

#### **ICPSR 4677**

### Impact of Terrorism on State and Local Law Enforcement Agencies and Criminal Justice Systems in the United States, 2004

Chad Foster

Council of State Governments

Gary Cordner

Eastern Kentucky University

Local Law Enforcement Agency Data





#### **NACJD Terms of Use**

Before downloading or analyzing any dataset from NACJD, users are instructed to read the following:

In preparing data for release through its web site, NACJD staff take steps to safeguard the identity of all research subjects. For example, direct identifiers are omitted from datasets, and other characteristics are recoded or masked if they can be combined to identify individuals.

Any intentional identification or disclosure of a person or establishment violates the assurances of confidentiality given to the providers of the information. Therefore, users of data obtained from the NACJD or any ICPSR archive agree:

- To use these datasets solely for statistical analysis and reporting of aggregated information, and not for investigation of specific individuals or organizations, except when identification is authorized in writing by the archive;
- To make no use of the identity of any person or establishment discovered inadvertently, and to advise the archive of any such discovery;
- To produce no links among NACJD datasets or among NACJD data and other datasets that could identify individuals or organizations; and
- To comply with the request that downloaded material not be redistributed or sold to other individuals, institutions, or organizations without the written agreement of the archive.

Furthermore, Federal law and regulations require that research data collected by the U.S. Department of Justice or by its grantees and contractors may only be used for statistical and research analysis. The applicable law and regulations may be found in the United States Code, 42 USC Section 3789g(a), and the Code of Federal Regulations, 28 CFR 22. Accordingly, any intentional identification or disclosure of a person or establishment may violate Federal law as well as the assurances of confidentiality given to the providers of the information. Therefore, users of data collected by or with the support from the U.S. Department of Justice and distributed by NACJD or other ICPSR archives must agree to abide by these regulations and understand that ICPSR may report any potential violation to the U.S. Department of Justice.

NACJD further asks that any books, articles, conference papers, theses, dissertations, reports, or other publications that employ data or other resources provided by NACJD reference the bibliographic citation provided in the ICPSR study description for each data collection. These citations acknowledge the principal investigators, the data producers, and ICPSR as the data distributor, in accord with recommended citation procedures for computer files in the social sciences. Also, authors of publications based on our data should send copies of their published works or references to the publications to ICPSR for inclusion in a database of related literature.

In addition, the user acknowledges that the original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for use of the data or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

By downloading or analyzing data obtained from NACJD, users signify agreement to comply with the above-stated requirements and give assurance that the use of statistical data obtained from NACJD will conform to widely-accepted standards of practice and legal restrictions that are intended to protect the confidentiality of research subjects.

#### **ICPSR CODEBOOK NOTES**

 ICPSR masked or edited some variables due to confidentiality concerns. The original content of these variables is available through our restricted access procedures (see note 3 below).

a) ICPSR masked the following character variables in the State Law Enforcement Agency Dataset:

OTHER1 "SPECIFY 'OTHER' LAW ENFORCEMENT RESPONSI"

OTHER2 "SPECIFY 'OTHER' LAW ENFORCEMENT RESPONSI"

OTHER3 "SPECIFY 'OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES (1)"

OTHER4 "SPECIFY 'OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES (2)"

OTHER5 "SPECIFY 'OTHER AGENCY' IN YOUR STATE'S H"

b) ICPSR masked the following character variables in the Local Law Enforcement Agency Dataset:

OTHER1 "SPECIFY 'OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES (1)"

OTHER2 "SPECIFY 'OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES (2)"

OTHER3 "SPECIFY 'OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES (3)"

OTHER4 "SPECIFY 'OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES (1)"

OTHER5 "SPECIFY 'OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES (2)"

2) Per correspondence with the PI, ICPSR masked the following variables in the State Law Enforcement Agency Dataset. The original content of these variables is available through our restricted access procedures (see note 3 below).

LOCATION "LOCATION OF STATE"

BORDER "BORDER STATE"

GREAT "GREAT LAKE"

RURALURB "RURAL VS. URBAN"

3) To address confidentiality concerns, certain identifying information is restricted from general dissemination. Specifically, for this study, certain geographic and character variables are restricted from general dissemination. Users interested in obtaining these data must complete a Restricted Data Use Agreement form and specify the reasons for the request. A copy of the Restricted Data Use Agreement form can be requested by calling 800-999-0960. Researchers can also download this form as a Portable Document Format (PDF) file from the download page associated with this dataset.

Completed forms should be returned to: Director, National Archive of Criminal Justice Data, Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Institute for Social Research, P.O. Box 1248, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1248, or by fax: 734-647-8200.

4) Users should be aware that some variables in this data collection may contain undocumented codes.

#### Codebook for ICPSR 04677

## Impact of Terrorism on State and Local Law Enforcement Agencies Dataset0002: Local Law Enforcement Agency Data

#### Variable Variable Description

Please Note: The "(M)" to the right of the value indicates the code has been designated as a missing value.

