



NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE

Data Resources Program

Multisite Evaluation of Shock Incarceration: [Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Louisiana, Oklahoma, South Carolina, and Texas], 1987–1992

ICPSR 6986

Doris Layton MacKenzie

*User Guide for the
Machine-Readable Files*



Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

MULTISITE EVALUATION OF SHOCK INCARCERATION: [FLORIDA, GEORGIA,
ILLINOIS, LOUISIANA, OKLAHOMA, SOUTH CAROLINA, AND TEXAS],
1987-1992

(ICPSR 6986)

Principal Investigator

Doris Layton MacKenzie
University of Maryland

First ICPSR Version
July 1998

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

MacKenzie, Doris Layton. MULTISITE EVALUATION OF SHOCK INCARCERATION: [FLORIDA, GEORGIA, ILLINOIS, LOUISIANA, OKLAHOMA, SOUTH CAROLINA, AND TEXAS], 1987-1992 [Computer file]. ICPSR version. College Park, MD: University of Maryland [producer], 1997. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor], 1998.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Summary	1
General Study Overview	3
Study Identification	3
Key Words	3
Purpose of the Study	3
Methods	3
Study Design	3
Sources of Information	4
Sample	4
Response Rates	6
Dates of Data Collection	6
Summary of Contents	7
Description of Variables	7
Presence of Common Scales	7
Unit of Observation	7
Extent of Processing	8
Extent of Collection	8
Data Collection Notes	8
File Specifications	8
Related Publications	11
Final Reports and Other Publications	12
Data Resources Program on the Internet	12
Data Completeness Report	13

SUMMARY

This study analyzes shock incarceration (boot camp) programs in Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Louisiana, Oklahoma, South Carolina, and Texas. In each state, offenders who participated in boot camps were compared with demographically similar offenders who were sentenced to prison, probation, or parole. The impact of shock incarceration on offenders was assessed in two major areas: (1) changes in offenders' attitudes, expectations, and outlook during incarceration (self-report/attitude data), and (2) performance during and adjustment to community supervision after incarceration (community supervision data). The self-report/attitude data include variables measuring criminal history, drinking and drug abuse, and attitudes toward the shock incarceration program, as well as demographic variables, such as age, race, employment, income, education, and military experience. The community supervision data contain information on offenders' behaviors during community supervision, such as arrests, absconding incidents, jail time, drug use, education and employment experiences, financial and residential stability, and contacts with community supervision officers, along with demographic variables, such as age and race. In addition to these key areas, more detailed data were collected in Louisiana, including a psychological assessment, a risk and needs assessment, and a community supervision follow-up at two different time periods (Parts 11-18). For most states, the subjects sampled in the self-report/attitude survey were different from those who were surveyed in the community supervision phase of data collection. Data collection practices and sample structures differed by state, and therefore the data files are organized to explore the impact of shock incarceration at the state level. For each state, the unit of analysis is the offender.

GENERAL STUDY OVERVIEW

STUDY IDENTIFICATION

MULTISITE EVALUATION OF SHOCK INCARCERATION: [FLORIDA, GEORGIA, ILLINOIS, LOUISIANA, OKLAHOMA, SOUTH CAROLINA, AND TEXAS], 1987-1992

Doris Layton MacKenzie

University of Maryland

Award No. 90-DD-CX-0061

KEY WORDS

Boot camps, correctional facilities, inmate programs, offenders

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

This study was designed to analyze shock incarceration (boot camp) programs in Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Louisiana, Oklahoma, South Carolina, and Texas. It was guided primarily by two research questions: (1) Are shock incarceration programs successful in fulfilling stated program goals? and (2) What particular components of shock incarceration programs lead to success or failure in fulfilling program goals?

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

This study consists of two main parts: offender comparison and change during incarceration (self-report/attitude data) and offender adjustment to community supervision (community supervision data). Self-report/attitude data are available for five states (Florida, Georgia, Oklahoma, Louisiana, and South Carolina). In each state, a sample of shock incarceration inmates was compared to a sample of traditional prison inmates during incarceration. Subjects in both samples completed a self-report questionnaire twice in a repeated measure design. The first questionnaire was completed early in their incarceration and the second was administered approximately 90 days later, except in Louisiana where the survey was administered at three points in time. The questionnaire consisted of personal history and

attitude items. Demographic data were also collected on each subject from official records. Community supervision data, which are available for all seven states, were compiled to compare three samples of offenders: (1) shock incarceration graduates, (2) offenders on probation or parole, and (3) shock incarceration dropouts. In most states, data were collected at three-month intervals for one year. In Oklahoma, data were collected at two time periods. Offenders in Texas were followed for two years. In Louisiana, subjects were evaluated after one year and again after two years. For most states, the subjects sampled in the self-report/attitude survey were different from those who were surveyed in the community supervision of data collection. Data collection practices and sample structures differed by state, and therefore the data files are organized to explore the impact of shock incarceration at the state level. For each state, the unit of analysis is the shock or comparison group offender.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

The self-report and attitude data were collected from self-enumerated questionnaires. The community supervision data were gathered from surveys completed by supervision personnel. Demographic and official records data were taken from the official records of the respective correctional institutions.

SAMPLE

The states selected in this study were chosen because they had programs that incorporated the core elements of shock incarceration but varied on several dimensions hypothesized to influence the realization of program goals. These dimensions include decision-making authority, supervision intensity upon release, program components, and program location. Respondents were sampled differently for each part of the study in each state. Data on offender comparison and change during incarceration (self-report/attitude data) are available for five states. Florida: The shock incarceration sample was selected from five consecutive inmate classes entering the shock program between January and September 1990. The sample was considered complete when approximately 100 inmates had been surveyed at both time periods. The regular prison sample was chosen by first randomly selecting a youthful offender prison. Then a list of all inmates with demographic and offense characteristics that met shock program eligibility was compiled. The first 25 to 67 names on the list were selected to receive the questionnaires. Georgia: The shock sample was selected from two shock programs. Staff at the institutions selected approximately the first 50 inmates who entered each program. The regular prison sample was selected from three diagnostic prisons. The first 50 inmates at each site who met the eligibility criteria were included in the sample. Louisiana: The shock sample consisted of all offenders who entered the shock program between October 1987 and October 1988. The regular prison sample consisted of offenders who were legally eligible for the shock program, but who had not received the required recommendations. Oklahoma: The first shock sample consisted of all offenders who entered the shock program between August and November 1989. The second shock sample consisted of

approximately the first 150 inmates to enter the shock program beginning in January 1990. These two samples differed only in the instruments that were completed. The first regular prison sample consisted of inmates who met the shock incarceration criteria between July and October 1989, but who could not participate because of insufficient bedspace. The second regular prison sample met all shock eligibility criteria except for the fact that they had prior incarcerations. South Carolina: Three samples of male offenders and a few female offenders were compared. The first shock sample consisted of four consecutive classes that entered the program beginning October 1989 when the program was run by Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services. The second shock sample was selected after a legislative change that transferred the administration of the program to the Department of Corrections. Data on offender adjustment to community supervision are available for all seven states. Florida: A sample of shock incarceration graduates was collected from the Department of Corrections computer beginning in February 1990. The first 100 eligible inmates were selected. The shock dropout sample was made up of shock participants who did not complete the program for disciplinary or motivational reasons. The Department of Corrections computer was used to identify those dropouts who were being released on probation as of February 1990. The third sample was comprised of a comparison group of probationers who met the shock program criteria. Georgia: The shock graduate sample was randomly selected from those who had successfully completed the program. A probation sample and a parole sample were randomly selected from those inmates who met shock eligibility criteria. A shock dropout sample was not assessed because there were too few inmates to follow as a sample. Oklahoma: The four samples of offenders compared during incarceration were followed during community supervision. The two shock samples were divided into those who did and did not complete the program. South Carolina: The first shock graduate sample was randomly selected from those who graduated from the program when it was being run by Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services. The second shock graduate sample was selected from those who graduated from the program when it was under the supervision of the Department of Corrections. The other two samples consisted of comparison groups of randomly selected probationers and parolees. Texas: The pre-enhanced shock sample graduated from a shock program that did not include drug treatment. The enhanced shock sample graduated from a shock program that included drug treatment. The probation sample neither participated in the shock program nor received drug treatment. Illinois: Shock graduates included offenders who completed the program between June and August 1992. Shock dropouts who failed to complete the program served the remainder of their sentence in prison and then were released to community supervision between May and August 1992. The third sample consisted of offenders who served traditional prison sentences. Sample assignment was not random, but selection procedures were employed to ensure that shock graduates and prison releasee samples did not differ significantly by demographic, offense, or history characteristics. Louisiana: Community supervision follow-ups were conducted one year and two years after the shock program. Many respondents in this part of the study had also participated in the self-report phase. In the one-year follow-up, the shock graduate sample consisted of all male inmates who entered the shock program between October 1987 and October 1988 and graduated.

Probation and parole offenders were included in their respective samples only if they met shock eligibility criteria. A small sample of shock dropouts was also followed. The two-year follow-up consisted of five samples. The first shock graduate sample consisted of all male inmates who had entered the shock program between October 1987 and October 1988 and graduated. The second shock graduate sample consisted of male inmates who graduated from the shock program between May 1989 and March 1990. The probation sample consisted of offenders who met shock eligibility criteria. The parole sample was made up of paroled inmates who had participated in the self-report and one-year community supervision follow-up surveys. The shock dropout sample consisted of offenders who entered the shock program between October 1987 and October 1988 and had failed to graduate.

RESPONSE RATES

Not applicable.

DATE(S) OF DATA COLLECTION

Louisiana: 1987-1990, South Carolina: 1989 and 1991, Florida, Georgia, Oklahoma, and Texas: 1990, Illinois: 1992

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

DESCRIPTION OF VARIABLES

The self-report/attitude data files measure offender comparison and change during incarceration. The same core questionnaire was used in Florida, Georgia, Oklahoma, and South Carolina. Variables in these files include type of crime committed, prior arrests and convictions, juvenile delinquency, drinking and drug use, attitudes toward the shock program, asocial attitudes, and demographic information, such as age, race, employment, income, education, and military experience. The South Carolina file also has a sex variable, since the sample includes both men and women. The other data files measure offender adjustment to community supervision. The same core questionnaire was used in Florida, Georgia, and South Carolina. Variables in these files include type of crime committed, prior arrests and convictions, and demographic information, such as age and race. In addition, information about offenders' behaviors during community supervision was recorded, including arrests, absconding incidents, jail time, pending violations, revocations, drug use, educational and employment experiences, financial and residential stability, overall progress in the program, and number and types of contacts with community supervision officers. The South Carolina file contains an additional variable, age at first arrest. The Georgia file contains additional variables from a two-year follow-up that measure arrests, revocations, abscondings, and legal releases. The Louisiana files contain more detailed information than the other state files (Parts 11-18). In addition to similar self-report and community supervision variables, the Louisiana data provide other attitude and psychological variables. These include the Jessness personality inventory, attitudes toward shock incarceration, locus of control, perceived control of events, coping methods, state-trait anxiety, assertive interactions, and conflicts in prison.

PRESENCE OF COMMON SCALES

Several Likert-type scales were used, along with the Jessness Antisocial Attitudes Scale, a Motivation to Change scale, a Beneficial Expectation scale, an Attitudes Towards Prison/IMPACT (shock) scale, and Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory items.

UNIT OF OBSERVATION

Individuals.

EXTENT OF PROCESSING

Hardcopy documentation was converted to machine-readable form, and the data and documentation were reformatted by ICPSR. ICPSR performed checks for undocumented codes, recoded selected variables, and standardized missing data codes. ICPSR also produced a PDF codebook and generated SAS and SPSS data definition statements for this collection.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION

This data collection consists of eighteen data files with a machine-readable user guide, codebook, and data collection instruments in two PDF files, and SAS and SPSS data definition statements.

DATA COLLECTION NOTES

The codebook and data collection instruments are provided as Portable Document Format (PDF) files. The PDF file format was developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated and can be accessed using PDF reader software, such as the Adobe Acrobat Reader. Information on how to obtain a copy of the Acrobat Reader is provided through the ICPSR Website on the Internet.

