

ICPSR 3808

**Comparative Study of Electoral
Systems, 2001-2006**

Virginia Sapiro

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems

W. Philips Shively

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems

Australia: Data Collection Instrument

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/cocoon/ICPSR/TERMS/3808.xml>

Codebook Information

The example below is a facsimile of information appearing in the codebook for a typical variable. The numbers in superscript do not appear but are reference to the descriptions that follow this example

a2⁽¹⁾ A2. Followed election news in the newspapers⁽²⁾

Variable type = numeric⁽³⁾, width = 2⁽⁴⁾

A.2. How much attention did you pay to reports about the election campaign in the newspapers a good deal, some, not much or none at all?⁽⁵⁾

	Frequency ⁽⁶⁾	Percent ⁽⁷⁾	Valid Percent ⁽⁸⁾	Cumulative Percent ⁽⁹⁾
1 A good deal ⁽¹⁰⁾	265	15.0	15.2	15.2
2 Some	728	41.2	41.7	56.9
3 Not much	525	29.7	30.1	87.0
4 None at all	226	12.8	13.0	100.0
Total Valid⁽¹¹⁾	1744	98.6	100.0	
-1 Missing ⁽¹²⁾	25	1.4		
Total⁽¹³⁾	1769	100.0		

- 1 An abbreviated (8 character maximum) variable name. Indicates section and question number in the questionnaire
- 2 An expanded version of the variable name, or variable label
- 3 Indicates the type of variable, that is, whether it is numeric or character.
- 4 The width is the number of columns a variable would take when displayed or written out.
- 5 Indicates the full question text as it appears in the survey instrument.
- 6 The absolute frequency of occurrence of each code in the data file.
- 7 The absolute percent shows the percentage of occurrence of each code in the data file.
- 8 The valid percent is the percentage of occurrence of each non-missing code.
- 9 The cumulative percent is the percentage of occurrence of each non-missing code added to previous percentages.
- 10 Value labels indicate the numeric code stored in the data file and textual definitions of those codes.
- 11 The total valid adds all valid codes in the frequency or percentage columns.
- 12 Indicates the code for all non-valid, that is, missing values.
- 13 The total is the sum of all valid and non-valid codes.

Variable Listing

retda Day of return

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1	65	3.7	3.7	3.7
2	19	1.1	1.1	4.7
3	31	1.8	1.8	6.5
4	39	2.2	2.2	8.7
5	14	0.8	0.8	9.5
6	11	0.6	0.6	10.1
7	7	0.4	0.4	10.5
8	27	1.5	1.5	12.0
9	7	0.4	0.4	12.4
10	21	1.2	1.2	13.6
11	3	0.2	0.2	13.8
12	6	0.3	0.3	14.1
13	33	1.9	1.9	16.0
14	92	5.2	5.2	21.2
15	140	7.9	7.9	29.1
16	27	1.5	1.5	30.6
17	39	2.2	2.2	32.8
18	277	15.7	15.7	48.5
19	136	7.7	7.7	56.2
20	97	5.5	5.5	61.7
21	59	3.3	3.3	65.0
22	110	6.2	6.2	71.2
23	21	1.2	1.2	72.4
24	31	1.8	1.8	74.2
25	118	6.7	6.7	80.8
26	88	5.0	5.0	85.8
27	101	5.7	5.7	91.5
28	70	4.0	4.0	95.5
29	66	3.7	3.7	99.2
30	14	0.8	0.8	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

retmo Month of return

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 January	35	2.0	2.0	2.0
2 February	4	0.2	0.2	2.2
10 October	1003	56.7	56.7	58.9
11 November	584	33.0	33.0	91.9
12 December	143	8.1	8.1	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

retyr Year of return

Variable type = numeric, width = 4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2004 2004	1730	97.8	97.8	97.8
2005 2005	39	2.2	2.2	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

Section A: The Election Campaign**a1 A1. Interest in politics**

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

First, some questions about the Federal election campaign, the period between when the election was announced on 29 August and the election day on Saturday 9 October.

A.1. Generally speaking, how much interest do you usually have in what's going on in politics?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A good deal	576	32.6	33.0	33.0
2 Some	805	45.5	46.1	79.0
3 Not much	307	17.4	17.6	96.6
4 None	60	3.4	3.4	100.0
Total Valid	1748	98.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	21	1.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

a2 A2. Followed election news in the newspapers

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.2. How much attention did you pay to reports about the election campaign in the newspapers a good deal, some, not much or none at all?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A good deal	265	15.0	15.2	15.2
2 Some	728	41.2	41.7	56.9
3 Not much	525	29.7	30.1	87.0
4 None at all	226	12.8	13.0	100.0
Total Valid	1744	98.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	25	1.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

a3p1 A3. Followed election news on TV

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.3. Did you follow the election campaign news on television-a good deal, some, not much or none at all?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A good deal	466	26.3	28.0	28.0
2 Some	682	38.6	41.0	68.9
3 Not much	398	22.5	23.9	92.9
4 None at all	119	6.7	7.1	100.0
Total Valid	1665	94.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	104	5.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

a3p2 A3. Followed election news on the radio

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.3. And did you follow the election campaign news on the radio?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A good deal	230	13.0	14.2	14.2
2 Some	485	27.4	29.9	44.1
3 Not much	498	28.2	30.7	74.8
4 None at all	409	23.1	25.2	100.0
Total Valid	1622	91.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	147	8.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

a4 A4. Interest in election campaign

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.4. And how much interest would you say you took in the election campaign overall?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A good deal	516	29.2	29.7	29.7
2 Some	771	43.6	44.4	74.2
3 Not much	360	20.4	20.7	94.9
4 None at all	88	5.0	5.1	100.0
Total Valid	1735	98.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	34	1.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

a5p1 A5. During election: discussed politics with others

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.5. Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?

Discuss politics with others

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Frequently	369	20.9	21.2	21.2
2 Occasionally	818	46.2	47.0	68.2
3 Rarely	392	22.2	22.5	90.7
4 Not at all	161	9.1	9.3	100.0
Total Valid	1740	98.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	29	1.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

a5p2 A5. During election: talk to people about vote

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.5. Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?

Talk to other people to persuade them to vote for a particular party or candidate

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Frequently	67	3.8	3.9	3.9
2 Occasionally	180	10.2	10.6	14.5
3 Rarely	304	17.2	17.9	32.4
4 Not at all	1149	65.0	67.6	100.0
Total Valid	1700	96.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	69	3.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

a5p3 A5. During election: work for party/candidate

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.5. Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?

Show your support for a particular party or candidate by, for example, attending a meeting, putting up a poster, or in some other way

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Frequently	48	2.7	2.8	2.8
2 Occasionally	88	5.0	5.1	8.0
3 Rarely	142	8.0	8.3	16.3
4 Not at all	1432	80.9	83.7	100.0
Total Valid	1710	96.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	59	3.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

a5p4 A5. During election: go to meetings or rallies

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.5. Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?

Go to any political meetings or rallies

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Frequently	16	0.9	0.9	0.9
2 Occasionally	27	1.5	1.6	2.5
3 Rarely	77	4.4	4.5	7.1
4 Not at all	1582	89.4	92.9	100.0
Total Valid	1702	96.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	67	3.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

a5p5 A5. During campaign: contribute money

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.5. Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?

Contribute money to a political party or election candidate

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Frequently	24	1.4	1.4	1.4
2 Occasionally	33	1.9	1.9	3.3
3 Rarely	41	2.3	2.4	5.7
4 Not at all	1611	91.1	94.3	100.0
Total Valid	1709	96.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	60	3.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

a6 A6. Candidate persuade you to vote for them

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.6. During the election campaign, did a candidate or anyone from a political party contact you to persuade you to vote for them?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	496	28.0	28.8	28.8
2 No	1224	69.2	71.2	100.0
Total Valid	1720	97.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	49	2.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

a7 A7. Watched televised debate 12 September

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.7. Did you watch the televised debate between John Howard and Mark Latham on Sunday 12 September?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	613	34.7	35.0	35.0
2 No	1139	64.4	65.0	100.0
Total Valid	1752	99.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	17	1.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

a8 A8. Who performed better in televised debate

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.8. From what you saw or what you heard or read about it, who do you think performed better in the debate-Howard or Latham?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Howard did much better	142	8.0	9.3	9.3
2 Howard did somewhat better	235	13.3	15.4	24.8
3 About equal	502	28.4	33.0	57.8
4 Latham did somewhat better	458	25.9	30.1	87.8
5 Latham did much better	185	10.5	12.2	100.0
Total Valid	1522	86.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	247	14.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

a9 A9. Listen to political talkback radio

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.9. There are a number of programs on radio in which people call in to voice their opinions about politics. How often do you listen to political talkback radio programs of this type?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Every day	84	4.7	4.8	4.8
2 Most days	180	10.2	10.3	15.1
3 Once or twice a week	156	8.8	8.9	24.0
4 Only occasionally	514	29.1	29.4	53.3
5 Not at all	817	46.2	46.7	100.0
Total Valid	1751	99.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	18	1.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

a10 A10. Use internet to get news about 2004 Fed election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.10. Did you make use of the internet at all to get news or information about the 2004 Federal election?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Don't have access to the internet	579	32.7	33.3	33.3
2 Have access but didn't use it for election information	952	53.8	54.7	88.0
3 Yes, once or twice	98	5.5	5.6	93.7
4 Yes, on several occasions	54	3.1	3.1	96.8
5 Yes, many times	56	3.2	3.2	100.0
Total Valid	1739	98.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	30	1.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

a11p1 A11. Website: Party

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.11. During the 2004 election campaign did you visit any of the following websites? (Circle as many as apply)

Party site (eg, Liberals, ALP, Green etc)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1705	96.4	96.4	96.4
1 Website: Party	64	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

a11p2 A11. Website: Own MP

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.11. During the 2004 election campaign did you visit any of the following websites? (Circle as many as apply.)

Your own MP's site

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1737	98.2	98.2	98.2
2 Website: Own MP	32	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

a11p3 A11. Website: Individual candidate in your electorate

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.11. During the 2004 election campaign did you visit any of the following websites? (Circle as many as apply.)

Individual candidate site in your electorate (that is, other than that of your MP)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1746	98.7	98.7	98.7
3 Website: Individual candidate in your electorate	23	1.3	1.3	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

a11p4 A11. Website: Other candidate/MP outside your electorate

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.11. During the 2004 election campaign did you visit any of the following websites? (Circle as many as apply.)

Other candidate/MPs sites outside your electorate

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1750	98.9	98.9	98.9
4 Website: Other candidate/MP outside your electorate	19	1.1	1.1	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

a11p5 A11. Website: Federal parliament

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.11. Did you make use of the internet at all to get news or information about the 2004 Federal election?

Federal parliament site

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1739	98.3	98.3	98.3
5 Website: Federal parliament	30	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

a11p6 A11. Website: Australian Electoral Commission

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.11. During the 2004 election campaign did you visit any of the following websites? (Circle as many as apply.)

Australian Electoral Commission site

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1673	94.6	94.6	94.6
6 Website: Australian Electoral Commission	96	5.4	5.4	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

a11p7 A11. Website: Fun/political humour

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.11. During the 2004 election campaign did you visit any of the following websites? (Circle as many as apply.)

Fun/political humour site

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1705	96.4	96.4	96.4
7 Website: Fun/political humour	64	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

a11p8 A11. Website: Online opinion poll

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.11. During the 2004 election campaign did you visit any of the following websites? (Circle as many as apply.)

Online opinion poll (eg, Channel9/Bulletin poll)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1705	96.4	96.4	96.4
8 Website: Online opinion poll	64	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

a11p9 A11. Website: Mainstream news media

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.11. During the 2004 election campaign did you visit any of the following websites? (Circle as many as apply.)

Mainstream news media (eg, ABC Online, CNN, SMH site etc)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1623	91.7	91.7	91.7
9 Website: Mainstream news media	146	8.3	8.3	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

a11p10 A11. Website: Political weblog/blog

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.11. During the 2004 election campaign did you visit any of the following websites? (Circle as many as apply.)

Political weblog or 'blog'

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1756	99.3	99.3	99.3
10 Website: Political weblog/blog	13	0.7	0.7	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

a12 A12. Should voting be compulsory

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.12. Do you think that voting at Federal elections should be compulsory, or do you think that people should only have to vote if they want to?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly favour compulsory voting	820	46.4	46.9	46.9
2 Favour compulsory voting	476	26.9	27.2	74.1
3 Favour people voting only if they want to	261	14.8	14.9	89.1
4 Strongly favour people voting only if they want to	191	10.8	10.9	100.0
Total Valid	1748	98.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	21	1.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

a13 A13. Would you vote if voting not compulsory

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

A.13. Would you have voted in the election if voting had not been compulsory?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Definitely would have voted	1196	67.6	68.4	68.4
2 Probably would have voted	304	17.2	17.4	85.8
3 Might, might not	106	6.0	6.1	91.9
4 Probably not	97	5.5	5.5	97.4
5 Definitely not	45	2.5	2.6	100.0
Total Valid	1748	98.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	21	1.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

Section B: Party Preference and Voting

b1 B1. Party identification

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.1. Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal Party	714	40.4	41.5	41.5
2 Australian Labor Party	550	31.1	32.0	73.5
3 National Party of Australia	53	3.0	3.1	76.6
4 Australian Democrats	12	0.7	0.7	77.3
5 Australian Greens	85	4.8	4.9	82.3
6 One Nation Party	11	0.6	0.6	82.9
7 Other	16	0.9	0.9	83.8
8 No Party	278	15.7	16.2	100.0
Total Valid	1719	97.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	50	2.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

xb1 B1. Extended-Party identification

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.1. Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2 Australian Democrats	12	0.7	0.7	0.7
3 Greens	85	4.8	4.9	5.6
5 Labor	550	31.1	32.0	37.6
8 Christian Democratic Party	5	0.3	0.3	37.9
12 Democratic Labor Party (DLP) of Australia	1	0.1	0.1	38.0
13 Ex-Service, Service and Veteran's Party	1	0.1	0.1	38.0
14 Family First Party	7	0.4	0.4	38.5
18 Liberal	714	40.4	41.5	80.0
20 National (Country) Party	53	3.0	3.1	83.1
27 One Nation	11	0.6	0.6	83.7
96 Independent	1	0.1	0.1	83.8
97 Other party (please specify)	2	0.1	0.1	83.9
98 No party	277	15.7	16.1	100.0
Total Valid	1719	97.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	50	2.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

b2 B2. Strength of party identification

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.2. Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, or not very strong supporter of that party?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very strong supporter	301	17.0	20.5	20.5
2 Fairly strong supporter	697	39.4	47.5	68.1
3 Not very strong supporter	468	26.5	31.9	100.0
Total Valid	1466	82.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	303	17.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

b3 B3. Care which party wins election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.3. Would you say you cared a good deal which party won the Federal election or that you did not care very much which party won?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Cared a good deal	1250	70.7	71.7	71.7
2 Did not care very much	435	24.6	24.9	96.6
3 Did not care at all	59	3.3	3.4	100.0
Total Valid	1744	98.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	25	1.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

b4 B4. When decided which way to vote

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.4. When did you decide how you would definitely vote in this election?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A long time ago	820	46.4	46.9	46.9
2 A few months ago, before election day was announced	250	14.1	14.3	61.1
3 About the time the election was announced, on 29 August	113	6.4	6.5	67.6
4 In the first few weeks of the campaign	168	9.5	9.6	77.2
5 A few days before election day	248	14.0	14.2	91.4
6 On election day	151	8.5	8.6	100.0
Total Valid	1750	98.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	19	1.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

b5 B5. Most important in vote decision

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.5. In deciding how you would vote in the election, which was most important to you?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 The party leaders	324	18.3	18.6	18.6
2 The policy issues	852	48.2	49.0	67.7
3 The candidates in your electorate	111	6.3	6.4	74.1
4 The parties taken as a whole	451	25.5	25.9	100.0
Total Valid	1738	98.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	31	1.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

b6 B6. Prefer when Govt controls both houses

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.6. Which do you think is better-when the Federal Government has a majority in both the House of Representatives and the Senate, OR when the Federal Government in the House of Representatives does not control the Senate?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Much better when Government controls both	292	16.5	17.0	17.0
2 Better when Government controls both	290	16.4	16.9	34.0
3 Neither/doesn't matter	241	13.6	14.1	48.0
4 Better when Government does not control the Senate	603	34.1	35.2	83.2
5 Much better when Government does not control the Senate	288	16.3	16.8	100.0
Total Valid	1714	96.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	55	3.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

b7 B7. Difference between Labor and Liberal

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.7. Considering everything the Labor Party and the Liberal Party stand for, would you say there is ...

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A good deal of difference between the parties	520	29.4	29.7	29.7
2 Some difference between the parties	853	48.2	48.7	78.4
3 Not much difference between the parties	334	18.9	19.1	97.5
4 No difference between the parties	44	2.5	2.5	100.0
Total Valid	1751	99.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	18	1.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

b8 B8. Parties doing good or bad job

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.8. In general, do you think political parties are doing a very good job, a good job, neither a good nor a bad job, a bad job or a very bad job for the people of Australia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Doing a very good job	102	5.8	5.8	5.8
2 Doing a good job	796	45.0	45.6	51.5
3 Neither a good nor bad job	648	36.6	37.2	88.6
4 Doing a bad job	155	8.8	8.9	97.5
5 Doing a very bad job	43	2.4	2.5	100.0
Total Valid	1744	98.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	25	1.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

b9 B9. Parties observe standards managing internal affairs

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.9. Do you think political parties observe high, medium or low standards when managing their internal affairs?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 High standards	219	12.4	12.7	12.7
2 Medium standards	1060	59.9	61.5	74.2
3 Low standards	445	25.2	25.8	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b10own B10. Own left-right position

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.10. In politics, people sometimes talk about the 'left' and the 'right'. Where would you place yourself on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Left	33	1.9	2.3	2.3
1	21	1.2	1.4	3.7
2	59	3.3	4.1	7.8
3	128	7.2	8.8	16.6
4	147	8.3	10.1	26.7
5	549	31.0	37.8	64.4
6	127	7.2	8.7	73.2
7	148	8.4	10.2	83.4
8	132	7.5	9.1	92.4
9	40	2.3	2.8	95.2
10 Right	70	4.0	4.8	100.0
Total Valid	1454	82.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	315	17.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

b10lib B10. Liberal left-right position

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

Using the same scale, where would you place each of the Federal political parties?