#### ID ID NUMBER

Start: 1 End: 3 Width: 3

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete

 Valid
 Min
 Max
 Mean
 Stdev

 186
 3.00
 399.00
 176.66
 112.72

#### SIZE SIZE OF DEPARTMENT

Start: 4 End: 5 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Small	45	24.2 %	24.6%
2	Medium	20	10.8 %	10.9%
3	Large	118	63.4 %	64.5%
99 (M)	No answer	3	1.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
183	1.00	3.00	2.40	0.86

#### A1 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 6 End: 7 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Fewer resources	3	1.6 %	3.4%
3	No change	25	13.4 %	28.7%
4	More reseources	47	25.3 %	54.0%
5	Much more resources	12	6.5 %	13.8%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	98	52.7 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	1	0.5 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
87	2.00	5.00	3.78	0.72

#### Variable Variable Description A2 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO Start: 8 Value Label Frequency % Valid % End: 9 1 Much fewer resources 0.5 % 2.4% 1 Width: 2 Type: numeric (ISO) 2 Fewer resources 1 0.5 % 2.4% Interval: discrete 3 Missing: 98, 99 16.1 % 71.4% No change 30 4 16.7% More resources 7 3.8 % 5 Much more resources 3 1.6 % 7.1% 98 (M) N/A for our agency 143 76.9 % 99 (M) 0.5 % No answer 1 Valid Min Max Mean Stdev

5.00

#### A3 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

1.00

42

Start: 10 End: 11 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	1	0.5 %	0.7%
2	Fewer resources	2	1.1 %	1.4%
3	No change	105	56.5 %	72.9%
4	More resources	30	16.1 %	20.8%
5	Much more resources	6	3.2 %	4.2%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	40	21.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

3.24

0.73

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
144	1.00	5.00	3.26	0.59

#### OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 12 End: 13 Width: 2

Α4

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	1	0.5 %	0.6%
2	Fewer resources	16	8.6 %	8.8%
3	No change	104	55.9 %	57.5%
4	More resources	49	26.3 %	27.1%
5	Much more resources	11	5.9 %	6.1%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	5	2.7 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

# Variable Variable Description A4 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO (cont.) Valid Min Max Mean Stdev 181 1.00 5.00 3.29 0.74

#### A5 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 14 End: 15 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	3	1.6 %	1.7%
2	Fewer resources	12	6.5 %	6.6%
3	No change	118	63.4 %	65.2%
4	More resources	45	24.2 %	24.9%
5	Much more resources	3	1.6 %	1.7%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	4	2.2 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	1	0.5 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
181	1.00	5.00	3.18	0.65

#### OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 16 End: 17 Width: 2

A6

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	2	1.1 %	1.2%
2	Fewer resources	5	2.7 %	3.0%
3	No change	103	55.4 %	62.0%
4	More resources	53	28.5 %	31.9%
5	Much more resources	3	1.6 %	1.8%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	15	8.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	5	2.7 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
166	1.00	5.00	3.30	0.62

#### A7 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 18 End: 19 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Fewer resources	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	29	15.6 %	51.8%
4	More resources	14	7.5 %	25.0%

Variable	Variable	Variable Description							
A7	OUR AG	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO (cont.)							
	Value	Labe	e/			Frequency	/ %	Valid %	
	5	Much more resources			13	7.0 %	23.2%		
	98 (M)	N/A	for our age	ncy		130	69.9 %	-	
	99 (M)	No answer			0	0.0 %	-		
	Valid	Min Max Mean		) ,	Stdev				
	56	3.00 5.00 3.71			(	).82			

#### A8 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 20 End: 21 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	1	0.5 %	0.6%
2	Fewer resources	9	4.8 %	5.0%
3	No change	88	47.3 %	48.6%
4	More resources	61	32.8 %	33.7%
5	Much more resources	22	11.8 %	12.2%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	4	2.2 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	1	0.5 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
181	1.00	5.00	3.52	0.79

#### A9 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 22 End: 23 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	2	1.1 %	1.1%
2	Fewer resources	14	7.5 %	7.8%
3	No change	117	62.9 %	65.0%
4	More resources	40	21.5 %	22.2%
5	Much more resources	7	3.8 %	3.9%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	5	2.7 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	1	0.5 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev	
180	1.00	5.00	3.20	0.68	

#### Variable Variable Description

#### A10 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 24 End: 25 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	1	0.5 %	0.6%
2	Fewer resources	4	2.2 %	2.3%
3	No change	58	31.2 %	33.3%
4	More resources	74	39.8 %	42.5%
5	Much more resources	37	19.9 %	21.3%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	11	5.9 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	1	0.5 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
174	1.00	5.00	3.82	0.81

#### A11 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 26 End: 27 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	2	1.1 %	1.2%
2	Fewer resources	6	3.2 %	3.5%
3	No change	58	31.2 %	33.9%
4	More resources	73	39.2 %	42.7%
5	Much more resources	32	17.2 %	18.7%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	13	7.0 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
171	1.00	5.00	3.74	0.84