FILE SPECIFICATIONS

PART NUMBER: 1
PART NAME: Florida Self-Report/Attitude Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 211
VARIABLE COUNT: 95
RECORD LENGTH: 135
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 2
PART NAME: Georgia Self-Report/Attitude Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 262
VARIABLE COUNT: 95
RECORD LENGTH: 135
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 3
PART NAME: South Carolina Self-Report/Attitude Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 337
VARIABLE COUNT: 96
RECORD LENGTH: 136
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 4
PART NAME: Oklahoma Self-Report/Attitude Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 597
VARIABLE COUNT: 95
RECORD LENGTH: 135
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 5
PART NAME: Florida Community Supervision Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 289
VARIABLE COUNT: 193
RECORD LENGTH: 416
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 6
PART NAME: Georgia Community Supervision Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 300
VARIABLE COUNT: 209
RECORD LENGTH: 468
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 7
PART NAME: South Carolina Community Supervision Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 326
VARIABLE COUNT: 194
RECORD LENGTH: 421
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 8
PART NAME: Oklahoma Community Supervision Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 345
VARIABLE COUNT: 16
RECORD LENGTH: 34
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 9
PART NAME: Illinois Community Supervision Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 294
VARIABLE COUNT: 14
RECORD LENGTH: 25
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 10
PART NAME: Texas Community Supervision Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 669
VARIABLE COUNT: 31
RECORD LENGTH: 64
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 11
PART NAME: Louisiana Demographic Records for Community Supervision
Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 568
VARIABLE COUNT: 27
RECORD LENGTH: 39
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 12
PART NAME: Louisiana Demographic Records for Self-Report Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 351
VARIABLE COUNT: 26
RECORD LENGTH: 38
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 13
PART NAME: Louisiana Psychological Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 351
VARIABLE COUNT: 22
RECORD LENGTH: 40
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 14
PART NAME: Louisiana Self-Report Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 351
VARIABLE COUNT: 114
RECORD LENGTH: 205
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 15
PART NAME: Louisiana Attitude Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 351
VARIABLE COUNT: 411
RECORD LENGTH: 457
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 16
PART NAME: Louisiana Risk/Needs Assessment Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 193
VARIABLE COUNT: 22
RECORD LENGTH: 24
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 17
PART NAME: Louisiana One-Year Community Supervision Follow-Up Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 2,640
VARIABLE COUNT: 91
RECORD LENGTH: 159
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 18
PART NAME: Louisiana Two-Year Community Supervision Follow-Up Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 542
VARIABLE COUNT: 132
RECORD LENGTH: 331
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

RELATED PUBLICATIONS

MacKenzie, Doris Layton, and Claire Souryal. "Multisite Evaluation of Shock Incarceration" (Final Summary Report). Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice. National Institute of Justice, 1994.

MacKenzie, Doris Layton, and Claire Souryal. "Multisite Study of Shock Incarceration: Process Evaluation" (Final Report). Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice. National Institute of Justice, 1993.

MacKenzie, Doris Layton, and Claire Souryal. "Inmate Attitude Change During Incarceration: A Comparison of Boot Camp and Traditional Prison" (Final Report). Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice. National Institute of Justice, 1992.

FINAL REPORTS AND OTHER PUBLICATIONS

The National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) was established in 1972 by the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), of the U.S. Department of Justice, to provide research findings to criminal justice professionals and researchers. NCJRS operates specialized clearinghouses that are staffed by information specialists who supply a range of reference, referral, and distribution services. Final reports and other publications describing research conducted on a variety of criminal justice topics are available. Publications can be obtained from NCJRS at NIJ/NCJRS, Box 6000, Rockville, MD, 20850, 800-851-3420 or 301-251-5500. The URL for the NCJRS homepage is:

<http://www.ncjrs.org>

DATA RESOURCES PROGRAM ON THE INTERNET

The National Institute of Justice Data Resources Program (DRP) makes datasets from NIJ-funded research and evaluation projects available to the research community and sponsors research and training activities devoted to secondary data analysis. Datasets are archived by the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (NACJD) at the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) at the University of Michigan.

The NACJD maintains a World Wide Web site with instructions for transferring files and sending messages. Criminal justice data funded by the Department of Justice are available via the Internet at this site at no charge to the user. NACJD may be contacted at NACJD/ICPSR, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI, 48106-1248, 800-999-0960 or 313-763-5011. The URL for the NACJD homepage is:

<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/nacjd>

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P1

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=211)                                    Missing Values
-----
10.5% (10 of 95 variables) have 0% Missing Values

23.2% (22 of 95 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

24.2% (23 of 95 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

12.6% (12 of 95 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

4.2% (4 of 95 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

DRUGP2  Outsiders Warn To Quit Using Drugs           5.2%
DRUGP6  Unsuccessfully Decreased Drug Use             5.7%
ALCP6   Unsuccessfully Decreased Alcohol Use         6.2%
LIQUFREQ Frequency Liquor Consumption Past 12 Mo    10.0%

1.1% (1 of 95 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

WINEFREQ Frequency Wine Consumption Past 12 Mo      14.2%

3.2% (3 of 95 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

CONVJUV Convicted As A Juvenile                     31.3%
AGEPAR  Offender Age At Parole (Days)                34.6%
TIMIN   Time Betw Prison Entry and Parole (Days)     34.6%

21.1% (20 of 95 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

EMPLTIME Employment Status                          54.5%
POTFREQ  Frequency of Marijuana/Hashish Use          55.0%
INCOME   Estimated Monthly Income                   63.5%
SCHOTIME Student Status                             69.2%
FELTDR   How Did You Feel                          77.3%
NUMDRUGS Number of Drugs Used                       82.9%
COCAFREQ Frequency of Cocaine Use                   85.3%
=====

```

Table 1 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
PCPFREQ Frequency of Hallucinogen Use	91.5%
CRACFREQ Frequency of Crack Use	94.3%
BARBFREQ Frequency of Barbiturate Use	96.2%
AMPHFREQ Frequency of Amphetamine Use	96.7%
HONDISCH Discharged Honorably	98.6%
ARREST Prior Arrest Record	100.0%
ADMISAR Prior Adult Misdemeanor Arrests (Count)	100.0%
ADFELAR Prior Adult Felony Arrests (Count)	100.0%
CONVICT Prior Convictions On Record	100.0%
ADMISCO Prior Adult Misdemeanor Convictions	100.0%
ADFELCO Prior Adult Felony Convictions	100.0%
PRIOR Most Serious Prior Conviction	100.0%
HEROFREQ Frequency of Heroin Use	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P2

Table 2: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=262)                                    Missing Values
-----
3.2% (3 of 95 variables) have 0% Missing Values

7.4% (7 of 95 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

10.5% (10 of 95 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

35.8% (34 of 95 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

4.2% (4 of 95 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

BARBIT  Barbiturate Use Month Prior To Arrest        5.3%
DRUGP2  Outsiders Warn To Quit Using Drugs            5.7%
ALCPROB Alcohol Use Index                             7.6%
DRGPROB Drug Use Index                                9.5%

2.1% (2 of 95 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

LIQUFREQ Frequency Liquor Consumption Past 12 Mo     14.9%
WINEFREQ Frequency Wine Consumption Past 12 Mo       19.1%

14.7% (14 of 95 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

PI3     Tough Enough To Handle (Time 2)                35.9%
PI4     Experience Won't Change (Time 2)                35.9%
PI5     Will Help Me Learn (Time 2)                     35.9%
PI6     Guards Put On A Big Show (Time 2)              35.9%
PI9     Becoming A Better Person (Time 2)              35.9%
PI10    Programs Here Will Never Help (Time 2)             35.9%
PI11    Becoming More Mature (Time 2)                    35.9%
PI12    Probably Not In Trouble Again (Time 2)            35.9%
ASOCIAL2 Asocial Scale: Time 2                       35.9%
PI2     Won't Help Me Get A Job (Time 2)                  36.3%
PI7     Would Never Help Me (Time 2)                    36.3%
PI8     Will Learn About Myself (Time 2)                  36.3%
=====

```

Table 2 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
PI1 Nothing Here Will Help Me (Time 2)	36.6%
EMPLTIME Employment Status	37.8%

22.1% (21 of 95 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

INCOME Estimated Monthly Income	43.9%
POTFREQ Frequency of Marijuana/Hashish Use	60.7%
AGEPAR Offender Age At Parole (Days)	67.2%
TIMIN Time Betw Prison Entry and Parole (Days)	67.6%
FELTDR How Did You Feel	69.5%
ADMISCO Prior Adult Misdemeanor Convictions	75.6%
ADFELCO Prior Adult Felony Convictions	75.6%
NUMDRUGS Number of Drugs Used	84.0%
COCAFREQ Frequency of Cocaine Use	85.5%
CRACFREQ Frequency of Crack Use	87.4%
PRIOR Most Serious Prior Conviction	88.2%
SCHOTIME Student Status	92.4%
AMPHFREQ Frequency of Amphetamine Use	96.2%
PCPFREQ Frequency of Hallucinogen Use	96.2%
BARBFREQ Frequency of Barbiturate Use	97.3%
HONDISCH Discharged Honorably	97.7%
HEROFREQ Frequency of Heroin Use	99.2%
ARREST Prior Arrest Record	100.0%
ADMISAR Prior Adult Misdemeanor Arrests (Count)	100.0%
ADFELAR Prior Adult Felony Arrests (Count)	100.0%
CONVICT Prior Convictions On Record	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P3

Table 3: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
  (Total cases=337)                                    Missing Values
-----
16.7% (16 of 96 variables) have 0% Missing Values

18.8% (18 of 96 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

38.5% (37 of 96 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

4.2%  (4 of 96 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

2.1%  (2 of 96 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

ADMISAR  Prior Adult Misdemeanor Arrests (Count)      5.0%
ADFELAR  Prior Adult Felony Arrests (Count)           5.0%

3.1%  (3 of 96 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

ADMISCO  Prior Adult Misdemeanor Convictions          17.5%
ADFELCO  Prior Adult Felony Convictions              17.5%
INCOME   Estimated Monthly Income                    19.6%

5.2%  (5 of 96 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

CONVJUV  Convicted As A Juvenile                     27.0%
AGEPAR   Offender Age At Parole (Days)               28.2%
TIMIN    Time Between Prison Entry and Parole        28.2%
HONDISCH Discharged Honorably                        37.7%
EMPLTIME Employment Status                          39.2%

11.5% (11 of 96 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

PRIOR    Most Serious Prior Conviction               53.1%
POTFREQ  Frequency of Marijuana/Hashish Use          59.3%
FELTDR   How Did You Feel                           62.9%
NUMDRUGS Number of Drugs Used                       71.2%
COCAFREQ Frequency of Cocaine Use                   81.3%
=====
  
```

Table 3 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
CRACFREQ Frequency of Crack Use	86.9%
SCHOTIME Student Status	91.1%
PCPFREQ Frequency of Hallucinogen Use	93.8%
AMPHFREQ Frequency of Amphetamine Use	94.7%
BARBFREQ Frequency of Barbiturate Use	95.3%
HEROFREQ Frequency of Heroin Use	96.7%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P4

Table 4: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=597)                                    Missing Values
-----
2.1% (2 of 95 variables) have 0% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 95 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

5.3% (5 of 95 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 95 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 95 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 95 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

2.1% (2 of 95 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

CRIME      Type of Crime                                30.0%
AGE1ST     Age At First Arrest (Years)                          30.7%

90.5% (86 of 95 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

BEERFREQ  Frequency Beer Consumption Past 12 Mo                77.9%
WINEFREQ  Frequency Wine Consumption Past 12 Mo                77.9%
LIQUFREQ  Frequency Liquor Consumption Past 12 Mo              77.9%
BEERQUAN  Daily Beer Consumption Past 12 Mo                    77.9%
WINEQUAN  Daily Wine Consumption Past 12 Mo                    77.9%
LIQUQUAN  Daily Liquor Consumption Past 12 Mo                  77.9%
HEROIN    Heroin Use During Month Prior To Arrest              77.9%
AMPHET    Amphetamine Use Month Prior To Arrest                77.9%
BARBIT    Barbiturate Use Month Prior To Arrest                 77.9%
CRACK     Crack Use During Month Prior To Arrest                77.9%
COCAINE   Cocaine use During Month Prior To Arrest              77.9%
PCPLSD   Hallucinogen Use Month Prior To Arrest               77.9%
POT       Marijuana/Hashish Use Mo Prior To Arrest              77.9%
DRINKING  Drinking Within 2 Hours of Offense                   78.1%
DRUGS     Drug Use Before Offense                               78.1%
=====

