# Child Care & Early Education RESEARCH CONNECTIONS

### **ICPSR 4379**

# Child Care and Development Fund Administrative Data, Federal Fiscal Year 2001

United States Department of Health and Human Services. Administration for Children and Families. Administration on Children, Youth and Families. Child Care Bureau

Summary Records Codebook

#### About Research Connections

These data are made available by the Child Care and Early Education *Research Connections* project. *Research Connections* promotes high quality research in child care and early education and the use of that research in policymaking.

Research Connections is operated by the National Center for Children in Poverty at the Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University and the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research at the Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, through a cooperative agreement with the Child Care Bureau, Office of Family Assistance and the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.











# **Terms of Use**

The terms of use for this study can be found at: http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/cocoon/ICPSR/TERMS/4379.xml

#### Child Care and Development Fund Administrative Data, Federal Fiscal Year 2001

This administrative dataset provides descriptive information about the families and children served through the federal Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF). CCDF dollars are provided to states, territories, and tribes to provide assistance to low-income families receiving or transitioning from temporary public assistance, in obtaining quality child care so they can work, or depending on their state's policy, attend training or receive education. The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Act of 1996 requires states and territories to collect information on all family units receiving assistance through the CCDF and to submit monthly case-level data to the Child Care Bureau. States are permitted to report case-level data for the entire population or a sample of the population, under approved sampling guidelines. These sample files were created using the data that were current as of December 12, 2003.

#### **Data Observations**

A blank (space) or "-1" value in the State sample data indicates a not reported or invalid response. Invalid responses as defined by Technical Bulletin #3 were coded as -1 during processing. To further enhance understanding of the CCDF 2001 data, the following information about specific data issues is being provided:

- 1. American Samoa (AS), Puerto Rico (PR), and Virgin Islands (VI) have not reported ACF-801 data for FFY 2001.
- 2. Pennsylvania (PA) and New York (NY) modified their Monthly Average Family Counts reported on the Summary Record through communications with the Child Care Bureau and without resubmitting the data files. These changes are reflected in the Summary Records File.
- 3. Guam (GU) reported only 5 months of data.
- 4. Montana (MT), New Jersey (NJ), Tennessee (TN), and Washington (WA) sample records are based on only 11 months of data because of OIS data recovery issues.
- 5. Large portions of the New Hampshire (NH) data are invalid or not reported and have usually been excluded from reporting.
- 6. Minnesota (MN) has not accurately reported information about families receiving TANF as a source of income. For informational purposes, and **not** included in the data, MN provided in an email an estimate that about 35% of their child care caseload families also receive TANF.
- 7. Missouri (MO) income data is erroneous with a large proportion of the income data over \$20,000 per month, and other clearly erroneous values.
- 8. Tennessee (TN) reported hours-per-week (instead of hours-per-month).

#### **Weight Information**

To obtain representative national statistics, weighted statistics must be generated using the number of families and children served as the weighting factor. Sampling weight adjustments have been included in the files, where applicable. Children from the same state get the same weight and results reflect the entire sample size of the US and territories.

There are two weight variables available for analysis: A\_FCHWT and U\_FCHWT. A description of these weights is provided below:

A\_FCHWT: Annual Adjusted Weight for Families/Children Served.
 This sampling weight adjustment accounts for the pooling factor and weights the data by the number of families and children served by CCDF in each state/national.

U\_FCHWT: Annual Unadjusted Weight for Families/Children Served.
 This sampling weight adjustment does not account for the pooling factor and weights the data by the number of families and children served from all reported funding sources in each state/national.

#### **Technical Documentation**

Users of these data are **strongly encouraged** to read through the accompanying technical documentation file for further discussion of methods by which to obtain weighted statistics and for guidance with the use of these methods for proper analysis of these data.

## **ICPSR 04379**

# Child Care and Development Fund Administrative Data, Federal Fiscal Year 2001

# **Variable Description and Frequencies**

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

# Child Care and Development Fund Administrative Data, Federal Fiscal Year 2001

#### **Summary Records File**

#### **STATE Unique State Identification Code** Location: 1-2 (width: 2; decimal: 0) character (ISO) Variable Type: Value Label Unweighted % Frequency ΑK Alaska 1.9 % 12 ΑL Alabama 12 1.9 % AR Arkansas 12 1.9 % ΑZ Arizona 12 1.9 % CA California 12 1.9 % CO Colorado 12 1.9 % СТ Connecticut 12 1.9 % DC District of Columbia 12 1.9 % DF Delaware 12 1.9 % FL Florida 12 1.9 % GΑ Georgia 1.9 % 12 GU 5 Guam 0.8 % ΗΙ Hawaii 12 1.9 %

IΑ

ID

ΙL

ΙN

KS

ΚY

LA

MA

MD

ME

MΙ

MN

MO

MP

MS

MT

NC

ND

ΝE

NH

Iowa

Idaho

Illinois

Indiana

Kansas

Kentucky

Louisiana

Maryland

Michigan

Minnesota

Mississippi

North Carolina

North Dakota

New Hampshire

Nebraska

Montana

Mariana Province

Missouri

Maine

Massaschusetts

12

12

12

12

12

12

12

12

12

12

12

12

12

12

12

11

12

12

12

12

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.8 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

1.9 %

- Study 04379 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
NJ	New Jersey	11	1.8 %
NM	New Mexico	12	1.9 %
NV	Nevada	12	1.9 %
NY	New York	12	1.9 %
ОН	Ohio	12	1.9 %
OK	Oklahoma	12	1.9 %
OR	Oregon	12	1.9 %
PA	Pennsylvania	12	1.9 %
PR	Puerto Rico	0	0.0 %
RI	Rhode Island	12	1.9 %
SC	South Carolina	12	1.9 %
SD	South Dakota	12	1.9 %
TN	Tennessee	11	1.8 %
TX	Texas	12	1.9 %
UT	Utah	12	1.9 %
VA	Virginia	12	1.9 %
VT	Vermont	12	1.9 %
WA	Washington	11	1.8 %
WI	Wisconsin	12	1.9 %
WV	West Virginia	12	1.9 %
WY	Wyoming	12	1.9 %

Based upon 625 valid cases out of 625 total cases.

#### SREPORT Month being reported in the summary record

Location:

3-13 (width: 11; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
01-APR-2001	52	8.3 %
01-AUG-2001	52	8.3 %
01-DEC-2000	53	8.5 %
01-FEB-2001	53	8.5 %
01-JAN-2001	52	8.3 %
01-JUL-2001	51	8.2 %
01-JUN-2001	52	8.3 %
01-MAR-2001	52	8.3 %
01-MAY-2001	52	8.3 %
01-NOV-2000	53	8.5 %
01-OCT-2000	52	8.3 %
01-SEP-2001	51	8.2 %

## - Study 04379 -

Based upon 625 valid cases out of 625 total cases.

TOTALFAM	Number of families served during the reporting month
Location:	14-21 (width: 8; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric (ISO)
	Mean: 24436.89
	Minimum: 64.00
	Maximum: 136741.00
	Standard Deviation: 28266.26
	Based upon 625 valid cases out of 625 total cases.