#### A12 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 28 End: 29 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	1	0.5 %	0.6%
2	Fewer resources	3	1.6 %	1.7%
3	No change	53	28.5 %	30.5%
4	More resources	77	41.4 %	44.3%
5	Much more resources	40	21.5 %	23.0%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	12	6.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

## Variable Variable Description A12 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO (cont.) Valid Min Max Mean Stdev 174 1.00 5.00 3.87 0.80

#### A13 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 30 End: 31 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	1	0.5 %	0.6%
2	Fewer resources	1	0.5 %	0.6%
3	No change	69	37.1 %	41.3%
4	More resources	73	39.2 %	43.7%
5	Much more resources	23	12.4 %	13.8%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	18	9.7 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	1	0.5 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
167	1.00	5.00	3.69	0.73

#### A14 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 32 End: 33 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Fewer resources	13	7.0 %	7.2%
3	No change	135	72.6 %	74.6%
4	More resources	30	16.1 %	16.6%
5	Much more resources	3	1.6 %	1.7%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	4	2.2 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	1	0.5 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
181	2.00	5.00	3.13	0.54

#### A15 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 34 End: 35 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	1	0.5 %	0.6%
2	Fewer resources	13	7.0 %	7.2%
3	No change	129	69.4 %	71.3%
4	More resources	33	17.7 %	18.2%

Variable	Variable	Desci	ription					
A15	OUR AG	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO (cont.)						
	Value	Labe	e/			Frequenc	/ %	Valid %
	5	Much more resources			5	2.7 %	2.8%	
	98 (M)	N/A	for our ager	псу		5	2.7 %	-
	99 (M)	No answer			0	0.0 %	-	
	Valid		Min	Max	Mear	)	Stdev	
	181	1.00 5.00 3.15				0.60		

#### A16

Start: 36 End: 37 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

#### OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Fewer resources	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4	More resources	7	3.8 %	63.6%
5	Much more resources	4	2.2 %	36.4%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	175	94.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
11	4.00	5.00	4.36	0.50

#### OTHER1

SPECIFY 'OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES (1)'

Start: 38 End: 137 Width: 100

Type: character (ISO) Interval: discrete

Value	Frequency	%	Valid %
Masked	11	5.9 %	5.9%
N/A	175	94.1 %	94.1%

#### A17

OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 138 End: 139 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Fewer resources	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4	More resources	2	1.1 %	66.7%
5	Much more resources	1	0.5 %	33.3%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	183	98.4 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable De	Variable Description						
A17	OUR AGEN	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO (cont.)						
	Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev			
	3	4.00	5.00	4.33	0.58			

#### OTHER2 SPECIFY 'OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES (2)'

Start: 140 End: 239 Width: 100

Type: character (ISO) Interval: discrete

Value	Frequency	%	Valid %
Masked	3	1.6 %	1.6%
N/A	183	98.4 %	98.4%

#### A18 OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO

Start: 240 End: 241 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much fewer resources	0	0.0 %	NaN
2	Fewer resources	0	0.0 %	NaN
3	No change	0	0.0 %	NaN
4	More resources	0	0.0 %	NaN
5	Much more resources	0	0.0 %	NaN
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	186	100.0 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

#### OTHER3 SPECIFY 'OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES (3)'

Start: 242 End: 341 Width: 100

Type: character (ISO) Interval: discrete

Value	Frequency	%	Valid %
N/A	186	100.0 %	100.0%

#### B1 THE PARTICIPATION OF FEDERAL AGENCIES IN

Start: 342 End: 343 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	1	0.5 %	0.5%
2	Decreased	21	11.3 %	11.5%
3	No change	124	66.7 %	68.1%
4	Increased	34	18.3 %	18.7%
5	Significantly increased	2	1.1 %	1.1%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	1	0.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	3	1.6 %	-

### Variable Variable Description

#### B1 THE PARTICIPATION OF FEDERAL AGENCIES IN (cont.)

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
182	1.00	5.00	3.08	0.60

#### B2 THE PARTICIPATION OF FEDERAL AGENCIES I

Start: 344 End: 345 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Decreased	11	5.9 %	6.0%
3	No change	118	63.4 %	64.8%
4	Increased	47	25.3 %	25.8%
5	Significantly increased	6	3.2 %	3.3%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	1	0.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	3	1.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
182	2.00	5.00	3.26	0.62

#### THE PARTICIPATION OF FEDERAL AGENCIES I

Start: 346 End: 347 Width: 2

**B**3

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	4	2.2 %	2.2%
2	Decreased	30	16.1 %	16.4%
3	No change	134	72.0 %	73.2%
4	Increased	15	8.1 %	8.2%
5	Significantly increased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	1	0.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
183	1.00	4.00	2.87	0.57

#### B4 THE INVOLVEMENT OF FEDERAL AGENCIES IN

Start: 348 End: 349 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	2	1.1 %	1.1%
2	Decreased	10	5.4 %	5.5%
3	No change	75	40.3 %	41.4%
4	Increased	84	45.2 %	46.4%