```

Table 4 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
ASOCIAL1	Asocial Scale: Time 1	78.1%
ARRJUV	Ever Arrested As A Juvenile	78.2%
ALCP3	Morn Alcohol To Help Physical Symptoms	78.4%
AGEMISS	Missing Age Indicator	78.6%
AGEPRIS	Offender Age At Prison Entry (Days)	78.6%
ALCP1	Alcohol Threatened Close Relationship	78.6%
ALCP4	Alcohol/Skipped >=4 Consecutive Meals	78.6%
ALCP5	Offender Feared He Was Alcoholic	78.6%
ALCP6	Unsuccessfully Decreased Alcohol Use	78.7%
ALCP2	Outsiders Warn To Quit Using Alcohol	79.1%
ALCPROB	Alcohol Use Index	79.1%
DRUGP1	Drug Use Threatened Close Relationship	79.2%
DRUGP3	Morn Drug Use to Help Physical Symptoms	79.2%
DRUGP2	Outsiders Warn To Quit Using Drugs	79.4%
DRUGP5	Offender Feared He Was Drug Dependent	79.4%
DRUGP4	Drug/Skipped >=4 Consecutive Meals	79.6%
DRUGP6	Unsuccessfully Decreased Drug Use	79.6%
DRGPROB	Drug Use Index	80.1%
P2	Won't Help Me Get A Job (Time 1)	83.8%
P1	Nothing Here Will Help Me (Time 1)	83.9%
P3	Tough Enough To Handle (Time 1)	83.9%
P4	Experience Won't Change (Time 1)	83.9%
P5	Will Help Me Learn (Time 1)	83.9%
P6	Guards Put On A Big Show (Time 1)	83.9%
P7	Would Never Help Me (Time 1)	83.9%
P8	Will Learn About Myself (Time 1)	83.9%
P9	Becoming A Better Person (Time 1)	83.9%
P10	Programs Here Will Never Help (Time 1)	83.9%
CONVJUV	Convicted As A Juvenile	84.1%
P11	Becoming More Mature (Time 1)	84.8%
P12	Probably Not In Trouble Again (Time 1)	84.8%
PI1	Nothing Here Will Help Me (Time 2)	87.4%
PI3	Tough Enough To Handle (Time 2)	87.4%
PI4	Experience Won't Change (Time 2)	87.4%
PI5	Will Help Me Learn (Time 2)	87.4%
PI6	Guards Put On A Big Show (Time 2)	87.4%
PI7	Would Never Help Me (Time 2)	87.4%
PI8	Will Learn About Myself (Time 2)	87.4%
PI9	Becoming A Better Person (Time 2)	87.4%
PI10	Programs Here Will Never Help (Time 2)	87.4%
PI11	Becoming More Mature (Time 2)	87.4%
PI12	Probably Not In Trouble Again (Time 2)	87.4%

Table 4 (continued)

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                     Percent of Cases with
                                                         Missing Values
-----
ASOCIAL2  Asocial Scale: Time 2                            87.4%
PI2       Won't Help Me Get A Job (Time 2)                 87.6%
AGEPAR    Offender Age At Parole (Days)                            87.8%
POTFREQ   Frequency of Marijuana/Hashish Use                       88.6%
FELTDR    How Did You Feel                                         89.3%
HONDISCH  Discharged Honorably                                     90.5%
NUMDRUGS  Number of Drugs Used                                    95.5%
AMPHFREQ  Frequency of Amphetamine Use                            96.5%
COCAFREQ  Frequency of Cocaine Use                                97.2%
PCPFREQ   Frequency of Hallucinogen Use                           98.2%
HEROFREQ  Frequency of Heroin Use                                  98.5%
BARBFREQ  Frequency of Barbiturate Use                            98.5%
CRACFREQ  Frequency of Crack Use                                   98.5%
RACE      Race/Ethnicity                                          100.0%
AGESEN    Age At Prison Sentence Date (Days)                     100.0%
SENLENG   Sentence Length (Months)                               100.0%
WAIT      Time Between Sentencing and Prison                     100.0%
TIMIN     Time Between Prison Entry and Parole                   100.0%
PVIOLA    Sentence From New Crime or Probation                   100.0%
ADMISAR   Prior Adult Misdemeanor Arrests (Count)                100.0%
ADFELAR   Prior Adult Felony Arrests (Count)                     100.0%
CONVICT   Prior Convictions On Record                            100.0%
ADMISCO   Prior Adult Misdemeanor Convictions                    100.0%
ADFELCO   Prior Adult Felony Convictions                         100.0%
PRIOR     Most Serious Prior Conviction                          100.0%
EMPLTIME  Employment Status                                       100.0%
INCOME    Estimated Monthly Income                                100.0%
SCHOOL    Offender Attending School                              100.0%
SCHOTIME  Student Status                                          100.0%
=====

```

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.

Table 5 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
MONTH3 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 3	19.7%
14.5% (28 of 193 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
FACE2 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 2	21.5%
FAMIL2 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 2	22.5%
FAMIL3 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 3	23.5%
ARREST2 Offender Arrested By Mo. 6	23.9%
ABSCON2 Offender Absconded By Mo. 6	24.2%
PEND2 Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 6	24.2%
FACE3 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 3	24.2%
JAIL2 Offender Jailed By Mo. 6	25.3%
POS2AD2 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 6	25.6%
POS2AD3 Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt. Mo.	26.0%
POS2AD4 Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 6	26.0%
POS2AD5 Residential Stability Mo. 6	26.0%
POS2AD6 Personal Stability Mo. 6	26.3%
POS2AD1 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 6	26.6%
POS2AD8 Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 6	26.6%
POS2AD9 Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 6	27.0%
NCREV2 Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 6	27.3%
POS2AD7 Financial Stability Mo. 6	27.3%
POS2AD10 No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 6	27.7%
TECHREV2 Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 6	28.0%
DRTEST2 Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 6	29.1%
PHONE1 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	33.6%
MONTH4 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 4	34.3%
PHONE2 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 2	36.7%
MONTH5 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 5	37.7%
FACE4 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 4	38.8%
MONTH6 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 6	38.8%
FAMIL4 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 4	39.1%
68.9% (133 of 193 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
PHONE3 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 3	40.8%
FAMIL5 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 5	42.6%
TRT1 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 1	42.6%
FACE5 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 5	43.3%
EMPLOY2 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 2	43.3%
TRT2 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 2	44.3%
FACE6 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 6	44.6%

Table 5 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
FAMIL6 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 6	44.6%
EMPLOY3 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 3	44.6%
EMPLOY1 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 1	45.0%
TRT3 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 3	46.7%
ARREST3 Offender Arrested By Mo. 9	47.8%
PEND3 Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 9	48.4%
POS3AD8 Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 9	48.8%
POS3AD9 Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 9	48.8%
POS3AD10 No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 9	48.8%
POS3AD1 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 9	49.1%
POS3AD2 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 9	49.1%
POS3AD3 Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt. Mo.	49.1%
POS3AD4 Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 9	49.1%
POS3AD6 Personal Stability Mo. 9	49.1%
POS3AD7 Financial Stability Mo. 9	49.1%
POS3AD5 Residential Stability Mo. 9	49.5%
ABSCON3 Offender Absconded By Mo. 9	49.8%
JAIL3 Offender Jailed By Mo. 9	49.8%
PHONE4 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 4	50.2%
TECHREV3 Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 9	50.9%
DRTEST3 Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 9	50.9%
NCREV3 Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 9	51.2%
EMPLOY4 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 4	51.9%
EMPLOY6 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 6	51.9%
PHONE5 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 5	52.6%
EMPLOY5 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 5	52.6%
PHONE6 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 6	54.3%
TRT4 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 4	54.3%
TRT5 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 5	54.3%
MONTH7 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 7	55.7%
FAMIL7 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 7	56.7%
MONTH8 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 8	57.1%
TRT6 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 6	57.1%
MONTH9 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 9	57.8%
FAMIL8 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 8	59.2%
FACE7 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 7	59.9%
FAMIL9 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 9	60.6%
FACE8 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 8	61.6%
FACE9 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 9	62.3%
EMPLOY7 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 7	64.7%
TRT7 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 7	65.1%
EMPLOY9 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 9	66.4%

Table 5 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
DRPOS1	No. Positive Results By Mo. 3	66.8%
EMPLOY8	# Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 8	67.5%
TRT8	# Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 8	68.5%
TRT9	# Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 9	68.5%
PHONE7	No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 7	69.9%
DRPOS2	No. Positive Results By Mo. 6	70.2%
PEND4	Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 12	70.9%
PHONE8	No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 8	70.9%
JAIL4	Offender Jailed By Mo. 12	71.3%
PHONE9	No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 9	71.3%
ARREST4	Offender Arrested By Mo. 12	71.6%
ABSCON4	Offender Absconded By Mo. 12	71.6%
DRTEST4	Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 12	72.0%
POS4AD7	Financial Stability Mo. 12	72.0%
POS4AD8	Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 1	72.0%
POS4AD1	Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 12	72.3%
POS4AD2	Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 12	72.3%
POS4AD5	Residential Stability Mo. 12	72.3%
POS4AD10	No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 12	72.3%
POS4AD3	Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt Mo. 1	72.7%
POS4AD4	Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 12	72.7%
POS4AD9	Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 12	72.7%
ARRESTS	Prior Arrests	73.0%
TECHREV4	Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 12	73.0%
POS4AD6	Personal Stability Mo. 12	73.0%
NCREV4	Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 12	73.4%
CONVICTS	Prior Convictions	73.7%
AGARDA4	Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 6 (Days)	74.4%
MONTH10	No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 10	75.4%
PCRIME	Prior Crime	76.1%
MONTH11	No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 11	76.5%
MONTH12	No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 12	76.8%
FACE10	No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	77.5%
FACE11	No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	77.9%
FACE12	No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	77.9%
AGARDA1	Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 3 (Days)	78.2%
FAMIL10	# Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 10	78.9%
FAMIL11	# Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 11	78.9%
REVCRIM2	Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 6	79.6%
DRPOS3	No. Positive Results By Mo. 9	79.9%
FAMIL12	# Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 12	80.3%
REVCRIM1	Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 3	81.7%

Table 5 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
PHONE11 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	81.7%
AGARDA7 Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 9 (Days)	82.7%
EMPLOY10 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 10	82.7%
PHONE10 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	83.0%
PHONE12 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	83.0%
EMPLOY12 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 12	83.0%
TRT12 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 12	83.4%
AGJLDAT2 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 6 (Days)	83.7%
EMPLOY11 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 11	83.7%
TRT10 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 10	84.1%
TRT11 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 11	84.1%
AGJLDAT1 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 3 (Days)	86.2%
REVCRI3 Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 9	86.2%
DRPOS4 No. Positive Results By Mo. 12	86.9%
AGCPDAT2 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 6	87.2%
AGARDA10 Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 12 (Days)	88.6%
AGCPDAT1 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 3	88.6%
AGJLDAT3 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 9 (Days)	91.3%
REVCRI4 Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 12	91.3%
AGABDAT2 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 6	92.4%
AGCPDAT3 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 9	92.4%
AGTVDAT2 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 6	92.7%
AGARDA5 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 6 (Days)	93.1%
AGABDAT1 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 3	94.1%
AGARDA8 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 9 (Days)	94.5%
AGABDAT3 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 9	94.8%
AGJLDAT4 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 12	94.8%
AGNCDAT2 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 6	94.8%
AGNCDAT3 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 9	95.2%
AGCPDAT4 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 12	95.5%
AGTVDAT3 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 9	95.5%
AGARDA11 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 12 (Days)	96.2%
AGARDA2 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 3 (Days)	97.6%
AGTVDAT4 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 1	97.9%
AGTVDAT1 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 3	98.3%
AGNCDAT1 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 3	98.3%
AGARDA9 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 9 (Days)	98.6%
AGARDA12 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 12 (Days)	98.6%
AGABDAT4 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 1	99.0%
AGNCDAT4 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 1	99.0%
AGARDA6 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 6 (Days)	99.3%
AGARDA3 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 3 (Days)	99.7%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P6

Table 6: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
      (Total cases=300)                                Missing Values
-----
1.0%  (2 of 209 variables) have 0% Missing Values

2.4%  (5 of 209 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

0.0%  (0 of 209 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

0.5%  (1 of 209 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

0.0%  (0 of 209 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

3.3%  (7 of 209 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

CRIME      Type of Crime                                11.7%
REVOK2YR   Revoc During 2 Year FU                        11.7%
LEGAL2YR   Legal Release During 2 Year FU                11.7%
A2YR2YR   Age End Supervision Period (Dys) 2 Yr F      11.7%
RECORD     Any Indication of Prior Criminal 2 Yr F          11.7%
STYP2YR   New Crime vs. Probation Viol (2 Yr FU)           12.3%
SLEN2YR   Length of Sentence (2 Year FU) (Months)         13.0%

30.1% (63 of 209 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

ABSCON1   Offender Absconded By Mo. 3                       23.3%
PEND1     Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 3                             23.7%
ARREST1   Offender Arrested By Mo. 3                            24.0%
TECHREV1  Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 3                          24.0%
FAMIL1    # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 1                 24.0%
FAMIL2    # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 2                 24.0%
NCREV1    Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 3                          24.3%
DRTEST1   Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 3                 24.3%
JAIL1     Offender Jailed By Mo. 3                              24.7%
POS1AD5   Residential Stability Mo. 3                           25.0%
POS1AD2   Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 3               25.3%
POS1AD3   Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt. Mo.               25.3%
=====

