

---

**Characteristics of High and Low Crime  
Neighborhoods in Atlanta, 1980**

---

Part 1: Atlanta Plan File

Stephanie Greenberg

ICPSR 7951

This document was previously available in ASCII format only. It was converted to Portable Document Format (PDF) on the date below as part of ICPSR's CD-ROM project CD0024, supported by the National Institute of Justice. The document is completely searchable. No additional updating of this collection has been performed.

December 1998



CHARACTERISTICS OF HIGH AND LOW CRIME NEIGHBORHOODS  
IN ATLANTA, 1980

(ICPSR 7951)

Part 1  
Atlanta Plan File

Principal Investigator

Stephanie Greenberg  
City of Atlanta Planning Bureau

First ICPSR Edition  
September 1997

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research  
P.O. Box 1248  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106



## BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Greenberg, Stephanie. CHARACTERISTICS OF HIGH AND LOW CRIME NEIGHBORHOODS IN ATLANTA, 1980 [Computer file]. ICPSR ed. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [producer and distributor], 1997.

## REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

## DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
INTRODUCTION	
DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION	I
SAMPLING AND SURVEY INFORMATION	II
FILE STRUCTURE	VII
CODEBOOK INFORMATION	VIII
ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION	XI
VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST	XII
CODEBOOKS	
PART 1: PLAN FILE	





## INTRODUCTION

## DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

THIS STUDY EXAMINES THE QUESTION OF HOW SOME URBAN NEIGHBORHOODS MAINTAIN A LOW CRIME RATE DESPITE THEIR PROXIMITY AND SIMILARITY TO RELATIVELY HIGH CRIME AREAS. TWO MAJOR BODIES OF RESEARCH HAVE EMERGED WHICH ATTEMPT TO ACCOUNT FOR DIFFERENCES IN CRIME RATES AMONG NEIGHBORHOODS. ONE SET OF STUDIES EMPHASIZES PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS SUCH AS SPATIAL ARRANGEMENT OF BUILDINGS, STREET DESIGN, DIVERSITY OF LAND USE, AND THE LIKE. THE OTHER SET OF STUDIES IS CONCERNED WITH THE SOCIAL CORRELATES OF CRIME - RESIDENTIAL STABILITY, RACIAL AND ECONOMIC COMPOSITION, AND NEIGHBORHOOD CHANGE.

IMPLICIT IN BOTH BODIES OF RESEARCH IS THE ASSUMPTION THAT THE DESIGN OF THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT OR SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AFFECTS THE ABILITY OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD RESIDENTS TO MAINTAIN CONTROL OVER THE PHYSICAL SPACE WHICH THEY INHABIT. THIS INFORMAL TERRITORIAL CONTROL MAKES NEIGHBORHOOD RESIDENTS MORE OR LESS ABLE TO DEFEND THEIR NEIGHBORHOODS AGAINST CRIME. THUS, TERRITORIALITY - THE MAINTENANCE OF CONTROL OVER AN AREA BY THE INHABITANTS OF THAT AREA - APPEARS TO BE THE CRITICAL INTERVENING VARIABLE MEDIATING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE SOCIAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND THE LEVEL OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITY.

THIS STUDY FOCUSES ON BOTH OBJECTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF NEIGHBORHOODS THAT HAVE BEEN LINKED TO CRIME AND THE INFORMAL TERRITORIAL CONTROL IN NEIGHBORHOODS THAT IS BELIEVED TO TRANSMIT THE EFFECTS OF OBJECTIVE CONDITIONS. OBJECTIVE CONDITIONS ARE DEFINED AS PHYSICAL DESIGN, SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, AND CHARACTERISTICS OF NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES. THIS STUDY EXAMINES DIFFERENCES IN VARIOUS DIMENSIONS OF THE CONCEPT OF TERRITORIALITY (SPATIAL IDENTITY, LOCAL TIES, SOCIAL COHESION, INFORMAL SOCIAL CONTROL) AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS (LAND USE, HOUSING, STREET TYPE, BOUNDARY CHARACTERISTICS); AND THE RELATIVE EFFECTS OF THESE FACTORS IN THREE PAIRS OF NEIGHBORHOODS IN ATLANTA, GEORGIA.

## SAMPLING AND SURVEY INFORMATION

SAMPLING FRAME CONSTRUCTION AND STRATIFICATION. THE SAMPLING FRAME FOR EACH NEIGHBORHOOD CONSISTED OF A LIST OF RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE DEFINED NEIGHBORHOOD. ONLY PROPERTIES WHICH WERE USED FOR RESIDENTIAL PURPOSES WERE INCLUDED IN THE FRAME. PROPERTIES FOR WHICH ANY TYPE OF FEDERAL OR LOCAL FUNDING HAD BEEN PROVIDED WERE EXCLUDED BOTH FROM THE TARGET POPULATION AND FROM THE SAMPLING FRAME. THE BASIC LISTING OF PROPERTIES WAS AVAILABLE IN COMPUTER-ACCESSIBLE FORM (PLAN FILE) AND CONTAINED THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION ABOUT EACH PROPERTY: (1) A UNIQUE IDENTIFICATION CODE; (2) A PROPERTY USE CODE; AND (3) SOME INDICATION OF THE NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS ON THE PROPERTY.

A STRATIFIED SINGLE STAGE SAMPLE WAS DRAWN. THE SAMPLING UNITS WERE INDIVIDUAL HOUSING UNITS. STRATIFICATION VARIABLES INCLUDED NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS AND GEOGRAPHY (ID CODE). SAMPLE STRATIFICATION WAS ACHIEVED BY SORTING THE LIST OF PROPERTIES WITHIN A NEIGHBORHOOD BY THE NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS PER PROPERTY AND BY ID CODE. A ZONED SELECTION PROCEDURE WAS UTILIZED TO SELECT ONE HOUSING UNIT FROM EACH OF THE 132 EQUAL-SIZED ZONES FOR EACH NEIGHBORHOOD LIST.