#### Variable Variable Description В4 THE INVOLVEMENT OF FEDERAL AGENCIES IN (cont.) Value Label Frequency % Valid % 5 Significantly increased 10 5.4 % 5.5% 98 (M) N/A for our agency 2 1.1 % 3 1.6 % 99 (M) No answer Valid Min Max Mean Stdev 181 1.00 5.00 3.50 0.74

#### B5 OUR OWN AGENCY'S ASSIGNMENT OF PERSONNE

Start: 350 End: 351 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
Significantly decreased	2	1.1 %	1.1%
Decreased	6	3.2 %	3.3%
No change	74	39.8 %	40.7%
Increased	82	44.1 %	45.1%
Significantly increased	18	9.7 %	9.9%
N/A for our agency	1	0.5 %	-
No answer	3	1.6 %	-
	Significantly decreased  Decreased  No change Increased  Significantly increased  N/A for our agency	Significantly decreased 2  Decreased 6  No change 74  Increased 82  Significantly increased 18  N/A for our agency 1	Significantly decreased       2       1.1 %         Decreased       6       3.2 %         No change       74       39.8 %         Increased       82       44.1 %         Significantly increased       18       9.7 %         N/A for our agency       1       0.5 %

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
182	1.00	5.00	3.59	0.76

#### B6 OUR OWN AGENCY'S INVOLVEMENT IN IMMIGRA

Start: 352 End: 353 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	2	1.1 %	1.1%
2	Decreased	1	0.5 %	0.5%
3	No change	134	72.0 %	73.6%
4	Increased	37	19.9 %	20.3%
5	Significantly increased	8	4.3 %	4.4%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	2	1.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev	
182	1.00	5.00	3.26	0.60	

#### Variable Variable Description

#### C1 OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG

Start: 354 End: 355 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	2	1.1 %	1.1%
2	Decreased	13	7.0 %	7.1%
3	No change	142	76.3 %	78.0%
4	Increased	23	12.4 %	12.6%
5	Significantly increased	2	1.1 %	1.1%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	2	1.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
182	1.00	5.00	3.05	0.53

#### C2 OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG

Start: 356 End: 357 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	1	0.5 %	0.5%
2	Decreased	4	2.2 %	2.2%
3	No change	142	76.3 %	78.0%
4	Increased	34	18.3 %	18.7%
5	Significantly increased	1	0.5 %	0.5%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	2	1.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
182	1.00	5.00	3.16	0.48

#### C3 OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG

Start: 358 End: 359 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	1	0.5 %	0.5%
2	Decreased	5	2.7 %	2.7%
3	No change	155	83.3 %	85.2%
4	Increased	20	10.8 %	11.0%
5	Significantly increased	1	0.5 %	0.5%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	2	1.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

# C3 OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG (cont.) Valid Min Max Mean Stdev 182 1.00 5.00 3.08 0.42

#### C4 OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG

Start: 360 End: 361 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Decreased	7	3.8 %	3.9%
3	No change	96	51.6 %	53.0%
4	Increased	69	37.1 %	38.1%
5	Significantly increased	9	4.8 %	5.0%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	1	0.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	4	2.2 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
181	2.00	5.00	3.44	0.65

#### OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG

Start: 362 End: 363 Width: 2

C5

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	1	0.5 %	0.5%
2	Decreased	14	7.5 %	7.7%
3	No change	133	71.5 %	73.1%
4	Increased	34	18.3 %	18.7%
5	Significantly increased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	2	1.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev	
182	1.00	4.00	3.10	0.53	

#### C6 OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG

Start: 364 End: 365 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	2	1.1 %	1.1%
2	Decreased	13	7.0 %	7.2%
3	No change	139	74.7 %	77.2%
4	Increased	26	14.0 %	14.4%

Variable	Variable	Desci	ription						
C6	OUR AG	OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG (cont.)							
	Value	Label			Frequency	/ %	Valid %		
	5	Significantly increased			0	0.0 %	0.0%		
	98 (M)	N/A	for our agen	су		3	1.6 %	-	
	99 (M)	No a	nswer			3	1.6 %	-	
	Valid		Min	Max	Mear	)	Stdev		
	180	1.00 4.00 3.0			3.05	0.51			

#### C7 OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG

Start: 366 End: 367 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Decreased	3	1.6 %	1.6%
3	No change	148	79.6 %	81.3%
4	Increased	29	15.6 %	15.9%
5	Significantly increased	2	1.1 %	1.1%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	2	1.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
182	2.00	5.00	3.16	0.44

#### C8 OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG

Start: 368 End: 369 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Decreased	5	2.7 %	2.8%
3	No change	148	79.6 %	82.7%
4	Increased	25	13.4 %	14.0%
5	Significantly increased	1	0.5 %	0.6%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	3	1.6 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	4	2.2 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
179	2.00	5.00	3.12	0.42

### Variable Description

#### C9 OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH STATE AG

Start: 370 End: 371 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Decreased	5	2.7 %	2.8%
3	No change	144	77.4 %	79.6%
4	Increased	31	16.7 %	17.1%
5	Significantly increased	1	0.5 %	0.6%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	3	1.6 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
181	2.00	5.00	3.15	0.45