```

Table 6 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
POS1AD4 Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 3	25.3%
POS1AD8 Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 3	25.3%
POS1AD1 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 3	25.7%
POS1AD6 Personal Stability Mo. 3	25.7%
POS1AD7 Financial Stability Mo. 3	25.7%
POS1AD9 Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 3	25.7%
ARREST2 Offender Arrested By Mo. 6	26.3%
ABSCON2 Offender Absconded By Mo. 6	26.3%
POS1AD10 No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 3	26.3%
JAIL2 Offender Jailed By Mo. 6	26.7%
PEND2 Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 6	26.7%
TECHREV2 Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 6	26.7%
NCREV2 Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 6	26.7%
DRTEST2 Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 6	26.7%
AGEPAR Age At Parole (In Days)	28.7%
POS2AD1 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 6	29.7%
POS2AD2 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 6	29.7%
POS2AD4 Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 6	29.7%
POS2AD6 Personal Stability Mo. 6	29.7%
POS2AD10 No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 6	29.7%
MONTH4 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 4	29.7%
FACE4 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 4	29.7%
PHONE4 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 4	29.7%
MONTH5 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 5	29.7%
FACE5 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 5	29.7%
PHONE5 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 5	29.7%
MONTH6 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 6	29.7%
FACE6 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 6	29.7%
PHONE6 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 6	29.7%
FAMIL4 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 4	29.7%
FAMIL5 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 5	29.7%
FAMIL6 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 6	29.7%
EMPLOY4 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 4	29.7%
EMPLOY5 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 5	29.7%
EMPLOY6 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 6	29.7%
TRT5 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 5	29.7%
TRT6 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 6	29.7%
POS2AD3 Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt. Mo.	30.0%
POS2AD5 Residential Stability Mo. 6	30.0%
POS2AD7 Financial Stability Mo. 6	30.0%
POS2AD8 Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 6	30.0%
POS2AD9 Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 6	30.0%

Table 6 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
TRT4 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 4	30.0%
MONTH1 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	34.7%
MONTH2 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 2	36.3%
ARREST3 Offender Arrested By Mo. 9	38.3%
JAIL3 Offender Jailed By Mo. 9	38.3%
PEND3 Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 9	38.3%
ABSCON3 Offender Absconded By Mo. 9	39.0%
TECHREV3 Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 9	39.0%
NCREV3 Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 9	39.3%
62.7% (131 of 209 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
DRTEST3 Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 9	40.3%
POS3AD1 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 9	40.3%
POS3AD3 Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt. Mo.	40.3%
POS3AD7 Financial Stability Mo. 9	40.3%
POS3AD9 Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 9	40.3%
POS3AD10 No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 9	40.3%
POS3AD2 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 9	40.7%
POS3AD5 Residential Stability Mo. 9	40.7%
POS3AD6 Personal Stability Mo. 9	40.7%
POS3AD8 Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 9	40.7%
POS3AD4 Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 9	41.0%
MONTH3 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 3	41.7%
MONTH7 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 7	46.7%
MONTH8 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 8	47.0%
MONTH9 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 9	47.0%
PHONE7 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 7	47.3%
FACE8 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 8	47.3%
FACE7 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 7	47.7%
FACE9 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 9	47.7%
PHONE9 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 9	47.7%
PHONE8 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 8	48.0%
FAMIL7 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 7	49.0%
EMPLOY7 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 7	49.0%
TRT7 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 7	49.0%
FAMIL8 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 8	49.3%
FAMIL9 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 9	49.3%
EMPLOY8 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 8	49.3%
EMPLOY9 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 9	49.3%
TRT8 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 8	49.3%
TRT9 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 9	49.3%

Table 6 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
JAIL4 Offender Jailed By Mo. 12	50.7%
PEND4 Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 12	51.0%
DRTEST4 Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 12	51.0%
ARREST4 Offender Arrested By Mo. 12	51.3%
ABSCON4 Offender Absconded By Mo. 12	51.3%
NCREV4 Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 12	51.3%
TECHREV4 Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 12	52.3%
REVTE2YR Tech Viol Revoc During 2 Yr FU	60.0%
REVNE2YR New Crime Revoc During 2 Yr FU	60.3%
REVAB2YR Absconding Viol Revoc During 2 Yr FU	60.3%
ARRESTS Prior Arrests	61.3%
CONVICTS Prior Convictions	61.3%
POS4AD1 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 12	65.0%
POS4AD5 Residential Stability Mo. 12	65.0%
POS4AD7 Financial Stability Mo. 12	65.0%
POS4AD9 Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 12	65.0%
POS4AD2 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 12	65.3%
POS4AD3 Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt Mo. 1	65.3%
POS4AD4 Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 12	65.3%
POS4AD6 Personal Stability Mo. 12	65.3%
POS4AD8 Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 1	65.3%
POS4AD10 No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 12	65.3%
MONTH10 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 10	69.0%
FACE10 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	69.3%
PHONE10 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	69.3%
MONTH11 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 11	69.3%
FACE11 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	69.3%
PHONE11 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	69.3%
MONTH12 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 12	69.3%
FACE12 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	69.3%
PHONE12 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	69.3%
FAMIL10 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 10	69.7%
FAMIL11 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 11	69.7%
FAMIL12 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 12	69.7%
EMPLOY10 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 10	69.7%
EMPLOY11 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 11	69.7%
EMPLOY12 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 12	69.7%
TRT10 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 10	69.7%
TRT11 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 11	69.7%
TRT12 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 12	69.7%
ANEW12YR Age 1st New Crime Revoc (Dys) (2 Yr FU)	71.7%
PCRIME Prior Crime	76.3%

Table 6 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
AGARDA7 Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 9 (Days)	85.3%
AGARDA4 Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 6 (Days)	86.0%
DRPOS4 No. Positive Results By Mo. 12	86.7%
AGJLDAT2 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 6 (Days)	88.3%
DRPOS3 No. Positive Results By Mo. 9	88.3%
AGARDA10 Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 12 (Days)	89.0%
AGARDA1 Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 3 (Days)	89.7%
AGJLDAT1 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 3 (Days)	91.3%
ALEGR2YR Age Legal Release Date (Days) (2 Yr FU)	91.3%
AGJLDAT4 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 12	92.0%
AGJLDAT3 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 9 (Days)	92.3%
AABS12YR Age 1st Abscond Viol Revoc (Dys) 2 Yr F	93.0%
AGABDAT3 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 9	94.7%
REVCRRIM2 Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 6	95.0%
AGABDAT4 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 1	95.3%
AGCPDAT2 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 6	95.3%
AGNCDAT3 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 9	95.3%
REVCRRIM1 Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 3	95.7%
ATCH12YR Age 1st Tech Viol Revoc (Dys)(2 Yr FU)	95.7%
AGNCDAT4 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 1	96.0%
AGARDA8 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 9 (Days)	96.3%
AGTVDAT4 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 1	96.3%
AGARDA11 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 12 (Days)	96.7%
AGCPDAT1 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 3	96.7%
AGNCDAT2 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 6	96.7%
AGARDA5 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 6 (Days)	97.0%
AGABDAT2 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 6	97.0%
AGTVDAT3 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 9	97.0%
ANEW22YR Age 2nd New Crime Revoc (Dys) (2 Yr FU)	97.0%
AGARDA2 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 3 (Days)	97.7%
AGCPDAT4 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 12	97.7%
AGABDAT1 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 3	98.0%
AGCPDAT3 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 9	98.0%
AGARDA9 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 9 (Days)	98.7%
EMPLOY1 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 1	98.7%
AGARDA6 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 6 (Days)	99.0%
AGARDA12 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 12 (Days)	99.0%
AGTVDAT2 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 6	99.0%
AGNCDAT1 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 3	99.0%
TRT1 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 1	99.0%
AGARDA3 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 3 (Days)	99.3%
ATCH22YR Age 2nd Tech Viol Revoc (Dys) (2 Yr FU)	99.3%

Table 6 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
REVCRIM3 Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 9	99.7%
FACE1 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	99.7%
FAMIL3 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 3	99.7%
EMPLOY2 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 2	99.7%
TRT2 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 2	99.7%
AGTVDAT1 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 3	100.0%
REVCRIM4 Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 12	100.0%
DRPOS1 No. Positive Results By Mo. 3	100.0%
DRPOS2 No. Positive Results By Mo. 6	100.0%
PHONE1 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	100.0%
FACE2 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 2	100.0%
PHONE2 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 2	100.0%
FACE3 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 3	100.0%
PHONE3 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 3	100.0%
EMPLOY3 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 3	100.0%
TRT3 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 3	100.0%
AABS22YR Age 2nd Abscond Viol Revoc (Dys) 2 Yr FU	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P7

Table 7: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=326)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

4.6% (9 of 194 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
1.0% (2 of 194 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 194 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 194 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 194 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
1.5% (3 of 194 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
MONTH7 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 7	15.3%
MONTH9 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 9	16.6%
MONTH8 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 8	16.9%
39.2% (76 of 194 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
ABSCON4 Offender Absconded By Mo. 12	20.2%
JAIL4 Offender Jailed By Mo. 12	20.2%
ARREST4 Offender Arrested By Mo. 12	20.6%
PEND4 Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 12	20.6%
TECHREV4 Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 12	20.6%
NCREV4 Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 12	20.6%
POS4AD3 Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt Mo. 1	20.9%
POS4AD4 Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 12	20.9%
POS4AD5 Residential Stability Mo. 12	20.9%
POS4AD6 Personal Stability Mo. 12	20.9%
AGEPAR Age At Parole (In Days)	21.2%
POS4AD1 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 12	21.2%
POS4AD2 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 12	21.2%
DRTEST4 Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 12	21.5%
POS4AD7 Financial Stability Mo. 12	21.5%
POS4AD8 Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 1	21.5%
=====	

Table 7 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
POS4AD9 Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 12	21.5%
POS4AD10 No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 12	21.5%
MONTH10 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 10	24.5%
MONTH11 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 11	25.5%
MONTH12 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 12	25.5%
ARREST1 Offender Arrested By Mo. 3	25.8%
ABSCON1 Offender Absconded By Mo. 3	25.8%
JAIL1 Offender Jailed By Mo. 3	25.8%
PEND1 Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 3	25.8%
DRTEST1 Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 3	25.8%
POS1AD1 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 3	25.8%
POS1AD2 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 3	25.8%
POS1AD3 Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt. Mo.	25.8%
POS1AD4 Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 3	25.8%
POS1AD5 Residential Stability Mo. 3	25.8%
POS1AD6 Personal Stability Mo. 3	25.8%
POS1AD7 Financial Stability Mo. 3	25.8%
POS1AD8 Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 3	25.8%
POS1AD9 Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 3	25.8%
POS1AD10 No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 3	25.8%
TECHREV1 Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 3	26.1%
NCREV1 Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 3	26.1%
AGEPRIS Age at Imprisonment (In Days)	28.5%
ARREST2 Offender Arrested By Mo. 6	29.8%
ABSCON2 Offender Absconded By Mo. 6	29.8%
JAIL2 Offender Jailed By Mo. 6	29.8%
PEND2 Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 6	29.8%
TECHREV2 Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 6	29.8%
NCREV2 Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 6	29.8%
DRTEST2 Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 6	29.8%
POS2AD1 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 6	30.1%
POS2AD2 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 6	30.1%
POS2AD3 Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt. Mo.	30.1%
POS2AD4 Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 6	30.1%
POS2AD5 Residential Stability Mo. 6	30.1%
POS2AD6 Personal Stability Mo. 6	30.1%
POS2AD7 Financial Stability Mo. 6	30.4%
POS2AD8 Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 6	30.4%
POS2AD9 Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 6	30.4%
POS2AD10 No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 6	30.7%
MONTH4 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 4	35.0%
MONTH5 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 5	35.3%

Table 7 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
MONTH6 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 6	35.9%
ARREST3 Offender Arrested By Mo. 9	36.2%
ABSCON3 Offender Absconded By Mo. 9	36.2%
JAIL3 Offender Jailed By Mo. 9	36.2%
PEND3 Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 9	36.2%
TECHREV3 Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 9	36.2%
NCREV3 Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 9	36.2%
POS3AD1 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 50%) Mo. 9	36.2%
POS3AD2 Employmt/Educatl Part (> 3 mos.) Mo. 9	36.2%
POS3AD3 Employmt/Educatl Part Upward Mvmt. Mo.	36.2%
POS3AD4 Self-Supporting/Supported Family Mo. 9	36.2%
POS3AD5 Residential Stability Mo. 9	36.2%
POS3AD6 Personal Stability Mo. 9	36.2%
DRTEST3 Offender Tested For Drug Use By Mo. 9	36.8%
POS3AD7 Financial Stability Mo. 9	36.8%
POS3AD8 Self-Improvement Program Particip Mo. 9	36.8%
POS3AD10 No Illegal Activities Indicated Mo. 9	36.8%
POS3AD9 Satisfactory General Progress Mo. 9	37.1%