Liberal Party

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Left	35	2.0	2.4	2.4
1	19	1.1	1.3	3.7
2	42	2.4	2.9	6.5
3	40	2.3	2.7	9.3
4	39	2.2	2.7	11.9
5	206	11.6	14.0	26.0
6	123	7.0	8.4	34.3
7	215	12.2	14.6	49.0
8	329	18.6	22.4	71.4
9	156	8.8	10.6	82.0
10 Right	264	14.9	18.0	100.0
Total Valid	1468	83.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	301	17.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

b10alp B10. Labor left-right position

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

Using the same scale, where would you place each of the Federal political parties?

Labor Party (ALP)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Left	80	4.5	5.6	5.6
1	68	3.8	4.8	10.4
2	125	7.1	8.8	19.2
3	215	12.2	15.1	34.3
4	293	16.6	20.6	54.8
5	309	17.5	21.7	76.5
6	122	6.9	8.6	85.1
7	85	4.8	6.0	91.1
8	49	2.8	3.4	94.5
9	36	2.0	2.5	97.1
10 Right	42	2.4	2.9	100.0
Total Valid	1424	80.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	345	19.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b10nat B10. Nationals left-right position

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

Using the same scale, where would you place each of the Federal political parties?

National Party

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Left	27	1.5	2.0	2.0
1	25	1.4	1.9	3.9
2	46	2.6	3.4	7.3
3	60	3.4	4.4	11.7
4	70	4.0	5.2	16.9
5	249	14.1	18.5	35.4
6	125	7.1	9.3	44.6
7	168	9.5	12.5	57.1
8	230	13.0	17.0	74.1
9	193	10.9	14.3	88.4
10 Right	156	8.8	11.6	100.0
Total Valid	1349	76.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	420	23.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

b10dem B10. Democrats left-right position

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

Using the same scale, where would you place each of the Federal political parties?

Australian Democrats

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Left	59	3.3	4.4	4.4
1	60	3.4	4.5	8.9
2	106	6.0	7.9	16.8
3	168	9.5	12.5	29.3
4	221	12.5	16.5	45.8
5	443	25.0	33.0	78.8
6	118	6.7	8.8	87.6
7	72	4.1	5.4	92.9
8	50	2.8	3.7	96.6
9	25	1.4	1.9	98.5
10 Right	20	1.1	1.5	100.0
Total Valid	1342	75.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	427	24.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

b10onp B10. One Nation Party left-right position

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

Using the same scale, where would you place each of the Federal political parties?

One Nation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Left	136	7.7	10.2	10.2
1	93	5.3	7.0	17.1
2	64	3.6	4.8	21.9
3	69	3.9	5.2	27.1
4	63	3.6	4.7	31.8
5	217	12.3	16.2	48.0
6	59	3.3	4.4	52.4
7	63	3.6	4.7	57.1
8	94	5.3	7.0	64.1
9	170	9.6	12.7	76.8
10 Right	310	17.5	23.2	100.0
Total Valid	1338	75.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	431	24.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

b10grn B10. Greens left-right position

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

Using the same scale, where would you place each of the Federal political parties?

Greens

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Left	197	11.1	14.5	14.5
1	198	11.2	14.6	29.0
2	209	11.8	15.4	44.4
3	195	11.0	14.3	58.8
4	133	7.5	9.8	68.5
5	249	14.1	18.3	86.8
6	46	2.6	3.4	90.2
7	40	2.3	2.9	93.2
8	36	2.0	2.6	95.8
9	23	1.3	1.7	97.5
10 Right	34	1.9	2.5	100.0
Total Valid	1360	76.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	409	23.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

b11reps B11. Vote in House of Representatives

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.11. In the Federal election for the House of Representatives on Saturday 9 October, which party did you vote for first in the House of Representatives?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal Party	750	42.4	45.2	45.2
2 Australian Labor Party	596	33.7	35.9	81.1
3 National Party of Australia	73	4.1	4.4	85.5
4 Australian Democrats	17	1.0	1.0	86.5
5 Australian Greens	133	7.5	8.0	94.5
6 One Nation Party	11	0.6	0.7	95.2
7 Other	45	2.5	2.7	97.9
9 Informal/Did not vote	35	2.0	2.1	100.0
Total Valid	1660	93.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	109	6.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

xb11reps B11. Extended-Vote in House of Representatives

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.11. In the Federal election for the House of Representatives on Saturday 9 October, which party did you vote for first in the House of Representatives?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2 Australian Democrats	17	1.0	1.0	1.0
3 Greens	133	7.5	8.0	9.0
5 Labor	596	33.7	35.9	44.9
8 Christian Democratic Party	8	0.5	0.5	45.4
14 Family First Party	15	0.8	0.9	46.3
18 Liberal	750	42.4	45.2	91.5
20 National (Country) Party	73	4.1	4.4	95.9
27 One Nation	11	0.6	0.7	96.6
96 Independent	21	1.2	1.3	97.8
97 Other party (please specify)	1	0.1	0.1	97.9
98 Voted informal/did not vote	35	2.0	2.1	100.0
Total Valid	1660	93.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	109	6.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

b11senat B11. Vote in Senate

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.11. And in the Senate election?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal Party	699	39.5	42.8	42.8
2 Australian Labor Party	497	28.1	30.5	73.3
3 National Party of Australia	56	3.2	3.4	76.7
4 Australian Democrats	54	3.1	3.3	80.0
5 Australian Greens	200	11.3	12.3	92.3
6 One Nation Party	23	1.3	1.4	93.7
7 Other	71	4.0	4.4	98.0
9 Informal/Did not vote	32	1.8	2.0	100.0
Total Valid	1632	92.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	137	7.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

xb11sena B11. Extended-Vote in Senate

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.11. And in the Senate election?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2 Australian Democrats	54	3.1	3.3	3.3
3 Greens	200	11.3	12.3	15.6
5 Labor	497	28.1	30.5	46.0
6 Australian Progressive Alliance	1	0.1	0.1	46.1
8 Christian Democratic Party	14	0.8	0.9	46.9
9 Citizens Electoral Council of Australia	1	0.1	0.1	47.0
12 Democratic Labor Party (DLP) of Australia	2	0.1	0.1	47.1
14 Family First Party	18	1.0	1.1	48.2
15 Help End Marijuana Prohibition	1	0.1	0.1	48.3
18 Liberal	699	39.5	42.8	91.1
19 Lower Excise Fuel and Beer Party	1	0.1	0.1	91.2
20 National (Country) Party	56	3.2	3.4	94.6
26 Outdoor Recreation Party	1	0.1	0.1	94.7
27 One Nation	23	1.3	1.4	96.1
32 Socialist Alliance	1	0.1	0.1	96.1
35 The Aged and Disability Pensioners Group	3	0.2	0.2	96.3
96 Independent	16	0.9	1.0	97.3
97 Other party (please specify)	12	0.7	0.7	98.0
98 Voted informal/did not vote	32	1.8	2.0	100.0
Total Valid	1632	92.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	137	7.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

b12reps B12. Preferences to in House of Reps

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.12. If your first preference was for the Australian Democrats, Greens, One Nation or other minor party:

In the end, which of the two major parties, the Liberal-National Coalition or the Labor Party, did you give your preference to in the House of Representatives?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal-National Coalition	409	23.1	40.7	40.7
2 Labor Party (ALP)	381	21.5	37.9	78.5
3 Not sure/Don't know	216	12.2	21.5	100.0
Total Valid	1006	56.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	763	43.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

b12senat B12. Preferences to in Senate

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.12. If your first preference was for the Australian Democrats, Greens, One Nation or other minor party:

And in the Senate election?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal-National Coalition	358	20.2	37.1	37.1
2 Labor Party (ALP)	374	21.1	38.7	75.8
3 Not sure/Don't know	234	13.2	24.2	100.0
Total Valid	966	54.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	803	45.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

b13 B13. Followed How to Vote card for H of R

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.13. In voting for the House of Representatives, did you follow a party 'How to Vote' card or did you decide your own preferences?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Followed a 'How to Vote' card	917	51.8	53.1	53.1
2 Decided my own preferences	809	45.7	46.9	100.0
Total Valid	1726	97.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	43	2.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

b14 B14. Party box or own preferences for Senate

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.14. And in voting for the Senate, did you vote by placing a "1" in a party box above the line or did you decide your own preferences by voting below the line?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Ticked a party box above the line	1514	85.6	87.9	87.9
2 Decided my own preferences below the line	208	11.8	12.1	100.0
Total Valid	1722	97.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	47	2.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

b15 B15. Thought of changing vote during campaign

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.15. Was there any time during the election campaign when you seriously thought you might give your first preference to another party in the House of Representatives? (Circle one number only.)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 No	1298	73.4	75.0	75.0
2 Yes, Liberal Party	95	5.4	5.5	80.5
3 Yes, Labor Party (ALP)	133	7.5	7.7	88.2
4 Yes, National (Country) Party	12	0.7	0.7	88.9
5 Yes, Australian Democrats	25	1.4	1.4	90.3
6 Yes, Greens	99	5.6	5.7	96.1
7 Yes, One Nation	22	1.2	1.3	97.3
8 Yes, another party/independent	46	2.6	2.7	100.0
Total Valid	1730	97.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	39	2.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

b16 B16. Vote in House of Representatives in 2001

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.16. In the last Federal election in November 2001, when Labor was led by Kim Beazley and the Liberals by John Howard, which party got your first preference then in the House of Representatives election?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal Party	763	43.1	44.7	44.7
2 Labor Party (ALP)	597	33.7	35.0	79.7
3 National (Country) Party	59	3.3	3.5	83.1
4 Australian Democrats	49	2.8	2.9	86.0
5 Greens	91	5.1	5.3	91.3
6 One Nation	30	1.7	1.8	93.1
7 Another party/independent	39	2.2	2.3	95.4
9 Did not vote	79	4.5	4.6	100.0
Total Valid	1707	96.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	62	3.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b17 B17. Party voted for perform last 3 years

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.17. How well did the party you voted for then perform over the past three years? Has it done ...

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A very good job	317	17.9	19.3	19.3
2 A good job	1044	59.0	63.4	82.7
3 A bad job	247	14.0	15.0	97.7
4 A very bad job	38	2.1	2.3	100.0
Total Valid	1646	93.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	123	7.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

b18p1 B18. Previous vote - always same

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.18. Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? (Circle as many as apply.)

Always voted for the same party

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	860	48.6	49.9	49.9
1 Always voted for the same party	865	48.9	50.1	100.0
Total Valid	1725	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	44	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b18p2 B18. Previous vote - sometimes Liberal

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.18. Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? (Circle as many as apply.)

Sometimes voted Liberal

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1368	77.3	79.4	79.4
2 Sometimes voted Liberal	356	20.1	20.6	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b18p3 B18. Previous vote - sometimes Labor

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.18. Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? (Circle as many as apply.)

Sometimes voted Labor (ALP)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1309	74.0	75.9	75.9
3 Sometimes voted Labor (ALP)	415	23.5	24.1	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b18p4 B18. Previous vote - sometimes National

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.18. Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? (Circle as many as apply.)

Sometimes voted National (Country)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1656	93.6	96.1	96.1
4 Sometimes voted National (Country)	68	3.8	3.9	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b18p5 B18. Previous vote - sometimes Democrats

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.18. Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? (Circle as many as apply.)

Sometimes voted Australian Democrats

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1536	86.8	89.1	89.1
5 Sometimes voted Australian Democrats	188	10.6	10.9	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b18p6 B18. Previous vote - sometimes others

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.18. Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? (Circle as many as apply.)

Sometimes voted for other party/independent

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1421	80.3	82.4	82.4
6 Sometimes voted for other party/independent	303	17.1	17.6	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b18p7 B18. Previous vote - not before this election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.18. Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? (Circle as many as apply.)

Did not vote before this election

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1649	93.2	95.6	95.6
7 Did not vote before this election	75	4.2	4.4	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b19 B19. Previous State election vote

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.19. Which party did you vote for in the last state election?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal Party	678	38.3	39.5	39.5
2 Labor Party (ALP)	699	39.5	40.7	80.2
3 National (Country) Party	81	4.6	4.7	84.9
4 Australian Democrats	24	1.4	1.4	86.3
5 Greens	81	4.6	4.7	91.0
6 One Nation	24	1.4	1.4	92.4
7 Another party/independent	61	3.4	3.6	95.9
9 Did not vote	70	4.0	4.1	100.0
Total Valid	1718	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	51	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

b20 B20. Elections ensure all voters represented

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.20. Thinking about how elections in Australia work in practice, how well do elections ensure that the views of voters are represented by MPs?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very well	121	6.8	7.0	7.0
2 Quite well	852	48.2	49.3	56.3
3 Not very well	627	35.4	36.3	92.5
4 Not well at all	129	7.3	7.5	100.0
Total Valid	1729	97.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	40	2.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

b21 B21. Party represents your views best

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.21. Would you say that any of the parties in Australia represents your views reasonably well? If so, which party represents your views best? (Circle one number only.)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal Party	691	39.1	39.9	39.9
2 Labor Party (ALP)	466	26.3	26.9	66.8
3 National (Country) Party	63	3.6	3.6	70.5
4 Australian Democrats	28	1.6	1.6	72.1
5 Greens	129	7.3	7.5	79.5
6 One Nation	32	1.8	1.8	81.4
7 Other party	30	1.7	1.7	83.1
8 No party represents views well	292	16.5	16.9	100.0
Total Valid	1731	97.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	38	2.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

b22 B22. Party leader represents your views best

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.22. Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say that any of the individual party leaders in the last election represents your views reasonably well? If so, which party leader represents your views best? (Circle one number only.)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 John Howard	716	40.5	41.2	41.2
2 Mark Latham	425	24.0	24.5	65.7
3 John Anderson	64	3.6	3.7	69.3
4 Andrew Bartlett	9	0.5	0.5	69.9
5 Bob Brown	132	7.5	7.6	77.4
7 Other party leader	32	1.8	1.8	79.3
8 No party leader represents views well	360	20.4	20.7	100.0
Total Valid	1738	98.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	31	1.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

b23 B23. Political parties care what people think

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.23. Some people say that political parties in Australia care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in Australia don't care what ordinary people think. Where would you place your view on this scale from 1 to 5?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Care what ordinary people think	94	5.3	5.4	5.4
2	397	22.4	22.9	28.3
3	599	33.9	34.6	62.9
4	414	23.4	23.9	86.8
5 Don't care what ordinary people think	229	12.9	13.2	100.0
Total Valid	1733	98.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	36	2.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

b24 B24. Political parties make system work

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.24. Where would you place your view on this scale from 1 to 5, where 1 means that political parties are necessary to make our political system work, and 5 means that political parties are not needed in Australia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Necessary to make our political system work	803	45.4	46.2	46.2
2	484	27.4	27.8	74.0
3	313	17.7	18.0	92.0
4	87	4.9	5.0	97.0
5 Not needed in Australia	52	2.9	3.0	100.0
Total Valid	1739	98.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	30	1.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

b25lib B25. Feelings about Liberal Party

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.25. Finally in this section, we would like to know what you think about each of our political parties. Please rate each party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you are neutral about a particular party or don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Liberal Party

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	178	10.1	10.4	10.4
1	96	5.4	5.6	16.0
2	103	5.8	6.0	22.1
3	96	5.4	5.6	27.7
4	76	4.3	4.4	32.1
5 Neutral	198	11.2	11.6	43.7
6	99	5.6	5.8	49.5
7	154	8.7	9.0	58.5
8	292	16.5	17.1	75.6
9	155	8.8	9.1	84.7
10 Strongly like	261	14.8	15.3	100.0
Total Valid	1708	96.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	61	3.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

b25alp B25. Feelings about Labor Party

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.25. Finally in this section, we would like to know what you think about each of our political parties. Please rate each party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you are neutral about a particular party or don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Labor Party (ALP)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	122	6.9	7.2	7.2
1	73	4.1	4.3	11.5
2	98	5.5	5.8	17.3
3	147	8.3	8.7	26.0
4	151	8.5	8.9	35.0
5 Neutral	278	15.7	16.4	51.4
6	175	9.9	10.4	61.8
7	184	10.4	10.9	72.7
8	207	11.7	12.2	84.9
9	109	6.2	6.4	91.4
10 Strongly like	146	8.3	8.6	100.0
Total Valid	1690	95.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	79	4.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b25nat B25. Feelings about National Party

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.25. Finally in this section, we would like to know what you think about each of our political parties. Please rate each party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you are neutral about a particular party or don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

National Party

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	162	9.2	9.8	9.8
1	125	7.1	7.6	17.4
2	100	5.7	6.1	23.5
3	113	6.4	6.8	30.3
4	101	5.7	6.1	36.4
5 Neutral	463	26.2	28.1	64.5
6	138	7.8	8.4	72.8
7	137	7.7	8.3	81.2
8	136	7.7	8.2	89.4
9	90	5.1	5.5	94.8
10 Strongly like	85	4.8	5.2	100.0
Total Valid	1650	93.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	119	6.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

b25grn B25. Feelings about Greens

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.25. Finally in this section, we would like to know what you think about each of our political parties. Please rate each party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you are neutral about a particular party or don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Greens

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	295	16.7	17.8	17.8
1	137	7.7	8.3	26.1
2	116	6.6	7.0	33.1
3	128	7.2	7.7	40.9
4	106	6.0	6.4	47.3
5 Neutral	358	20.2	21.6	68.9
6	129	7.3	7.8	76.7
7	123	7.0	7.4	84.2
8	127	7.2	7.7	91.8
9	78	4.4	4.7	96.6
10 Strongly like	57	3.2	3.4	100.0
Total Valid	1654	93.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	115	6.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

b25onp B25. Feelings about One Nation Party

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.25. Finally in this section, we would like to know what you think about each of our political parties. Please rate each party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you are neutral about a particular party or don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

One Nation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	585	33.1	35.5	35.5
1	208	11.8	12.6	48.1
2	146	8.3	8.8	56.9
3	93	5.3	5.6	62.5
4	64	3.6	3.9	66.4
5 Neutral	340	19.2	20.6	87.0
6	66	3.7	4.0	91.0
7	55	3.1	3.3	94.4
8	47	2.7	2.8	97.2
9	13	0.7	0.8	98.0
10 Strongly like	33	1.9	2.0	100.0
Total Valid	1650	93.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	119	6.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

b25dem B25. Feelings about Australian Democrats

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

B.25. Finally in this section, we would like to know what you think about each of our political parties. Please rate each party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you are neutral about a particular party or don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Australian Democrats