#### D1 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH 'ATF' AR

Start: 372 End: 373 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.6%
2	Less frequent	2	1.1 %	1.1%
3	No change	85	45.7 %	48.6%
4	More frequent	78	41.9 %	44.6%
5	Much more frequent	9	4.8 %	5.1%
98 (M)	N/A for agency	9	4.8 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
175	1.00	5.00	3.53	0.64

#### D2 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH BORDER P

Start: 374 End: 375 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	1	0.5 %	1.1%
2	Less frequent	1	0.5 %	1.1%
3	No change	66	35.5 %	70.2%
4	More frequent	18	9.7 %	19.1%
5	Much more frequent	8	4.3 %	8.5%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	90	48.4 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

# Variable Variable Description D2 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH BORDER P (cont.) Valid Min Max Mean Stdev 94 1.00 5.00 3.33 0.69

#### D3 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH CENTERS

Start: 376 End: 377 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.7%
3	No change	82	44.1 %	56.6%
4	More frequent	54	29.0 %	37.2%
5	Much more frequent	8	4.3 %	5.5%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	38	20.4 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	3	1.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
145	2.00	5.00	3.48	0.61

#### OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH 'CIA' AR

Start: 378 End: 379 Width: 2

D4

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.8%
2	Less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	113	60.8 %	91.1%
4	Much more frequent	7	3.8 %	5.6%
5	Much more frequent	3	1.6 %	2.4%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	60	32.3 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
124	1.00	5.00	3.09	0.42

#### D5 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH COAST GU

Start: 380 End: 381 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	56	30.1 %	50.0%
4	More frequent	35	18.8 %	31.2%

Variable	Variable	Descr	ription					
D5	OUR AG	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH COAST GU (cont.)						
	Value	Labe	e/			Frequency	%	Valid %
	5	Much more frequent			21	11.3 %	18.8%	
	98 (M)	N/A 1	for our age	ncy		72	38.7 %	-
	99 (M)	99 (M) No answer  Valid Min Max Mean		2	1.1 %	-		
	Valid			Max	Mear	)	Stdev	
	112 3.00 5.00 3.69			.77				

#### D6

Start: 382 End: 383 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

#### **OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH CUSTOMS**

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	72	38.7 %	50.3%
4	More frequent	63	33.9 %	44.1%
5	Much more frequent	8	4.3 %	5.6%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	41	22.0 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
143	3.00	5.00	3.55	0.60

#### D7

Start: 384 End: 385 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

#### OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH 'DEA' AR

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.8%
2	Less frequent	2	1.1 %	1.6%
3	No change	74	39.8 %	60.7%
4	More frequent	42	22.6 %	34.4%
5	Much more frequent	3	1.6 %	2.5%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	9	4.8 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	55	29.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
122	1.00	5.00	3.36	0.60

#### Variable Variable Description

#### D8 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH FEDERAL

Start: 386 End: 387 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	84	45.2 %	73.7%
4	Much more frequent	29	15.6 %	25.4%
5	Much more frequent	1	0.5 %	0.9%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	70	37.6 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
114	3.00	5.00	3.27	0.47

#### D9 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH FEDERAL

Start: 388 End: 389 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	71	38.2 %	53.8%
4	More frequent	52	28.0 %	39.4%
5	Much more frequent	9	4.8 %	6.8%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	49	26.3 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	5	2.7 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
132	3.00	5.00	3.53	0.62

#### D10 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH 'FBI' A

Start: 390 End: 391 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	2	1.1 %	1.1%
2	Less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.6%
3	No change	48	25.8 %	27.0%
4	More frequent	77	41.4 %	43.3%
5	Much more frequent	50	26.9 %	28.1%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	6	3.2 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

# Variable Variable Description D10 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH 'FBI' A (cont.) Valid Min Max Mean Stdev 178 1.00 5.00 3.97 0.82

#### D11 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH 'FEMA'

Start: 392 End: 393 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	3	1.6 %	1.7%
3	No change	94	50.5 %	53.1%
4	More frequent	65	34.9 %	36.7%
5	Much more frequent	15	8.1 %	8.5%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	7	3.8 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev	
177	2.00	5.00	3.52	0.67	

#### D12 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH IMMIGRA

Start: 394 End: 395 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.6%
2	Less frequent	4	2.2 %	2.4%
3	No change	90	48.4 %	54.2%
4	More frequent	56	30.1 %	33.7%
5	Much more frequent	15	8.1 %	9.0%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	18	9.7 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
166	1.00	5.00	3.48	0.72

#### D13 OUR AGENCYS INTERACTIONS WITH 'IRS' AR

Start: 396 End: 397 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.6%
3	No change	144	77.4 %	87.3%
4	More frequent	18	9.7 %	10.9%