53.6% (104 of 194 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

PCRIME Prior Crime	55.2%
DRPOS4 No. Positive Results By Mo. 12	69.6%
FACE7 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 7	75.5%
FACE8 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 8	76.4%
FACE9 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 9	76.7%
FAMIL7 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 7	76.7%
FAMIL8 Officer contacts With Family Mo. 8	76.7%
PHONE7 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 7	77.0%
PHONE8 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 8	77.3%
FACE10 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	77.3%
EMPLOY7 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 7	77.3%
EMPLOY8 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 8	77.3%
TRT7 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 7	77.3%
TRT8 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 8	77.3%
FAMIL9 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 9	77.6%
AGARDA10 Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 12 (Days)	77.9%
PHONE9 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 9	77.9%
FACE11 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	77.9%
FAMIL10 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 10	77.9%
EMPLOY9 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 9	77.9%
TRT9 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 9	77.9%

Table 7 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
PHONE10 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	78.2%
FACE12 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	78.2%
EMPLOY10 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 10	78.2%
TRT10 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 10	78.2%
FAMIL11 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 11	78.5%
FAMIL12 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 12	78.8%
EMPLOY11 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 11	78.8%
TRT11 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 11	78.8%
EMPLOY12 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 12	79.1%
TRT12 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 12	79.1%
PHONE12 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	79.8%
DRPOS2 No. Positive Results By Mo. 6	80.4%
MONTH1 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	81.3%
MONTH2 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 2	81.3%
DRPOS3 No. Positive Results By Mo. 9	82.2%
AGARDA7 Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 9 (Days)	82.5%
MONTH3 No. Officer Contacts By Mo. 3	82.5%
AGARDA4 Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 6 (Days)	85.0%
AGJLDAT4 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 12	85.3%
AGARDA1 Age at 1st Arrest By Mo. 3 (Days)	87.1%
DRPOS1 No. Positive Results By Mo. 3	89.6%
AGJLDAT3 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 9 (Days)	90.2%
AGJLDAT2 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 6 (Days)	91.4%
REVCRI3 Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 9	92.3%
AGJLDAT1 Age at 1st Jailing By Mo. 3 (Days)	92.9%
REVCRI2 Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 6	92.9%
AGABDAT4 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 1	93.3%
AGCPDAT4 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 12	93.9%
AGTVDAT4 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 1	94.2%
REVCRI1 Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 3	94.2%
AGCPDAT2 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 6	94.8%
AGTVDAT3 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 9	94.8%
AGCPDAT3 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 9	95.7%
REVCRI4 Crime Leading To Revoc By Mo. 12	95.7%
AGARDA11 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 12 (Days)	96.0%
AGABDAT2 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 6	96.3%
AGCPDAT1 Age at 1st Case/Viol Pending By Mo. 3	96.3%
AGTVDAT2 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 6	96.9%
AGNCDAT2 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 6	96.9%
AGNCDAT3 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 9	96.9%
AGABDAT1 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 3	97.5%
AGABDAT3 Age at 1st Absconding Incident By Mo. 9	97.9%

Table 7 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
AGNCDAT4 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 1	97.9%
AGARDA2 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 3 (Days)	98.2%
AGARDA5 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 6 (Days)	98.2%
AGARDA8 Age at 2nd Arrest By Mo. 9 (Days)	98.2%
AGTVDAT1 Age at 1st Revoc For Tech Viol By Mo. 3	98.2%
AGNCDAT1 Age At 1st Revoc For New Crime By Mo. 3	98.8%
AGARDA12 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 12 (Days)	99.1%
AGARDA3 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 3 (Days)	100.0%
AGARDA6 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 6 (Days)	100.0%
AGARDA9 Age at 3rd Arrest By Mo. 9 (Days)	100.0%
FACE1 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	100.0%
PHONE1 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 1	100.0%
FACE2 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 2	100.0%
PHONE2 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 2	100.0%
FACE3 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 3	100.0%
PHONE3 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 3	100.0%
FACE4 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 4	100.0%
PHONE4 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 4	100.0%
FACE5 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 5	100.0%
PHONE5 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 5	100.0%
FACE6 No. In-Person Officer Contacts By Mo. 6	100.0%
PHONE6 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 6	100.0%
PHONE11 No. Telephone Officer Contacts By Mo. 11	100.0%
FAMIL1 Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 1	100.0%
FAMIL2 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 2	100.0%
FAMIL3 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 3	100.0%
FAMIL4 Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 4	100.0%
FAMIL5 # Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 5	100.0%
FAMIL6 Officer Contacts With Family Mo. 6	100.0%
EMPLOY1 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 1	100.0%
EMPLOY2 Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 2	100.0%
EMPLOY3 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 3	100.0%
EMPLOY4 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 4	100.0%
EMPLOY5 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 5	100.0%
EMPLOY6 # Officer Contacts With Employer Mo. 6	100.0%
TRT1 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 1	100.0%
TRT2 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 2	100.0%
TRT3 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 3	100.0%

Table 7 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
TRT4 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 4	100.0%
TRT5 # Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 5	100.0%
TRT6 Treatment Rel Officer Contacts Mo. 6	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P8

Table 8: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
  (Total cases=345)                                Missing Values
-----
37.5% (6 of 16 variables) have 0% Missing Values
6.3%  (1 of 16 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values
12.5% (2 of 16 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values
6.3%  (1 of 16 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values
0.0%  (0 of 16 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values
0.0%  (0 of 16 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values
18.8% (3 of 16 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

ARROFF  Prior Offense Resulting In Arrest                31.0%
AGE1ST  Age at First Arrest (Years)                      32.5%
NARRESTS Number of Prior Arrests (Count)                33.6%

18.8% (3 of 16 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

AGELEGRL Age at Legal Release (Days)                    55.9%
AGEJJAIL Age 1st Juvenile Confinement (Years)           79.4%
AGEREV  Age At Revocation (In Days)                     87.5%
=====

```

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P9

Table 9: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=294)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
--	---

92.9% (13 of 14 variables) have 0% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 14 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 14 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

7.1% (1 of 14 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P10

Table 10: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=669)                                    Missing Values
-----
19.4% (6 of 31 variables) have 0% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 31 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

48.4% (15 of 31 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

6.5% (2 of 31 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 31 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

16.1% (5 of 31 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

PAR_AGE  Age at Beginning of Comm Supervision          10.5%
ARREST   Arrested During Community Supervision         15.8%
CONVERT  Program Conversion Indicator                  17.2%
ADULTINC Number Prior Incarcerations                   18.2%
WORK     Subject Worked During The Six Months          18.2%

0.0% (0 of 31 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

9.7% (3 of 31 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

AR_AGE   Age 1st Arrest During Comm Supervision         57.0%
REV_AGE  Age at Revocation (Days)                        89.4%
LR_AGE   Age at Legal Release (Days)                    97.5%
=====

```

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P11

Table 11: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

=====

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=568)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
--	---

11.1% (3 of 27 variables) have 0% Missing Values

3.7% (1 of 27 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 27 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

18.5% (5 of 27 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

48.1% (13 of 27 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

DWI	Prior DWI Arrests	5.1%
TRAFF	Prior Traffic Offense Arrests	5.1%
DWI2	Prior DWI Convictions	5.1%
DRUG2	Prior Drug Convictions	5.1%
TRAFF2	Prior Traffic Offense Convictions	5.1%
VIOFF2	Prior Violent Offense Convictions	5.1%
ALCDEF2	Prior Alcohol-Defined Offense Conviction	5.1%
PRIORIN	Prior Adult Incarcerations	5.1%
DRUG	Prior Drug Arrests	5.3%
ALCDEF	Prior Alcohol-Defined Offense Arrests	5.3%
PVIOLA	Immediate Cause of Sentence	6.9%
OFFENSE	Collapsed Offense Codes	8.6%
CRIME1	Crime Carrying Longest Sentence	8.6%

11.1% (3 of 27 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

EDUCI	Highest Grade Completed	10.6%
AGE1ST	Age at First Arrest (Years)	13.6%
TIME	Total Sentence Length (Months)	19.4%

0.0% (0 of 27 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

7.4% (2 of 27 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

=====

Table 11 (continued)

Variable Name and Label		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
CHILD1	Number of Children	78.3%
CRIME2	Crime Carrying Second Longest Sentence	89.1%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P12

Table 12: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

=====

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=351)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
--	---

7.7% (2 of 26 variables) have 0% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 26 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 26 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 26 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

11.5% (3 of 26 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

RACE	Race	9.1%
TIME	Total Sentence Length (Months)	9.4%
PVIOLA	Immediate Cause of Sentence	10.0%

65.4% (17 of 26 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

NONVIOL	Prior Non-Violent Offense Arrests	10.8%
NONVIOL2	Prior Non-Violent Offense Convictions	10.8%
CRIMHIS	Prior Adult Criminal History Record	11.1%
VIOFF	Prior Violent Offense Arrests	11.1%
PRIORIN	Prior Adult Incarcerations	11.1%
DWI	Prior DWI Arrests	11.4%
DRUG	Prior Drug Arrests	11.4%
TRAFF	Prior Traffic Offense Arrests	11.4%
DWI2	Prior DWI Convictions	11.4%
DRUG2	Prior Drug Convictions	11.4%
TRAFF2	Prior Traffic Offense Convictions	11.4%
VIOFF2	Prior Violent Offense Convictions	11.4%
ALCDEF2	Prior Alcohol-Defined Offense Conviction	11.4%
ALCDEF	Prior Alcohol-Defined Offense Arrests	11.7%
OFFENSE	Collapsed Offense Codes	13.1%
CRIME1	Crime Carrying Longest Sentence	13.1%
EDUCI	Highest Grade Completed	16.0%

11.5% (3 of 26 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

=====

Table 12 (continued)

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                     Percent of Cases with
                                                           Missing Values
-----
CHILD1  Number of Children                                 20.8%
AGE     Age At Start of Community Supervision              22.8%
AGE1ST  Age at First Arrest (Years)                            24.2%