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	201	11.4	12.2	12.2
1	139	7.9	8.4	20.6
2	130	7.3	7.9	28.5
3	155	8.8	9.4	37.9
4	148	8.4	9.0	46.9
5 Neutral	549	31.0	33.3	80.2
6	127	7.2	7.7	87.9
7	103	5.8	6.3	94.2
8	58	3.3	3.5	97.7
9	23	1.3	1.4	99.1
10 Strongly like	15	0.8	0.9	100.0
Total Valid	1648	93.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	121	6.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

Section C: Politicians and Government**c1lath C1. Feelings about Mark Latham**

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.1. Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. Again, if you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Mark Latham

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	164	9.3	9.5	9.5
1	94	5.3	5.5	15.0
2	132	7.5	7.7	22.6
3	144	8.1	8.4	31.0
4	127	7.2	7.4	38.4
5 Neutral	294	16.6	17.1	55.4
6	176	9.9	10.2	65.6
7	194	11.0	11.3	76.9
8	194	11.0	11.3	88.2
9	88	5.0	5.1	93.3
10 Strongly like	116	6.6	6.7	100.0
Total Valid	1723	97.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	46	2.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

c1how C1. Feelings about John Howard

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.1. Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. Again, if you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

John Howard

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	224	12.7	13.0	13.0
1	108	6.1	6.3	19.3
2	97	5.5	5.6	25.0
3	92	5.2	5.4	30.3
4	70	4.0	4.1	34.4
5 Neutral	147	8.3	8.6	43.0
6	105	5.9	6.1	49.1
7	154	8.7	9.0	58.0
8	255	14.4	14.8	72.9
9	194	11.0	11.3	84.2
10 Strongly like	272	15.4	15.8	100.0
Total Valid	1718	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	51	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

c1and C1. Feelings about John Anderson

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.1. Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. Again, if you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

John Anderson

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	117	6.6	6.9	6.9
1	77	4.4	4.6	11.5
2	95	5.4	5.6	17.1
3	77	4.4	4.6	21.7
4	91	5.1	5.4	27.1
5 Neutral	609	34.4	36.1	63.2
6	124	7.0	7.3	70.5
7	137	7.7	8.1	78.6
8	156	8.8	9.2	87.9
9	97	5.5	5.7	93.6
10 Strongly like	108	6.1	6.4	100.0
Total Valid	1688	95.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	81	4.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

c1bart C1. Feelings about Andrew Bartlett

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.1. Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. Again, if you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Andrew Bartlett

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	146	8.3	8.8	8.8
1	121	6.8	7.3	16.2
2	110	6.2	6.7	22.8
3	137	7.7	8.3	31.1
4	150	8.5	9.1	40.2
5 Neutral	843	47.7	51.1	91.3
6	74	4.2	4.5	95.8
7	43	2.4	2.6	98.4
8	16	0.9	1.0	99.3
9	9	0.5	0.5	99.9
10 Strongly like	2	0.1	0.1	100.0
Total Valid	1651	93.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	118	6.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

c1hans C1. Feelings about Pauline Hanson

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.1. Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. Again, if you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Pauline Hanson

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	485	27.4	28.7	28.7
1	180	10.2	10.6	39.3
2	162	9.2	9.6	48.9
3	112	6.3	6.6	55.5
4	107	6.0	6.3	61.8
5 Neutral	281	15.9	16.6	78.4
6	111	6.3	6.6	85.0
7	99	5.6	5.9	90.8
8	81	4.6	4.8	95.6
9	27	1.5	1.6	97.2
10 Strongly like	47	2.7	2.8	100.0
Total Valid	1692	95.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	77	4.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

c1brown C1. Feelings about Bob Brown

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.1. Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. Again, if you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Bob Brown

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	329	18.6	19.7	19.7
1	136	7.7	8.2	27.9
2	103	5.8	6.2	34.1
3	95	5.4	5.7	39.8
4	114	6.4	6.8	46.6
5 Neutral	471	26.6	28.3	74.9
6	91	5.1	5.5	80.3
7	109	6.2	6.5	86.9
8	94	5.3	5.6	92.5
9	71	4.0	4.3	96.8
10 Strongly like	54	3.1	3.2	100.0
Total Valid	1667	94.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	102	5.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

c1cost C1. Feelings about Peter Costello

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.1. Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. Again, if you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Peter Costello

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	240	13.6	14.3	14.3
1	102	5.8	6.1	20.4
2	122	6.9	7.3	27.6
3	119	6.7	7.1	34.7
4	118	6.7	7.0	41.7
5 Neutral	323	18.3	19.2	61.0
6	126	7.1	7.5	68.5
7	143	8.1	8.5	77.0
8	185	10.5	11.0	88.0
9	109	6.2	6.5	94.5
10 Strongly like	93	5.3	5.5	100.0
Total Valid	1680	95.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	89	5.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

c1crean C1. Feelings about Simon Crean

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.1. Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. Again, if you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Simon Crean

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	225	12.7	13.5	13.5
1	141	8.0	8.4	21.9
2	160	9.0	9.6	31.5
3	184	10.4	11.0	42.5
4	177	10.0	10.6	53.1
5 Neutral	446	25.2	26.7	79.8
6	152	8.6	9.1	88.9
7	71	4.0	4.3	93.2
8	75	4.2	4.5	97.7
9	18	1.0	1.1	98.7
10 Strongly like	21	1.2	1.3	100.0
Total Valid	1670	94.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	99	5.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

c1bush C1. Feelings about George W Bush

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.1. Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. Again, if you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

George W Bush

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	499	28.2	29.4	29.4
1	152	8.6	9.0	38.4
2	101	5.7	6.0	44.4
3	97	5.5	5.7	50.1
4	95	5.4	5.6	55.7
5 Neutral	337	19.1	19.9	75.6
6	89	5.0	5.3	80.8
7	119	6.7	7.0	87.8
8	101	5.7	6.0	93.8
9	41	2.3	2.4	96.2
10 Strongly like	64	3.6	3.8	100.0
Total Valid	1695	95.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	74	4.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

c1blair C1. Feelings about Tony Blair

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.1. Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. Again, if you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5.

How do you feel about:

Tony Blair

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Strongly dislike	161	9.1	9.5	9.5
1	91	5.1	5.4	14.9
2	82	4.6	4.8	19.7
3	104	5.9	6.1	25.9
4	101	5.7	6.0	31.8
5 Neutral	425	24.0	25.1	56.9
6	194	11.0	11.5	68.4
7	206	11.6	12.2	80.5
8	171	9.7	10.1	90.6
9	79	4.5	4.7	95.3
10 Strongly like	80	4.5	4.7	100.0
Total Valid	1694	95.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	75	4.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

c2jhint C2. John Howard intelligent

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.2. Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about John Howard, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him-extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Intelligent

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	722	40.8	42.4	42.4
2 Quite well	785	44.4	46.1	88.6
3 Not too well	133	7.5	7.8	96.4
4 Not well at all	61	3.4	3.6	100.0
Total Valid	1701	96.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	68	3.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

c2jhcom C2. John Howard compassionate

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.2. Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about John Howard, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him-extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Compassionate

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	273	15.4	16.3	16.3
2 Quite well	652	36.9	38.9	55.1
3 Not too well	429	24.3	25.6	80.7
4 Not well at all	324	18.3	19.3	100.0
Total Valid	1678	94.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	91	5.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

c2jhscn C2. John Howard sensible

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.2. Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about John Howard, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him-extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Sensible

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	484	27.4	29.0	29.0
2 Quite well	773	43.7	46.4	75.4
3 Not too well	298	16.8	17.9	93.3
4 Not well at all	112	6.3	6.7	100.0
Total Valid	1667	94.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	102	5.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

c2jhlea C2. John Howard provides strong leadership

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.2. Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about John Howard, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him-extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Provides strong leadership

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	779	44.0	46.6	46.6
2 Quite well	633	35.8	37.8	84.4
3 Not too well	182	10.3	10.9	95.3
4 Not well at all	79	4.5	4.7	100.0
Total Valid	1673	94.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	96	5.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

c2jhon C2. John Howard honest

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.2. Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about John Howard, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him-extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Honest

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	242	13.7	14.5	14.5
2 Quite well	522	29.5	31.3	45.8
3 Not too well	424	24.0	25.4	71.2
4 Not well at all	480	27.1	28.8	100.0
Total Valid	1668	94.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	101	5.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

c2jhkno C2. John Howard knowledgeable

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.2. Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about John Howard, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him-extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Knowledgeable

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	589	33.3	35.1	35.1
2 Quite well	786	44.4	46.9	82.0
3 Not too well	222	12.5	13.2	95.3
4 Not well at all	79	4.5	4.7	100.0
Total Valid	1676	94.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	93	5.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

c2jhins C2. John Howard inspiring

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.2. Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about John Howard, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him-extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Inspiring

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	180	10.2	10.9	10.9
2 Quite well	525	29.7	31.7	42.5
3 Not too well	501	28.3	30.2	72.7
4 Not well at all	452	25.6	27.3	100.0
Total Valid	1658	93.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	111	6.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

c2jhtru C2. John Howard trustworthy

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.2. Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about John Howard, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him-extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Trustworthy

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	229	12.9	13.7	13.7
2 Quite well	538	30.4	32.1	45.8
3 Not too well	404	22.8	24.1	70.0
4 Not well at all	503	28.4	30.0	100.0
Total Valid	1674	94.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	95	5.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

c3mlint C3. Mark Latham intelligent

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.3. Now thinking about Mark Latham, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him-extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Intelligent

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	279	15.8	16.5	16.5
2 Quite well	953	53.9	56.4	72.9
3 Not too well	362	20.5	21.4	94.3
4 Not well at all	97	5.5	5.7	100.0
Total Valid	1691	95.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	78	4.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

c3mlcom C3. Mark Latham compassionate

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.3. Now thinking about Mark Latham, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him- extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Compassionate

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	187	10.6	11.3	11.3
2 Quite well	855	48.3	51.4	62.7
3 Not too well	487	27.5	29.3	92.0
4 Not well at all	133	7.5	8.0	100.0
Total Valid	1662	94.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	107	6.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

c3mlsen C3. Mark Latham sensible

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.3. Now thinking about Mark Latham, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him- extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Sensible

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	104	5.9	6.3	6.3
2 Quite well	755	42.7	45.4	51.7
3 Not too well	618	34.9	37.2	88.8
4 Not well at all	186	10.5	11.2	100.0
Total Valid	1663	94.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	106	6.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

c3mllea C3. Mark Latham provides strong leadership

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.3. Now thinking about Mark Latham, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him- extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Provides strong leadership

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	172	9.7	10.3	10.3
2 Quite well	595	33.6	35.7	46.0
3 Not too well	626	35.4	37.5	83.5
4 Not well at all	275	15.5	16.5	100.0
Total Valid	1668	94.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	101	5.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

c3mlhon C3. Mark Latham honest

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.3. Now thinking about Mark Latham, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him- extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Honest

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	160	9.0	9.7	9.7
2 Quite well	689	38.9	41.8	51.5
3 Not too well	591	33.4	35.8	87.3
4 Not well at all	210	11.9	12.7	100.0
Total Valid	1650	93.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	119	6.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

c3mlkno C3. Mark Latham knowledgeable

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.3. Now thinking about Mark Latham, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him- extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Knowledgeable

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	165	9.3	9.9	9.9
2 Quite well	809	45.7	48.7	58.6
3 Not too well	555	31.4	33.4	92.1
4 Not well at all	132	7.5	7.9	100.0
Total Valid	1661	93.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	108	6.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

c3mlins C3. Mark Latham inspiring

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.3. Now thinking about Mark Latham, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him- extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Inspiring

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	122	6.9	7.4	7.4
2 Quite well	435	24.6	26.3	33.7
3 Not too well	700	39.6	42.4	76.1
4 Not well at all	395	22.3	23.9	100.0
Total Valid	1652	93.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	117	6.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

c3mltru C3. Mark Latham trustworthy

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.3. Now thinking about Mark Latham, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him- extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

Trustworthy

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely well	126	7.1	7.6	7.6
2 Quite well	573	32.4	34.5	42.1
3 Not too well	657	37.1	39.6	81.7
4 Not well at all	303	17.1	18.3	100.0
Total Valid	1659	93.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	110	6.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

c4 C4. Fed Politicians know what people think

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.4. Some people say that Federal politicians know what ordinary people think. Others say that Federal politicians don't know much about what ordinary people think. Where would you place your view on this scale from 1 to 5?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Know what ordinary people think	55	3.1	3.2	3.2
2	318	18.0	18.2	21.4
3	644	36.4	36.9	58.3
4	441	24.9	25.3	83.6
5 Don't know what ordinary people think	285	16.1	16.4	100.0
Total Valid	1743	98.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	26	1.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

c5 C5. Doesn't make a difference who in power

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.5. Some people say it makes a difference who is in power. Others say that it doesn't make a difference who is in power. Using the scale below, where would you place yourself?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 It makes a big difference who is in power	625	35.3	35.7	35.7
2	542	30.6	30.9	66.6
3	295	16.7	16.8	83.4
4	163	9.2	9.3	92.8
5 It doesn't make any difference who is in power	127	7.2	7.2	100.0
Total Valid	1752	99.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	17	1.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

c6 C6. Doesn't make a difference who vote for

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.6. Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a difference to what happens. Using the scale below, where would you place yourself?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Who people vote for can make a big difference	123	7.0	7.0	7.0
2	190	10.7	10.9	17.9
3	288	16.3	16.5	34.3
4	563	31.8	32.2	66.5
5 Who people vote for won't make any difference	586	33.1	33.5	100.0
Total Valid	1750	98.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	19	1.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

c7 C7. Satisfied with Aust democracy

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.7. On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Australia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very satisfied	361	20.4	20.9	20.9
2 Fairly satisfied	1049	59.3	60.7	81.6
3 Not very satisfied	250	14.1	14.5	96.0
4 Not at all satisfied	69	3.9	4.0	100.0
Total Valid	1729	97.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	40	2.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

c8 C8. Government looks after themselves

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.8. In general, do you feel that the people in government are too often interested in looking after themselves, or do you feel that they can be trusted to do the right thing nearly all the time?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Usually look after themselves	577	32.6	33.8	33.8
2 Sometimes look after themselves	455	25.7	26.7	60.5
3 Sometimes can be trusted to do the right thing	421	23.8	24.7	85.2
4 Usually can be trusted to do the right thing	253	14.3	14.8	100.0
Total Valid	1706	96.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	63	3.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

c9 C9. Government run by big interests

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.9. Would you say the government is run by a few big interests looking out for themselves, or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Entirely run for the big interests	181	10.2	10.5	10.5
2 Mostly run for the big interests	539	30.5	31.2	41.7
3 About half and half	653	36.9	37.8	79.5
4 Most run for the benefit of all	324	18.3	18.8	98.3
5 Entirely run for the benefit of all	30	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total Valid	1727	97.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	42	2.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

c10 C10. Performance of govt in Canb 3 yrs

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.10. Now thinking about the performance of the government in Canberra in general, how good or bad a job do you think the government in Canberra has done over the past three years?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A very good job	233	13.2	13.7	13.7
2 A good job	1049	59.3	61.6	75.3
3 A bad job	344	19.4	20.2	95.5
4 A very bad job	77	4.4	4.5	100.0
Total Valid	1703	96.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	66	3.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

c11 C11. Democracy better than other govt

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.11. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Democracy may have problems but it's better than any other form of government?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Agree strongly	829	46.9	48.3	48.3
2 Agree	781	44.1	45.5	93.7
3 Disagree	91	5.1	5.3	99.0
4 Disagree strongly	17	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total Valid	1718	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	51	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

c12 C12. Cost of fine if don't vote

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.12. As you know, voting is compulsory in Australia. Do you know how much those who choose not to vote are fined?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 No, I don't know	1265	71.5	73.1	73.1
2 Yes, I know how much the fine is	465	26.3	26.9	100.0
Total Valid	1730	97.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	39	2.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

c13 C13. Proportion who pay fine

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.13. What proportion of those who do not vote and have no good reason for not voting (like illness) do you think actually pay the fine?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Almost everyone	85	4.8	4.9	4.9
2 Most	229	12.9	13.3	18.2
3 Some	444	25.1	25.7	43.9
4 Only a few	302	17.1	17.5	61.4
5 Almost none	143	8.1	8.3	69.7
6 No idea	523	29.6	30.3	100.0
Total Valid	1726	97.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	43	2.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

c14p1 C14. Makes sense to vote for small parties

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.14. How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

It makes sense to vote for small parties or independent candidates sometimes

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	183	10.3	10.9	10.9
2 Agree	577	32.6	34.2	45.1
3 Neither agree nor disagree	451	25.5	26.7	71.8
4 Disagree	288	16.3	17.1	88.9
5 Strongly disagree	187	10.6	11.1	100.0
Total Valid	1686	95.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	83	4.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

c14p2 C14. Bans on companies donating money

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.14. How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

There should be bans on companies such as big developers giving money to political parties

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	548	31.0	32.3	32.3
2 Agree	529	29.9	31.2	63.5
3 Neither agree nor disagree	380	21.5	22.4	85.9
4 Disagree	164	9.3	9.7	95.6
5 Strongly disagree	75	4.2	4.4	100.0
Total Valid	1696	95.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	73	4.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

c14p3 C14. Politicians commonly rot the system

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.14. How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Politicians commonly rot the system for their own benefit

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	279	15.8	16.7	16.7
2 Agree	598	33.8	35.8	52.5
3 Neither agree nor disagree	507	28.7	30.3	82.8
4 Disagree	233	13.2	13.9	96.7
5 Strongly disagree	55	3.1	3.3	100.0
Total Valid	1672	94.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	97	5.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

c14p4 C14. Have good understanding of political issues

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.14. How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing Australia

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	206	11.6	12.3	12.3
2 Agree	898	50.8	53.6	65.9
3 Neither agree nor disagree	436	24.6	26.0	91.9
4 Disagree	105	5.9	6.3	98.2
5 Strongly disagree	30	1.7	1.8	100.0
Total Valid	1675	94.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	94	5.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

c14p5 C14. Do as good a job in public office as most others

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.14. How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

I feel that I could do as good a job in public office as most other people

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	104	5.9	6.2	6.2
2 Agree	397	22.4	23.8	30.1
3 Neither agree nor disagree	567	32.1	34.0	64.1
4 Disagree	445	25.2	26.7	90.8
5 Strongly disagree	154	8.7	9.2	100.0
Total Valid	1667	94.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	102	5.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

c14p6 C14. Doesn't care about election shouldn't vote

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.14. How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

If a person doesn't care how an election comes out he or she shouldn't vote in it

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	239	13.5	14.3	14.3
2 Agree	352	19.9	21.0	35.3
3 Neither agree nor disagree	353	20.0	21.1	56.4
4 Disagree	509	28.8	30.4	86.8
5 Strongly disagree	220	12.4	13.2	100.0
Total Valid	1673	94.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	96	5.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

c14p7 C14. If I did not vote I would feel guilty

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.14. How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

If I did not vote I would feel guilty

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	478	27.0	28.4	28.4
2 Agree	625	35.3	37.1	65.5
3 Neither agree nor disagree	298	16.8	17.7	83.2
4 Disagree	163	9.2	9.7	92.9
5 Strongly disagree	119	6.7	7.1	100.0
Total Valid	1683	95.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	86	4.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

c15p1 C15. Strong leader who doesn't bother with parliament

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.15. What do you think of each of the following types of political system as a way of governing this country?