Variable	Variable	Desci	ription					
D13	OUR AG	OUR AGENCYS INTERACTIONS WITH 'IRS' AR (cont.)						
	Value	Labe	e/			Frequenc	y %	Valid %
	5	Much more frequent			2	1.1 %	1.2%	
	98 (M)	N/A	for our ager	псу		19	10.2 %	-
	99 (M)	No a	nswer			2	1.1 %	-
	Valid	Min Max Mean			)	Stdev		
	165		2.00 5.00 3.13			0.39		

#### D14

#### Start: 398 End: 399 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

#### **OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH NATIONA**

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.6%
3	No change	98	52.7 %	59.0%
4	More frequent	51	27.4 %	30.7%
5	Much more frequent	16	8.6 %	9.6%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	17	9.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	3	1.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
166	2.00	5.00	3.49	0.68

#### D15

#### Start: 400 End: 401 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

#### **OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH NATIONA**

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	113	60.8 %	89.0%
4	More frequent	10	5.4 %	7.9%
5	Much more frequent	4	2.2 %	3.1%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	56	30.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	3	1.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev	
127	3.00	5.00	3.14	0.43	

#### Variable Variable Description D16 **OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH OFFICE** Start: 402 Value Label Frequency % Valid % End: 403 1 Much less frequent 0 0.0 % 0.0% Width: 2 Type: numeric (ISO) 2 Less frequent 0 0.0 % 0.0% Interval: discrete 3 Missing: 98, 99 29.6 % 34.0% No change 55 4 More frequent 70 37.6 % 43.2% 5 Much more frequent 37 19.9 % 22.8% 98 (M) N/A for our agency 20 10.8 % 99 (M) 4 2.2 % No answer

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
162	3.00	5.00	3.89	0.75

#### D17 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH POSTAL

Start: 404 End: 405 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	116	62.4 %	66.7%
4	More frequent	56	30.1 %	32.2%
5	Much more frequent	2	1.1 %	1.1%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	10	5.4 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
174	3.00	5.00	3.34	0.50

#### D18 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH SECRET

Start: 406 End: 407 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.6%
2	Less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	99	53.2 %	57.6%
4	More frequent	59	31.7 %	34.3%
5	Much more frequent	13	7.0 %	7.6%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	12	6.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Variable	Variable Description					
D18	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH SECRET (cont.)					
	Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev	
	172	1.00	5.00	3.48	0.66	

#### D19 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH U.S. MA

Start: 408 End: 409 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.6%
2	Less frequent	1	0.5 %	0.6%
3	No change	112	60.2 %	67.1%
4	More frequent	40	21.5 %	24.0%
5	Much more frequent	13	7.0 %	7.8%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	14	7.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	5	2.7 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
167	1.00	5.00	3.38	0.66

#### D20 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH OTHER F

Start: 410 End: 411 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4	More frequent	3	1.6 %	50.0%
5	Much more frequent	3	1.6 %	50.0%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	180	96.8 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
6	4.00	5.00	4.50	0.55

#### OTHER4 SPECIFY 'OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES (1)'

Start: 412 End: 511 Width: 100

Type: character (ISO) Interval: discrete

 Value
 Frequency
 %
 Valid %

 Masked
 6
 3.2 %
 3.2%

 N/A
 180
 96.8 %
 96.8%

#### Variable Variable Description

#### D21 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH OTHER F

Start: 512 End: 513 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Much less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Less frequent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4	More frequent	2	1.1 %	66.7%
5	Much more frequent	1	0.5 %	33.3%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	183	98.4 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
3	4.00	5.00	4.33	0.58

#### OTHER5 SPECIFY 'OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES (2)'

Start: 514 End: 613 Width: 100

Type: character (ISO) Interval: discrete

Value	Frequency	%	Valid %
Masked	3	1.6 %	1.6%
N/A	183	98.4 %	98.4%

#### E1 THE INDIVIDUAL OFFICER'S DUTIES AND RES

Start: 614 End: 615 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly disagree	21	11.3 %	11.4%
2	Disagree	81	43.5 %	44.0%
3	Unsure	2	1.1 %	1.1%
4	Agree	63	33.9 %	34.2%
5	Strongly agree	17	9.1 %	9.2%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	2	1.1 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
184	1.00	5.00	2.86	1.26

#### E2 OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIB

Start: 616 End: 617 Width: 2

Val	e Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly disagree	12	6.5 %	6.6%
2	Disagree	37	19.9 %	20.4%
3	Unsure	5	2.7 %	2.8%

Variable	Variable	Descr	ription					
E2	OFFICER	OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIB (cont.)						
	Value	Labe	el			Frequency	%	Valid %
	4	Agree			83	44.6 %	45.9%	
	5	Stror	ngly agree			44	23.7 %	24.3%
	98 (M)	N/A 1	for our agen	су		4	2.2 %	-
	99 (M)	No answer		1	0.5 %	-		
	Valid	Min Max Mean		1 5	Stdev			
	181		1.00	5.00	3.61	1	.24	

