
**Public Policy and Socio-Economic Data
for Large Cities in the United States, 1960**

Herman Turk

ICPSR 0065

This document was previously available in paper format only. It was converted to Portable Document Format (PDF), with no editing, on the date below as part of ICPSR's electronic document conversion project, supported in part by the National Science Foundation (SBR-9617813). The document may not be completely searchable. No additional updating of this collection has been performed.

October 1998

*INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR
POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH*

I
C
P
S
R

PUBLIC POLICY AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC
DATA FOR LARGE CITIES IN
THE UNITED STATES, 1960

(ICPSR 0065)

Principal
Investigator Herman Turk

University of Southern California

ICPSR Edition

PUBLIC POLICY AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA FOR
LARGE CITIES IN THE UNITED STATES, 1960

(ICPSR 0065)

Principal Investigator

Herman Turk
University of Southern California

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48106

ICPSR Edition

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ASSISTANCE

All manuscripts utilizing data made available through the Consortium should acknowledge that fact as well as identify the original collector of the data. The ICPSR council urges all users of ICPSR data facilities to follow some adaptation of this statement with the parentheses indicating items to be filled in appropriately or deleted by the individual user.

The data (and tabulations) utilized in this (publication) were made available (in part) by the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research. The data for the Public Policy and Socio-Economic Data for Large Cities in the United States, 1960 were originally collected by Herman Turk. Neither the original collectors of the data nor the Consortium bear any responsibility for the analyses or interpretations presented here.

In order to provide funding agencies with essential information about the use of archival resources, and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, each user of the ICPSR data facilities is expected to send two copies of each completed manuscript or thesis abstract to the Consortium. Please indicate in the cover letter which data were used.

STUDY DESCRIPTION

The data described in this codebook refer to all incorporated cities in the United States having more than 100,000 inhabitants in 1960. Except where noted by an asterisk (*), all variables have been transformed into 130-point rank-order scales (from a low of 0 to a high of 129), with ties being assigned the authentic mean of the tied ranks. All variables are based on city-level data unless otherwise noted in the variable description. Herman Turk of the University of Southern California compiled these data and has provided them to the Consortium.

DATA ON 130 INCORPORATED CITIES
WITH POPULATION GREATER THAN 100,000 IN 1960

<u>Variable Number</u>	<u>Description</u>			<u>Source</u>
1	City Code			
	City			
	Iden-			
	tifi-			
	cation			
	<u>Number</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
	001	Santa Ana	Orange	California
	002	Utica	Oneida	New York
	003	Torrance	Los Angeles	California
	004	Wichita Falls	Archer-Wichita ¹	Texas
	005	Niagara Falls	Niagara	New York
	006	New Bedford	Bristol	Massachusetts
	007	Peoria	Peoria	Illinois
	008	Anaheim	Orange	California
	009	Duluth	St. Louis	Minnesota
	010	Waterbury	New Haven	Connecticut
	011	Elizabeth	Union	New Jersey
	012	Cambridge	Middlesex	Massachusetts
	013	Lansing	Ingham	Michigan
	014	Little Rock	Pulaski	Arkansas
	015	Allentown	Lehigh	Pennsylvania
	016	Winston-Salem	Forsyth	North Carolina
	017	Berkeley	Alameda	California
	018	Scranton	Lackawanna	Pennsylvania
	019	Hammond	Lake	Indiana
	020	Knoxville	Knox	Tennessee
	021	Dearborn	Wayne	Michigan
	022	Canton	Stark	Ohio
	023	Newport News	2	Virginia
	024	Trenton	Mercer	New Jersey
	025	Portsmouth	2	Virginia
	026	Pasadena	Los Angeles	California
	027	Columbus	Muscogee	Georgia
	028	Camden	Camden	New Jersey
	029	Beaumont	Jefferson	Texas
	030	Glendale	Los Angeles	California
	031	Topeka	Shawnee	Kansas
	032	Greensboro	Guilford	North Carolina
	033	Kansas City	Wyandotte	Kansas
	034	Rockford	Winnebago	Illinois
	035	Madison	Dane	Wisconsin