3.8% (1 of 26 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

CRIME2  Crime Carrying Second Longest Sentence             86.9%
=====

```

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P14

Table 14: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=351)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

0.9% (1 of 114 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 114 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 114 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
6.1% (7 of 114 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
14.9% (17 of 114 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
DS19 Marital Status	5.1%
DS30 Lived W/Spouse/Girlfriend Mo Bef Arrest	5.1%
DS14 Highest Grade of School Completed	5.4%
DS11 Past Year Income: Illegal Sources	5.7%
DS18 Time Served In Jail/Prison By Member(s)	5.7%
DS31 Lived W/Other Relations Mo Before Arrest	5.7%
DS7 Past Year Income: Family	6.0%
DS21 How Many Brothers	6.0%
DS25 With Whom Did Respondent As Child	6.0%
DS5 Past Year Income: Social	6.3%
DS6 Past Year Income: School	6.3%
DS8 Past Year Income: Unemployment	6.3%
DS9 Past Year Income: Wages	6.3%
DS10 Past Year Income: Welfare/Charity	6.3%
DS12 Past Year Income: Other	6.6%
CA2 Committed Crime As Juvenile/Not Arrested	8.8%
CA1 Committed Crime As Adult/Not Arrested	9.4%
56.1% (64 of 114 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
DS15 Respondent Ever Attend Vocational School	10.3%
CA57 Drinks Beer	10.3%
DS13 Total Income In Month Before Arrest	10.5%
CA17 Ever Used Drugs	11.1%
=====	

Table 14 (continued)

Variable Name and Label		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
CA66	Drink Just Before Committing Crime	12.5%
CA19	Ever Used Methadone	12.8%
CA22	Ever Used Amphetamines	12.8%
CA18	Ever Used Heroin	13.1%
CA21	Ever Used Marijuana	13.1%
CA23	Ever Used Methaqualone	13.1%
CA24	Ever Used Barbiturates	13.1%
CA25	Ever Used Ts and Blues	13.1%
CA26	Ever Used LSD	13.1%
CA27	Ever Used PCP	13.1%
CA71	Taking Drugs Before Crime	13.1%
CA20	Ever Used Cocaine	14.0%
CA31	Above Drugs Used Once/Week for One Month	14.2%
DS16	Highest Grade of School Mother Complete	14.5%
CA59	Drinks Liquor	14.5%
CA62	How Often Drink Beer	14.5%
CA65	How Did Respondent Feel After Drinking	14.5%
CA58	Drinks Wine	15.1%
CA28	Ever Used Some Other Type	15.7%
CA3	Number of Burglaries Without Arrest	16.2%
DS24	Number of Children	16.5%
CA8	Number of Aggrav Assaults w/o Arrests	16.5%
CA13	No. Public Drunkenness Without Arrest	16.5%
CA15	No. Time Receivd Stolen Goods w/o Arrest	16.5%
CA5	Number of Other Thefts Without Arrest	16.8%
CA9	Number of Drug Violations Without Arrest	17.1%
CA12	Number of DWI Incidentis Without Arrest	17.1%
CA4	Number of Auto Thefts Without Arrest	17.4%
CA10	Number of Simple Assaults Without Arrest	17.4%
CA14	Number of Forgery Without Arrest	17.4%
CA6	Number of Robberies Without Arrest	17.7%
CA7	Number of Arsons Without Arrest	17.7%
CA11	Number of Vandalism Without Arrest	17.7%
CA16	Time In Juvenile Correctional Facility	17.7%
CA33	Used Methadone At Least Once A Month	17.7%
CA35	Used Marijuana/Hashish At Once A Month	17.7%
CA37	Used Methaqualone (Quaaludes) Once/Month	17.7%
CA38	Used Barbiturates (Downers) Once A Month	17.7%
CA39	Used Ts and Blues At Least Once A Month	17.7%
CA40	Used LSD At Least Once A Month	17.7%
CA41	Used PCP At Least Once A Month	17.7%
CA32	Used Heroin At Least Once A Month	17.9%

Table 14 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
CA34	Used Cocaine At Least Once A Month	17.9%
CA36	Used Amphetamines (Uppers) Once A Month	17.9%
CA42	Used Some Other Type Once A Month	17.9%
CA43	Using Any of the Above Once A Month	18.5%
CA46	Used Heroin In Month Prior to Arrest	18.5%
CA47	Used Methadone In Month Prior to Arrest	18.5%
CA50	Used Amphetamines Prior to Arrest	18.8%
CA51	Used Methaqualone Prior to Arrest	18.8%
CA52	Used Barbiturates Prior to Arrest	18.8%
CA53	Used Ts and Blues Month Prior to Arrest	18.8%
CA55	Used PCP In Month Prior to Arrest	18.8%
CA56	Used Other Drugs Month Prior to Arrest	18.8%
CA63	How Often Drink Wine	18.8%
CA64	How Often Drink Liquor	18.8%
CA48	Used Cocaine In Month Prior to Arrest	19.1%
CA49	Marijuana/Hashish Month Prior To Arrest	19.1%
CA54	Used LSD In Month Prior to Arrest	19.1%
DS17	Highest Grade of School Father Complete	19.4%

18.4% (21 of 114 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

CA70	State of Mind At Time of Crime	20.5%
CA29	Age When Began Using Drugs (Years)	22.5%
CA75	Marijuana/Hashish Before Crime	25.4%
CA72	Heroin Just Before Crime	25.6%
CA73	Taking Methadone Before Crime	25.6%
CA74	Cocaine Just Before Crime	25.6%
CA76	Amphetamines Before Crime	25.6%
CA77	Methaqualone Before Crime	25.6%
CA78	Barbiturates Before Crime	25.6%
CA79	Ts and Blues Before Crime	25.6%
CA80	LSD Before Crime	25.6%
CA60	With Whom Does Respondent Drink	25.9%
CA81	PCP Before Crime	25.9%
CA82	Other Before Crime	25.9%
CA45	Main Source Drugs Month Prior to Arrest	28.8%
CA61	Where Does Respondent Drink Most	29.9%
CA67	Drink Beer Before Crime	30.2%
CA44	Freq Drugs Month Prior Current Arrest	31.6%
CA69	Drink Liquor Before Crime	33.0%
CA68	Drink Wine Before Crime	33.6%
CA30	Age Began Using Drugs Once/Wk (Years)	35.3%

Table 14 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
3.5% (4 of 114 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
DS4 If working: Full/Part-Time Status	44.4%
DS3 If Working: Occupation	50.1%
DS20 Age at First Marriage (Years)	75.5%
DS2 If Attending School: Full/Part-Time	82.1%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P15

Table 15: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=351)                                    Missing Values
-----
0.2% (1 of 411 variables) have 0% Missing Values
0.0% (0 of 411 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values
0.0% (0 of 411 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values
11.9% (49 of 411 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values
7.1% (29 of 411 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

LOC3      Locus of Control Item #3                        5.1%
LOC5      Locus of Control Item #5                        5.1%
LOC10     Locus of Control Item #10                       5.1%
LOC15     Locus of Control Item #15                       5.1%
PCE12     Perceived Control Item #12                      5.1%
PCE24     Perceived Control Item #24                      5.1%
PCE28     Perceived Control Item #28                      5.1%
PCE34     Perceived Control Item #34                      5.1%
LOC9      Locus of Control Item #9                        5.4%
LOC11     Locus of Control Item #11                      5.4%
LOC18     Locus of Control Item #18                      5.4%
PCE4      Perceived Control Item #4                      5.4%
PCE5      Perceived Control Item #5                      5.4%
PCE21     Perceived Control Item #21                      5.4%
PCE39     Perceived Control Item #39                      5.4%
LOC12     Locus of Control Item #12                      5.7%
LOC14     Locus of Control Item #14                      5.7%
LOC17     Locus of Control Item #17                      5.7%
PCE3      Perceived Control Item #3                      5.7%
PCE6      Perceived Control Item #6                      5.7%
PCE7      Perceived Control Item #7                      5.7%
LOC19     Locus of Control Item #19                      6.0%
PCE32     Perceived Control Item #32                      6.0%
LOC2      Locus of Control Item #2                        6.3%
=====

```

Table 15 (continued)

Variable Name and Label		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
PCE2	Perceived Control Item #2	6.3%
PCE14	Perceived Control Item #14	6.3%
PCE8	Perceived Control Item #8	6.8%
PCE11	Perceived Control Item #11	7.4%
PCE13	Perceived Control Item #13	7.7%
0.0% (0 of 411 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values		
70.8% (291 of 411 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values		
PCEI23	Perceived Control Item #23 Time 2	37.9%
CMI9	Coping Method Item #9 Time 2	37.9%
CMI16	Coping Method Item #16 Time 2	37.9%
CMI25	Coping Method Item #25 Time 2	37.9%
CMI26	Coping Method Item #26 Time 2	37.9%
CMI37	Coping Method Item #37 Time 2	37.9%
CONI1	Contacts With Outside #1 Time 2	37.9%
CONI2	Contacts With Outside #2 Time 2	37.9%
CONI3	Contacts With Outside #3 Time 2	37.9%
CM24	Coping Method Item #24	38.2%
AAI1	IMPACT Attitude #1	38.2%
AAI19	IMPACT Attitude #19	38.2%
AAI20	IMPACT Attitude #20	38.2%
AAI24	IMPACT Attitude #24	38.2%
AAI26	IMPACT Attitude #26	38.2%
AI1	Assertive Interaction Item #1	38.2%
AI3	Assertive Interaction Item #3	38.2%
AI4	Assertive Interaction Item #4	38.2%
AI5	Assertive Interaction Item #5	38.2%
AI7	Assertive Interaction Item #7	38.2%
LOCI1	Locus of Control Item #1 Time 2	38.2%
LOCI15	Locus of Control Item #15 Time 2	38.2%
PCEI1	Perceived Control Item #1 Time 2	38.2%
PCEI16	Perceived Control Item #16 Time 2	38.2%
PCEI25	Perceived Control Item #25 Time 2	38.2%
PCEI40	Perceived Control Item #40 Time 2	38.2%
CMI8	Coping Method Item #8 Time 2	38.2%
CMI13	Coping Method Item #13 Time 2	38.2%
CMI35	Coping Method Item #35 Time 2	38.2%
CMI40	Coping Method Item #40 Time 2	38.2%
CMI41	Coping Method Item #41 Time 2	38.2%
CMI42	Coping Method Item #42 Time 2	38.2%

Table 15 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
CMI43	Coping Method Item #43 Time 2	38.2%
CMI46	Coping Method Item #46 Time 2	38.2%
CONI5	Contacts With Outside #5 Time 2	38.2%
CM19	Coping Method Item #19	38.5%
CM23	Coping Method Item #23	38.5%
CM31	Coping Method Item #31	38.5%
CM32	Coping Method Item #32	38.5%
CM38	Coping Method Item #38	38.5%
CM40	Coping Method Item #40	38.5%
CM41	Coping Method Item #41	38.5%
CM45	Coping Method Item #45	38.5%
ST1	State-Trait Anxiety #1	38.5%
ST20	State-Trait Anxiety #20	38.5%
AAI13	IMPACT Attitude #13	38.5%
AAI15	IMPACT Attitude #15	38.5%
AAI23	IMPACT Attitude #23	38.5%
AAI25	IMPACT Attitude #25	38.5%
AAI31	IMPACT Attitude #31	38.5%
AAI33	IMPACT Attitude #33	38.5%
AAI34	IMPACT Attitude #34	38.5%
AAI35	IMPACT Attitude #35	38.5%
AI2	Assertive Interaction Item #2	38.5%
AI6	Assertive Interaction Item #6	38.5%
AI9	Assertive Interaction Item #9	38.5%
LOCI17	Locus of Control Item #17 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI4	Perceived Control Item #4 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI9	Perceived Control Item #9 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI19	Perceived Control Item #19 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI22	Perceived Control Item #22 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI26	Perceived Control Item #26 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI27	Perceived Control Item #27 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI28	Perceived Control Item #28 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI35	Perceived Control Item #35 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI37	Perceived Control Item #37 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI39	Perceived Control Item #39 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI41	Perceived Control Item #41 Time 2	38.5%
PCEI46	Perceived Control Item #46 Time 2	38.5%
CMI14	Coping Method Item #14 Time 2	38.5%
CMI17	Coping Method Item #17 Time 2	38.5%
CMI21	Coping Method Item #21 Time 2	38.5%
CMI24	Coping Method Item #24 Time 2	38.5%
CMI44	Coping Method Item #44 Time 2	38.5%

Table 15 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
CMI45	Coping Method Item #45 Time 2	38.5%
AAII1	IMPACT Attitude #1 Time 2	38.5%
AAII18	IMPACT Attitude #18 Time 2	38.5%
AAII19	IMPACT Attitude #19 Time 2	38.5%
AAII28	IMPACT Attitude #28 Time 2	38.5%
AII8	Assertive Interaction Item #8 Time 2	38.5%
AII9	Assertive Interaction Item #9 Time 2	38.5%
CPI2	Conflict Item #2 Time 2	38.5%
CPI3	Conflict Item #3 Time 2	38.5%
CPI5	Conflict Item #5 Time 2	38.5%
CPI6	Conflict Item #6 Time 2	38.5%
CONI4	Contacts With Outside #4 Time 2	38.5%
CM37	Coping Method Item #37	38.7%
CM42	Coping Method Item #42	38.7%
CM46	Coping Method Item #46	38.7%
ST12	State-Trait Anxiety #12	38.7%
ST17	State-Trait Anxiety #17	38.7%
ST19	State-Trait Anxiety #19	38.7%
AAI4	IMPACT Attitude #4	38.7%
AAI14	IMPACT Attitude #14	38.7%
AAI16	IMPACT Attitude #16	38.7%
AAI21	IMPACT Attitude #21	38.7%
AAI22	IMPACT Attitude #22	38.7%
AAI28	IMPACT Attitude #28	38.7%
AAI32	IMPACT Attitude #32	38.7%
AAI36	IMPACT Attitude #36	38.7%
AI8	Assertive Interaction Item #8	38.7%
CP1	Conflict Item #1	38.7%
CP2	Conflict Item #2	38.7%
CP3	Conflict Item #3	38.7%
CP4	Conflict Item #4	38.7%
CP5	Conflict Item #5	38.7%
LOCI2	Locus of Control Item #2 Time 2	38.7%
LOCI9	Locus of Control Item #9 Time 2	38.7%
LOCI14	Locus of Control Item #14 Time 2	38.7%
LOCI20	Locus of Control Item #20 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI7	Perceived Control Item #7 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI10	Perceived Control Item #10 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI12	Perceived Control Item #12 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI17	Perceived Control Item #17 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI18	Perceived Control Item #18 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI29	Perceived Control Item #29 Time 2	38.7%