Having a strong leader who does not have to bother with parliament and elections

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	82	4.6	5.1	5.1
2 Fairly good	229	12.9	14.1	19.2
3 Fairly bad	421	23.8	26.0	45.2
4 Very bad	889	50.3	54.8	100.0
Total Valid	1621	91.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	148	8.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

c15p2 C15. Experts, not government, make decisions

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.15. What do you think of each of the following types of political system as a way of governing this country?

Having experts, not government, make decisions according to what they think is best for the country

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	114	6.4	7.1	7.1
2 Fairly good	376	21.3	23.3	30.4
3 Fairly bad	535	30.2	33.2	63.6
4 Very bad	586	33.1	36.4	100.0
Total Valid	1611	91.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	158	8.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

c15p3 C15. Having the army rule

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.15. What do you think of each of the following types of political system as a way of governing this country?

Having the army rule

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	26	1.5	1.6	1.6
2 Fairly good	53	3.0	3.3	4.9
3 Fairly bad	208	11.8	13.0	17.9
4 Very bad	1314	74.3	82.1	100.0
Total Valid	1601	90.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	168	9.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

c15p4 C15. Having a democratic political system

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.15. What do you think of each of the following types of political system as a way of governing this country?

Having a democratic political system

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	1090	61.6	65.3	65.3
2 Fairly good	428	24.2	25.6	91.0
3 Fairly bad	95	5.4	5.7	96.6
4 Very bad	56	3.2	3.4	100.0
Total Valid	1669	94.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	100	5.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

c16 C16. Corruption amongst politicians

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.16. How widespread do you think corruption such as bribe taking is amongst politicians in Australia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very widespread	147	8.3	8.7	8.7
2 Quite widespread	486	27.5	28.7	37.3
3 Not very widespread	844	47.7	49.8	87.1
4 It hardly happens at all	219	12.4	12.9	100.0
Total Valid	1696	95.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	73	4.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

c17 C17. Corruption amongst public servants

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.17. How widespread do you think corruption such as bribe taking is amongst public servants in Australia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very widespread	200	11.3	11.8	11.8
2 Quite widespread	610	34.5	35.8	47.6
3 Not very widespread	737	41.7	43.3	90.9
4 It hardly happens at all	155	8.8	9.1	100.0
Total Valid	1702	96.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	67	3.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

c18p1 C18. 5 yrs done to express views-contact official

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.18. Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?

Contacted a politician or government official either in person, or in writing, or some other way

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	481	27.2	29.1	29.1
2 No	1170	66.1	70.9	100.0
Total Valid	1651	93.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	118	6.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

c18p2 C18. 5 yrs done to express views-protest/march

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.18. Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?

Taken part in a protest, march or demonstration

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	223	12.6	13.7	13.7
2 No	1401	79.2	86.3	100.0
Total Valid	1624	91.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	145	8.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

c18p3 C18. 5 yrs done to express views-work with like others

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.18. Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?

Worked together with people who shared the same concern

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	369	20.9	22.7	22.7
2 No	1258	71.1	77.3	100.0
Total Valid	1627	92.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	142	8.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

c18p4 C18. 5 yrs done to express views-signed written petition

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.18. Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?

Signed a written petition

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	936	52.9	56.0	56.0
2 No	734	41.5	44.0	100.0
Total Valid	1670	94.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	99	5.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

c18p5 C18. 5 yrs done to express views-signed electronic petition

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

C.18. Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?

Signed an electronic petition

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	190	10.7	11.8	11.8
2 No	1425	80.6	88.2	100.0
Total Valid	1615	91.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	154	8.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

Section D: Election Issues

d1tax D1. Importance of taxation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Taxation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	753	42.6	44.1	44.1
2 Quite important	678	38.3	39.7	83.8
3 Not very important	277	15.7	16.2	100.0
Total Valid	1708	96.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	61	3.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1immig D1. Importance of immigration

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Immigration

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	536	30.3	31.6	31.6
2 Quite important	773	43.7	45.6	77.2
3 Not very important	386	21.8	22.8	100.0
Total Valid	1695	95.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	74	4.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1educ D1. Importance of education

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Education

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	1189	67.2	69.2	69.2
2 Quite important	447	25.3	26.0	95.3
3 Not very important	81	4.6	4.7	100.0
Total Valid	1717	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	52	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1envir D1. Importance of environment

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Environment

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	881	49.8	51.6	51.6
2 Quite important	681	38.5	39.9	91.6
3 Not very important	144	8.1	8.4	100.0
Total Valid	1706	96.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	63	3.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1indrel D1. Importance of industrial relations

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Industrial relations

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	524	29.6	30.9	30.9
2 Quite important	856	48.4	50.5	81.4
3 Not very important	316	17.9	18.6	100.0
Total Valid	1696	95.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	73	4.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1health D1. Importance of health and Medicare

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Health and Medicare

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	1314	74.3	76.2	76.2
2 Quite important	361	20.4	20.9	97.2
3 Not very important	49	2.8	2.8	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1refuge D1. Importance of refugees, asylum seekers

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Refugees and asylum seekers

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	509	28.8	30.1	30.1
2 Quite important	683	38.6	40.4	70.4
3 Not very important	500	28.3	29.6	100.0
Total Valid	1692	95.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	77	4.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1defenc D1. Importance of defence, national security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Defence and national security

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	913	51.6	53.5	53.5
2 Quite important	631	35.7	37.0	90.5
3 Not very important	163	9.2	9.5	100.0
Total Valid	1707	96.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	62	3.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1iraq D1. Importance of the war in Iraq

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

The war in Iraq

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	632	35.7	37.1	37.1
2 Quite important	638	36.1	37.5	74.6
3 Not very important	433	24.5	25.4	100.0
Total Valid	1703	96.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	66	3.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1unemp D1. Importance of unemployment

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Unemployment

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	780	44.1	45.6	45.6
2 Quite important	706	39.9	41.3	87.0
3 Not very important	223	12.6	13.0	100.0
Total Valid	1709	96.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	60	3.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1inter D1. Importance of interest rates

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Interest rates

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	824	46.6	48.3	48.3
2 Quite important	603	34.1	35.3	83.6
3 Not very important	280	15.8	16.4	100.0
Total Valid	1707	96.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	62	3.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

d1terror D1. Importance of terrorism

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.1. Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?

Terrorism

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Extremely important	881	49.8	51.4	51.4
2 Quite important	533	30.1	31.1	82.5
3 Not very important	300	17.0	17.5	100.0
Total Valid	1714	96.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	55	3.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2tax D2. Party closest to own view taxation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Taxation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	462	26.1	27.4	27.4
2 Liberal-National Coalition	700	39.6	41.4	68.8
3 No difference	306	17.3	18.1	86.9
4 Don't know	221	12.5	13.1	100.0
Total Valid	1689	95.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	80	4.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2immig D2. Party closest to own view immigration

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Immigration

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	366	20.7	22.1	22.1
2 Liberal-National Coalition	643	36.3	38.8	60.8
3 No difference	340	19.2	20.5	81.3
4 Don't know	310	17.5	18.7	100.0
Total Valid	1659	93.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	110	6.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2educ D2. Party closest to own view education

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Education

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	749	42.3	44.3	44.3
2 Liberal-National Coalition	594	33.6	35.1	79.4
3 No difference	201	11.4	11.9	91.3
4 Don't know	147	8.3	8.7	100.0
Total Valid	1691	95.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	78	4.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2envir D2. Party closest to own view environment

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Environment

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	579	32.7	34.6	34.6
2 Liberal-National Coalition	475	26.9	28.4	63.0
3 No difference	390	22.0	23.3	86.3
4 Don't know	229	12.9	13.7	100.0
Total Valid	1673	94.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	96	5.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2indrel D2. Party closest to own view industrial relations

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Industrial relations

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	448	25.3	27.1	27.1
2 Liberal-National Coalition	617	34.9	37.3	64.4
3 No difference	257	14.5	15.5	79.9
4 Don't know	333	18.8	20.1	100.0
Total Valid	1655	93.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	114	6.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2health D2. Party closest to own view health and Medicare

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Health and Medicare

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	734	41.5	43.5	43.5
2 Liberal-National Coalition	629	35.6	37.2	80.7
3 No difference	190	10.7	11.2	91.9
4 Don't know	136	7.7	8.1	100.0
Total Valid	1689	95.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	80	4.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2refuge D2. Party closest to own view refugees, asylum seekers

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Refugees and asylum seekers

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	365	20.6	22.0	22.0
2 Liberal-National Coalition	593	33.5	35.8	57.8
3 No difference	358	20.2	21.6	79.4
4 Don't know	341	19.3	20.6	100.0
Total Valid	1657	93.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	112	6.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2defenc D2. Party closest to own view defence, national security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Defence and national security

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	356	20.1	21.2	21.2
2 Liberal-National Coalition	815	46.1	48.5	69.7
3 No difference	313	17.7	18.6	88.4
4 Don't know	195	11.0	11.6	100.0
Total Valid	1679	94.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	90	5.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2iraq D2. Party closest to own view war in Iraq

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

War in Iraq

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	523	29.6	31.2	31.2
2 Liberal-National Coalition	699	39.5	41.7	72.9
3 No difference	243	13.7	14.5	87.4
4 Don't know	211	11.9	12.6	100.0
Total Valid	1676	94.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	93	5.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2unemp D2. Party closest to own view unemployment

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Unemployment

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	428	24.2	25.6	25.6
2 Liberal-National Coalition	597	33.7	35.7	61.3
3 No difference	385	21.8	23.0	84.3
4 Don't know	262	14.8	15.7	100.0
Total Valid	1672	94.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	97	5.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2inter D2. Party closest to own view interest rates

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Interest rates

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	293	16.6	17.5	17.5
2 Liberal-National Coalition	776	43.9	46.2	63.7
3 No difference	381	21.5	22.7	86.4
4 Don't know	228	12.9	13.6	100.0
Total Valid	1678	94.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	91	5.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

d2terror D2. Party closest to own view terrorism

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.2. Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies -the Labor Party's or the Liberal-National Coalition's - would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?

Terrorism

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Labor (ALP)	328	18.5	19.5	19.5
2 Liberal-National Coalition	754	42.6	44.8	64.3
3 No difference	382	21.6	22.7	87.0
4 Don't know	219	12.4	13.0	100.0
Total Valid	1683	95.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	86	4.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

d3p1 D3. Most important issue during election campaign

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.3. Still thinking about the same 12 issues, which of these issues was most important to you and your family during the election campaign? And which next? PLEASE PUT THE NUMBER OF THE ISSUE IN THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW.

Issue of most concern

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Taxation	275	15.5	16.4	16.4
2 Immigration	30	1.7	1.8	18.2
3 Education	251	14.2	15.0	33.2
4 The environment	92	5.2	5.5	38.6
5 Industrial relations	39	2.2	2.3	41.0
6 Health and Medicare	506	28.6	30.2	71.1
7 Refugees and asylum seekers	45	2.5	2.7	73.8
8 Defence and national security	96	5.4	5.7	79.5
9 The war in Iraq	72	4.1	4.3	83.8
10 Unemployment	32	1.8	1.9	85.7
11 Interest rates	158	8.9	9.4	95.2
12 Terrorism	81	4.6	4.8	100.0
Total Valid	1677	94.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	92	5.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

d3p2 D3. Second important issue during election campaign

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.3. Still thinking about the same 12 issues, which of these issues was most important to you and your family during the election campaign? And which next? PLEASE PUT THE NUMBER OF THE ISSUE IN THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW.

Second issue of concern

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Taxation	180	10.2	10.9	10.9
2 Immigration	41	2.3	2.5	13.4
3 Education	286	16.2	17.4	30.8
4 The environment	132	7.5	8.0	38.8
5 Industrial relations	52	2.9	3.2	42.0
6 Health and Medicare	360	20.4	21.9	63.9
7 Refugees and asylum seekers	64	3.6	3.9	67.7
8 Defence and national security	131	7.4	8.0	75.7
9 The war in Iraq	73	4.1	4.4	80.1
10 Unemployment	64	3.6	3.9	84.0
11 Interest rates	146	8.3	8.9	92.9
12 Terrorism	117	6.6	7.1	100.0
Total Valid	1646	93.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	123	7.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

d4 D4. Most important issue-govt do a good job 3 yrs

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.4. And thinking about the most important issue, how good or bad a job do you think the government in Canberra has done over the past three years?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A very good job	261	14.8	15.3	15.3
2 A good job	784	44.3	46.0	61.3
3 A bad job	407	23.0	23.9	85.1
4 A very bad job	254	14.4	14.9	100.0
Total Valid	1706	96.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	63	3.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

d5hhold D5. Financial situation of hhold now to 1 yr ago

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.5. How does the financial situation of your household now compare with what it was 12 months ago?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A lot better	111	6.3	6.5	6.5
2 A little better	364	20.6	21.2	27.7
3 About the same	841	47.5	49.0	76.6
4 A little worse	294	16.6	17.1	93.8
5 A lot worse	107	6.0	6.2	100.0
Total Valid	1717	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	52	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

d5cntry D5. Economic situation country now to 1 yr ago

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.5. And how do you think the general economic situation in Australia now compares with what it was 12 months ago?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A lot better	193	10.9	11.7	11.7
2 A little better	510	28.8	30.9	42.5
3 About the same	697	39.4	42.2	84.7
4 A little worse	194	11.0	11.7	96.4
5 A lot worse	59	3.3	3.6	100.0
Total Valid	1653	93.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	116	6.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

d6hhold D6. Effect of govt policies on hhold situation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.6. Compared with 12 months ago, would you say that the Federal government's policies have had a good effect, a bad effect, or that they really have not made much difference to the financial situation of your household?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A good effect	321	18.1	18.7	18.7
2 Not much difference	1183	66.9	68.8	87.4
3 A bad effect	216	12.2	12.6	100.0
Total Valid	1720	97.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	49	2.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

d6cntry D6. Effect of govt policies on country situation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.6. And what effect do you think they have had on the general economic situation in Australia as a whole?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A good effect	577	32.6	34.6	34.6
2 Not much difference	953	53.9	57.2	91.8
3 A bad effect	137	7.7	8.2	100.0
Total Valid	1667	94.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	102	5.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

d7hhold D7. Financial situation of hhold in 1 yr

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.7. Compared to now, what do you think the financial situation of your household will be in 12 months time?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A lot better	81	4.6	4.7	4.7
2 A little better	368	20.8	21.5	26.2
3 About the same	908	51.3	53.0	79.3
4 A little worse	268	15.1	15.7	94.9
5 A lot worse	87	4.9	5.1	100.0
Total Valid	1712	96.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	57	3.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

d7cntry D7. Economic situation country in 1 yr

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.7. And what do you think the general economic situation in Australia as a whole will be in 12 months time?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A lot better	102	5.8	6.1	6.1
2 A little better	400	22.6	23.9	30.0
3 About the same	812	45.9	48.4	78.4
4 A little worse	285	16.1	17.0	95.4
5 A lot worse	77	4.4	4.6	100.0
Total Valid	1676	94.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	93	5.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

d8hhold D8. Effect of govt policies on hhold situation in 1 yr

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.8.1. Do you think that, 12 months from now, the Federal government's policies will have had a good effect, a bad effect, or that they really will have not made much difference to the financial situation of your household?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A good effect	270	15.3	15.8	15.8
2 Not much difference	1244	70.3	73.0	88.8
3 A bad effect	190	10.7	11.2	100.0
Total Valid	1704	96.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	65	3.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

d8cntry D8. Effect of govt policies on country situation in 1 yr

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.8. And what effect do you think they will have had on general economic situation in Australia as a whole?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A good effect	418	23.6	25.1	25.1
2 Not much difference	1042	58.9	62.5	87.6
3 A bad effect	206	11.6	12.4	100.0
Total Valid	1666	94.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	103	5.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

d9 D9. Worry that hhold member will unemployed next 12 months

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.9. How worried are you that in the next 12 months you or someone else in your household might be out of work and looking for a job for any reason - very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very worried	253	14.3	14.8	14.8
2 Somewhat worried	575	32.5	33.7	48.6
3 Not at all worried	876	49.5	51.4	100.0
Total Valid	1704	96.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	65	3.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

d10 D10. How easy to get a job

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.10. In your community these days, how easy is it for someone who is trying to find a job to get a good job at good wages - very easy, somewhat easy, somewhat hard, or very hard?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very easy	46	2.6	2.7	2.7
2 Somewhat easy	403	22.8	23.6	26.3
3 Somewhat hard	859	48.6	50.3	76.5
4 Very hard	401	22.7	23.5	100.0
Total Valid	1709	96.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	60	3.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

d11 D11. Job availability better in past or future

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.11. When it comes to the availability of good jobs for Australian workers, some say that the best years are behind us. Others say that the best years are yet to come. What do you think?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Best years definitely behind	351	19.8	20.8	20.8
2 Best years probably behind	780	44.1	46.1	66.9
3 Best years probably yet to come	505	28.5	29.9	96.7
4 Best years definitely yet to come	55	3.1	3.3	100.0
Total Valid	1691	95.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	78	4.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

d12 D12. How worried about increases in interest rates

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.12. Thinking about interest rates on home loans and personal loans, how worried are you about increases in interest rates for your personal finances?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very worried	306	17.3	17.9	17.9
2 Quite worried	537	30.4	31.4	49.2
3 Not very worried	581	32.8	33.9	83.2
4 Not worried at all	288	16.3	16.8	100.0
Total Valid	1712	96.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	57	3.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

d13 D13. Opinion on cutting income tax

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.13. If you had to choose, which of the following would be closest to your views?