City
Iden-
tifi-
cation

<u>Number</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
036	Lincoln	Lancaster	Nebraska
037	Lubbock	Lubbock	Texas
038	Albany	Albany	New York
039	Chattanooga	Hamilton	Tennessee
040	South Bend	St. Joseph	Indiana
041	Fresno	Fresno	California
042	Montgomery	Montgomery	Alabama
043	Amarillo	Potter ¹ -Randall	Texas
044	Erie	Erie	Pennsylvania
045	Evansville	Vanderburgh	Indiana
046	Paterson	Passaic	New Jersey
047	Jackson	Hinds	Mississippi
048	Tacoma	Pierce	Washington
049	Savannah	Chatham	Georgia
050	New Haven	New Haven	Connecticut
051	Baton Rouge	East Baton Rouge	Louisiana
052	Bridgeport	Fairfield	Connecticut
053	Fort Wayne	Allen	Indiana
054	Hartford	Hartford	Connecticut
055	Shreveport	Bossier-Caddo ¹	Louisiana
056	Youngstown	Mahoning ¹ - Trumbull	Ohio
057	Corpus Christi	Nueces	Texas
058	Nashville	Davidson	Tennessee
059	Springfield	Hampden	Massachusetts
060	Grand Rapids	Kent	Michigan
061	Gary	Lake	Indiana
062	St. Petersburg	Pinellas	Florida
063	Spokane	Spokane	Washington
064	Austin	Travis	Texas
065	Worcester	Worcester	Massachusetts
066	Salt Lake City	Salt Lake	Utah
067	Yonkers	Westchester	New York
068	Sacramento	Sacramento	California
069	Flint	Genesee	Michigan
070	Jacksonville	Duval	Florida
071	Albuquerque	Bernalillo	New Mexico
072	Charlotte	Mecklenburg	North Carolina
073	Mobile	Mobile	Alabama
074	San Jose	Santa Clara	California
075	Providence	Providence	Rhode Island
076	Des Moines	Polk	Iowa
077	Tucson	Pima	Arizona
078	Syracuse	Onondaga	New York
079	Richmond	2	Virginia
080	Wichita	Sedgwick	Kansas

City
Iden-
tifi-
cation

<u>Number</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
081	Tulsa	Osage-Tulsa ¹	Oklahoma
082	Dayton	Montgomery	Ohio
083	Tampa	Hillsborough	Florida
084	Jersey City	Hudson	New Jersey
085	El Paso	El Paso	Texas
086	Akron	Summit	Ohio
087	Miami	Dade	Florida
088	Honolulu	Honolulu	Hawaii
089	Omaha	Douglas	Nebraska
090	Norfolk	2	Virginia
091	St. Paul	Ramsey	Minnesota
092	Toledo	Lucas	Ohio
093	Rochester	Monroe	New York
094	Oklahoma City	Canadian- Oklahoma ¹ - Cleveland	Oklahoma
095	Birmingham	Jefferson	Alabama
096	Long Beach	Los Angeles	California
097	Ft. Worth	Tarrant	Texas
098	Oakland	Alameda	California
099	Portland	Clackamus- Multnomah ¹	Oregon
100	Louisville	Jefferson	Kentucky
101	Newark	Essex	New Jersey
102	Phoenix	Maricopa	Arizona
103	Columbus	Franklin	Ohio
104	Kansas City	Clay-Jackson ¹	Missouri
105	Indianapolis	Marion	Indiana
106	Minneapolis	Hennepin	Minnesota
107	Atlanta	Dekalb-Fulton ¹	Georgia
108	Denver	Denver	Colorado
109	Memphis	Shelby	Tennessee
110	Cincinnati	Hamilton	Ohio
111	Buffalo	Erie	New York
112	Seattle	King	Washington
113	San Diego	San Diego	California
114	San Antonio	Bexar	Texas
115	Pittsburgh	Allegheny	Pennsylvania
116	New Orleans	Orleans	Louisiana
117	Dallas	Dallas	Texas
118	Boston	Suffolk	Massachusetts
119	San Francisco	San Francisco	California
120	Milwaukee	Milwaukee	Wisconsin
121	St. Louis	St. Louis	Missouri
122	Washington	3	District of Columbia