A TOTAL OF 801 RESIDENTIAL UNITS WERE CONTACTED. THE ORIGINAL SAMPLE CONTAINED 792 UNITS (132 IN EACH NEIGHBORHOOD). AN ADDITIONAL NINE UNITS WERE CONTACTED BECAUSE OF APARTMENTS LOCATED IN WHAT WERE ORIGINALLY BELIEVED TO BE SINGLE-UNIT DWELLINGS. THE RESPONSE RATE VARIED FROM 66.7 PERCENT TO 87.0 PERCENT, WITH AN OVERALL RESPONSE RATE OF 77.3 PERCENT.

NO PRIMARY DATA WERE COLLECTED FOR NON-RESPONDENTS. HOWEVER, BECAUSE THE SAMPLE WAS DRAWN FROM THE PLAN FILE, THERE WAS INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON THE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS OF NON-RESPONDENTS. COMPARISONS WERE MADE BETWEEN RESPONDENTS AND NON-RESPONDENTS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER THERE WERE SYSTEMATIC DIFFERENCES. COMPARISON VARIABLES INCLUDED NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN THE STRUCTURE, NUMBER OF STORIES, MEAN ASSESSED VALUE OF SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCES, AND MEAN FLOOR AREA OF SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCES. THESE ARE VERY ROUGH PROXIES OF THE ECONOMIC STATUS OF SAMPLED HOUSEHOLDS. THE RESULTS INDICATED THAT THERE WERE NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN RESPONDENTS AND NON-RESPONDENTS IN ANY OF THE AVAILABLE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS.

THE STUDY NEIGHBORHOODS WERE SELECTED BY LOCATING PAIRS OF ADJACENT NEIGHBORHOODS WITH DISTINCTLY DIFFERENT CRIME LEVELS. THE CRITERIA FOR SELECTION, OTHER THAN THE DIFFERENCE IN CRIME RATES AND PHYSICAL ADJACENCY, WERE COMPARABLE RACIAL COMPOSITION AND COMPARABLE ECONOMIC STATUS. PAIRS OF NEIGHBORHOODS WERE ELIMINATED IF ONE OR THE OTHER MEMBER WAS PREDOMINATELY INDUSTRIAL OR COMMERCIAL, AN OFFICIALLY DESIGNATED HISTORIC DISTRICT, OR DOMINATED BY PUBLICLY OWNED HOUSING.

THE NEIGHBORHOODS ARE DESCRIBED IN GREATER DETAIL BELOW.

1. DIXIE HILLS AND GROVE PARK. BOTH DIXIE HILLS AND GROVE PARK ARE LOWER MIDDLE CLASS BLACK NEIGHBORHOODS. THESE NEIGHBORHOODS ARE APPROXIMATELY FOUR MILES WEST OF THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT. THE FOUR-LANE COLLECTOR STREET THAT IS PART OF THE NORTHERN BORDER OF DIXIE HILLS FORMS THE SOUTHERN BORDER OF GROVE PARK. THE SOUTHERN BORDER OF DIXIE HILLS IS AN EXPRESSWAY AND RAILROAD. PART OF ITS WESTERN BORDER IS ALSO AN EXPRESSWAY. ITS EASTERN BORDER IS A FOUR-LANE COLLECTOR STREET. THERE IS A 50-ACRE PARK IN THE CENTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. THERE ARE SEVERAL SMALL PARKS SCATTERED THROUGHOUT GROVE PARK AND A 20-ACRE PARK NEAR THE NEIGHBORHOOD'S NORTHEAST CORNER. GROVE PARK IS BORDERED TO THE EAST BY A TWO-LANE COLLECTOR STREET, TO THE WEST BY SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS AND TO THE NORTH BY A CREEK AND SURROUNDING WOODED AREA. STREETS IN BOTH NEIGHBORHOODS TEND TO TAKE THE FORM OF CURVING DRIVES AND CUL-DE-SACS.

BOTH NEIGHORHOODS HAVE A SOMEWHAT SUBURBAN APPEARANCE, WITH MOST OF THE HOUSING BUILT WITHIN THE LAST 30 YEARS, ACCORDING TO THE 1970 CENSUS OF HOUSING. MANY OF THE SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCES, PARTICULARLY THE NEWER ONES ARE SURROUNDED BY LARGE, WELL KEPT YARDS. EACH NEIGHBORHOOD, HOWEVER, HAS AT LEAST ONE LOW INCOME POCKET. MOST OF THE RESIDENTIAL LAND IN BOTH NEIGHBORHOODS IS ZONED FOR FOUR TO EIGHT UNITS PER ACRE.

THE ECONOMIC INDICATORS ARE APPROXIMATELY EQUAL TO THE CITY AVERAGE, ALTHOUGH BOTH NEIGHBORHOODS ARE LOWER THAN THE CITY AVERAGE IN THE PERCENTAGE OF PROFESSIONALS AND MANAGERS AND SLIGHTLY HIGHER THAN THE CITY AVERAGE IN OWNER OCCUPANCY. AN ADDRESS LEVEL FILE OF STRUCTURES, KNOWN AS THE PLAN FILE, INDICATES THAT 96.6 PERCENT OF THE RESIDENCES IN GROVE PARK ARE ONE- OR TWO-FAMILY, COMPARED TO 96.5 PERCENT IN DIXIE HILLS. A SLIGHTLY HIGHER PERCENTAGE IN DIXIE HILLS ARE SINGLE-FAMILY, 92.2 PERCENT, RELATIVE TO GROVE PARK, 85.2 PERCENT. HOWEVER, BOTH NEIGHBORHOODS HAVE A HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCES THAN ANY OF THE OTHER STUDY NEIGHBORHOODS. NEITHER NEIGHBORHOOD CONTAINS PUBLIC HOUSING. THERE IS A SMALL DEVELOPMENT OF SECTION 236 HOUSING IN GROVE PARK, WHICH CONTAINED 32 APARTMENT UNITS ACCORDING TO THE BUREAU OF PLANNING'S RECORDS.