#### E3 OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIB

Start: 618 End: 619 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly disagree	7	3.8 %	3.9%
2	Disagree	39	21.0 %	21.5%
3	Unsure	10	5.4 %	5.5%
4	Agree	90	48.4 %	49.7%
5	Strongly agree	35	18.8 %	19.3%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	5	2.7 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
181	1.00	5.00	3.59	1.14

#### E4 OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIB

Start: 620 End: 621 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly disagree	7	3.8 %	3.9%
2	Disagree	35	18.8 %	19.7%
3	Unsure	10	5.4 %	5.6%
4	Agree	94	50.5 %	52.8%
5	Strongly agree	32	17.2 %	18.0%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	7	3.8 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	1	0.5 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
178	1.00	5.00	3.61	1.11

### Variable Variable Description

#### E5 OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIB

Start: 622 End: 623 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly disagree	6	3.2 %	3.3%
2	Disagree	56	30.1 %	31.1%
3	Unsure	14	7.5 %	7.8%
4	Agree	76	40.9 %	42.2%
5	Strongly agree	28	15.1 %	15.6%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	6	3.2 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
180	1.00	5.00	3.36	1.17

#### E6 OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIB

Start: 624 End: 625 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly disagree	10	5.4 %	5.6%
2	Disagree	52	28.0 %	29.2%
3	Unsure	13	7.0 %	7.3%
4	Agree	74	39.8 %	41.6%
5	Strongly agree	29	15.6 %	16.3%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	8	4.3 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
178	1.00	5.00	3.34	1.22

#### F1 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH CONTRACT

Start: 626 End: 627 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	119	64.0 %	64.3%
4	Increased	61	32.8 %	33.0%
5	Significantly increased	5	2.7 %	2.7%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	1	0.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

# VariableVariable DescriptionF1OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH CONTRACT (cont.)ValidMinMaxMeanStdev1853.005.003.380.54

#### F2 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH REPRESEN

Start: 628 End: 629 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	84	45.2 %	45.4%
4	Increased	82	44.1 %	44.3%
5	Significantly increased	19	10.2 %	10.3%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	1	0.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
185	3.00	5.00	3.65	0.66

#### OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH SECURITY

Start: 630 End: 631 Width: 2

F3

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	No change	129	69.4 %	69.7%
4	Increased	52	28.0 %	28.1%
5	Significantly increased	4	2.2 %	2.2%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	1	0.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
185	3.00	5.00	3.32	0.51

#### F4 OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH PRIVATE

Start: 632 End: 633 Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Decreased	1	0.5 %	0.5%
3	No change	69	37.1 %	37.3%
4	Increased	87	46.8 %	47.0%

Variable	Variable	Variable Description						
F4	OUR AG	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH PRIVATE (cont.)						
	Value	Label		Frequency	%	Valid %		
	5	Significantly increased		28	15.1 %	15.1%		
	98 (M)	N/A for our agency		1	0.5 %	-		
	99 (M)	No answer		0	0.0 %	-		
	Valid		Min	Max	Mean	, 3	Stdev	
	185	2.00 5.00 3.77		C	).70			

### F5

Start: 634 End: 635 Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 98, 99

#### OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH PRIVATE

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Significantly decreased	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Decreased	1	0.5 %	0.5%
3	No change	99	53.2 %	54.1%
4	Increased	77	41.4 %	42.1%
5	Significantly increased	6	3.2 %	3.3%
98 (M)	N/A for our agency	1	0.5 %	-
99 (M)	No answer	2	1.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
183	2.00	5.00	3.48	0.57

### Impact of Terrorism on State and Local Law Enforcement Agencies and Criminal Justice Systems in the United States, 2004 (ICPSR 4677)

Original full variable labels for all 72 variables in the Local Law Enforcement Agency Dataset

id	ID NUMBER
size	SIZE OF DEPARTMENT
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "AIRPORT SECURITY"
a1	HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "BORDER SECURITY"
a2	HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "COMMERCIAL VEHICLE
a3	ENFORCEMENT" HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "COMMUNITY POLICING"
a4	HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "DRUG ENFORCEMENT
a5	& INVESTIGATION" HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "INVESTIGATION OF
a6	HIGH-TECH/COMPUTER CRIME" HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "PORT SECURITY"
a7	HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "PREVENTIVE PATROL"
a8	HAS
-0	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "RESPONDING TO
a9	CALLS FOR SERVICE" HAS OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "SECURITY FOR
a10	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE (STATE CAPITAL, POWER PLANTS)" HAS
aiu	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "SECURITY FOR
a11	SPECIAL EVENTS & DIGNITARIES" HAS
αιι	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "INTELLIGENCE
a12	GATHERING, ANALYSIS & SHARING" HAS
412	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "TERRORISM-RELATED
a13	INVESTIGATIONS" HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "TRADITIONAL
a14	CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION" HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "TRAFFIC SAFETY"
a15	HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "OTHER
a16	RESPONSIBILITIES (1)" HAS
other1	SPECIFY "OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES (1)"
	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "OTHER
a17	RESPONSIBILITIES (2)" HAS
other2	SPECIFY "OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES (2)"
- 40	OUR AGENCY'S ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO "OTHER
a18	RESPONSIBILITIES (3)" HAS
other3	SPECIFY "OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES (3)" THE PARTICIPATION OF FEDERAL AGENCIES IN DRUG INVESTIGATIONS
b1	HAS
υı	THE PARTICIPATION OF FEDERAL AGENCIES IN HIGH TECH/COMPUTER
b2	CRIME INVESTIGATIONS HAS
b3	THE PARTICIPATION OF FEDERAL AGENCIES IN TRADITIONAL CRIMINAL
20	THE PARTIES ATTOM OF TESERVE AGENCIES IN TRADITIONAL ORIGINAL