- Cutting my income tax would mean the government has less money to waste on spending that doesn't benefit me - 1

- Cutting my income tax would mean the government has less money to spend on public services I really need - 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Less \$ to waste on spending that doesn't benefit me	804	45.4	50.8	50.8
2 Less \$ to spend on public services I really need	778	44.0	49.2	100.0
Total Valid	1582	89.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	187	10.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

d14p1 D14. Unemployment since 2001 Fed election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.14. Thinking back to the Federal election in 2001, when John Howard won against Kim Beazley, would you say that since then the following have increased or fallen?

Unemployment

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Increased a lot	81	4.6	4.9	4.9
2 Increased a little	249	14.1	14.9	19.8
3 Stayed the same	387	21.9	23.2	43.0
4 Fallen a little	774	43.8	46.4	89.4
5 Fallen a lot	177	10.0	10.6	100.0
Total Valid	1668	94.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	101	5.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

d14p2 D14. Prices since 2001 Federal election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.14. Thinking back to the Federal election in 2001, when John Howard won against Kim Beazley, would you say that since then the following have increased or fallen?

Prices

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Increased a lot	597	33.7	35.4	35.4
2 Increased a little	824	46.6	48.8	84.2
3 Stayed the same	194	11.0	11.5	95.7
4 Fallen a little	56	3.2	3.3	99.1
5 Fallen a lot	16	0.9	0.9	100.0
Total Valid	1687	95.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	82	4.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

d14p3 D14. Taxes since 2001 Federal election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.14. Thinking back to the Federal election in 2001, when John Howard won against Kim Beazley, would you say that since then the following have increased or fallen?

Taxes

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Increased a lot	331	18.7	20.2	20.2
2 Increased a little	616	34.8	37.6	57.8
3 Stayed the same	458	25.9	28.0	85.8
4 Fallen a little	209	11.8	12.8	98.6
5 Fallen a lot	23	1.3	1.4	100.0
Total Valid	1637	92.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	132	7.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

d14p4 D14. Standard of health services since 2001 Fed election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.14. Thinking back to the Federal election in 2001, when John Howard won against Kim Beazley, would you say that since then the following have increased or fallen?

The standard of health services

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Increased a lot	64	3.6	3.8	3.8
2 Increased a little	135	7.6	8.1	11.9
3 Stayed the same	474	26.8	28.3	40.1
4 Fallen a little	588	33.2	35.1	75.2
5 Fallen a lot	416	23.5	24.8	100.0
Total Valid	1677	94.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	92	5.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

d14p5 D14. Quality of education since 2001 Fed election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.14. Thinking back to the Federal election in 2001, when John Howard won against Kim Beazley, would you say that since then the following have increased or fallen?

The quality of education

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Increased a lot	38	2.1	2.3	2.3
2 Increased a little	168	9.5	10.1	12.3
3 Stayed the same	739	41.8	44.3	56.6
4 Fallen a little	461	26.1	27.6	84.2
5 Fallen a lot	263	14.9	15.8	100.0
Total Valid	1669	94.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	100	5.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

d14p6 D14. Quality of indust rels since 2001 Fed election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.14. Thinking back to the Federal election in 2001, when John Howard won against Kim Beazley, would you say that since then the following have increased or fallen?

The quality of industrial relations

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Increased a lot	52	2.9	3.2	3.2
2 Increased a little	250	14.1	15.3	18.5
3 Stayed the same	811	45.8	49.7	68.2
4 Fallen a little	323	18.3	19.8	87.9
5 Fallen a lot	197	11.1	12.1	100.0
Total Valid	1633	92.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	136	7.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

d14p7 D14. Own standard of living since 2001 Fed election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.14. Thinking back to the Federal election in 2001, when John Howard won against Kim Beazley, would you say that since then the following have increased or fallen?

Your own standard of living

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Increased a lot	67	3.8	3.9	3.9
2 Increased a little	360	20.4	21.2	25.2
3 Stayed the same	821	46.4	48.4	73.5
4 Fallen a little	337	19.1	19.9	93.4
5 Fallen a lot	112	6.3	6.6	100.0
Total Valid	1697	95.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	72	4.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

d14p8 D14. General standard of living since 2001 Fed election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.14. Thinking back to the Federal election in 2001, when John Howard won against Kim Beazley, would you say that since then the following have increased or fallen?

The general standard of living

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Increased a lot	57	3.2	3.4	3.4
2 Increased a little	397	22.4	23.5	26.9
3 Stayed the same	713	40.3	42.3	69.2
4 Fallen a little	410	23.2	24.3	93.5
5 Fallen a lot	109	6.2	6.5	100.0
Total Valid	1686	95.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	83	4.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

d15p1 D15. High tax makes people less willing to work

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.15. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

High income tax makes people less willing to work hard

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	430	24.3	25.3	25.3
2 Agree	668	37.8	39.3	64.6
3 Neither agree nor disagree	336	19.0	19.8	84.4
4 Disagree	218	12.3	12.8	97.2
5 Strongly disagree	47	2.7	2.8	100.0
Total Valid	1699	96.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	70	4.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

d15p2 D15. Trade unions have too much power

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.15. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

The trade unions in this country have too much power

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	263	14.9	15.5	15.5
2 Agree	437	24.7	25.7	41.1
3 Neither agree nor disagree	529	29.9	31.1	72.2
4 Disagree	374	21.1	22.0	94.2
5 Strongly disagree	99	5.6	5.8	100.0
Total Valid	1702	96.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	67	3.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

d15p3 D15. Big business has too much power

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.15. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

Big business in this country has too much power

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	460	26.0	27.1	27.1
2 Agree	755	42.7	44.5	71.6
3 Neither agree nor disagree	373	21.1	22.0	93.6
4 Disagree	83	4.7	4.9	98.5
5 Strongly disagree	26	1.5	1.5	100.0
Total Valid	1697	95.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	72	4.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

d15p4 D15. Income and wealth should be redistributed

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.15. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

Income and wealth should be redistributed towards ordinary working people

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	300	17.0	17.6	17.6
2 Agree	568	32.1	33.4	51.0
3 Neither agree nor disagree	492	27.8	28.9	80.0
4 Disagree	273	15.4	16.0	96.0
5 Strongly disagree	68	3.8	4.0	100.0
Total Valid	1701	96.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	68	3.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

d15p5 D15. Private schools offer better education than public

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.15. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

Private schools offer better education than public schools

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	298	16.8	17.5	17.5
2 Agree	559	31.6	32.8	50.3
3 Neither agree nor disagree	402	22.7	23.6	73.9
4 Disagree	300	17.0	17.6	91.5
5 Strongly disagree	144	8.1	8.5	100.0
Total Valid	1703	96.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	66	3.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

d15p6 D15. Stricter laws to regulate trade unions

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

D.15. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

There should be stricter laws to regulate the activities of trade unions

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	249	14.1	14.6	14.6
2 Agree	500	28.3	29.3	43.8
3 Neither agree nor disagree	585	33.1	34.2	78.1
4 Disagree	268	15.1	15.7	93.7
5 Strongly disagree	107	6.0	6.3	100.0
Total Valid	1709	96.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	60	3.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

Section E: Social Policy**e1 E1. Choice between taxes and social services**

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.1. If the government had a choice between reducing taxes or spending more on social services, which do you think it should do?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly favour reducing taxes	371	21.0	21.7	21.7
2 Mildly favour reducing taxes	236	13.3	13.8	35.5
3 Depends	471	26.6	27.5	63.0
4 Mildly favour spending more on social services	283	16.0	16.5	79.5
5 Strongly favour spending more on social services	350	19.8	20.5	100.0
Total Valid	1711	96.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	58	3.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

e2migeo E2. Equal opportunities for migrants

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.2. The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?

Equal opportunities for migrants

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gone much too far	156	8.8	9.3	9.3
2 Gone too far	293	16.6	17.4	26.6
3 About right	949	53.6	56.3	83.0
4 Not gone far enough	229	12.9	13.6	96.6
5 Not gone nearly far enough	58	3.3	3.4	100.0
Total Valid	1685	95.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	84	4.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

e2nudsex E2. Nudity & sex in films and magazines

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.2. The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?

The right to show nudity and sex in films and magazines

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gone much too far	362	20.5	21.2	21.2
2 Gone too far	491	27.8	28.8	50.0
3 About right	682	38.6	40.0	90.0
4 Not gone far enough	117	6.6	6.9	96.8
5 Not gone nearly far enough	54	3.1	3.2	100.0
Total Valid	1706	96.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	63	3.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

e2abland E2. Aboriginal land rights

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.2. The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?

Aboriginal land rights

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gone much too far	331	18.7	19.7	19.7
2 Gone too far	403	22.8	24.0	43.7
3 About right	534	30.2	31.8	75.5
4 Not gone far enough	285	16.1	17.0	92.5
5 Not gone nearly far enough	126	7.1	7.5	100.0
Total Valid	1679	94.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	90	5.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

e2equop E2. Equal opportunities for women

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.2. The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?

Equal opportunities for women

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gone much too far	50	2.8	3.0	3.0
2 Gone too far	109	6.2	6.5	9.4
3 About right	849	48.0	50.4	59.8
4 Not gone far enough	511	28.9	30.3	90.1
5 Not gone nearly far enough	166	9.4	9.9	100.0
Total Valid	1685	95.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	84	4.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

e2immig E2. No. of migrants allowed into Australia

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.2. The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?

The number of migrants allowed into Australia at the present time

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gone much too far	224	12.7	13.2	13.2
2 Gone too far	301	17.0	17.8	31.0
3 About right	830	46.9	49.0	79.9
4 Not gone far enough	265	15.0	15.6	95.6
5 Not gone nearly far enough	75	4.2	4.4	100.0
Total Valid	1695	95.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	74	4.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

e2disab E2. Equal opportunities for people with disabilities

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.2. The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?

Equal opportunities for people with disabilities

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gone much too far	18	1.0	1.1	1.1
2 Gone too far	53	3.0	3.2	4.2
3 About right	733	41.4	43.6	47.8
4 Not gone far enough	707	40.0	42.1	89.9
5 Not gone nearly far enough	170	9.6	10.1	100.0
Total Valid	1681	95.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	88	5.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

e2abor E2. Government help for aborigines

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.2. The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?

Government help for Aborigines

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gone much too far	334	18.9	19.8	19.8
2 Gone too far	421	23.8	24.9	44.7
3 About right	501	28.3	29.7	74.4
4 Not gone far enough	320	18.1	18.9	93.3
5 Not gone nearly far enough	113	6.4	6.7	100.0
Total Valid	1689	95.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	80	4.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

e2asia E2. Building closer relations with Asia

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.2. The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?

Building closer relations with Asia

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gone much too far	76	4.3	4.5	4.5
2 Gone too far	136	7.7	8.1	12.6
3 About right	869	49.1	51.5	64.1
4 Not gone far enough	489	27.6	29.0	93.1
5 Not gone nearly far enough	116	6.6	6.9	100.0
Total Valid	1686	95.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	83	4.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

e2arms E2. Government controls on firearms

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.2. The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?

Government controls on firearms

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gone much too far	117	6.6	6.9	6.9
2 Gone too far	173	9.8	10.2	17.0
3 About right	611	34.5	35.9	53.0
4 Not gone far enough	487	27.5	28.6	81.6
5 Not gone nearly far enough	313	17.7	18.4	100.0
Total Valid	1701	96.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	68	3.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

e2terror E2. Aust support fight against terrorism

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.2. The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?

Australia's support for the fight against terrorism

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gone much too far	150	8.5	8.8	8.8
2 Gone too far	260	14.7	15.2	24.0
3 About right	816	46.1	47.8	71.8
4 Not gone far enough	342	19.3	20.0	91.8
5 Not gone nearly far enough	140	7.9	8.2	100.0
Total Valid	1708	96.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	61	3.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

e3 E3. Feelings about abortion

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.3. Which one of these statements comes closest to how you feel about abortion in Australia?

1. Women should be able to obtain an abortion readily when they want one
2. Abortion should be allowed only in special circumstances
3. Abortion should not be allowed under any circumstances
4. Don't know

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Women should obtain an abortion readily	933	52.7	54.2	54.2
2 Abortion allowed in special circumstances	593	33.5	34.5	88.7
3 Abortion should not be allowed	69	3.9	4.0	92.7
4 Don't know	126	7.1	7.3	100.0
Total Valid	1721	97.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	48	2.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

e4deathp E4. Death penalty reintroduced for murder

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.4. Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

The death penalty should be reintroduced for murder

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	413	23.3	24.0	24.0
2 Agree	466	26.3	27.1	51.2
3 Neither agree nor disagree	278	15.7	16.2	67.3
4 Disagree	281	15.9	16.4	83.7
5 Strongly disagree	280	15.8	16.3	100.0
Total Valid	1718	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	51	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

e4marij E4. Decriminalise smoking of marijuana

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.4. Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

The smoking of marijuana should NOT be a criminal offence

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	152	8.6	8.9	8.9
2 Agree	412	23.3	24.0	32.9
3 Neither agree nor disagree	348	19.7	20.3	53.2
4 Disagree	493	27.9	28.8	82.0
5 Strongly disagree	309	17.5	18.0	100.0
Total Valid	1714	96.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	55	3.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

e4lawbrk E4. Stiffer sentences if break law

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.4. Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

People who break the law should be given stiffer sentences

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	512	28.9	30.0	30.0
2 Agree	692	39.1	40.5	70.5
3 Neither agree nor disagree	357	20.2	20.9	91.4
4 Disagree	103	5.8	6.0	97.4
5 Strongly disagree	44	2.5	2.6	100.0
Total Valid	1708	96.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	61	3.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

e4asylum E4. Asylum seekers turned back

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.4. Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

All boats carrying asylum seekers should be turned back

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	492	27.8	28.7	28.7
2 Agree	440	24.9	25.7	54.3
3 Neither agree nor disagree	303	17.1	17.7	72.0
4 Disagree	303	17.1	17.7	89.7
5 Strongly disagree	177	10.0	10.3	100.0
Total Valid	1715	96.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	54	3.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

e4pollut E4. Stronger measures against pollution

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.4. Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

Stronger measures should be taken to protect the environment against pollution

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	780	44.1	45.3	45.3
2 Agree	730	41.3	42.4	87.6
3 Neither agree nor disagree	162	9.2	9.4	97.0
4 Disagree	21	1.2	1.2	98.3
5 Strongly disagree	30	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total Valid	1723	97.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	46	2.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

e4school E4. Public schools don't receive fair share of budget

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.4. Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

Public schools don't receive their fair share of the education budget

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	590	33.4	34.3	34.3
2 Agree	497	28.1	28.9	63.2
3 Neither agree nor disagree	360	20.4	20.9	84.1
4 Disagree	206	11.6	12.0	96.1
5 Strongly disagree	67	3.8	3.9	100.0
Total Valid	1720	97.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	49	2.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

e4pref E4. Women given preferential treatment

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.4. Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

Women should be given preferential treatment when applying for jobs and promotions

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	71	4.0	4.1	4.1
2 Agree	122	6.9	7.1	11.2
3 Neither agree nor disagree	466	26.3	27.1	38.3
4 Disagree	786	44.4	45.7	84.0
5 Strongly disagree	276	15.6	16.0	100.0
Total Valid	1721	97.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	48	2.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

e4opp E4. Increase business opportunities for women

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.4. Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.

The government should increase opportunities for women in business and industry

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	184	10.4	10.7	10.7
2 Agree	581	32.8	33.7	44.3
3 Neither agree nor disagree	619	35.0	35.9	80.2
4 Disagree	256	14.5	14.8	95.1
5 Strongly disagree	85	4.8	4.9	100.0
Total Valid	1725	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	44	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

e5p1 E5. Env concern - pollution

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.5. In your opinion, how urgent are each of the following environmental concerns in this country?

Pollution

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Not urgent	28	1.6	1.6	1.6
2	117	6.6	6.8	8.4
3 Fairly urgent	519	29.3	30.1	38.4
4	360	20.4	20.8	59.3
5 Very urgent	703	39.7	40.7	100.0
Total Valid	1727	97.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	42	2.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

e5p2 E5. Env concern - waste disposal

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.5. In your opinion, how urgent are each of the following environmental concerns in this country?

Waste disposal

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Not urgent	19	1.1	1.1	1.1
2	112	6.3	6.5	7.6
3 Fairly urgent	546	30.9	31.7	39.3
4	434	24.5	25.2	64.4
5 Very urgent	613	34.7	35.6	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

e5p3 E5. Env concern - logging of forests

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.5. In your opinion, how urgent are each of the following environmental concerns in this country?

Logging of forests

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Not urgent	91	5.1	5.3	5.3
2	198	11.2	11.6	16.9
3 Fairly urgent	440	24.9	25.7	42.5
4	336	19.0	19.6	62.1
5 Very urgent	649	36.7	37.9	100.0
Total Valid	1714	96.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	55	3.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

e5p4 E5. Env concern - destruction wildlife

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.5. In your opinion, how urgent are each of the following environmental concerns in this country?

Destruction of wildlife

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Not urgent	53	3.0	3.1	3.1
2	132	7.5	7.7	10.8
3 Fairly urgent	408	23.1	23.8	34.5
4	385	21.8	22.4	57.0
5 Very urgent	739	41.8	43.0	100.0
Total Valid	1717	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	52	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

e5p5 E5. Env concern - soil degradation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.5. In your opinion, how urgent are each of the following environmental concerns in this country?

Soil degradation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Not urgent	37	2.1	2.2	2.2
2	113	6.4	6.6	8.8
3 Fairly urgent	413	23.3	24.2	32.9
4	436	24.6	25.5	58.4
5 Very urgent	711	40.2	41.6	100.0
Total Valid	1710	96.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	59	3.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

e5p6 E5. Env concern - greenhouse effect

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.5. In your opinion, how urgent are each of the following environmental concerns in this country?

The Greenhouse effect

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Not urgent	60	3.4	3.5	3.5
2	127	7.2	7.4	10.9
3 Fairly urgent	404	22.8	23.6	34.6
4	410	23.2	24.0	58.5
5 Very urgent	709	40.1	41.5	100.0
Total Valid	1710	96.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	59	3.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

e5p7 E5. Env concern - genetically modified crops

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.5. In your opinion, how urgent are each of the following environmental concerns in this country?

Genetically modified crops/food

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Not urgent	289	16.3	16.9	16.9
2	319	18.0	18.7	35.6
3 Fairly urgent	419	23.7	24.5	60.2
4	265	15.0	15.5	75.7
5 Very urgent	415	23.5	24.3	100.0
Total Valid	1707	96.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	62	3.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

e5p8 E5. Env concern - cloning human tissue

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.5. In your opinion, how urgent are each of the following environmental concerns in this country?