THE CRIME RATE, AS MEASURED BY CRIMES PER BLOCK AND CRIMES PER 1,000 POPULATION IS ALMOST TWICE AS HIGH IN GROVE PARK AS IN DIXIE HILLS. THIS IS NOT DUE TO A PARTICULARLY HIGH RATE IN ONE CRIME BUT IS GENERALLY TRUE FOR ALL MAJOR CRIMES.

THE NUMBER OF CRIMES PER BLOCK IN GROVE PARK IS APPROXIMATELY EQUAL TO CRIMES PER BLOCK IN THE ENTIRE CITY, BUT IS LOWER THAN THE NUMBER OF CRIMES PER 1,000 POPULATION IN THE CITY. IN GENERAL, THE NUMBER OF CRIMES PER 1,000 POPULATION IS LOWER IN THE STUDY NEIGHBORHOODS THAN IN THE CITY. THIS IS PROBABLY OWING TO THE FACT THAT THE CITY TOTAL REFLECTS THE LARGE NUMBER OF CRIMES COMMITTED IN THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT, WHERE RELATIVELY FEW PEOPLE RESIDE. IN THIS STUDY, HIGH CRIME NEIGHBORHOODS ARE THOSE THAT HAVE HIGH CRIME RATES RELATIVE TO MATCHED NEIGHBORHOODS, NOT NECESSARILY RELATIVE TO THE CITY AVERAGE.

2. PITTSBURGH AND MECHANICSVILLE. PITTSBURGH AND MECHANICSVILLE ARE BOTH LOW INCOME BLACK NEIGHBORHOODS. MECHANICSVILLE IS JUST SOUTH OF THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT, SEPARATED FROM IT BY AN EXPRESSWAY. PITTSBURGH IS DIRECTLY SOUTH OF MECHANICSVILLE, AND THE TWO NEIGHBORHOODS ARE SEPARATED BY A RAILROAD AND AN INDUSTRIAL STRIP. BOTH NEIGHBORHOODS ARE BOUNDED BY RAILROAD LINES, EXPRESSWAYS, OR MAJOR THOROUGHFARES. THE RAILROAD LINES ARE USUALLY SURROUNDED BY AN INDUSTRIAL STRIP. EACH NEIGHBORHOOD HAS SEVERAL SMALL PARKS. THERE IS, IN ADDITION, A NINE-ACRE PARK AT THE NORTHWEST END OF MECHANICSVILLE AND A 14-ACRE PARK ON THE EAST SIDE OF PITTSBURGH. THE STREET PATTERN THROUGHOUT MOST OF BOTH NEIGHBORHOODS FORMS A DENSE GRID.

BOTH NEIGHBORHOODS ARE BELOW THE CITY AVERAGE ON ALL ECONOMIC INDICATORS. THEY ARE CHARACTERIZED BY SMALL, DETACHED, WOOD FRAME HOUSES, MANY OF WHICH IS ZONED AT 8 TO 16 UNITS PER ACRE. THERE IS NO PUBLIC HOUSING IN EITHER NEIGHBORHOOD. MECHANICSVILLE HAS A 180 UNIT DEVELOPMENT OF SECTION 236 HOUSING, AND PITTSBURGH HAS A 120 UNIT DEVELOPMENT OF THE SAME.

THE ECONOMIC INDICATORS AND ON-SITE OBSERVATION SUGGEST THAT PITTSBURGH IS SOMEWHAT HIGHER IN ECONOMIC STATUS THAN MECHANICSVILLE (E.G., PITTSBURGH HAS A LOWER PERCENT OF FEMALE HEADED HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN AND A HIGHER PERCENT OF OWNER OCCUPANCY THAN MECHANICSVILLE.) THE PRIMARY REASON FOR THIS SEEMS TO BE THAT MECHANICSVILLE UNDERGOES A SHIFT IN POPULATION AND HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS BETWEEN ITS NORTH AND SOUTH HALVES. THE HOUSING IN THE SOUTHERN HALF IS COMPARABLE TO THAT IN PITTSBURGH--SMALL, WOOD FRAME HOUSES. THE PLAN FILE SHOWS THAT THIS PORTION OF MECHANICSVILLE IS 86.4 PERCENT ONE- AND TWO-FAMILY HOUSING, COMPARED TO 73.1 PERCENT IN THE NORTHERN SECTION. PITTSBURGH'S HOUSING IS 96.3 PERCENT ONE- AND TWO-FAMILY. IN ADDITION, THE 1970 CENSUS OF HOUSING SHOWS THAT THE HOUSING IN THE BLOCKS COMPRISING THE SOUTHERN HALF WAS 16.0 PERCENT OWNER-OCCUPIED

AND HAD A MEAN VALUE OF \$5,050 AND A MEAN MONTHLY RENT OF \$59, COMPARED TO THE HOUSING IN THE NORTHERN HALF, WHICH WAS 9.4 PERCENT OWNER-OCCUPIED AND HAD A MEAN VALUE OF \$3,956 AND A MEAN MONTHLY RENT OF \$54. THE NORTHERN HALF OF MECHANICSVILLE HAS A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF VACANT LAND. LOW-RISE APARTMENTS ARE CURRENTLY BEING BUILT ON SOME OF THIS LAND. THIS WILL FURTHER INCREASE THE POPULATION AND HOUSING DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO HALVES OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. FINALLY, THE NORTHWEST END OF MECHANICSVILLE DIRECTLY BORDERS ON A LARGE PUBLIC HOUSING PROJECT. THIS COULD INCREASE ITS CRIME RATE.