Table 15 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
PCEI30	Perceived Control Item #30 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI31	Perceived Control Item #31 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI36	Perceived Control Item #36 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI38	Perceived Control Item #38 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI42	Perceived Control Item #42 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI43	Perceived Control Item #43 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI44	Perceived Control Item #44 Time 2	38.7%
PCEI45	Perceived Control Item #45 Time 2	38.7%
CMI6	Coping Method Item #6 Time 2	38.7%
CMI10	Coping Method Item #10 Time 2	38.7%
CMI11	Coping Method Item #11 Time 2	38.7%
CMI12	Coping Method Item #12 Time 2	38.7%
CMI31	Coping Method Item #31 Time 2	38.7%
CMI32	Coping Method Item #32 Time 2	38.7%
CMI39	Coping Method Item #39 Time 2	38.7%
AIII10	IMPACT Attitude #10 Time 2	38.7%
AIII11	IMPACT Attitude #11 Time 2	38.7%
AIII14	IMPACT Attitude #14 Time 2	38.7%
AIII20	IMPACT Attitude #20 Time 2	38.7%
AIII23	IMPACT Attitude #23 Time 2	38.7%
AIII24	IMPACT Attitude #24 Time 2	38.7%
AIII26	IMPACT Attitude #26 Time 2	38.7%
AIII27	IMPACT Attitude #27 Time 2	38.7%
AIII30	IMPACT Attitude #30 Time 2	38.7%
AIII32	IMPACT Attitude #32 Time 2	38.7%
AIII33	IMPACT Attitude #33 Time 2	38.7%
AIII34	IMPACT Attitude #34 Time 2	38.7%
AII1	Assertive Interaction Item #1 Time 2	38.7%
AII2	Assertive Interaction Item #2 Time 2	38.7%
AII4	Assertive Interaction Item #4 Time 2	38.7%
AII5	Assertive Interaction Item #5 Time 2	38.7%
AII6	Assertive Interaction Item #6 Time 2	38.7%
AII7	Assertive Interaction Item #7 Time 2	38.7%
CPI1	Conflict Item #1 Time 2	38.7%
CPI4	Conflict Item #4 Time 2	38.7%
JESS22	Asocial Index At Time 2	38.7%
JESS26	Immaturity At Time 2	38.7%
JESS30	Alienation At Time 2	38.7%
JESS34	Withdrawal At Time 2	38.7%
JESS36	Social Anxiety At Time 2	38.7%
JESS38	Repression At Time 2	38.7%
JESS40	Denial At Time 2	38.7%

Table 15 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
CM14	Coping Method Item #14	39.0%
CM16	Coping Method Item #16	39.0%
CM18	Coping Method Item #18	39.0%
CM25	Coping Method Item #25	39.0%
CM26	Coping Method Item #26	39.0%
CM27	Coping Method Item #27	39.0%
CM33	Coping Method Item #33	39.0%
CM35	Coping Method Item #35	39.0%
CM39	Coping Method Item #39	39.0%
AAI2	IMPACT Attitude #2	39.0%
AAI3	IMPACT Attitude #3	39.0%
AAI8	IMPACT Attitude #8	39.0%
AAI17	IMPACT Attitude #17	39.0%
AAI18	IMPACT Attitude #18	39.0%
AAI27	IMPACT Attitude #27	39.0%
AAI29	IMPACT Attitude #29	39.0%
AAI30	IMPACT Attitude #30	39.0%
CP6	Conflict Item #6	39.0%
LOCI3	Locus of Control Item #3 Time 2	39.0%
LOCI4	Locus of Control Item #4 Time 2	39.0%
LOCI8	Locus of Control Item #8 Time 2	39.0%
LOCI11	Locus of Control Item #11 Time 2	39.0%
LOCI12	Locus of Control Item #12 Time 2	39.0%
LOCI16	Locus of Control Item #16 Time 2	39.0%
LOCI19	Locus of Control Item #19 Time 2	39.0%
PCEI3	Perceived Control Item #3 Time 2	39.0%
PCEI11	Perceived Control Item #11 Time 2	39.0%
PCEI15	Perceived Control Item #15 Time 2	39.0%
PCEI33	Perceived Control Item #33 Time 2	39.0%
PCEI34	Perceived Control Item #34 Time 2	39.0%
CMI1	Coping Method Item #1 Time 2	39.0%
CMI2	Coping Method Item #2 Time 2	39.0%
CMI3	Coping Method Item #3 Time 2	39.0%
CMI18	Coping Method Item #18 Time 2	39.0%
CMI22	Coping Method Item #22 Time 2	39.0%
CMI34	Coping Method Item #34 Time 2	39.0%
CMI36	Coping Method Item #36 Time 2	39.0%
CMI38	Coping Method Item #38 Time 2	39.0%
STI4	State-Trait Anxiety #4 Time 2	39.0%
STI17	State-Trait Anxiety #17 Time 2	39.0%
STI19	State-Trait Anxiety #19 Time 2	39.0%
STI20	State-Trait Anxiety #20 Time 2	39.0%

Table 15 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
AIII3	IMPACT Attitude #3 Time 2	39.0%
AIII4	IMPACT Attitude #4 Time 2	39.0%
AIII5	IMPACT Attitude #5 Time 2	39.0%
AIII7	IMPACT Attitude #7 Time 2	39.0%
AIII9	IMPACT Attitude #9 Time 2	39.0%
AIII15	IMPACT Attitude #15 Time 2	39.0%
AIII16	IMPACT Attitude #16 Time 2	39.0%
AIII17	IMPACT Attitude #17 Time 2	39.0%
AIII25	IMPACT Attitude #25 Time 2	39.0%
AIII29	IMPACT Attitude #29 Time 2	39.0%
AIII36	IMPACT Attitude #36 Time 2	39.0%
AII3	Assertive Interaction Item #3 Time 2	39.0%
JESS20	Social Maladjustment At Time 2	39.0%
JESS24	Value Orientation At Time 2	39.0%
CM3	Coping Method Item #3	39.3%
CM17	Coping Method Item #17	39.3%
CM22	Coping Method Item #22	39.3%
CM28	Coping Method Item #28	39.3%
CM34	Coping Method Item #34	39.3%
CM36	Coping Method Item #36	39.3%
CM44	Coping Method Item #44	39.3%
ST2	State-Trait Anxiety #2	39.3%
ST7	State-Trait Anxiety #7	39.3%
ST10	State-Trait Anxiety #10	39.3%
ST11	State-Trait Anxiety #11	39.3%
ST15	State-Trait Anxiety #15	39.3%
ST16	State-Trait Anxiety #16	39.3%
ST18	State-Trait Anxiety #18	39.3%
AAI11	IMPACT Attitude #11	39.3%
AAI12	IMPACT Attitude #12	39.3%
LOCI5	Locus of Control Item #5 Time 2	39.3%
LOCI6	Locus of Control Item #6 Time 2	39.3%
LOCI7	Locus of Control Item #7 Time 2	39.3%
LOCI13	Locus of Control Item #13 Time 2	39.3%
LOCI21	Locus of Control Item #21 Time 2	39.3%
PCEI5	Perceived Control Item #5 Time 2	39.3%
CMI4	Coping Method Item #4 Time 2	39.3%
CMI20	Coping Method Item #20 Time 2	39.3%
CMI23	Coping Method Item #23 Time 2	39.3%
CMI28	Coping Method Item #28 Time 2	39.3%
CMI33	Coping Method Item #33 Time 2	39.3%
STI1	State-Trait Anxiety #1 Time 2	39.3%

Table 15 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
STI6	State-Trait Anxiety #6 Time 2	39.3%
STI7	State-Trait Anxiety #7 Time 2	39.3%
STI11	State-Trait Anxiety #11 Time 2	39.3%
STI15	State-Trait Anxiety #15 Time 2	39.3%
STI16	State-Trait Anxiety #16 Time 2	39.3%
STI18	State-Trait Anxiety #18 Time 2	39.3%
AIII13	IMPACT Attitude #13 Time 2	39.3%
AIII21	IMPACT Attitude #21 Time 2	39.3%
AIII22	IMPACT Attitude #22 Time 2	39.3%
AIII31	IMPACT Attitude #31 Time 2	39.3%
AIII35	IMPACT Attitude #35 Time 2	39.3%
JESS28	Autism At Time 2	39.3%
JESS32	Manifest Aggression At Time 2	39.3%
CM1	Coping Method Item #1	39.6%
CM15	Coping Method Item #15	39.6%
CM21	Coping Method Item #21	39.6%
CM30	Coping Method Item #30	39.6%
ST3	State-Trait Anxiety #3	39.6%
ST4	State-Trait Anxiety #4	39.6%
ST6	State-Trait Anxiety #6	39.6%
AAI5	IMPACT Attitude #5	39.6%
AAI6	IMPACT Attitude #6	39.6%
AAI7	IMPACT Attitude #7	39.6%
AAI9	IMPACT Attitude #9	39.6%
LOCI10	Locus of Control Item #10 Time 2	39.6%
LOCI18	Locus of Control Item #18 Time 2	39.6%
PCEI2	Perceived Control Item #2 Time 2	39.6%
PCEI6	Perceived Control Item #6 Time 2	39.6%
PCEI14	Perceived Control Item #14 Time 2	39.6%
CMI15	Coping Method Item #15 Time 2	39.6%
CMI19	Coping Method Item #19 Time 2	39.6%
CMI27	Coping Method Item #27 Time 2	39.6%
CMI29	Coping Method Item #29 Time 2	39.6%
STI3	State-Trait Anxiety #3 Time 2	39.6%
STI10	State-Trait Anxiety #10 Time 2	39.6%
STI12	State-Trait Anxiety #12 Time 2	39.6%
STI13	State-Trait Anxiety #13 Time 2	39.6%
AIII2	IMPACT Attitude #2 Time 2	39.6%
AIII6	IMPACT Attitude #6 Time 2	39.6%
AIII8	IMPACT Attitude #8 Time 2	39.6%
CM9	Coping Method Item #9	39.9%
CM20	Coping Method Item #20	39.9%

Table 15 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
AAI10 IMPACT Attitude #10	39.9%
PCEI8 Perceived Control Item #8 Time 2	39.9%
PCEI21 Perceived Control Item #21 Time 2	39.9%
PCEI24 Perceived Control Item #24 Time 2	39.9%
PCEI32 Perceived Control Item #32 Time 2	39.9%
CMI5 Coping Method Item #5 Time 2	39.9%
CMI30 Coping Method Item #30 Time 2	39.9%
10.0% (41 of 411 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
CM4 Coping Method Item #4	40.2%
CM11 Coping Method Item #11	40.2%
CM43 Coping Method Item #43	40.2%
ST5 State-Trait Anxiety #5	40.2%
ST8 State-Trait Anxiety #8	40.2%
ST14 State-Trait Anxiety #14	40.2%
PCEI20 Perceived Control Item #20 Time 2	40.2%
CMI7 Coping Method Item #7 Time 2	40.2%
AAII12 IMPACT Attitude #12 Time 2	40.2%
CM7 Coping Method Item #7	40.5%
CM13 Coping Method Item #13	40.5%
ST9 State-Trait Anxiety #9	40.5%
ST13 State-Trait Anxiety #13	40.5%
STI2 State-Trait Anxiety #2 Time 2	40.5%
STI5 State-Trait Anxiety #5 Time 2	40.5%
STI9 State-Trait Anxiety #9 Time 2	40.5%
CM2 Coping Method Item #2	40.7%
PCEI13 Perceived Control Item #13 Time 2	40.7%
CM6 Coping Method Item #6	41.0%
CM8 Coping Method Item #8	41.0%
CM10 Coping Method Item #10	41.0%
STI8 State-Trait Anxiety #8 Time 2	41.0%
CM12 Coping Method Item #12	41.3%
CM29 Coping Method Item #29	41.3%
STI14 State-Trait Anxiety #14 Time 2	41.3%
CM5 Coping Method Item #5	42.5%
PE10 Expectation Item #10	43.3%
PE13 Expectation Item #13	43.3%
PE2 Expectation Item #2	43.6%
PE5 Expectation Item #5	43.6%
PE9 Expectation Item #9	43.6%
PE11 Expectation Item #11	43.6%

Table 15 (continued)

Variable Name and Label		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
PE12	Expectation Item #12	43.6%
PE14	Expectation Item #14	43.6%
PE1	Expectation Item #1	43.9%
PE3	Expectation Item #3	43.9%
PE7	Expectation Item #7	43.9%
PE8	Expectation Item #8	43.9%
PE15	Expectation Item #15	43.9%
PE4	Expectation Item #4	44.2%
PE6	Expectation Item #6	44.4%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P16

Table 16: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=193)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
--	---

27.3% (6 of 22 variables) have 0% Missing Values
 22.7% (5 of 22 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values
 40.9% (9 of 22 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values
 9.1% (2 of 22 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

=====
 *Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P17

Table 17: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=2640)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

