 51 - Allegations of sexual abuse, uncertain

SEXDUR: Duration of sex abuse

- 0 - Isolated instance
- 1 - Exact duration unknown, evidence of long standing duration - MISSING
- 1 - Exact duration unknown, evidence of long standing duration. - MISSING
- 98 - Not applicable - MISSING
- 99 - Duration not known - MISSING

SEXIJ: Injuries sustained as a consequence of sexual abuse:

- 0 - No, no mention
- 1 - Yes, mention
- 98 - Not applicable - MISSING
- 99 - Unknown - MISSING

OTH1, OTH2, OTH3: Other, non-abuse/neglect, reasons for petition

- 0 - None or no other mentioned.
- 1 - Needed wardship for placement of this child (in clinic, home for the retarded, etc.)
- 2 - Wardship needed since other(s) willing to assume care but wish to have guardianship established legally
- 3 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable to provide for child because of medical reasons
- 4 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable to provide for child for financial reasons,
- 5 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable to provide for child because in prison, girls school, or jail
- 6 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable to provide for child because in mental hospital or mentally incapable.
- 7 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable or unwilling to provide for child because institutionalized, type not known
- 8 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable to provide for child, reason not given
- 9 - Moral environment objectionable to officials (eg.: mother living with man she's not married to, frequent pregnancies without being married, alcohol or drug use, etc.)
- 10 - Death of guardian(s) left child orphaned
- 11 - Violence in the home not directed at child (eg., wife abuse)

PLAC1: If petition involved removal of child from home, where was child initially placed?

- 0 - Child was not removed, no placement involved
- 1 - Guardian Home (or other public facility for non-delinquent)
- 2 - Relative's home
- 3 - Foster care
- 4 - Medical facility
- 5 - Psychiatric facility
- 6 - Home/ school for the mentally retarded
- 7 - Adopted
- 8 - Other, please list
- 98 - Not known if placed or not - MISSING
- 99 - Child placed but where not known - MISSING

PLYR, PMON, PLDY - 98,99 = MISSING

PLYR: Date initial placement, year (99=unknown, 98=Unknown if placed)

PMON: Date initial placement, month (99=unknown, 98=Unknown if placed)

PLDY: Date initial placement, day (99=unknown, 98=Unknown if placed)

PLTIM: How long was child in this initial placement, in months?

- 88 - Until age 18
- 89 - Permanent
- 98 - Unknown if placed - MISSING
- 99 - Unknown amount of time in placement - MISSING

FOS1: Placement in a foster home was an outcome of this petition

- 1 - Yes
- 2 - Placed in foster but not as consequence of this petition.
- 88 - Until age 18
- 99 - No, unknown - MISSING

NOREL: Was there mention that there were no other relatives willing to assume care for this child?

- 1 - Yes
- 99 - No information - MISSING

DEAD 1: Indication that child is now dead

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes

DEAD2: Age at death in years

- 98 - Not applicable - MISSING
- 99 - Age at death unknown - MISSING

DEAD3: Cause of death:

- 1 - Natural causes (disease)
- 2 - Related to parental abuse/neglect
- 3 - Outcome of other violent act
- 4 - Accident
- 5 - Suicide
- 99 - Not applicable - MISSING

(PART 3: FAMILY AND PERPETRATOR)

CASENO: 3 digit numeric case identification number.

PYR: Year petition filed.

CRIMCT: This case was a consequence of criminal charges being brought

- 1 - Yes
- 0 - No evidence for criminal charges

COMPL1: Complainant (charges brought by).

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother or female companion
- 4 - Grandmother
- 5 - Other related female adult (aunt, etc.)
- 6 - Stepfather, or male companion
- 7 - Grandfather
- 8 - Other related male adult (uncle, etc.)
- 9 - Child in family
- 10 - Other, non-related adult (neighbor, babysitter, etc.)
- 11 - Welfare Department officials
- 12 - Police Department officials
- 13 - School officials
- 14 - Hospital, clinic, or other health officials
- 99 - Initiator unknown - MISSING

COMP2: Other involved in initiating complaint. Code as above; if no other initiator, code 99

PERP1: Relation of Perpetrator(1) (known or suspected) to abused/ neglected child(ren)

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother
- 4 - Female companion
- 5 - Stepfather
- 6 - Male companion
- 7 - Grandmother
- 8 - Grandfather
- 9 - Other related adult (uncle, aunt, etc)
- 10 - Other adult with legal guardianship (foster, adoptive parent)
- 11 - Other, non-related adult but known to family (neighbor, etc.)
- 12 - Other, non-related adult, not known to family (stranger)
- 13 - Male, uncertain relation, in household
- 14 - Male, unknown if known to family
- 99 - Identity of perpetrator unknown - MISSING

PERAGE1: Age of perpetrator1, in years

- 99 - Age of perpetrator unknown - MISSING

PERSEX1: Sex of perpetrator1

- 1 - Female
- 2 - Male

PERRCE1: Race of perpetrator1

- 1 - Black
- 2 - White
- 3 - Other (Hispanic, Oriental, etc).
- 99 - Race unknown - MISSING

INHOME1: Was perpetrator(1) living in the home of the victim at the time?