BECAUSE OF THESE INTRA-NEIGHBORHOOD DIFFERENCES, THE RESEARCH TEAM DECIDED TO USE ONLY THE SOUTHERN HALF OF MECHANICSVILLE. THE APPROPRIATE DIVIDING LINE APPEARED TO BE GEORGIA AVENUE, A MAJOR EAST-WEST THOROUGHFARE. COMPARABILITY BETWEEN THE TWO NEIGHBORHOODS WAS MAXIMIZED IN THIS WAY.

TO INSURE THAT THE HIGHER CRIME RATE IN MECHANICSVILLE WAS NOT ATTRIBUTABLE TO HIGHER CRIME IN THE NORTHERN END, CRIMES PER BLOCK WERE CALCULATED FOR THE SOUTHERN HALF ONLY. THE RESULT WAS A TOTAL OF 5.4 CRIMES PER BLOCK. HENCE, CRIME IN MECHANICSVILLE REMAINED SUBSTANTIALLY HIGHER THAN IN PITTSBURGH. ONCE AGAIN, THIS NOT A REFLECTION OF DIFFERENCES IN A SINGLE CRIME TYPE. MECHANICSVILLE'S CRIME RATE IS HIGHER THAN PITTSBURGH'S IN FOUR OUT OF THE EIGHT CRIME TYPES (ROBBERY, ASSAULT, RESIDENTIAL BURGLARY, LARCENY), APPROXIMATELY EQUAL IN THREE CRIME TYPES (MURDER, RAPE, COMMERCIAL BURGLARY), AND LOWER IN AUTO THEFT.

3. VIRGINIA-HIGHLAND. THE THIRD PAIR OF NEIGHBORHOODS IS MORNINGSIDE-LENOX PARK AND VIRGINIA-HIGHLAND. BOTH ARE WHITE AND MIDDLE TO UPPER INCOME. THEY ARE APPROXIMATELY THREE MILES NORTHEAST OF THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT. THE POLK DATA SUGGEST THAT MORNINGSIDE IS HIGHER IN ECONOMIC STATUS THAN VIRGINIA-HIGHLAND. HOWEVER, THE DIFFERENCES DID NOT APPEAR SUFFICIENTLY GREAT TO HAVE ELIMINATED THIS PAIR AT THE OUTSET. BUT ON-SITE OBSERVATION CONFIRMED THE DIFFERENCES. THE HOUSING IN MORNINGSIDE WAS OBVIOUSLY LARGER, MORE EXPENSIVE, AND MORE LIKELY TO BE OWNER-OCCUPIED. CLEARLY, THIS NEIGHBORHOOD PAIR DID NOT SATISFY OUR CRITERION OF COMPARABLE ECONOMIC STATUS.

THIS LEFT THE RESEARCH TEAM IN A DILEMMA, BECAUSE IT WAS FELT THAT THE INCLUSION OF ONLY PREDOMINANTLY BLACK PAIRS WOULD WEAKEN THE STUDY'S GENERALIZABILITY. HOWEVER, IN EXAMINING THE BLOCK LEVEL CRIME DATA, IT BECAME APPARENT THAT THE SOUTHERN END OF VIRGINIA-HIGHLAND HAD SUBSTANTIALLY MORE CRIME THAN THE NORTHERN END. THE LINE OF DEMARCATION SEEMED TO BE VIRGINIA AVENUE, A MAJOR EAST-WEST STREET. THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF CRIMES PER BLOCK IN THE NORTHERN END WAS 5.7, COMPARED TO 12.0 IN THE SOUTHERN END. LOCAL INFORMED OBSERVERS SUGGESTED THAT THIS DIFFERENCE WAS CAUSED BY PONCE DE LEON AVENUE, A MAJOR THOROUGHFARE THAT FORMS THE SOUTHERN BORDER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. THEY EMPHASIZED IN PARTICULAR A

BLOCK LONG COMMERCIAL STRIP THAT HAS THE REPUTATION AS A MEETING PLACE FOR DRUG DEALERS AND PROSTITUTES. BUT THE CRIME THAT MAY EXIST IN THIS BLOCK WAS NOT REFLECTED IN OUR DATA, BECAUSE IT IS ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD'S SOUTHERN BORDER AND THEREFORE WAS NOT CONTAINED IN THE OFFICIAL NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES. IN ADDITION, WHEN ALL THE BLOCKS ALONG PONCE DE LEON AVENUE WERE ELIMINATED, THE NUMBER OF CRIMES PER BLOCK, 9.7, WAS STILL SUBSTANTIALLY HIGHER THAN IN THE NORTHERN HALF OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. RATES OF ALL CRIMES WERE HIGHER IN THE SOUTHERN HALF THAN IN THE NORTHERN HALF.

THE TWO HALVES OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD ARE ROUGHLY COMPARABLE IN ECONOMIC STATUS, ALTHOUGH THE UPPER HALF IS SOMEWHAT HIGHER THAN THE LOWER. ACCORDING TO THE 1970 CENSUS OF HOUSING, THE POPULATION IN BOTH HALVES IS 95 PERCENT OR MORE WHITE. THE MEAN HOUSING VALUE IS \$17,932 AND THE MEAN RENT IS \$102 IN THE NORTHERN END, COMPARED TO \$15,578 AND \$98, RESPECTIVELY IN THE SOUTHERN END. NINETY-FIVE PERCENT OF THE HOUSING IS ONE- AND TWO-FAMILY IN THE NORTHERN END, COMPARED TO 80.4 PERCENT IN THE SOUTHERN END, ACCORDING TO PLAN FILE DATA. IN GENERAL, THE NORTHERN HALF OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS CHARACTERIZED BY SMALL STONE OR BRICK DETACHED HOMES THAT ARE 40 TO 50 YEARS OLD. A NUMBER OF THE HOMES HAVE BEEN UPGRADED BY YOUNG, PROFESSIONAL NEWCOMERS TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. THE SOUTHERN HALF CONTAINS THIS TYPE OF HOUSING ALONG WITH A NUMBER OF NEWER GARDEN APARTMENTS. THERE IS NO PUBLICLY OWNED HOUSING IN EITHER HALF OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. RESIDENTIAL AREAS THROUGHOUT MOST OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD ARE ZONED AT FOUR TO EIGHT UNITS PER ACRE.