Cloning human tissue

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Not urgent	548	31.0	32.0	32.0
2	272	15.4	15.9	47.8
3 Fairly urgent	319	18.0	18.6	66.4
4	200	11.3	11.7	78.1
5 Very urgent	376	21.3	21.9	100.0
Total Valid	1715	96.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	54	3.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

e6 E6. How likely to join environmental group

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.6. How likely are you to join any environmental groups or movements?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 I'm already a member	113	6.4	6.5	6.5
2 Not a member, but have considered joining	331	18.7	19.1	25.6
3 Not a member, and have not considered joining	794	44.9	45.8	71.4
4 Would never consider joining	497	28.1	28.6	100.0
Total Valid	1735	98.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	34	1.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

e7p1 E7. Aims 10yrs 1-First choice

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.7. Next, a question about what you think the aims of Australia should be for the next ten years. Here is a list of four aims that different people would give priority.

1. Maintain order in the nation
2. Give people more say in important government decisions
3. Fight rising prices
4. Protect freedom of speech

If you had to choose among these four aims, which would be your first choice? And which would be your second choice? PUT THE NUMBER OF THE STATEMENT IN THE APPROPRIATE BOX.

First choice

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Maintain order in the nation	642	36.3	37.5	37.5
2 Give people more say in important government decisions	445	25.2	26.0	63.5
3 Fight rising prices	336	19.0	19.6	83.1
4 Protect freedom of speech	290	16.4	16.9	100.0
Total Valid	1713	96.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	56	3.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

e7p2 E7. Aims 10yrs 1-Second choice

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.7. Next, a question about what you think the aims of Australia should be for the next ten years. Here is a list of four aims that different people would give priority.

1. Maintain order in the nation
2. Give people more say in important government decisions
3. Fight rising prices
4. Protect freedom of speech

If you had to choose among these four aims, which would be your first choice? And which would be your second choice? PUT THE NUMBER OF THE STATEMENT IN THE APPROPRIATE BOX.

Second choice

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Maintain order in the nation	319	18.0	18.7	18.7
2 Give people more say in important government decisions	447	25.3	26.2	45.0
3 Fight rising prices	487	27.5	28.6	73.6
4 Protect freedom of speech	450	25.4	26.4	100.0
Total Valid	1703	96.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	66	3.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

e8p1 E8. Aims 10yrs 2-First choice

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.8. Here is another list of four aims.

1. Maintain a high level of economic growth
2. Make sure this country has strong defence forces
3. See that people have more say about how things are done at their jobs and in their communities
4. Try to make our cities and countryside more beautiful

If you had to choose among these four aims, which would be your first choice? And which would be your second choice? PUT THE NUMBER OF THE STATEMENT IN THE APPROPRIATE BOX.

First choice

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Maintain a high level of economic growth	967	54.7	56.3	56.3
2 Make sure this country has strong defence forces	357	20.2	20.8	77.1
3 See that people have more say about their jobs	330	18.7	19.2	96.3
4 Try to make our cities/countryside beautiful	63	3.6	3.7	100.0
Total Valid	1717	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	52	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

e8p2 E8. Aims 10yrs 2-Second choice

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.8. Here is another list of four aims.

1. Maintain a high level of economic growth
2. Make sure this country has strong defence forces
3. See that people have more say about how things are done at their jobs and in their communities
4. Try to make our cities and countryside more beautiful

If you had to choose among these four aims, which would be your first choice? And which would be your second choice? PUT THE NUMBER OF THE STATEMENT IN THE APPROPRIATE BOX.

Second choice

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Maintain a high level of economic growth	414	23.4	24.3	24.3
2 Make sure this country has strong defence forces	697	39.4	40.9	65.2
3 See that people have more say about their jobs	338	19.1	19.8	85.1
4 Try to make our cities/countryside beautiful	254	14.4	14.9	100.0
Total Valid	1703	96.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	66	3.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

e9p1 E9. Aims 10yrs 3-First choice

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.9. And here is a further list.

1. A stable economy
2. Progress toward a less impersonal and more humane society
3. Progress toward a society in which ideas count more than money
4. The fight against crime

If you had to choose among these four aims, which would be your first choice? And which would be your second choice? PUT THE NUMBER OF THE STATEMENT IN THE APPROPRIATE BOX.

First choice

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A stable economy	976	55.2	56.7	56.7
2 Progress a less impersonal/more humane society	298	16.8	17.3	74.1
3 Progress a society in which ideas count>money	166	9.4	9.7	83.7
4 The fight against crime	280	15.8	16.3	100.0
Total Valid	1720	97.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	49	2.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

e9p2 E9. Aims 10yrs 3-Second choice

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

E.9. And here is a further list.

1. A stable economy
2. Progress toward a less impersonal and more humane society
3. Progress toward a society in which ideas count more than money
4. The fight against crime

If you had to choose among these four aims, which would be your first choice? And which would be your second choice? PUT THE NUMBER OF THE STATEMENT IN THE APPROPRIATE BOX.

Second choice

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A stable economy	343	19.4	20.1	20.1
2 Progress a less impersonal/more humane society	331	18.7	19.4	39.4
3 Progress a society in which ideas count>money	322	18.2	18.8	58.3
4 The fight against crime	713	40.3	41.7	100.0
Total Valid	1709	96.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	60	3.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

Section F: Relations with Other Countries**f1 F1. United States alliance under ANZUS**

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.1. How important do you think the Australian alliance with the United States under the ANZUS treaty is for protecting Australia's security?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very important	786	44.4	45.3	45.3
2 Fairly important	680	38.4	39.2	84.4
3 Not very important	212	12.0	12.2	96.7
4 Not at all important	58	3.3	3.3	100.0
Total Valid	1736	98.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	33	1.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

f2 F2. If Australia threatened, trust US to defend

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.2. If Australia's security were threatened by some other country, how much trust do you feel Australia can have in the United States to come to Australia's defence?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A great deal	579	32.7	33.4	33.4
2 A fair amount	691	39.1	39.9	73.3
3 Not very much	390	22.0	22.5	95.8
4 None at all	73	4.1	4.2	100.0
Total Valid	1733	98.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	36	2.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

f3japan F3. Japan threat to Aust. security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.3. In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?

Japan

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very likely	54	3.1	3.5	3.5
2 Fairly likely	161	9.1	10.3	13.8
3 Not very likely	1347	76.1	86.2	100.0
Total Valid	1562	88.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	207	11.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

f3us F3. United States threat to Aust. security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.3. In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?

United States

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very likely	93	5.3	5.9	5.9
2 Fairly likely	119	6.7	7.6	13.5
3 Not very likely	1364	77.1	86.5	100.0
Total Valid	1576	89.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	193	10.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

f3china F3. China threat to Aust. security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.3. In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?

China

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very likely	121	6.8	7.7	7.7
2 Fairly likely	500	28.3	31.7	39.4
3 Not very likely	956	54.0	60.6	100.0
Total Valid	1577	89.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	192	10.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

f3png F3. Papua New Guinea threat to Aust. security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.3. In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?

Papua New Guinea

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very likely	36	2.0	2.3	2.3
2 Fairly likely	171	9.7	11.0	13.3
3 Not very likely	1347	76.1	86.7	100.0
Total Valid	1554	87.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	215	12.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

f3vietn F3. Vietnam threat to Aust. security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.3. In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?

Vietnam

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very likely	60	3.4	3.9	3.9
2 Fairly likely	241	13.6	15.5	19.4
3 Not very likely	1252	70.8	80.6	100.0
Total Valid	1553	87.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	216	12.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

f3malay F3. Malaysia threat to Aust. security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.3. In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?

Malaysia

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very likely	112	6.3	7.2	7.2
2 Fairly likely	491	27.8	31.4	38.5
3 Not very likely	962	54.4	61.5	100.0
Total Valid	1565	88.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	204	11.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

f3singap F3. Singapore threat to Aust. security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.3. In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?

Singapore

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very likely	46	2.6	3.0	3.0
2 Fairly likely	221	12.5	14.2	17.2
3 Not very likely	1284	72.6	82.8	100.0
Total Valid	1551	87.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	218	12.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

f3indon F3. Indonesia threat to Aust. security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.3. In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?

Indonesia

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very likely	476	26.9	28.8	28.8
2 Fairly likely	712	40.2	43.1	71.9
3 Not very likely	464	26.2	28.1	100.0
Total Valid	1652	93.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	117	6.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

f3nz F3. New Zealand threat to Aust. security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.3. In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?

New Zealand

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very likely	16	0.9	1.0	1.0
2 Fairly likely	25	1.4	1.6	2.6
3 Not very likely	1523	86.1	97.4	100.0
Total Valid	1564	88.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	205	11.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

f3india F3. India threat to Aust. security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.3. In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?

India

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very likely	39	2.2	2.5	2.5
2 Fairly likely	197	11.1	12.6	15.1
3 Not very likely	1329	75.1	84.9	100.0
Total Valid	1565	88.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	204	11.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

f4 F4. Government spend more on defence

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.4. Do you think that the government should spend more or spend less on defence?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Spend much more on defence	268	15.1	15.5	15.5
2 Spend some more on defence	629	35.6	36.4	51.8
3 About right at present	653	36.9	37.7	89.6
4 Spend less on defence	138	7.8	8.0	97.6
5 Spend a lot less on defence	42	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total Valid	1730	97.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	39	2.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

f5p1 F5. Aust defence stronger than 10 yrs ago

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.5. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.

Australia's defence is stronger now than it was 10 years ago

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	178	10.1	10.4	10.4
2 Agree	758	42.8	44.1	54.5
3 Neither agree nor disagree	528	29.8	30.7	85.2
4 Disagree	208	11.8	12.1	97.3
5 Strongly disagree	47	2.7	2.7	100.0
Total Valid	1719	97.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	50	2.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

f5p2 F5. Security agreements between Aust and Indon

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.5. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.

The security agreement between Australia and Indonesia means that we can trust Indonesia never to be a military threat

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	18	1.0	1.0	1.0
2 Agree	112	6.3	6.5	7.6
3 Neither agree nor disagree	479	27.1	27.9	35.5
4 Disagree	829	46.9	48.3	83.8
5 Strongly disagree	278	15.7	16.2	100.0
Total Valid	1716	97.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	53	3.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

f5p3 F5. Aust would be able to defend itself

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.5. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.

Australia would be able to defend itself successfully if it were ever attacked

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	53	3.0	3.1	3.1
2 Agree	275	15.5	16.1	19.2
3 Neither agree nor disagree	420	23.7	24.5	43.7
4 Disagree	717	40.5	41.9	85.6
5 Strongly disagree	246	13.9	14.4	100.0
Total Valid	1711	96.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	58	3.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

f5p4 F5. Aust trading future lies with Asia

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.5. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.

Australia's trading future lies in Asia

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	247	14.0	14.4	14.4
2 Agree	841	47.5	49.0	63.4
3 Neither agree nor disagree	478	27.0	27.8	91.2
4 Disagree	114	6.4	6.6	97.8
5 Strongly disagree	37	2.1	2.2	100.0
Total Valid	1717	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	52	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

f5p5 F5. Aust provide military for war on terrorism

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.5. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.

Australia should provide military assistance for the war on terrorism

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	238	13.5	13.9	13.9
2 Agree	748	42.3	43.6	57.4
3 Neither agree nor disagree	380	21.5	22.1	79.6
4 Disagree	242	13.7	14.1	93.7
5 Strongly disagree	109	6.2	6.3	100.0
Total Valid	1717	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	52	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

f6 F6. Approve of way Howard handled war in Iraq

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.6. Now we want to ask you about the current war in Iraq. Do you approve or disapprove of the way John Howard handled the war in Iraq?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Approve strongly	304	17.2	17.6	17.6
2 Approve - not strongly	600	33.9	34.7	52.3
3 Disapprove - not strongly	308	17.4	17.8	70.1
4 Disapprove strongly	516	29.2	29.9	100.0
Total Valid	1728	97.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	41	2.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

f7 F7. War in Iraq been worth the cost

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.7. Taking everything into account, do you think the war in Iraq has been worth the cost or not?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Worth it	663	37.5	38.9	38.9
2 Not worth it	1041	58.8	61.1	100.0
Total Valid	1704	96.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	65	3.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

f8 F8. Threat of terrorism against Australia increased

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.8. As a result of Australia's military action in Iraq, do you think the threat of terrorism against Australia has increased, decreased, or stayed about the same?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Increased	1171	66.2	67.7	67.7
2 Stayed about the same	541	30.6	31.3	99.0
3 Decreased	18	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total Valid	1730	97.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	39	2.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

f9 F9. Number of immigrants increased

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.9. Do you think the number of immigrants allowed into Australia nowadays should be reduced or increased?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Increased a lot	113	6.4	6.5	6.5
2 Increased a little	299	16.9	17.3	23.9
3 Remain about the same as it is	709	40.1	41.1	64.9
4 Reduced a little	320	18.1	18.5	83.4
5 Reduced a lot	286	16.2	16.6	100.0
Total Valid	1727	97.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	42	2.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

f10p1 F10. Immigrants increase crime

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.10. There are different opinions about the effects that immigrants have on Australia. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Immigrants increase the crime rate

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	208	11.8	12.2	12.2
2 Agree	489	27.6	28.6	40.8
3 Neither agree nor disagree	522	29.5	30.5	71.3
4 Disagree	367	20.7	21.5	92.8
5 Strongly disagree	123	7.0	7.2	100.0
Total Valid	1709	96.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	60	3.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

f10p2 F10. Immigrants good for economy

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.10. There are different opinions about the effects that immigrants have on Australia. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Immigrants are generally good for Australia's economy

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	171	9.7	10.0	10.0
2 Agree	850	48.0	49.6	59.5
3 Neither agree nor disagree	508	28.7	29.6	89.2
4 Disagree	146	8.3	8.5	97.7
5 Strongly disagree	40	2.3	2.3	100.0
Total Valid	1715	96.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	54	3.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

f10p3 F10. Immigrants take jobs from Aust

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.10. There are different opinions about the effects that immigrants have on Australia. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Immigrants take jobs away from people who are born in Australia

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	145	8.2	8.5	8.5
2 Agree	367	20.7	21.4	29.9
3 Neither agree nor disagree	506	28.6	29.6	59.5
4 Disagree	533	30.1	31.2	90.6
5 Strongly disagree	160	9.0	9.4	100.0
Total Valid	1711	96.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	58	3.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

f10p4 F10. Immigrants make Aust more open

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

F.10. There are different opinions about the effects that immigrants have on Australia. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Immigrants make Australia more open to new ideas and cultures

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	411	23.2	24.0	24.0
2 Agree	972	54.9	56.6	80.6
3 Neither agree nor disagree	235	13.3	13.7	94.3
4 Disagree	67	3.8	3.9	98.2
5 Strongly disagree	31	1.8	1.8	100.0
Total Valid	1716	97.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	53	3.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

Section G: Political and Global Issues**g1 G1. Respect for individual freedom**

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.1. How much respect is there for individual freedom and human rights nowadays in Australia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A lot of respect for individual freedom	500	28.3	29.0	29.0
2 Some respect	925	52.3	53.7	82.8
3 Not much respect	261	14.8	15.2	97.9
4 No respect at all	36	2.0	2.1	100.0
Total Valid	1722	97.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	47	2.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

g2 G2. Most people can be trusted

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.2. Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Most people can be trusted	810	45.8	47.1	47.1
2 Can't be too careful	908	51.3	52.9	100.0
Total Valid	1718	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	51	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

g3 G3. Importance of Queen

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.3. How important do you feel the Queen and the Royal Family are to Australia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very important	165	9.3	9.5	9.5
2 Fairly important	394	22.3	22.8	32.3
3 Not very important	1172	66.3	67.7	100.0
Total Valid	1731	97.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	38	2.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

g4 G4. Australia a republic

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.4. Do you think that Australia should become a republic with an Australian head of state, or should the Queen be retained as head of state?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly favour becoming republic	569	32.2	33.0	33.0
2 Favour becoming republic	498	28.2	28.9	62.0
3 Favour retaining the Queen as head of state	472	26.7	27.4	89.4
4 Strongly favour retaining the Queen as head of state	183	10.3	10.6	100.0
Total Valid	1722	97.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	47	2.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

g5 G5. Head of republic from voters

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.5. If Australia becomes a republic with an Australian head of state, should the head of state be elected by the voters or elected by Parliament?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly favour election by voters	904	51.1	52.8	52.8
2 Favour election by voters	474	26.8	27.7	80.5
3 Favour election by Parliament	240	13.6	14.0	94.5
4 Strongly favour election by Parliament	94	5.3	5.5	100.0
Total Valid	1712	96.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	57	3.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p1 G6. Rather be a citizen of Australia

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

I would rather be a citizen of Australia than of any other country in the world

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	1210	68.4	69.7	69.7
2 Agree	347	19.6	20.0	89.7
3 Neither agree nor disagree	140	7.9	8.1	97.8
4 Disagree	26	1.5	1.5	99.3
5 Strongly disagree	12	0.7	0.7	100.0
Total Valid	1735	98.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	34	1.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p2 G6. Change things makes worse

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

If you start trying to change things very much, you usually make things worse

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	107	6.0	6.2	6.2
2 Agree	518	29.3	30.2	36.4
3 Neither agree nor disagree	606	34.3	35.3	71.7
4 Disagree	408	23.1	23.7	95.4
5 Strongly disagree	79	4.5	4.6	100.0
Total Valid	1718	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	51	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p3 G6. Distrust people try to be different

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

I distrust people who try to be different from the rest of us

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	70	4.0	4.1	4.1
2 Agree	226	12.8	13.2	17.2
3 Neither agree nor disagree	587	33.2	34.2	51.5
4 Disagree	657	37.1	38.3	89.7
5 Strongly disagree	176	9.9	10.3	100.0
Total Valid	1716	97.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	53	3.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p4 G6. People come here should be more like Australians

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

People who come to live in Australia should try harder to be more like other Australians

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	341	19.3	19.7	19.7
2 Agree	575	32.5	33.3	53.0
3 Neither agree nor disagree	385	21.8	22.3	75.3
4 Disagree	330	18.7	19.1	94.4
5 Strongly disagree	97	5.5	5.6	100.0
Total Valid	1728	97.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	41	2.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p5 G6. Citizen of the world as well as Australia

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

I regard myself as a citizen of the world as well as an Australian citizen

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	377	21.3	21.9	21.9
2 Agree	723	40.9	42.1	64.0
3 Neither agree nor disagree	425	24.0	24.7	88.7
4 Disagree	151	8.5	8.8	97.5
5 Strongly disagree	43	2.4	2.5	100.0
Total Valid	1719	97.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	50	2.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p6 G6. Up to each country to deal with environment