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes
- 99 - Unknown - MISSING

PERP2: Other perpetrator (known or suspected) involved in incident. Code as PERP1 above except:

- 99 - Unknown if other perpetrator - MISSING

PERAGE2: Age of perpetrator2, in years

- 99 - Age of perpetrator2 unknown or no second perpetrator - MISSING

PERSEX2: Sex of perpetrator2

- 1 - Female
- 2 - Male
- 99 - Sex of perpetrator2 unknown or no second perpetrator - MISSING

PERRCE2: Race of perpetrator2:

- 1 - Black
- 2 - White
- 3 - Other (Hispanic, Oriental, etc).
- 99 - Race unknown or no second perpetrator - MISSING

INHOME2: Was perpetrator(2) living in the home of the victim at the time?

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes
- 9 - Unknown or no second perpetrator - MISSING

DESCRIP: Description given is given by whom?

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother or female companion
- 4 - Grandmother
- 5 - Other related female adult (aunt, etc.)
- 6 - Stepfather, or male companion
- 7 - Grandfather
- 8 - Other related male adult (uncle, etc.)
- 9 - Child in family
- 10 - Other, non-related adult (neighbor, etc.)
- 11 - Welfare Department officials
- 12 - Police Department officials

- 13 - School officials
- 14 - Hospital, clinic, or other health officials
- 15 - Court officials
- 99 - Unknown - MISSING

REPORT: Complaint initially reported to:

- 1 - Police
- 2 - Welfare or other social service agency
- 3 - School officials
- 4 - Hospitals
- 5 - Court officials
- 99 - Unknown, cannot tell from description given - MISSING

PAR1: With whom was child living regularly at time of incident?

(= mother if mother involved with care of child at time).

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother or female companion
- 4 - Stepfather or male companion
- 5 - Grandmother
- 6 - Grandfather
- 7 - Other related adult (uncle, aunt, etc)
- 8 - Other adult with legal guardianship (foster, adoptive parent)
- 9 - Other, non-related adult (neighbor, friends,etc)
- 10 - Child was institutionalized at the time
- 99 - Unknown - MISSING

PAR2: Other person involved with care of child at time. Coded as above, 99 if no other person known to be involved with care.

MOVES: Evidence of family moving two or more times during the year preceding petition?

- 1 - Yes,
- 0 - No
- 99 - Can't tell - MISSING

SEPAR: Evidence of recent family disruption (divorce, separation, death of family member)?

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes
- 99 - Can't tell - MISSING

NFATH: Children in this family born from more than one father.

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes
- 99 - Unknown - MISSING

INSTN1: Was this the first instance of abuse/neglect brought to the attention of the courts/police/welfare authorities? (i.e., no mention of previous instances).

- 1 - Yes, only this instance.

- 2 - One previous instance.
- 3 - Two prior instances, etc.
- 88 - More than one prior instance, exact number unknown.
- 99 - Unknown. - MISSING

INSTN2: Are there reports of subsequent instances of abuse/neglect, not directly a consequence (or follow-up) of the current case which were brought to the attention of the courts/police/welfare authorities?

- 0 - No, no further dealings with these systems concerning abuse/neglect
- 1 - Yes, one subsequent instance.
- 2 - Yes, two subsequent instances, etc.
- 88 - More than one prior instance, exact number unknown
- 99 - Unknown. - MISSING

SEXPERP: Relation of Sex perpetrator(1) (known or suspected) to sexually abused child

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother
- 4 - Female companion
- 5 - Stepfather
- 6 - Male companion
- 7 - Grandmother
- 8 - Grandfather
- 9 - Other related adult (uncle, aunt, etc)
- 10 - Other adult with legal guardianship (foster, adoptive parent)
- 11 - Other, non-related adult but known to family (neighbor, etc.)
- 12 - Other, non-related adult, not known to family (stranger)
- 13 - Male, uncertain relation, in household
- 14 - Male, unknown if known to family
- 99 - Not sexual abuse. - MISSING

NOTE: NO CODE FOR SEX OF SEX PERPETRATOR #1 SINCE ALL MALE.