City
Iden-
tifi-
cation

<u>Number</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
123	Cleveland	Cuyahoga	Ohio
124	Houston	Harris	Texas
125	Baltimore	2	Maryland
126	Detroit	Wayne	Michigan
127	Philadelphia	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania
128	Los Angeles	Los Angeles	California
129	Chicago	Cook ¹ -DuPage	Illinois
130	New York	4	New York

1 Indicates which county's data was used when the sample city fell within more than one county

2 Independent cities; city data used in place of county data

3 District of Columbia data used in place of county data

4 New York City data used in place of county data

2 City Name

3 State Name

Variables 4 through 6 refer to the form of municipal government

4* Mayor and council government, 1962 7

0. no (114 cities)

1. yes (16 cities)

5* Commission government, 1962 7

0. no (65 cities)

1. yes (65 cities)

6* City Manager government, 1962 7

0. no (81 cities)

1. yes (49 cities)

7* Reform Government, 1962 7

Four point scale scoring one each for non-partisan elections, city manager system, and at-large election of councilmen.

0. (26 cities)

1. (33 cities)

2. (34 cities)

3. (37 cities)

<u>Variable Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>
8	Per capita total government revenue, 1960	1
9	Per capita total county government revenue, 1960	1
10	Per capita total government expenditure, 1960	1
11	Per capita total county government expenditure, 1960	1
12	Per capita full time government employees, 1960	1
13	Number of full time government employees as per cent of labor force, 1960	1
14	Per capita county government employees, 1960	1
15	Number of county government employees as per cent of labor force, 1960	1
16*	Diversity of 1960 ranked municipal expenditures per capita for education, fire protection, health, highways, police protection, sanitation, and welfare, 1960	14
	0. low (66 cities)	
	1. high; i.e., above median (64 cities)	
17*	Scale and diversification of municipal government, 1960	14
	0. low (93 cities)	
	1. high; more than the median number of municipal employees per capita and above the median in similarity of municipal expenditure per capita across standard budget categories (37 cities)	
18	Number of national headquarters of voluntary associations in city, 1960	13
19*	Uncontested and community-wide local voluntary associations, 1961	13
	0. not mentioned (54 cities)	
	1. mention made of one or more such associations by community knowledgeable in response to form letters (76 cities)	
20	Per capita expenditure for highways, 1962	1
21	Per cent of total expenditure used for highways, 1960	1
22	Per capita county expenditure for highways, 1960	1
23	Per cent of total county expenditure used for highways, 1960	1

<u>Variable Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>
24	Per capita number of recreation volunteers, 1961	9
25	Per capita recreation leadership salaries and wages, 1961	9
26	Per capita total recreation expenditures, 1961	9
27	Per capita park acreage, 1961	9
28	Per capita expenditure for fire protection, 1960	1
29	Per cent of total expenditure used for fire protection, 1960	1
30	Per capita number of police, uniformed and civilians, 1961	5
31	Per capita expenditure for police, 1960	1
32	Per cent of total expenditure used for police protection, 1960	1
33	Per capita county expenditure for police protection, 1962	5
34	Percent of total county expenditure used for police protection, 1962	5
35	Per capita expenditure for education, 1962	5
36	Per cent of total expenditure used for education, 1962	5
37	Per capita county expenditure for education, 1962	5
38	Per cent of total county expenditure used for education, 1962	5
39	Per capita expenditure for public welfare, 1962	5
40	Per cent of total expenditure used for public welfare, 1962	5
41	Per capita county expenditure for public welfare, 1962	5
42	Per cent of total county expenditure used for public welfare, 1962	5
43	Per cent of total expenditure used for sanitation, 1962	5
44	Per capita expenditure for health and hospitals, 1962	5
45	Per cent of total expenditure used for health and hospitals, 1962	5