3.3% (3 of 91 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 91 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 91 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
11.0% (10 of 91 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
22.0% (20 of 91 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
MIS9 Overall P/P Evaluation	5.1%
PR4 Curfew Violation	5.5%
W7 Employers Evaluation of Subject	5.6%
E1 Currently In Employment Training/School	5.7%
PR13 Community Service Supervisor Evaluation	5.7%
PR14 Subject Paid Restitution	6.0%
MIS5 Completed Intensive Parole	6.2%
MIS11 Source of Information	6.3%
I1 With Whom Is The Subject Living	6.9%
PR1 Evaluation of Subject Attitude	7.1%
E3 Teacher/Administrator Evaluation	7.5%
PR2 Evaluation of Subject Appearance	7.9%
PR10 Lied To P/P Officer	8.3%
MIS7 Graduated Vocational Tech/Business	8.3%
W8 Job Search Efforts	8.4%
MIS6 Finished GED	8.4%
I4 Subject Moved Because of Other Reasons	8.6%
W5 Did Subject Work F/T But Quit	9.1%
SA3 Progress With Substance Abuse Counseling	9.4%
SA2 Required To Attend Drug Treatment	9.8%
7.7% (7 of 91 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
SA4 Subject Had Positive Alco-Sensor Test	10.9%
=====	

Table 17 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
SA6 Has Subject Had a Positive Drug Test	11.4%
PR3 Evaluation of Subject Adjustment	12.0%
L14 Has The Subject Been Reconvicted	12.2%
I3 Subject Moved Because Personal Problems	12.6%
SA1 Required To Attend AA	14.4%
PR12 Does Subject Resist Blame For Violation	18.7%
3.3% (3 of 91 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
I6 With Whom Is Subject Living After Move	20.6%
W4 Subject Laid off	26.3%
I17 No Major Events	31.3%
52.7% (48 of 91 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
W1 Subject Working Full Time	42.8%
W6 Why Did Subject Quit if Working F/T	56.7%
W3 Subject Unemployed	62.6%
W2 Subject Working Part Time	67.3%
PR9 No. of Times Failed To Keep Appointment	88.4%
E2 Type of Training/School	90.2%
PR7 No. of Times Failed To Inform	93.4%
PR11 No. of Times Subject Lied To Officer	94.9%
I15 Change In Financial State	95.3%
I16 Other Major Events	95.4%
PR5 No. of Curfew Violations	96.9%
I14 Personal Injury/Illness	98.0%
L11 No. Of Nonviolent Offense Arrests	98.1%
ARAGE5 Age 1st Arrest Nonviol Offense (In Days)	98.2%
SA8 Specific Drugs Found in Tests	98.6%
SA7 No. of Positive Drug Screen Test Result	99.0%
I8 Experienced Death In Immediate Family	99.1%
I13 Birth of Child	99.2%
L5 No. Of Drug Offense Arrests	99.2%
L9 No. Of Violent Offense Arrests	99.3%
I9 Experienced Death of Relative or Friend	99.4%
ARAGE2 Age 1st Arrest Drug Offense (In Days)	99.4%
ARAGE4 Age 1st Arrest Violent Offense (In Days)	99.4%
I12 Separated From Spouse	99.4%
SA5 No. of Positive Alco-Sensor Test Result	99.5%
L7 No. Of Traffic Offense Arrests	99.5%
CONAGE5 Age 1st Conviction Nonviol Off (In Days)	99.6%

Table 17 (continued)

Variable Name and Label		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
L24	No. Of Nonviolent Offense Convictions	99.6%
ARAGE3	Age 1st Arrest Traffic Offense (In Days	99.7%
I10	Married	99.7%
L3	No. Of DWI Arrests	99.8%
L13	No. Of Alcohol Defined Offense Arrests	99.8%
REVAGE	Age Parole/Probation Revocation(In Days	99.8%
L20	No. Of Traffic Offense Convictions	99.8%
I11	Divorced	99.9%
L18	No. Of Drug Offense Convictions	99.9%
CONAGE3	Age 1st Conviction Traffic Off (In Days	99.9%
L26	No. Of Alcohol Offense Convictions	99.9%
ARAGE6	Age 1st Arrest Alcohol Offense (In Days	99.9%
L16	No. Of DWI Convictions	99.9%
CONAGE2	Age 1st Conviction Drug Off (In Days)	99.9%
L22	No. Of Violent Offense Convictions	99.9%
CONAGE6	Age 1st Conviction Alcohol Off (In Days	99.9%
ARAGE1	Age At 1st Arrest For DWI	100.0%
CONAGE1	Age at 1st Conviction For DWI (In Days)	100.0%
CONAGE4	Age 1st Conviction Violent Off (In Days	100.0%
I5	Specific Reason For Moving	100.0%
MIS8	Other Substantial Accomplishments	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA6986.P18

Table 18: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=542)                                    Missing Values
-----
3.8% (5 of 132 variables) have 0% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 132 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 132 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

0.8% (1 of 132 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

0.8% (1 of 132 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

REGSUP Regular Supervision Indicator                    7.4%

6.8% (9 of 132 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

RESTIT Restitution Indicator                            13.3%
COMMSERV Community Service Indicator                    13.3%
DRUGTRT Drug Treatment/Evaluation Indicator            13.3%
URINE Urinalysis Indicator                             13.3%
EMPLOY Employment Indicator                             13.3%
EDUC Education Indicator                               13.3%
SUPFEE Supervision Fee Indicator                       13.3%
ARREST 2 Year Supervision Arrest Indicator             18.8%
ARREST2 2 Year Supervision Arrest Indicator            18.8%

8.3% (11 of 132 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

ARPRISON Arrest Without Prison Revocation              22.1%
AGEREGS1 Age at Beg Regular Supervision (Days)         22.9%
LEGREL Legal Release Indicator                          23.4%
ABSCOND 2 Year Supervision Abscond Indicator           25.1%
JAILSTR Jailed and Released During 2 Years            25.1%
TECHREV Returned/Revoked for Tech Viol Indicator      25.5%
CONVICT Convicted of New Crime During 2 Years         26.4%
CASEPEND Case Pending at End of 2 Years Indicator     26.9%
=====

```

Table 18 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
NWCRIMRV Returned/Revoked for New Crime Indicator	27.1%
HARDLAB Sentenced to Hard Labor Indicator	27.5%
CONVICT2 Convicted of New Crime During 2 Years	34.9%
79.5% (105 of 132 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
AGEPRIS Age at Date of Entry in ARDC (Days)	41.9%
SUPLEV1 Assigned Supervision Level	44.3%
ARAGE1 Age at First Arrest (Days)	51.8%
ARTV1 Technical Violation Indicator 1	52.6%
ARNWCR1 New Crime Indicator 1	53.3%
AGEBPH1 Age At Phase I Beginning Date (Days)	60.1%
NARRESTS Number of Arrests During Supervision	60.5%
RISK1 Risk Score Assigned By Parole/Probation	67.5%
NEED1 Need Score Assigned By Parole/Probation	67.5%
ARFEL1 Felony Indicator 1	68.8%
ARCRIM1 Crime Category 1	68.8%
AGELEGR Age at Legal Release (Days)	74.4%
AGEEPH1 Age At Phase I End Date (Days)	75.6%
AGEREGS2 Age Beg Reg Super-Status Change (Days)	77.1%
SUPLEV2 Supervision Level Second Status Change	77.7%
AGEBPH2 Age At Phase II Beginning Date (Days)	77.9%
RISK2 Risk Score at Second Status Change	80.4%
NEED2 Need Score at Second Status Change	80.4%
AGEEPH2 Age At Phase II End Date (Days)	84.1%
ARTYPE1 Type of Technical Violation 1	84.5%
JL1AGE Age at First Jailing (Days)	84.9%
REVAGE1 Age at Return for Techn Violation (Days)	85.2%
NDAYJL1 Length of First Jailing (Days)	85.8%
TVTYPE Type of Technical Violation	86.9%
ARAGE2 Age at Second Arrest (Days)	86.9%
ARTV2 Technical Violation Indicator 2	87.1%
NJAIL Number of Times Jailed	87.5%
TERMRATE Termination Rating	87.6%
REVAGE2 Age at Return for New Crime (Days)	87.8%
ARNWCR2 New Crime Indicator 2	88.0%
CONFEL1 Felony Indicator 1	88.9%
CONCRIM1 Conviction Crime Category 1	88.9%
ABLAGE Age at First Abscond (Days)	89.1%
CONAGE1 Age at First Conviction (Days)	89.1%
ABARREST Number of Absconds During Supervision	89.5%
NCONVICT Number of New Convictions	89.5%

Table 18 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
ARFEL2	Felony Indicator 2	90.2%
ARCRIM2	Crime Category 2	90.2%
AGEREGS3	Age Super Second Status Change (Days)	93.2%
SUPLEV3	Supervision Level Third Status Change	93.4%
RISK3	Risk Score at Third Status Change	93.5%
NEED3	Need Score at Third Status Change	93.5%
AGEBPH3	Age At Phase III Beginning Date (Days)	93.9%
AGEEPH3	Age At Phase III End Date (Days)	96.5%
ARAGE3	Age at Third Arrest (Days)	97.0%
ARTV3	Technical Violation Indicator 3	97.0%
ARNWCR3	New Crime Indicator 3	97.2%
NDAYJL2	Length of Second Jailing (Days)	97.4%
ARTYPE2	Type of Technical Violation 2	97.8%
AGEREGS4	Age Super Third Status Change (Days)	98.2%
SUPLEV4	Supervision Level Fourth Status Change	98.2%
ARFEL3	Felony Indicator 3	98.2%
ARCRIM3	Crime Category 3	98.2%
RISK4	Risk Score at Fourth Status Change	98.3%
NEED4	Need Score at Fourth Status Change	98.3%
ARAGE4	Age at Fourth Arrest (Days)	98.9%
ARTV4	Technical Violation Indicator 4	98.9%
ARNWCR4	New Crime Indicator 4	98.9%
ARFEL4	Felony Indicator 4	99.1%
ARCRIM4	Crime Category 4	99.1%
ARTYPE3	Type of Technical Violation 3	99.3%
CONAGE2	Age at Second Conviction (Days)	99.4%
CONFEL2	Felony Indicator 2	99.4%
CONCRIM2	Conviction Crime Category 2	99.4%
HLAGE	Age at Conviction (Days)	99.6%
FELONY	Felony Indicator	99.6%
SENTENCE	Sentence Facility	99.6%
SENLEN	Sentence Length (Months)	99.6%
HLCRIME	Hard Labor Crime Category	99.6%
ARAGE5	Age at Fifth Arrest (Days)	99.6%
ARTV5	Technical Violation Indicator 5	99.6%
ARNWCR5	New Crime Indicator 5	99.6%
ARTYPE5	Type of Technical Violation 5	99.8%
ARFEL5	Felony Indicator 5	99.8%
ARCRIM5	Crime Category 5	99.8%
NDAYJL3	Length of Third Jailing (Days)	100.0%
NDAYJL4	Length of Fourth Jailing (Days)	100.0%
ARTYPE4	Type of Technical Violation 4	100.0%

Table 18 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
ARAGE6	Age at Sixth Arrest (Days)	100.0%
ARTV6	Technical Violation Indicator 6	100.0%
ARTYPE6	Type of Technical Violation 6	100.0%
ARNWCR6	New Crime Indicator 6	100.0%
ARFEL6	Felony Indicator 6	100.0%
ARCRIM6	Crime Category 6	100.0%
ARAGE7	Age at Seventh Arrest (Days)	100.0%
ARTV7	Technical Violation Indicator 7	100.0%
ARTYPE7	Type of Technical Violation 7	100.0%
ARNWCR7	New Crime Indicator 7	100.0%
ARFEL7	Felony Indicator 7	100.0%
ARCRIM7	Crime Category 7	100.0%
ARAGE8	Age at Eighth Arrest (Days)	100.0%
ARTV8	Technical Violation Indicator 8	100.0%
ARTYPE8	Type of Technical Violation 8	100.0%
ARNWCR8	New Crime Indicator 8	100.0%
ARFEL8	Felony Indicator 8	100.0%
ARCRIM8	Crime Category 8	100.0%
CONAGE3	Age at Third Conviction (Days)	100.0%
CONFEL3	Felony Indicator 3	100.0%
CONCRIM3	Conviction Crime Category 3	100.0%
CONAGE4	Age at Fourth Conviction (Days)	100.0%
CONFEL4	Felony Indicator 4	100.0%
CONCRIM4	Conviction Crime Category 4	100.0%
CONAGE5	Age at Fifth Conviction (Days)	100.0%
CONFEL5	Felony Indicator 5	100.0%
CONCRIM5	Conviction Crime Category 5	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.