SRACE: Race of sex perpetrator1:

- 1 - Black
- 2 - White
- 3 - Other (Hispanic, Oriental, etc).
- 9 - Race unknown - MISSING
- 99 - No sex perpetrator - MISSING

SAGE: Age of sex perpetrator1, in years

- 98 - No sex perpetrator - MISSING
- 99 - Age of sex perpetrator1 unknown - MISSING

SEXIN: Was sex perpetrator1 living in the home of the victim at the time?

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes
- 9 - Unknown - MISSING
- 99 - No sex perpetrator - MISSING

SEXDISP: (Undocumented variable)

SEXP2: Perpetrator2 of sexual abuse incident; Coded as SEXP above
except:

98 - Not sexual abuse incident - MISSING

99 - No second sex perpetrator - MISSING

(PART4: ADULT CRIMINALITY)

CASENO: 3 digit numeric case identification number.

GRP: Whether abuse/neglect or control group

- 1 - Control
- 2 - Abuse/neglect

INST: Number of each occasion of arrest, i.e., each separate arrest incident numbered uniquely (i.e., may be more than one type adult charge for any arrest incident)

CHRG: Unique charge numbers within each arrest incident.

MULT: If, for any one offense there are multiple counts of the same type, the number of counts for that type. Example - a person is charged with two traffic offenses, TYPE 46 and MULT = 2. 99 only one charge of a particular type

CRYR: Year, adult criminal arrest charge

(99 = unknown) - MISSING

PLACE: Location of arrest (where offense committed).

- 1 - City (local)
- 2 - State
- 3 - Out of state

TYPE (OF OFFENSE): Charges pertaining to both juveniles and adults

- 7 - Theft/ conversion/ shoplifting/offense against property act (OAPA)
- 8 - Burglary/attempted burglary
- 9 - Unlawful entering/breaking & entering
- 10 - Robbery
- 11 - Possession of stolen property/intention to receive
- 12 - Larceny
- 13 - Arson
- 14 - Fraud/ forgery/ bad checks/ false id
- 15 - Embezzlement
- 16 - Conspiracy/ assisting a criminal
- 17 - Gambling
- 18 - Criminal mischief/ vandalism/ trespassing/recklessness
- 19 - Disorderly conduct (DOC)/ breach of peace
- 20 - Visiting a common nuisance (VCN)/ keeping a common nuisance
- 21 - Alcohol offenses/ public intoxication/ 1935 Beverage Act
- 22 - Violation, controlled substance act (VCSA)/ drug offenses
- 23 - Resisting arrest/ fleeing a police officer/ taunting a police officer/ resisting law enforcement (RLE)/ leaving the scene of a crime/ refusing ID/ interfering
- 24 - Intimidation
- 25 - Possession of a firearm/ firearms act (FAA)
- 26 - Assault
- 27 - Assault and battery

- 28 - Battery with injury
- 29 - Battery
- 30 - Aggravated assault
- 31 - Manslaughter/ reckless homicide/ involuntary manslaughter/motor vehicle accident resulting in death
- 32 - Confinement
- 33 - Kidnapping
- 34 - Murder/ attempted murder
- 35 - Injury to morals
- 36 - Prostitution
- 37 - Incest
- 38 - Child molestation
- 39 - ALB with intent to gratify
- 40 - Rape, sodomy
- 41 - Peeping
- 42 - Public indecency
- 43 - Criminal deviant conduct
- 44 - Other sex
- 45 - Driving while intoxicated (OMVUIL)
- 46 - Traffic (NOL, etc.)
- 47 - Violation of probation or parole (VT, VSRC)
- 48 - Burglary with injury
- 49 - Robbery with injury
- 50 - Child abuse/neglect
- 51 - Contempt
- 52 - Bribery
- 53 - Habitual offender
- 54 - Vagrancy
- 55 - Fugitive
- 56 - Failure to appear
- 57 - Escape/ AUOL
- 58 - Contributing to the delinquency of a minor
- 59 - False crime report
- 98 - Other
- 99 - Unknown what type offense - MISSING

(PART 5: JUVENILE CRIMINALITY)

CASENO: 3 digit numeric case identification number.

GRP: Whether abuse/neglect or control group.

- 1 - Control
- 2 - Abuse/neglect

YR: Year of juvenile charge.

NUM: Each separate arrest incident numbered uniquely (i.e., may be more than one type juvenile charge for any arrest incident)

TYPE (OF OFFENSE): Charges pertaining only to juveniles:

- 0 - Delinquent child
- 1 - Runaway
- 2 - Beverage act/ minor in possession
- 3 - Truancy
- 4 - Ungovernable/incorrigible
- 5 - Curfew
- 6 - Injury to health

For other charges, coded as above for adults.