BOTH PARTS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD ARE SOMEWHAT SUBURBAN IN APPEARANCE. MOST OF THE RESIDENTIAL LOTS HAVE SMALL YARDS. THE STREETS TEND TO BE WIDE AND CURVING, AND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF CUL-DE-SACS. THE SOUTHERN HALF ALSO HAS STREETS THAT FORM A MORE TYPICAL URBAN GRID. AS MENTIONED ABOVE, THE SOUTHERN BORDER OF THE LOWER HALF OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS A MAJOR FOUR-LANE STREET. THE EASTERN BORDER OF BOTH IS THE CITY LIMIT WITH A RESIDENTIAL AREA ON THE SUBURBAN SIDE. THE WESTERN BORDER OF EACH IS A RAILROAD WITH AN INDUSTRIAL STRIP. THE NORTHERN BORDER OF THE UPPER HALF IS A SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD STREET. THE DIVIDING LINE BETWEEN THE TWO HALVES, VIRGINIA AVENUE, IS A MAJOR THOROUGHFARE THAT IS PREDOMINANTLY RESIDENTIAL.

WHILE THE TWO HALVES ARE NOT AS COMPARABLE AS WOULD BE PREFERRED, THEY SEEM TO BE SUFFICIENTLY SO TO BE WORTH INCLUDING IN THE STUDY. THE CASE FOR USING THIS NEIGHBORHOOD WAS STRENGTHENED BY THE FACT THAT NO OTHER PAIR OF ADJACENT WHITE NEIGHBORHOODS CAME CLOSE TO MEETING THE CRITERIA FOR SELECTION.

THE ORIGINAL DATA FOR THE PLAN FILE WERE COLLECTED BY THE ATLANTA BUREAU OF CITY PLANNING WHICH MAINTAINS AND UPDATES THIS INFORMATION. VARIABLES IN THIS FILE CONTAIN

INFORMATION ON EVERY PARCEL OF LAND WITHIN THE SIX NEIGHBORHOODS IN THE STUDY INCLUDING: OWNERSHIP, TYPE OF LAND USE, PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS, CHARACTERISTICS OF STRUCTURES, AND ASSESSED VALUE. THESE DATA WERE USED PRIMARILY FOR SAMPLING PURPOSES IN THE HOUSEHOLD SURVEY, HOWEVER THEY WERE ALSO USED IN THE DATA ANALYSIS TO MEASURE A NUMBER OF PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF PARCELS AND BLOCKS IN THE STUDY NEIGHBORHOODS.

THE ATLANTA SURVEY FILE CONTAINS THE RESULTS OF A HOUSEHOLD SURVEY ADMINISTERED BETWEEN AUGUST AND OCTOBER OF 1980 TO A STRATIFIED RANDOM SAMPLE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITHIN EACH OF THE STUDY NEIGHBORHOODS. VARIABLES HERE INCLUDE RESPONDENTS' ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOR RELATED TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD, FEAR OF CRIME, AVOIDANCE AND PROTECTIVE MEASURES, AND VICTIMIZATION EXPERIENCES. CRIME RATES, LAND USE, AND HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS OF THE BLOCK IN WHICH THE RESPONDENT RESIDES DATA ORIGINALLY CONTAINED IN A SEPARATE BLOCK FILE HAVE BEEN CODED ONTO EACH CASE RECORD.

#### FILE STRUCTURE

CHARACTERISTICS OF HIGH AND LOW CRIME NEIGHBORHOODS IN ATLANTA, 1980 IS AVAILABLE FROM THE ICPSR IN TWO FORMATS: CARD IMAGE AND OSIRIS. THE CARD IMAGE FILE CONTAINS SEVERAL DECKS PER CASE IN A FORMAT BASED ON 80 COLUMN PUNCHED CARDS. THE DATA ARE SORTED BY CASE WITH ALL DECKS FOR A CASE TOGETHER IN ASCENDING ORDER.

THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY GIVES THE FORMAT AND OTHER INFORMATION FOR EACH VARIABLE IN THE OSIRIS DATA FILE. THE DICTIONARY OR DICTIONARY-CODEBOOK FILE IS USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE OSIRIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE. THE OSIRIS DATA FILE IS CONSTRUCTED WITH A SINGLE LOGICAL RECORD FOR EACH CASE.

FILE NAME	NUMBER OF VARIABLES	NUMBER OF CASES
PART 1: PLAN FILE	40	9121
PART 2: SURVEY FILE	683	523

THE OSIRIS DATA FILE CAN BE ACCESSED DIRECTLY THROUGH SOFTWARE PACKAGES OR PROGRAMS WHICH DO NOT USE THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY BY SPECIFYING THE TAPE LOCATIONS OF THE DESIRED VARIABLES. THESE TAPE LOCATIONS ARE GIVEN IN THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY-CODEBOOK.

VIII

CODEBOOK INFORMATION

THE EXAMPLE BELOW IS A REPRODUCTION OF INFORMATION APPEARING IN THE MACHINE-READABLE CODEBOOK FOR A TYPICAL VARIABLE. THE NUMBERS IN BRACKETS DO NOT APPEAR BUT ARE REFERENCES TO THE DESCRIPTIONS WHICH FOLLOW THIS EXAMPLE.