	INVESTIGATIONS HAS
	THE INVOLVEMENT OF FEDERAL AGENCIES IN PROVIDING SUPPORT
b4	SERVICES TO LOCAL POLICE (TRAINING, TA, ETC) HAS
L-C	OUR OWN AGENCY'S ASSIGNMENT OF PERSONNEL TO FEDERAL TASK
b5	FORCES HAS
h.C	OUR OWN AGENCY'S INVOLVEMENT IN IMMIGRATION-RELATED
b6	INVESTIGATIONS AND ENFORCEMENT HAS OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH "STATE AGENCY ASSISTANCE IN
c1	DRUG INVESTIGATIONS" HAS
CT	OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH "STATE AGENCY ASSISTANCE IN
c2	HIGH-TECH/COMPUTER CRIME INVESTIGATION" HAS
02	OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH "STATE AGENCY ASSISTANCE IN
c3	TRADITIONAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS" HAS
00	OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH "STATE AGENCY PROVISION OF
c4	TRAINING AND TA" HAS
0.1	OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH "STATE AGENCY ASSISTANCE WITH
c5	TRAFFIC SAFETY ENFORCEMENT" HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH "STATE AGENCY PROVISION OF
c6	FORENSIC SCIENCE/CRIME LAB ASSISTANCE" HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH "STATE AGENCY PROVISION OF
c7	EMERGENCY RESPONSE/SWAT ASSISTANCE" HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH "STATE AGENCY PROVISION OF
c8	AVIATION OR MARINE ASSISTANCE" HAS
	OUR AGENCY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH "STATE AGENCY PROVISION OF
c9	BOMB SQUAD ASSISTANCE" HAS
d1	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "ATF" ARE
d2	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "BORDER PATROL" ARE
	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL
d3	(CDC)" ARE
d4	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "CIA" ARE
d5	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "COAST GUARD" ARE
d6	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "CUSTOMS" ARE
d7 d8	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "DEA" ARE OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "FEDERAL AIR MARSHALS" ARE
uo	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH FEDERAL ARK MARSHALS ARE  OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "FEDERAL AVIATION
d9	ADMINISTRATION (FAA)" ARE
d10	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "FBI" ARE
d11	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "FEMA" ARE
d12	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "IMMIGRATION" ARE
d13	OUR AGENCYS INTERACTIONS WITH "IRS" ARE
d14	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "NATIONAL GUARD" ARE
	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY
d15	(NSA)" ARE
	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "OFFICE OF DOMESTIC
d16	PREPAREDNESS (ODP)" ARE
d17	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "POSTAL INSPECTORS" ARE
d18	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "SECRET SERVICE" ARE
d19	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "U.S. MARSHALS" ARE
	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES (1)"
d20	ARE
other4	SPECIFY "OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES (1)"
	OUR AGENCY'S INTERACTIONS WITH "OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES (2)"
d21	ARE
other5	SPECIFY "OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES (2)"
-1	THE INDIVIDUAL OFFICER'S DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES HAVE
e1	CHANGED VERY LITTLE.

	OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIBILITIES IN RESPONDING
e2	TO TERRORIST EVENTS.
_	OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIBILITIES IN TERRORISM-
e3	RELATED INTELLIGENCE GATHERING.
	OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIBILITIES IN VULNERABILITY
e4	ASSESSMENT IN THEIR ASSIGNED AREAS.
	OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIBILITIES IN EDUCATING
e5	AND MOBILIZING THE COMMUNITY FOR HOMELAND SECURITY.
	OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEW RESPONSIBILITIES IN INVESTIGATING
e6	TERRORIST ACTS.
	OUR AGENCY'S "INTERACTIONS WITH CONTRACT SECURITY GUARD
f1	COMPANIES" HAVE
	OUR AGENCY'S "INTERACTIONS WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF
f2	CORPORATE SECURITY" HAVE
	OUR AGENCY'S "INTERACTIONS WITH SECURITY SERVICES COMPANIES
f3	(ALARMS, ARMORED CARS)" HAVE
	OUR AGENCY'S "INTERACTIONS WITH PRIVATE COMPANIES ABOUT THE
f4	SECURITY OF THEIR FACILITIES" HAVE
	OUR AGENCY'S "INTERACTIONS WITH PRIVATE COMPANIES ABOUT THEIR
f5	WORKERS (BACKGROUND CHECKS, SECURITY CONCERNS)" HAVE