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

It should be up to each country how it deals with its environment – international bodies should not tell countries what to do

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	186	10.5	10.8	10.8
2 Agree	332	18.8	19.2	30.0
3 Neither agree nor disagree	314	17.8	18.2	48.1
4 Disagree	611	34.5	35.4	83.5
5 Strongly disagree	285	16.1	16.5	100.0
Total Valid	1728	97.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	41	2.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p7 G6. People seeking asylum are political refugees

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Most of those people seeking asylum in Australia are political refugees fleeing persecution in their homeland

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	154	8.7	8.9	8.9
2 Agree	556	31.4	32.3	41.2
3 Neither agree nor disagree	563	31.8	32.7	73.9
4 Disagree	381	21.5	22.1	96.0
5 Strongly disagree	69	3.9	4.0	100.0
Total Valid	1723	97.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	46	2.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p8 G6. Important new migrants learn to be Australian

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

It is more important for new migrants to learn what it is to be Australian than to cling to their old ways

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	372	21.0	21.6	21.6
2 Agree	661	37.4	38.4	60.0
3 Neither agree nor disagree	406	23.0	23.6	83.5
4 Disagree	235	13.3	13.6	97.2
5 Strongly disagree	49	2.8	2.8	100.0
Total Valid	1723	97.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	46	2.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p9 G6. Obedience and respect for authority important

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Obedience and respect for authority are the most important virtues children should learn

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	452	25.6	26.2	26.2
2 Agree	651	36.8	37.8	64.0
3 Neither agree nor disagree	306	17.3	17.8	81.8
4 Disagree	258	14.6	15.0	96.7
5 Strongly disagree	56	3.2	3.3	100.0
Total Valid	1723	97.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	46	2.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p10 G6. Person with bad manners not get along with people

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

A person who has bad manners and habits can hardly expect to get along with people

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	401	22.7	23.4	23.4
2 Agree	883	49.9	51.5	74.8
3 Neither agree nor disagree	292	16.5	17.0	91.8
4 Disagree	120	6.8	7.0	98.8
5 Strongly disagree	20	1.1	1.2	100.0
Total Valid	1716	97.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	53	3.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p11 G6. People in two groups: weak and strong

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

People can be divided into two distinct groups: the weak and the strong

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	68	3.8	4.0	4.0
2 Agree	304	17.2	17.7	21.7
3 Neither agree nor disagree	548	31.0	32.0	53.7
4 Disagree	575	32.5	33.5	87.2
5 Strongly disagree	219	12.4	12.8	100.0
Total Valid	1714	96.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	55	3.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p12 G6. Nothing lower than person doesn't respect parents

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

There is hardly anything lower than a person who does not feel a great love and respect for his or her parents

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	298	16.8	17.4	17.4
2 Agree	449	25.4	26.2	43.6
3 Neither agree nor disagree	488	27.6	28.5	72.0
4 Disagree	375	21.2	21.9	93.9
5 Strongly disagree	105	5.9	6.1	100.0
Total Valid	1715	96.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	54	3.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p13 G6. Insult to honour should always be punished

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

An insult to your honour should always be punished

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	76	4.3	4.5	4.5
2 Agree	218	12.3	12.8	17.2
3 Neither agree nor disagree	595	33.6	34.9	52.1
4 Disagree	604	34.1	35.4	87.6
5 Strongly disagree	212	12.0	12.4	100.0
Total Valid	1705	96.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	64	3.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

g6p14 G6. Young people need strict discipline

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.6. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

What young people need is strict discipline and the will to work for family and country

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Strongly agree	307	17.4	17.8	17.8
2 Agree	576	32.6	33.4	51.2
3 Neither agree nor disagree	481	27.2	27.9	79.1
4 Disagree	270	15.3	15.7	94.8
5 Strongly disagree	90	5.1	5.2	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

g7 G7. Emotionally attached to Australia

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.7. How close – how emotionally attached – do you feel to Australia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very close	1077	60.9	61.7	61.7
2 Close	614	34.7	35.2	96.9
3 Not very close	41	2.3	2.3	99.3
4 Not close at all	13	0.7	0.7	100.0
Total Valid	1745	98.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	24	1.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

g8 G8. How proud to be Australian

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.8. How proud are you to be Australian?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very proud	1227	69.4	70.6	70.6
2 Quite proud	438	24.8	25.2	95.7
3 Not very proud	61	3.4	3.5	99.3
4 Not at all proud	13	0.7	0.7	100.0
Total Valid	1739	98.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	30	1.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

g9 G9. Globalisation: overall

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.9. Here's how some people define globalisation. Globalisation refers to the increasing connections between countries that have come with the growth of international travel and increases in communications, such as the internet. This has led to an increase in world trade and the flow of investments between countries. It has also made it more likely that conditions in one country will affect conditions in other countries and has led to a more international culture in such areas as music, movies and fashion.

Please indicate how positive or negative you think this process of globalisation is overall, using a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being completely negative, 10 being completely positive and 5 being equally positive and negative:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Negative	18	1.0	1.2	1.2
1	14	0.8	0.9	2.1
2	34	1.9	2.2	4.3
3	76	4.3	4.9	9.2
4	76	4.3	4.9	14.1
5	470	26.6	30.5	44.6
6	175	9.9	11.4	56.0
7	273	15.4	17.7	73.7
8	276	15.6	17.9	91.6
9	57	3.2	3.7	95.3
10 Positive	72	4.1	4.7	100.0
Total Valid	1541	87.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	228	12.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p1 G10. Globalisation: providing jobs in poor countries

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Providing jobs and strengthening the economy in poor countries

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	216	12.2	13.1	13.1
2 Good	1094	61.8	66.3	79.4
3 Bad	271	15.3	16.4	95.9
4 Very bad	68	3.8	4.1	100.0
Total Valid	1649	93.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	120	6.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p2 G10. Globalisation: democracy and human rights

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Democracy and human rights abroad

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	217	12.3	13.2	13.2
2 Good	1151	65.1	70.2	83.5
3 Bad	221	12.5	13.5	96.9
4 Very bad	50	2.8	3.1	100.0
Total Valid	1639	92.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	130	7.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p3 G10. Globalisation: consumers like you

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Consumers like you

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	183	10.3	11.2	11.2
2 Good	1238	70.0	76.1	87.3
3 Bad	189	10.7	11.6	99.0
4 Very bad	17	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total Valid	1627	92.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	142	8.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p4 G10. Globalisation: Australian companies

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Australian companies

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	268	15.1	16.5	16.5
2 Good	977	55.2	60.0	76.5
3 Bad	323	18.3	19.9	96.4
4 Very bad	59	3.3	3.6	100.0
Total Valid	1627	92.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	142	8.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p5 G10. Globalisation: cultural diversity

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Maintaining cultural diversity in the world

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	207	11.7	12.7	12.7
2 Good	995	56.2	61.0	73.7
3 Bad	331	18.7	20.3	94.0
4 Very bad	98	5.5	6.0	100.0
Total Valid	1631	92.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	138	7.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p6 G10. Globalisation: Australian economy

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

The Australian economy

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	255	14.4	15.6	15.6
2 Good	1098	62.1	67.2	82.8
3 Bad	247	14.0	15.1	97.9
4 Very bad	35	2.0	2.1	100.0
Total Valid	1635	92.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	134	7.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p7 G10. Globalisation: own standard of living

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Your own standard of living

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	139	7.9	8.5	8.5
2 Good	1225	69.2	75.3	83.9
3 Bad	237	13.4	14.6	98.5
4 Very bad	25	1.4	1.5	100.0
Total Valid	1626	91.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	143	8.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p8 G10. Globalisation: creating jobs in Aust

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Creating jobs in Australia

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	144	8.1	8.8	8.8
2 Good	909	51.4	55.5	64.3
3 Bad	491	27.8	30.0	94.3
4 Very bad	93	5.3	5.7	100.0
Total Valid	1637	92.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	132	7.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p9 G10. Globalisation: the environment

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

The environment

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	134	7.6	8.3	8.3
2 Good	895	50.6	55.1	63.4
3 Bad	431	24.4	26.5	89.9
4 Very bad	164	9.3	10.1	100.0
Total Valid	1624	91.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	145	8.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p10 G10. Globalisation: job security

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Job security for Australian workers

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	93	5.3	5.7	5.7
2 Good	738	41.7	45.3	51.0
3 Bad	658	37.2	40.4	91.3
4 Very bad	141	8.0	8.7	100.0
Total Valid	1630	92.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	139	7.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p11 G10. Globalisation: Australian culture

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Australian culture

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	150	8.5	9.2	9.2
2 Good	940	53.1	57.8	67.0
3 Bad	430	24.3	26.4	93.4
4 Very bad	107	6.0	6.6	100.0
Total Valid	1627	92.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	142	8.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p12 G10. Globalisation: range of choice

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Your range of choice in things such as food, films or TV

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	326	18.4	19.9	19.9
2 Good	1082	61.2	66.1	86.0
3 Bad	174	9.8	10.6	96.6
4 Very bad	56	3.2	3.4	100.0
Total Valid	1638	92.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	131	7.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p13 G10. Globalisation: sample different cultures

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Your ability to sample different cultures

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	358	20.2	21.7	21.7
2 Good	1156	65.3	70.2	92.0
3 Bad	109	6.2	6.6	98.6
4 Very bad	23	1.3	1.4	100.0
Total Valid	1646	93.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	123	7.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p14 G10. Globalisation: learn about other cultures

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Your ability to learn about cultures other than your own

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	372	21.0	22.6	22.6
2 Good	1141	64.5	69.2	91.8
3 Bad	118	6.7	7.2	99.0
4 Very bad	17	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total Valid	1648	93.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	121	6.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

g10p15 G10. Globalisation: access goods and services

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.10. Do you think globalisation is good or bad for the following?

Your access to goods and services outside Australia

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Very good	349	19.7	21.3	21.3
2 Good	1179	66.6	71.8	93.1
3 Bad	92	5.2	5.6	98.7
4 Very bad	22	1.2	1.3	100.0
Total Valid	1642	92.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	127	7.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

g11 G11. Role of international institutions

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.11. Which of the following statements comes closer to your view?

1. To deal with global problems such as terrorism and environmental dangers, it will be increasingly necessary for international institutions to get countries to change what they do inside their borders

2. What countries do inside their borders is their own business - international institutions should not try to tell countries what to do

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Change what countries do	1227	69.4	74.0	74.0
2 Not tell countries what to do	430	24.3	26.0	100.0
Total Valid	1657	93.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	112	6.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

g12 G12. Travelled outside Australia

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.12. How recently have you travelled to a destination outside Australia?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Never travelled outside Australia	433	24.5	24.9	24.9
2 More than five years ago	555	31.4	31.9	56.8
3 In the last three to five years	258	14.6	14.8	71.6
4 In the last two years	319	18.0	18.3	89.9
5 Several times in the last two years	175	9.9	10.1	100.0
Total Valid	1740	98.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	29	1.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

g13 G13. Primary source of news

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.13. Which one of the following do you rely on most as your primary source of news?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Public TV (eg ABC, SBS)	515	29.1	29.6	29.6
2 Commercial TV (eg Channel 7, 9, 10)	608	34.4	35.0	64.6
3 Newspapers	294	16.6	16.9	81.5
4 News magazines	17	1.0	1.0	82.5
5 Radio	245	13.8	14.1	96.5
6 The internet	44	2.5	2.5	99.1
7 Other	16	0.9	0.9	100.0
Total Valid	1739	98.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	30	1.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

g14 G14. Own shares in Australian Stock Exchange

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.14. Now some questions about share ownership. Do you own shares in any company listed on the Australian Stock Exchange (shares registered in your name or that of your family company)?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 No, don't own any shares	1012	57.2	58.5	58.5
2 Yes, in one company	246	13.9	14.2	72.7
3 Yes, in two to five companies	297	16.8	17.2	89.9
4 Yes, in six to 10 companies	88	5.0	5.1	95.0
5 Yes, in more than 10 companies	87	4.9	5.0	100.0
Total Valid	1730	97.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	39	2.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

g15 G15. Year first acquired shares

Variable type = numeric, width = 4

G.15. If you own shares, in about what year did you first acquire them? Year

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1957	1	0.1	0.2	0.2
1958	1	0.1	0.2	0.3
1959	1	0.1	0.2	0.5
1960	5	0.3	0.8	1.2
1963	2	0.1	0.3	1.5
1964	1	0.1	0.2	1.7
1965	1	0.1	0.2	1.8
1966	2	0.1	0.3	2.1
1967	3	0.2	0.5	2.6
1968	2	0.1	0.3	2.9
1969	1	0.1	0.2	3.1
1970	10	0.6	1.5	4.6
1971	2	0.1	0.3	4.9
1972	1	0.1	0.2	5.1
1973	1	0.1	0.2	5.2
1974	1	0.1	0.2	5.4
1975	2	0.1	0.3	5.7
1976	2	0.1	0.3	6.0
1978	2	0.1	0.3	6.3
1980	34	1.9	5.2	11.5
1982	1	0.1	0.2	11.6
1983	3	0.2	0.5	12.1
1984	5	0.3	0.8	12.9
1985	16	0.9	2.5	15.3
1986	9	0.5	1.4	16.7
1987	10	0.6	1.5	18.2
1988	11	0.6	1.7	19.9
1989	6	0.3	0.9	20.8
1990	37	2.1	5.7	26.5
1991	13	0.7	2.0	28.5
1992	20	1.1	3.1	31.5
1993	12	0.7	1.8	33.4
1994	29	1.6	4.4	37.8
1995	59	3.3	9.0	46.9
1996	36	2.0	5.5	52.4
1997	37	2.1	5.7	58.0
1998	55	3.1	8.4	66.5
1999	52	2.9	8.0	74.4
2000	83	4.7	12.7	87.1
2001	31	1.8	4.7	91.9
2002	26	1.5	4.0	95.9
2003	14	0.8	2.1	98.0
2004	13	0.7	2.0	100.0
Total Valid	653	36.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	1116	63.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

g16 G16. Own shares in company you work for

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.16. Do you own shares in the company for which you work?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	148	8.4	9.5	9.5
2 No	1410	79.7	90.5	100.0
Total Valid	1558	88.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	211	11.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

g17 G17. Own 'new technology' shares

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.17. Do you own 'new technology' shares (for example, shares in an e-commerce or biotechnology company)?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	97	5.5	6.1	6.1
2 No	1495	84.5	93.9	100.0
Total Valid	1592	90.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	177	10.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

g18 G18. Federal Treasurer before 2004 election

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.18. Now a few questions about your interest in and knowledge of politics. If you don't know the answer, just indicate that and move on to the next one. First, looking at the list below, can you give the name of the Federal Treasurer before the 2004 Federal election?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Alexander Downer	17	1.0	1.0	1.0
2 Peter Costello	1489	84.2	86.7	87.7
3 Brendan Nelson	2	0.1	0.1	87.8
4 Simon Crean	13	0.7	0.8	88.6
5 Don't know	196	11.1	11.4	100.0
Total Valid	1717	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	52	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

g19 G19. Party with second largest number of seats

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.19. Can you say which political party has the second largest number of seats in the House of Representatives, following the 2004 Federal election?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 The National Party	58	3.3	3.4	3.4
2 The Labor Party	1136	64.2	66.5	69.9
3 The Liberal Party	165	9.3	9.7	79.6
4 The Australian Democrats	8	0.5	0.5	80.1
5 Don't know	340	19.2	19.9	100.0
Total Valid	1707	96.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	62	3.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

g20 G20. Proportion tax paid on low income

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.20. Obviously, a person on a low income will pay less total money in income tax than someone on a high income. But do you think that a person on a low income pays

1. A bigger proportion of their earnings in income tax than someone on a high income
2. The same proportion
3. Or a smaller proportion of their earnings in income tax
4. Don't know

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A bigger proportion of their earnings	510	28.8	30.3	30.3
2 The same proportion	133	7.5	7.9	38.2
3 A smaller proportion	732	41.4	43.4	81.6
4 Don't know	310	17.5	18.4	100.0
Total Valid	1685	95.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	84	4.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

g21chi G21. UN Security Council: China

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.21. Five countries have permanent seats on the Security Council of the United Nations. Can you give the names of any of these five countries?

Please write in as many as you can

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1336	75.5	75.5	75.5
1 China	433	24.5	24.5	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

g21rus G21. UN Security Council: Russia

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.21. Five countries have permanent seats on the Security Council of the United Nations. Can you give the names of any of these five countries?

Please write in as many as you can

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1182	66.8	66.8	66.8
1 Russia	587	33.2	33.2	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

g21fra G21. UN Security Council: France

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.21. Five countries have permanent seats on the Security Council of the United Nations. Can you give the names of any of these five countries?

Please write in as many as you can

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	959	54.2	54.2	54.2
1 France	810	45.8	45.8	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

g21uk G21. UN Security Council: UK

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.21. Five countries have permanent seats on the Security Council of the United Nations. Can you give the names of any of these five countries?

Please write in as many as you can

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	792	44.8	44.8	44.8
1 UK	977	55.2	55.2	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

g21us G21. UN Security Council: US

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.21. Five countries have permanent seats on the Security Council of the United Nations. Can you give the names of any of these five countries?

Please write in as many as you can

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	726	41.0	41.0	41.0
1 US	1043	59.0	59.0	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

g21dk G21. UN Security Council: Don't know

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.21. Five countries have permanent seats on the Security Council of the United Nations. Can you give the names of any of these five countries?

Please write in as many as you can

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 Not selected	1678	94.9	94.9	94.9
1 Don't know	91	5.1	5.1	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

g21cor G21. UN Security Council: Correct answer

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.21. Five countries have permanent seats on the Security Council of the United Nations. Can you give the names of any of these five countries?

Please write in as many as you can

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 0 correct answers	655	37.0	37.0	37.0
1 1 correct answer	75	4.2	4.2	41.3
2 2 correct answers	178	10.1	10.1	51.3
3 3 correct answers	327	18.5	18.5	69.8
4 4 correct answers	232	13.1	13.1	82.9
5 5 correct answers	302	17.1	17.1	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

g21inc G21. UN Security Council: Incorrect answer

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.21. Five countries have permanent seats on the Security Council of the United Nations. Can you give the names of any of these five countries?