Formats for data files

(PART 1: DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION)

1 record has been written for each case, Number of cases = 1575

Variable	Record Number	Columns	Format
CASENO	1	1 - 3	Numeric
GRP	1	4 - 4	Numeric
RACE	1	5 - 5	Numeric
SEX	1	6 - 6	Numeric
DOB	1	7 - 14	String
MATCHTYP	1	15 - 15	Numeric

(PART 2: ABUSE/NEGLECT)

1 record has been written for each case, Number of cases = 908

Variable	Record Number	Columns	Format	Number of Decimals (if not 0)
CASENO	1	1 - 3	Numeric	
PHY1	1	4 - 5	Numeric	
PHY2	1	6 - 7	Numeric	
PHY3	1	8 - 9	Numeric	
PHY4	1	10 - 11	Numeric	
PHYDUR	1	12 - 13	Numeric	
NEG1	1	14 - 15	Numeric	
NEG2	1	16 - 17	Numeric	
NEG3	1	18 - 19	Numeric	
NEG4	1	20 - 21	Numeric	
NEGDUR	1	22 - 23	Numeric	
SEX1	1	24 - 25	Numeric	
SEX2	1	26 - 27	Numeric	
SEXDUR	1	28 - 29	Numeric	
SEXIJ	1	30 - 31	Numeric	
OTH1	1	32 - 33	Numeric	
OTH2	1	34 - 35	Numeric	
OTH3	1	36 - 37	Numeric	
PLAC1	1	38 - 39	Numeric	
PLYR	1	40 - 41	Numeric	
PMON	1	42 - 43	Numeric	
PLDY	1	44 - 45	Numeric	
PLTIM	1	46 - 47	Numeric	
FOS1	1	48 - 49	Numeric	
NOREL	1	50 - 51	Numeric	

DEAD1	1	52 - 52	Numeric
DEAD2	1	53 - 54	Numeric
DEAD3	1	55 - 56	Numeric

(PART 3: FAMILY AND PERPETRATOR)

1 record has been written for each case, number of cases = 908

Variable	Record Number	Columns	Format
CASENO	1	1 - 3	Numeric
PYR	1	4 - 5	Numeric
CRIMCT	1	6 - 7	Numeric
COMPL1	1	8 - 9	Numeric
COMP2	1	10 - 11	Numeric
PERP1	1	12 - 13	Numeric
PERAGE1	1	14 - 15	Numeric
PERSEX1	1	16 - 16	Numeric
PERRCE1	1	17 - 18	Numeric
INHOME1	1	19 - 20	Numeric
PERP2	1	21 - 22	Numeric
PERAGE2	1	23 - 24	Numeric
PERSEX2	1	25 - 26	Numeric
PERRCE2	1	27 - 28	Numeric
INHOME2	1	29 - 30	Numeric
DESCRIP	1	31 - 32	Numeric
REPORT	1	33 - 34	Numeric
PAR1	1	35 - 36	Numeric
PAR2	1	37 - 38	Numeric
MOVES	1	39 - 40	Numeric
SEPAR	1	41 - 42	Numeric
NFATH	1	43 - 44	Numeric
INSTN1	1	45 - 46	Numeric
INSTN2	1	47 - 48	Numeric
SEXPERP	1	49 - 50	Numeric
SRACE	1	51 - 52	Numeric
SAGE	1	53 - 54	Numeric
SEXIN	1	55 - 56	Numeric
SEXDISP	1	57 - 58	Numeric
SEXPERP2	1	59 - 60	Numeric

(PART 4: ADULT CRIMINALITY)

1 record has been written for each case, number of cases = 2578

Variable	Record Number	Columns	Format
CASENO	1	1 - 3	Numeric
GRP	1	4 - 4	Numeric
INST	1	5 - 6	Numeric
CHRG	1	7 - 7	Numeric
MULT	1	8 - 9	Numeric
CRYR	1	10 - 11	Numeric
PLACE	1	12 - 12	Numeric
TYPE	1	13 - 14	Numeric

(PART 5: JUVENILE CRIMINALITY)

1 record has been written for each case, number of cases = 1101

Variable	Record Number	Columns	Format
CASENO	1	1 - 3	Numeric
GRP	1	4 - 4	Numeric
YR	1	5 - 6	Numeric
NUM	1	7 - 8	Numeric
TYPE	1	9 - 10	Numeric

Note: This file, unlike the adult criminal record file does not contain a variable, such as CHRG, uniquely identifying each charge. However, the file is organized the same way with each record corresponding to a different charge by type of charge. There may be more than one charge per arrest incident.