<u>Variable Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>
46	Per capita county expenditure for health and hospitals, 1962	5
47	Per cent of total county expenditure used for health and hospitals, 1962	5
48	Number of general, short-term, nonproprietary hospitals, 1960	15
49	Per capita hospital beds, 1960	15
50*	Hospital association in city in 1969	14
	0. no accredited hospital associations (85 cities)	
	1. presence of hospital association accredited by the American Hospital Association (45 cities)	
51*	City had a fluoridated water supply in 1966	8
	0. no (77 cities)	
	1. yes (53 cities)	
52*	At least one broad health and welfare council report between 1961 and 1969	16
	0. no (87 cities)	
	1. yes (43 cities)	
53	Per capita number of live births, 1959	1
54	Median age of population, 1960	1
55	Per capita deaths, 1959	1
56	Per cent of labor force unemployed, 1960	1
57	Per cent of families with income less than \$3,000, 1959	1
58	Median income of families, 1959	1
59	Per cent of families with income of \$10,000 or more, 1959	1
60	Income diversity index, 1959	1
	The product of the percent of families with income of less than \$3,000 times the percent of families with income of \$10,000 or more times the percent of families with income between \$3,000 and \$10,000.	
61	Per cent of units in sound condition with all plumbing, 1960	1
62	Per cent of units with more than 1.01 persons per room, 1960	1

<u>Variable Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>
63	Per capita professional, technical and kindred workers, 1960	3
64	Per cent of labor force professional, technical and kindred, 1960	3
65	Per capita professional, technical and kindred workers, county, 1960	3
66	Per cent of labor force professional, technical and kindred workers, county, 1960	3
67	Per cent of employed who are self-employed, county, 1960	3
68	Per cent of labor force in white collar occupations, 1960	1
69	Per capita persons in clerical and kindred occupations, 1960	3
70	Per cent of labor force in clerical and kindred occupations, 1960	3
71	Per capita workers in clerical and kindred occupations, county, 1960	3
72	Per cent of labor force in clerical and kindred occupations, county, 1960	3
73	Median school years completed for persons age 25 and older, 1960	1
74	Per cent of persons 14 to 17 years of age not in school, 1960	6
75	Per capita employed in education, 1960	1
76	Per cent of labor force employed in education, 1960	1
77	Per cent of elementary school children in private schools, 1960	6
78	Per cent foreign born, 1960	1
79	Per cent native born of foreign or mixed parentage, 1960	1
80	Per cent non-white of total population, 1960	1
81*	Total number of riots in city, July 18, 1964 through August 7, 1967	11
82	Per capita civilian male labor force, 1960	3
83	Per capita civilian labor force, male and female, 1960	1
84	Per capita civilian labor force, male and female, county, 1960	1

<u>Variable Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>
85	Per cent males over 14 in labor force, 1960	3
86	Per cent females over 14 in labor force, 1960	3
87	Per capita married women in labor force with husband present, 1960	3
88	Per cent of 21 and older voting for president in 1960, county	1
89	Per cent democrat in 1960 two-party presidential vote, county	1
90	Variance in 1960 presidential voting preference, county	1
91	Per capita persons in welfare organizations, county, 1960	3
92	Per capita in welfare, religious and non-profit occupations, 1960	3
93	Per cent of labor force in welfare, religious and non-profit occupations, 1960	3
94	Per capita funding by poverty program as of April 1, 1966	9
95	Per capita funding by poverty program as of April 1, 1966, county	9
96*	Date of Community Action Agency formation	13
	0. no agency as of June, 1966 (57 cities)	
	1. agency formed prior to June, 1966 (73 cities)	
97*	Sponsorship of Neighborhood Youth Corps, June, 1966	13
	0. all others (87 cities)	
	1. funding by one Federal agency and Neighborhood Youth Corps sponsorship only by individual organizations (43 cities)	
98*	Community Action Agency sponsorship of Neighborhood Youth Corps, June, 1966	13
	0. all others (101 cities)	
	1. funding by two Federal agencies and at least one Neighborhood Youth Corps sponsored by a Community Action Center (29 cities)	
99	Per capita United Fund raised in 1966	