.....  
1 VAR 468 2 WORRIED HELD UP 2 BLOCKS 3 MD=0 OR GE 5  
REF 468 4 LOC 660 WIDTH 1 5 DK COL  
6 IMP DEC= D

7 Q.68 HOW WORRIED ARE YOU ABOUT BEING HELD UP ON THE  
STREET, THREATENED, BEATEN UP OR ANYTHING OF THAT  
SORT WITHIN TWO BLOCKS OF YOUR HOME? WOULD YOU  
SAY YOU ARE VERY WORRIED, SOMEWHAT WORRIED, JUST  
A LITTLE WORRIED, OR NOT AT ALL WORRIED?  
-----

8

9 SEE NOTE(S) N

10 ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

11 12 13

236 0. NOT AT ALL WORRIED  
136 1. JUST A LITTLE WORRIED  
67 2. SOMEWHAT WORRIED  
82 3. VERY WORRIED

2 9. DK; NA

14 VALID=N=N MIN=M MAX=M MEAN=XX.X ST.DEV=S.S



- 1 INDICATES THE VARIABLE AND REFERENCE NUMBERS. A VARIABLE NUMBER AND A REFERENCE NUMBER ARE ASSIGNED TO EACH VARIABLE IN THE DATA COLLECTION. IN THE PRESENT CODEBOOK WHICH DOCUMENTS THE ARCHIVED DATA COLLECTION THESE NUMBERS ARE IDENTICAL. SHOULD THE DATA BE SUBSETTED OR REARRANGED BY AN OSIRIS PROGRAM (E.G., MMP TO INTERSPERSE DATA FROM ANOTHER SOURCE, OR TCOT TO PRODUCE AN ANALYSIS DECK), THE VARIABLE NUMBERS WOULD CHANGE TO REFLECT THE ORDER OF THE NEW DATA COLLECTION, WHILE THE REFERENCE NUMBER WOULD REMAIN UNCHANGED TO REFLECT THE VARIABLE NUMBER IN THE CODEBOOK DESCRIBING THE ARCHIVED DATA COLLECTION.
- 2 INDICATES THE ABBREVIATED VARIABLE NAME (MAXIMUM OF 24 CHARACTERS) USED IN THE OSIRIS SYSTEM TO IDENTIFY THE VARIABLE FOR THE USER. AN EXPANDED VERSION OF THE VARIABLE NAME CAN BE FOUND IN THE VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST.
- 3 INDICATES THE CODE VALUES OF MISSING DATA. IN THIS EXAMPLE, CODE VALUES EQUAL TO 0 OR GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 5 ARE MISSING DATA (MD=0 OR GE 5). ALTERNATIVE STATEMENTS FOR OTHER VARIABLES ARE "MD=0", "MD GE 9", OR "NO MISSING DATA CODES". SOME ANALYSIS SOFTWARE PACKAGES (INCLUDING THE OSIRIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE) REQUIRE THAT CERTAIN TYPES OF DATA WHICH THE USER DESIRES TO BE EXCLUDED FROM ANALYSIS BE DESIGNATED AS "MISSING DATA," E.G., INAPPROPRIATE, UNASCERTAINED, UNASCERTAINABLE, OR AMBIGUOUS DATA CATEGORIES. ALTHOUGH THESE CODES ARE DEFINED AS MISSING DATA CATEGORIES, THIS DOES MEAN THAT THE USER SHOULD NOT OR CANNOT USE THEM IN A SUBSTANTIVE ROLE IF SO DESIRED.
- 4 INDICATES THE STARTING LOCATION AND WIDTH OF THIS VARIABLE WHEN THE DATA ARE STORED ON A MAGNETIC TAPE IN THE OSIRIS FORMAT. IF THE VARIABLE IS OF A MULTIPLE-RESPONSE TYPE, THE WIDTH REFERENCED IS THAT OF A SINGLE RESPONSE. IN THIS EXAMPLE THE VARIABLE NAMED "WORRIED HELD UP 2 BLOCKS" IS 1 COLUMN(S) WIDE AND IS LOCATED IN THE 660TH COLUMN WITHIN THE RECORD.
- 5 INDICATES THE LOCATION BY DECK AND COLUMN(S) OF THIS VARIABLE WHEN THE DATA ARE ON CARDS OF IN A CARD-IMAGE FORMAT (EITHER 80- OR 84- COLUMN FORMAT)
- 6 A VARIABLE CONTAINING DATA WITH IMPLIED DECIMALS IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE "IMP DEC= D", WHERE D IS THE NUMBER OF DECIMAL PLACES IMPLIED IN THE VARIABLE.

- 7 THIS IS THE FULL TEXT (QUESTION) SUPPLIED BY THE INVESTIGATOR TO DESCRIBE THE VARIABLE. THE QUESTION TEXT AND THE NUMBERS AND LETTERS THAT MAY APPEAR AT THE BEGINNING REFLECT THE ORIGINAL WORDING OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE ITEM.
- 8 INDICATES AN ADDITIONAL COMMENT OR EXPLANATION APPENDED TO THE VARIABLE DESCRIPTION. FOR EXAMPLE, "`<SEE Q.Q FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>`"
- INDICATES THAT THE VARIABLE IS A MINOR QUESTION AND THAT ONE SHOULD REFER TO QUESTION "Q" FOR THE MAJOR QUESTION TEXT.
- 9 A VARIABLE WHICH HAS A FOOTNOTE ASSOCIATED WITH IT IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE, "SEE NOTE(S) N" WHERE N IS THE NUMBER OF THE FOOTNOTE REFERENCED.
- 10 "ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED." APPEARS IN THE CODEBOOK TO INDICATE THAT THE VARIABLE HAS BEEN DECLARED CONTINUOUS.
- 11 INDICATES THE FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF EACH CODE VALUE FOR THIS VARIABLE. FREQUENCIES INSERTED IN THIS CODEBOOK ARE NOT WEIGHTED.
- 12 INDICATES THE CODE VALUES OCCURRING IN THE DATA FOR THIS VARIABLE, WHERE RANGES OF VALUES ARE INDICATED BY ..., THE "..." DO NOT APPEAR IN THE DATA.
- 13 INDICATES THE TEXTUAL DEFINITIONS OF THE CODES. ABBREVIATIONS COMMONLY USED IN THE CODE DEFINITIONS ARE "DK" (DO NOT KNOW), "NA" (NOT ASCERTAINED), AND "INAP" (INAPPROPRIATE).
- 14 INDICATES THE NUMBER OF VALID RESPONSES, THE LOWEST CODED RESPONSE, THE LARGEST CODED RESPONSE, THE MEAN, AND THE STANDARD DEVIATION.

## ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION

THE DATA COLLECTION WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO THE STANDARD ICPSR PROCESSING PROCEDURES. THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR ILLEGAL OR INCONSISTENT CODE VALUES WHICH, WHEN FOUND, WERE RECODED TO OSIRIS MISSING DATA VALUES. NO CONSISTENCY CHECKS WERE PERFORMED. STATEMENTS BRACKETED IN "<" AND ">" SIGNS IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK WERE ADDED BY THE PROCESSORS FOR EXPLANATORY PURPOSES.

ICPSR PROCESSING VARIABLES

- 1 ICPSR STUDY NUMBER-7951
- 2 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1
- 3 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001
- 4 OBSERVATION NUMBER

PARCEL NUMBER

- 5 DISTRICT NUMBER
- 6 LANDLOT NUMBER
- 7 SQUARE NUMBER
- 8 UNIT NUMBER
- 9 1970 CENSUS TRACT
- 10 1970 CENSUS BLOCK

PARCEL RECORDS INFORMATION

- 11 COURT ACTION
- 12 MUNICIPALITY CODE

PARCEL ADDRESS INFORMATION

- 13 ADDRESS STREET DIRECTION

PARCEL OWNER INFORMATION

- 14 TYPE OF OWNERSHIP
- 15 HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION, OWNER RECEIVES

LAND USE CODES

- 16 PRIMARY CODE

PARCEL GEOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

- 17 PRIMARY DIRECTION OF LAND USE
- 18 TYPE OF ZONING
- 19 ZONE DEPTH
- 20 FLOOD PLAIN PARCEL LIES IN
- 21 AREA OF PARCEL

BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

22 GROUND FLOOR AREA  
23 TOTAL FLOOR AREA  
24 YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION  
25 TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION  
26 NUMBER OF STRUCTURES  
27 NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS  
28 NUMBER OF VACANT RESIDENTIAL UNITS  
29 NUMBER OF STORIES

PARCEL OCCUPANCY AND ASSESSMENTS

30 RACIAL OCCUPANCY  
31 RESIDENTIAL OR NONRESIDENTIAL CODE  
32 ASSESSED LAND VALUE  
33 ASSESSED IMPROVEMENT VALUE  
34 ASSESSED TOTAL VALUE  
35 ASSESSMENT DATE-MONTH  
36 ASSESSMENT DATE-YEAR

37 SANITARY SERVICE CHARGE

OTHER PARCEL INFORMATION

38 COMBINED AREA/NEIGHBORHOOD CODE  
39 1980 CENSUS TRACT  
40 1980 CENSUS BLOCK



DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '01'

DK 1 COL 1- 2

-----  
 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NUMBER-7951 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 3- 6

ICPSR STUDY NUMBER-7951  
 -----

.....  
 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 7

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER  
 -----

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. SPRING, 1982 RELEASE

.....  
 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 1 COL 8-10

ICPSR PART NUMBER  
 -----

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A 2-PART STUDY.

001. PLAN FILE  
 002. SURVEY FILE

.....  
 VAR 0004 OBSNUM NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 11-14

OBSERVATION NUMBER  
 -----

ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.





(CONTINUED)  
ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

VALID-N=9121 MIN=1 MAX=855 MEAN=43.0 ST.DEV=38.2

.....  
VAR 0009 TRACT70 MD=99999  
REF 0009 LOC 23 WIDTH 5 DK 1 COL 25-29

1970 CENSUS TRACT  
-----

ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

1 99999. NA

VALID-N=9120 MIN=0 MAX=20200

.....  
VAR 0010 BLOCK70 MD=999  
REF 0010 LOC 28 WIDTH 3 DK 1 COL 30-32

1970 CENSUS BLOCK  
-----

ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

1 999. NA

VALID-N=9120 MIN=0 MAX=606 MEAN=304.9 ST.DEV=145.5

.....  
VAR 0011 ACTION MD=9  
REF 0011 LOC 31 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 33

COURT ACTION  
-----

- 7797 0. NONE
- 1322 1. HOUSING NOTICE
- 1 2. CITY AGAINST OWNER
- 3. IN REM
- 4. OWNER AGAINST CITY

(CONTINUED)

1 9. NA

```

.....
VAR 0012      MUNIC                                MD=0
REF 0012      LOC      32 WIDTH 1                DK      1 COL 34

```

## MUNICIPALITY CODE

-----

```

9004 1. ATLANTA IN FULTON
116  2. ATLANTA IN DEKALB

```

1 0. NA

```

.....
VAR 0013      ADRSDIR                                MD=0
REF 0013      LOC      33 WIDTH 1                DK      1 COL 35

```

## ADDRESS STREET DIRECTION

-----

```

2411 1. NORTHEAST
3617 2. NORTHWEST
4     3. SOUTHEAST
3088 4. SOUTHWEST

```

1 0. NA

```

.....
VAR 0014      TYPOWN                                MD=0
REF 0014      LOC      34 WIDTH 1                DK      1 COL 36

```

## TYPE OF OWNERSHIP

-----

```

1. CITY OF ATLANTA
2. COUNTY
3. BOARD OF EDUCATION
4. FEDERAL
52 5. HOUSING AUTHORITY

```



VAR 0017 LUDIR MD=0  
 REF 0017 LOC 38 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 40

PRIMARY DIRECTION OF LAND USE  
 -----

8957 1. HORIZONTAL  
 2. VERTICAL

164 0. NA

.....  
 VAR 0018 ZONING MD=0  
 REF 0018 LOC 39 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 41-42