<u>Variable Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>
100*	Urban renewal status, December 31, 1960	12
	0. inactive (40 cities)	
	1. project planning (17 cities)	
	2. contract executed (65 cities)	
	3. project completed (8 cities)	
101*	In first wave of Model Cities, 1968	16
	0. no (88 cities)	
	1. yes (42 cities)	
102	Per capita export establishments, ranked before computing, 1963	5,13
103	Differentiation among export establishments, 1963	5,13
104	Differentiation among maintenance establishments, 1963	5,13
105*	Nelson's classification, 1950	13
	0. other (61 cities)	
	1. location within a diversified or financial region, 1950 (69 cities)	
106	Bank deposits per capita, 1960	1
107	Per capita persons employed in finance, insurance and real estate, 1960	1
108	Per cent of labor force employed in finance, insurance and real estate, 1960	1
109	Per capita labor force employed in transportation, 1960	1
110	Per cent of labor force employed in transportation, 1960	1
111	Per cent of labor force employed in communication, 1960	1
112	Per capita persons employed in public administration, 1966	0
113	Per cent of labor force employed in public administration, 1960	1
114	Per capita persons employed in construction, 1960	1
115	Per cent of labor force employed in construction, 1960	1
116	Per capita value added by manufacturing, unadjusted, 1954	1
117	Per capita number of manufacturing establishments, 1958	1

<u>Variable Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>
118	Per capita employees in manufacturing, 1958	1
119	Per cent of labor force in manufacturing, 1958	1
120	Per capita wholesale establishments, 1958	1
121	Per capita wholesale sales, 1958	1
122	Per capita paid wholesale employees, 1960	1
123	Number of paid wholesale employees as per cent of labor force, 1960	1
124	Per capita employed in wholesale and retail trade, 1960	1
125	Per cent of labor force employed in wholesale and retail trade, 1960	1
126	Per capita retail employees, 1958	1
127	Number of retail employees as per cent of labor force, 1958	1
128	Per capita number of retail establishments, 1958	1
129	Per capita retail trade sales, 1954	1
130	Number of inhabitants, 1960	1
131	Number of inhabitants, county, 1960	1
132	Census year reaching 50,000 population	4
133	Net population increase, 1950-1960	1
134	Per cent moved in from different county, 1955-1960	1
135	Population per square mile, 1960	1
136*	Located in Northeast	13
	0. no (101 cities)	
	1. yes (29 cities)	
137*	Located in the East North Central	13
	0. no (106 cities)	
	1. yes (24 cities)	
138*	Located in the Southeast	13
	0. no (105 cities)	
	1. yes (25 cities)	

<u>Variable Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>
139*	Located in the West 0. no (95 cities) 1. yes (35 cities)	13
140*	Located in the Southwest 0. no (113 cities) 1. yes (17 cities)	13

SOURCES

Source citations 1 through 5 for variables and/or denominators for per capita rates or percentages refer to the following U. S. Census sources:

1. 1962 County and City Data Book. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1962.
2. U. S. Census of the Population: 1960 General Social and Economic Characteristics, United States Summary. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1962.
3. U. S. Census of the Population: 1960, Characteristics of the Population, (Vol. 1). Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1963.
4. U. S. Census of the Population: 1960, Number of Inhabitants, United States Summary. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1964.
5. 1967 County and City Data Book. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1967.
6. U. S. Census of the Population: 1960 General Social and Economic Characteristics, United States Summary. Final Report PC(1)-LC. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1964.

Citations for the remaining variables refer to the following sources and/or references.

7. Nolting, Orin F. and David S. Arnold (ed.), The Municipal Year Book, 1963. Chicago: The International City Managers' Association, 1963.
8. U. S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare. Fluoridation Census. Bethesda, Maryland, 1967.
9. U. S. Office of Economic Opportunity. Poverty Program Information as of 1 April, 1966. Washington. 1966.
10. Butler, George D. (ed.), Recreation and Park Yearbook 1961. New York: National Recreation Association, 1961.
11. U. S. News and World Report, Time, New York Times, Christian Science Monitor.
12. U. S. House and Home Finance Agency. Fourteenth Annual Report. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1960.
13. Turk, Herman, "Interorganizational networks in urban society: initial perspectives and comparative research." American Sociological Review 35 (February, 1970): pp. 1-19.

14. Turk, Herman, "Comparative urban structure from an interorganizational perspective." Administrative Science Quarterly 18 (March, 1973): pp. 37-55.
15. Journal of the American Hospital Association, Hospitals: Guide Issue, 1961.
16. Turk, Herman, "Interorganizational activation in urban communities: deductions from the concept of system." The Arnold and Caroline Rose Monograph Series of the American Sociological Association, 1973.