TYPE OF ZONING

ATLANTA BUREAU OF CITY PLANNING ZONING CODES.  
 -----

170 01. A1  
 1304 02. A2  
 432 03. C1  
 32 04. C2  
 3 05. C4  
 168 06. M1  
 95 07. M2  
 1 08. O1  
 1 09. R2  
 1 10. R3  
 666 11. R4  
 2864 12. R5  
 1818 13. R6  
 1532 14. R7  
 1 15. R9

33 00. NA

.....  
 VAR 0019 ZONDEP MD=0 OR GE 3  
 REF 0019 LOC 41 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 43

ZONE DEPTH  
 -----

(CONTINUED)

8992 1. FULL  
45 2. PART

50 0. NA  
34 3. UNDOCUMENTED CODE, ORIGINALLY CODED C

.....  
VAR 0020 FLOOD MD=0  
REF 0020 LOC 42 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 44

FLOOD PLAIN PARCEL LIES IN  
-----

8786 1. NO  
2. YES

335 0. NA

.....  
VAR 0021 AREA MD=9999  
REF 0021 LOC 43 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 45-48

AREA OF PARCEL (IN THOUSANDS OF SQUARE FEET)  
-----

ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

1 9999. NA

VALID-N=9120 MIN=0 MAX=1089 MEAN=1.3 ST.DEV=26.3

.....  
VAR 0022 GRAREA MD=999  
REF 0022 LOC 47 WIDTH 3 DK 1 COL 49-51

GROUND FLOOR AREA (IN HUNDREDS OF SQUARE FEET)  
-----

ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

(CONTINUED)

1 999. NA

VALID-N=9120 MIN=0 MAX=585 MEAN=12.4 ST.DEV=22.8

```

.....
VAR 0023          FLAREA                                MD=9999
REF 0023          LOC   50 WIDTH 4                     DK   1 COL 52-55

```

TOTAL FLOOR AREA (IN HUNDREDS OF SQUARE FEET)

-----  
ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

1 9999. NA

VALID-N=9120 MIN=0 MAX=1706 MEAN=17.4 ST.DEV=40.8

```

.....
VAR 0024          YEAR                                MD=0 OR GE 9999
REF 0024          LOC   54 WIDTH 4                     DK   1 COL 56-59

```

YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION

-----  
ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

1880. 1880

.

1976. 1976

1929 0000. NA

VALID-N=7192 MIN=1880 MAX=1976

```

.....
VAR 0025          CONST                                MD=0 OR GE 8
REF 0025          LOC   58 WIDTH 2                     DK   1 COL 60-61

```

TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION

-----







VAR 0031 RES MD=9  
 REF 0031 LOC 71 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 73

RESIDENTIAL/NONRESIDENTIAL CODE  
 -----

6880 0. RESIDENTIAL  
 2165 5. NON-RESIDENTIAL  
 57 6. MIXED

19 9. NA

.....  
 VAR 0032 LANDVAL MD=9999  
 REF 0032 LOC 72 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 74-77

ASSESSED LAND VALUE (IN HUNDREDS OF DOLLARS)  
 -----

ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

1 9999. NA

VALID-N=9120 MIN=0 MAX=4102 MEAN=23.4 ST.DEV=82.5

DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '02' DK 2 COL 1- 2  
 -----

.....  
 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NUMBER-7951 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 2 COL 3- 6

ICPSR STUDY NUMBER-7951  
 -----

.....  
 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 7

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER  
 -----

(CONTINUED)

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

## 1. SPRING, 1982 RELEASE

```

.....
VAR 0003      ICPSR PART NUMBER-001      NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0003      LOC      6 WIDTH  3      DK      2 COL  8-10

```

ICPSR PART NUMBER

-----

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A 2-PART STUDY.

- 001. PLAN FILE
- 002. SURVEY FILE

```

.....
VAR 0004      OBSNUM      NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0004      LOC      9 WIDTH  4      DK      2 COL 11-14

```

OBSERVATION NUMBER

-----

ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

```

.....
VAR 0033      IMPVAL      MD=99999
REF 0033      LOC      76 WIDTH  5      DK      2 COL 15-19

```

ASSESSED IMPROVEMENT VALUE (IN HUNDREDS OF DOLLARS)

-----

ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

1 99999. NA

VALID-N=9120 MIN=0 MAX=22267 MEAN=63.2 ST.DEV=392.3



(CONTINUED)

78.

2303 00. NA

CODE:	0	24	67	69	70	73	74	75	76
FREQ:	2303	1	2	41	14	57	126	730	3353

CODE:	77	78
FREQ:	2341	153

.....

VAR 0037	SANIT							MD=9999999
REF 0037	LOC	90	WIDTH	7		DK	2	COL 29-35

SANITARY SERVICE CHARGE

-----

ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

1 9999999. NA

VALID-N=9120 MIN=0 MAX=1160700 MEAN=4933.9 ST.DEV=0.0

.....

VAR 0038	AREA/NEIGHBORHOOD CODE							MD=0
REF 0038	LOC	97	WIDTH	1		DK	2	COL 36

COMBINED ATLANTA BUREAU OF CITY PLANNING AREA CODE AND  
NEIGHBORHOOD CODE

-----

THE PLANNING ATLANTA AREA CODE PAA(NPU) - CODES F, J, AND  
V AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD CODE (NEIGH) - CODES 2, 3, 4, AND  
5 WERE USED IN COMBINATION TO UNIQUELY IDENTIFY EACH  
NEIGHBORHOOD BY THE ATLANTA BUREAU OF CITY PLANNING. THEY  
WERE NOT USED IN THE SAFE AND SECURE NEIGHBORHOODS STUDY.  
THE ICPSR HAS COMBINED THE CODES OF THESE TWO VARIABLES  
INTO A SINGLE ALPHANUMERIC VARIABLE.

2413	1.	VIRGINIA-HIGHLAND, ORIGINALLY CODED F05
28	2.	UNDOCUMENTED CODE, ORIGINALLY CODED G04
30	3.	UNDOCUMENTED CODE, ORIGINALLY CODED J01