Please write in as many as you can

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0 0 incorrect answers	1250	70.7	70.7	70.7
1 1 incorrect answer	311	17.6	17.6	88.2
2 2 incorrect answers	166	9.4	9.4	97.6
3 3 incorrect answers	37	2.1	2.1	99.7
4 4 incorrect answers	3	0.2	0.2	99.9
5 5 incorrect answers	2	0.1	0.1	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		

g22 G22. Who is entitled to vote in elections (HofR)

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.22. Which of the following best describes who is entitled to vote in elections for the House of Representatives?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Residents	124	7.0	7.4	7.4
2 Taxpayers	70	4.0	4.2	11.6
3 Legal residents	400	22.6	24.0	35.6
4 Citizens	901	50.9	54.0	89.6
5 Don't know	173	9.8	10.4	100.0
Total Valid	1668	94.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	101	5.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

g23 G23. Maximum years allowed between elections (HofR)

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.23. What is the maximum number of years allowed between elections for the House of Representatives?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Two years	20	1.1	1.2	1.2
2 Three years	781	44.1	46.5	47.7
3 Four years	580	32.8	34.5	82.2
4 Five years	34	1.9	2.0	84.2
5 Don't know	266	15.0	15.8	100.0
Total Valid	1681	95.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	88	5.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

g24 G24. Who was 'Pig-iron Bob'

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.24. A prominent Australian politician was given the nickname 'Pig-iron Bob'. Who was the politician?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Bob Menzies	1073	60.7	63.5	63.5
2 Bob Hawke	80	4.5	4.7	68.2
3 Bob Carr	20	1.1	1.2	69.4
4 Bob Brown	15	0.8	0.9	70.3
5 Don't know	502	28.4	29.7	100.0
Total Valid	1690	95.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	79	4.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

g25 G25. Political party formed by former Liberal minister

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.25. Which political party was formed by a former Liberal Party minister?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 The Australian Labor Party	31	1.8	1.8	1.8
2 The Democratic Labor Party	194	11.0	11.6	13.4
3 The Australian Democrats	794	44.9	47.4	60.8
4 The Australian Greens	29	1.6	1.7	62.5
5 Don't know	628	35.5	37.5	100.0
Total Valid	1676	94.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	93	5.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

g26 G26. Most recent Australian Labor Party Prime Minister

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

G.26. Prior to the 2004 Federal election, who was the most recent Australian Labor Party Prime Minister?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Gough Whitlam	13	0.7	0.8	0.8
2 Bob Hawke	81	4.6	4.8	5.5
3 Paul Keating	1380	78.0	81.5	87.0
4 Kim Beazley	134	7.6	7.9	94.9
5 Don't know	86	4.9	5.1	100.0
Total Valid	1694	95.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	75	4.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

Section H: Education and Work**h1 H1. Age left school**

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.1. How old were you when you left secondary school?

Age

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
10	3	0.2	0.2	0.2
11	1	0.1	0.1	0.2
12	2	0.1	0.1	0.4
13	11	0.6	0.7	1.0
14	121	6.8	7.2	8.2
15	329	18.6	19.5	27.7
16	338	19.1	20.1	47.8
17	465	26.3	27.6	75.4
18	314	17.8	18.6	94.1
19	25	1.4	1.5	95.5
20	3	0.2	0.2	95.7
21	1	0.1	0.1	95.8
24	1	0.1	0.1	95.8
29	1	0.1	0.1	95.9
33	1	0.1	0.1	96.0
55	1	0.1	0.1	96.0
96 No formal schooling	13	0.7	0.8	96.8
97 Went to primary school only	44	2.5	2.6	99.4
98 Still at school	10	0.6	0.6	100.0
Total Valid	1684	95.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	85	4.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

h2 H2. No. years tertiary education

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.2. In all, how many years of tertiary study have you completed since you left secondary school?

If your tertiary study was part-time, give the number of years of equivalent full-time study .

Years of full-time tertiary study

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
0	429	24.3	32.9	32.9
1	95	5.4	7.3	40.2
2	154	8.7	11.8	52.0
3	179	10.1	13.7	65.7
4	196	11.1	15.0	80.7
5	111	6.3	8.5	89.2
6	59	3.3	4.5	93.7
7	30	1.7	2.3	96.0
8	15	0.8	1.1	97.2
9 9 or more	37	2.1	2.8	100.0
Total Valid	1305	73.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	464	26.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

h3 H3. Highest qualification since leaving school

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.3. Have you obtained a trade qualification, a degree or a diploma, or any other qualification since leaving school? What is your highest qualification?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 No qualification since leaving school	570	32.2	34.8	34.8
2 Postgraduate Degree or Postgraduate Diploma	158	8.9	9.6	44.4
3 Bachelor Degree (including Honours)	237	13.4	14.5	58.8
4 Undergraduate Diploma	64	3.6	3.9	62.7
5 Associate Diploma	129	7.3	7.9	70.6
6 Trade qualification	292	16.5	17.8	88.4
7 Non-trade qualification	190	10.7	11.6	100.0
Total Valid	1640	92.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	129	7.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

h4 H4. Occupation last week

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.4. Now some questions about the work you are doing now. Last week, what were you doing?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Working full-time for pay	687	38.8	40.6	40.6
2 Working part-time for pay	282	15.9	16.7	57.2
3 Unemployed--looking for full-time work	28	1.6	1.7	58.9
4 Unemployed--looking for part-time work	14	0.8	0.8	59.7
5 Retired from paid work	407	23.0	24.0	83.8
6 A full-time school or university student	52	2.9	3.1	86.8
7 Keeping house	201	11.4	11.9	98.7
8 Other (please specify)	22	1.2	1.3	100.0
Total Valid	1693	95.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	76	4.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

h5you H5. Secondary school attended - You

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.5. What type of secondary school did you attend?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Government	1146	64.8	71.4	71.4
2 Catholic	279	15.8	17.4	88.8
3 Other non-government	180	10.2	11.2	100.0
Total Valid	1605	90.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	164	9.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

h5child H5. Secondary school attended - Child

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.5. If you have children, please say what type of secondary school your oldest child attended or is attending now.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Government	690	39.0	56.5	56.5
2 Catholic	188	10.6	15.4	71.8
3 Other non-government	175	9.9	14.3	86.2
9 No children	169	9.6	13.8	100.0
Total Valid	1222	69.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	547	30.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

h6occ H6. Occupation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.6. What kind of work do you do? Please give your full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation.

>> If you are unemployed or retired, please describe your last regular paid job.

>> If you have never worked for pay, please skip this section and go to Section I.

Coded according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, Australian Standard Classification of Occupation: Second Edition (ASCO 2). Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics Catalogue No. 1222.0.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
-5 Student	5	0.3	0.3	0.3
-4 Not in Labour Force	31	1.8	2.0	2.3
-3 Not applicable	1	0.1	0.1	2.3
-2 Inadequately described	12	0.7	0.8	3.1
10 Managers and Administrators	8	0.5	0.5	3.6
11 Generalist Managers	45	2.5	2.8	6.4
12 Specialist Managers	93	5.3	5.9	12.3
13 Farmers and Farm Managers	43	2.4	2.7	15.0
20 Professionals	6	0.3	0.4	15.4
21 Science, Building and Engineering Professionals	28	1.6	1.8	17.2
22 Business and Information Professionals	90	5.1	5.7	22.8
23 Health Professionals	68	3.8	4.3	27.1
24 Education Professionals	99	5.6	6.2	33.4
25 Social, Arts and Miscellaneous Professionals	63	3.6	4.0	37.3
30 Associate Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	37.4
31 Science, Engineering and Related Associate Professionals	29	1.6	1.8	39.2
32 Business and Administration Associate Professionals	73	4.1	4.6	43.8
33 Managing Supervisors (Sales and Service)	69	3.9	4.4	48.2
34 Health and Welfare Associate Professionals	12	0.7	0.8	48.9
39 Other Associate Professionals	14	0.8	0.9	49.8
40 Tradespersons and Related Workers	7	0.4	0.4	50.3
41 Mechanical and Fabrication Engineering Tradespersons	17	1.0	1.1	51.3
42 Automotive Tradespersons	10	0.6	0.6	52.0
43 Electrical and Electronics Tradespersons	26	1.5	1.6	53.6
44 Construction	26	1.5	1.6	55.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Tradespersons				
45 Food Tradespersons	13	0.7	0.8	56.1
46 Skilled Agricultural and Horticultural Workers	9	0.5	0.6	56.6
49 Other Tradespersons and Related Workers	25	1.4	1.6	58.2
51 Secretaries and Personal Assistants	28	1.6	1.8	60.0
59 Other Advanced Clerical and Service Workers	30	1.7	1.9	61.9
60 Intermediate Clerical, Sales and Service Workers	3	0.2	0.2	62.0
61 Intermediate Clerical Workers	138	7.8	8.7	70.7
62 Intermediate Sales and Related Workers	20	1.1	1.3	72.0
63 Intermediate Service Workers	95	5.4	6.0	78.0
70 Intermediate Production and Transport Workers	2	0.1	0.1	78.1
71 Intermediate Plant Operators	15	0.8	0.9	79.1
72 Intermediate Machine Operators	16	0.9	1.0	80.1
73 Road and Rail Transport Drivers	44	2.5	2.8	82.8
79 Other Intermediate Production and Transport Workers	29	1.6	1.8	84.7
80 Elementary Clerical, Sales and Service Workers	2	0.1	0.1	84.8
81 Elementary Clerks	22	1.2	1.4	86.2
82 Elementary Sales Workers	95	5.4	6.0	92.2
83 Elementary Service Workers	15	0.8	0.9	93.1
90 Labourers and Related Workers	12	0.7	0.8	93.9
91 Cleaners	28	1.6	1.8	95.6
92 Factory Labourers	21	1.2	1.3	97.0
99 Other Labourers and Related Workers	48	2.7	3.0	100.0
Total Valid	1586	89.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	183	10.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

xh6occ H6. Occupation - ASCO2

Variable type = numeric, width = 4

H.6. What kind of work do you do? Please give your full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation.

>> If you are unemployed or retired, please describe your last regular paid job.

>> If you have never worked for pay, please skip this section and go to Section I.

Coded according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, Australian Standard Classification of Occupation: Second Edition (ASCO 2). Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics Catalogue No. 1222.0.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
-5 Student	5	0.3	0.3	0.3
-4 Not in Labour Force	31	1.8	2.0	2.3
-3 Not applicable	1	0.1	0.1	2.3
-2 Inadequately described	12	0.7	0.8	3.1
1000 MANAGERS AND ADMINISTRATORS	8	0.5	0.5	3.6
1112 General Managers	22	1.2	1.4	5.0
1191 Building and Construction Managers	14	0.8	0.9	5.9
1192 Importers, Exporters and Wholesalers	3	0.2	0.2	6.1
1193 Manufacturers	6	0.3	0.4	6.4
1211 Finance Managers	7	0.4	0.4	6.9
1213 Human Resource Managers	5	0.3	0.3	7.2
1221 Engineering Managers	4	0.2	0.3	7.4
1222 Production Managers	15	0.8	0.9	8.4
1223 Supply and Distribution Managers	4	0.2	0.3	8.6
1224 Information Technology Managers	5	0.3	0.3	9.0
1231 Sales and Marketing Managers	12	0.7	0.8	9.7
1290 Miscellaneous Specialist Managers	1	0.1	0.1	9.8
1291 Policy and Planning Managers	8	0.5	0.5	10.3
1293 Education Managers	16	0.9	1.0	11.3
1294 Commissioned Officers (Management)	5	0.3	0.3	11.6
1295 Child Care Co-ordinators	1	0.1	0.1	11.7
1296 Media Producers and Artistic Directors	1	0.1	0.1	11.7
1299 Other Specialist Managers	9	0.5	0.6	12.3
1310 Farmers and Farm Managers	13	0.7	0.8	13.1
1311 Mixed Crop and Livestock Farmers	11	0.6	0.7	13.8
1312 Livestock Farmers	14	0.8	0.9	14.7
1313 Crop Farmers	5	0.3	0.3	15.0

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2000 PROFESSIONALS	6	0.3	0.4	15.4
2111 Chemists	1	0.1	0.1	15.4
2113 Life Scientists	3	0.2	0.2	15.6
2114 Environmental and Agricultural Science Professionals	7	0.4	0.4	16.1
2115 Medical Scientists	2	0.1	0.1	16.2
2119 Other Natural and Physical Science Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	16.3
2120 Building and Engineering Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	16.4
2121 Architects and Landscape Architects	4	0.2	0.3	16.6
2122 Quantity Surveyors	1	0.1	0.1	16.7
2123 Cartographers and Surveyors	1	0.1	0.1	16.8
2124 Civil Engineers	2	0.1	0.1	16.9
2125 Electrical and Electronics Engineers	2	0.1	0.1	17.0
2127 Mining and Materials Engineers	2	0.1	0.1	17.2
2200 BUSINESS AND INFORMATION PROFESSIONALS	1	0.1	0.1	17.2
2211 Accountants	22	1.2	1.4	18.6
2212 Auditors	3	0.2	0.2	18.8
2221 Marketing and Advertising Professionals	5	0.3	0.3	19.1
2222 Technical Sales Representatives	2	0.1	0.1	19.2
2231 Computing Professionals	24	1.4	1.5	20.7
2291 Human Resource Professionals	9	0.5	0.6	21.3
2292 Librarians	4	0.2	0.3	21.6
2293 Mathematicians, Statisticians and Actuaries	1	0.1	0.1	21.6
2294 Business and Organisation Analysts	12	0.7	0.8	22.4
2299 Other Business and Information Professionals	7	0.4	0.4	22.8
2311 Generalist Medical Practitioners	4	0.2	0.3	23.1
2312 Specialist Medical Practitioners	1	0.1	0.1	23.1
2323 Registered Nurses	38	2.1	2.4	25.5
2324 Registered Midwives	5	0.3	0.3	25.9
2325 Registered Mental Health Nurses	2	0.1	0.1	26.0
2326 Registered Developmental Disability Nurses	1	0.1	0.1	26.0
2381 Dental Practitioners	2	0.1	0.1	26.2
2383 Occupational Therapists	4	0.2	0.3	26.4
2385 Physiotherapists	2	0.1	0.1	26.5
2391 Medical Imaging Professionals	3	0.2	0.2	26.7

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2392 Veterinarians	3	0.2	0.2	26.9
2394 Natural Therapy Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	27.0
2399 Other Health Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	27.1
2410 School Teachers	25	1.4	1.6	28.7
2411 Pre-Primary School Teachers	1	0.1	0.1	28.8
2412 Primary School Teachers	23	1.3	1.5	30.2
2413 Secondary School Teachers	24	1.4	1.5	31.7
2414 Special Education Teachers	5	0.3	0.3	32.0
2420 University and Vocational Education Teachers	1	0.1	0.1	32.1
2421 University Lecturers and Tutors	8	0.5	0.5	32.6
2422 Vocational Education Teachers	4	0.2	0.3	32.8
2490 Miscellaneous Education Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	32.9
2491 Extra-Systemic Teachers	3	0.2	0.2	33.1
2493 Education Officers	4	0.2	0.3	33.4
2510 Social Welfare Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	33.4
2511 Social Workers	2	0.1	0.1	33.5
2512 Welfare and Community Workers	3	0.2	0.2	33.7
2513 Counsellors	3	0.2	0.2	33.9
2514 Psychologists	3	0.2	0.2	34.1
2515 Ministers of Religion	1	0.1	0.1	34.2
2521 Legal Professionals	12	0.7	0.8	34.9
2522 Economists	1	0.1	0.1	35.0
2523 Urban and Regional Planners	2	0.1	0.1	35.1
2529 Other Social Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	35.2
2530 Artists and Related Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	35.4
2531 Visual Arts and Crafts Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	35.4
2533 Designers and Illustrators	6	0.3	0.4	35.8
2534 Journalists and Related Professionals	6	0.3	0.4	36.2
2535 Authors and Related Professionals	3	0.2	0.2	36.4
2536 Film, Television, Radio and Stage Directors	3	0.2	0.2	36.6
2537 Musicians and Related Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	36.7
2541 Air Transport Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	36.8
2542 Sea Transport Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	36.9

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2543 Occupational and Environmental Health Professionals	4	0.2	0.3	37.2
2549 Other Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	37.3
3000 ASSOCIATE PROFESSIONALS	1	0.1	0.1	37.4
3100 SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND RELATED ASSOCIATE PROFESSIONALS	2	0.1	0.1	37.5
3110 Medical and Science Technical Officers	2	0.1	0.1	37.6
3111 Medical Technical Officers	2	0.1	0.1	37.8
3112 Science Technical Officers	7	0.4	0.4	38.2
3120 Building and Engineering Associate Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	38.3
3121 Building, Architectural and Surveying Associate Professional	7	0.4	0.4	38.8
3122 Civil Engineering Associate Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	38.9
3123 Electrical Engineering Associate Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	39.0
3124 Electronic Engineering Associate Professionals	3	0.2	0.2	39.2
3129 Other Building and Engineering Associate Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	39.2
3211 Branch Accountants and Managers (Financial Institution)	4	0.2	0.3	39.5
3212 Financial Dealers and Brokers	9	0.5	0.6	40.0
3213 Financial Investment Advisers	4	0.2	0.3	40.3
3291 Office Managers	18	1.0	1.1	41.4
3292 Project and Program Administrators	20	1.1	1.3	42.7
3293 Real Estate Associate Professionals	14	0.8	0.9	43.6
3294 Computing Support Technicians	4	0.2	0.3	43.8
3311 Shop Managers	28	1.6	1.8	45.6
3321 Restaurant and Catering Managers	11	0.6	0.7	46.3
3322 Chefs	3	0.2	0.2	46.5
3323 Hotel and Motel Managers	2	0.1	0.1	46.6
3325 Caravan Park and Camping Ground Managers	1	0.1	0.1	46.7
3329 Other Hospitality and Accommodation Managers	2	0.1	0.1	46.8
3392 Customer Service Managers	6	0.3	0.4	47.2
3393 Transport Company	4	0.2	0.3	47.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Managers				
3399 Other Managing Supervisors (Sales and Service)	12	0.7	0.8	48.2
3411 Enrolled Nurses	5	0.3	0.3	48.5
3421 Welfare Associate Professionals	4	0.2	0.3	48.7
3491 Ambulance Officers and Paramedics	1	0.1	0.1	48.8
3494 Massage Therapists	2	0.1	0.1	48.9
3911 Police Officers	2	0.1	0.1	49.1
3992 Safety Inspectors	1	0.1	0.1	49.1
3993 Sportspersons, Coaches and Related Support Workers	3	0.2	0.2	49.3
3994 Senior Non-Commissioned Defence Force Officers	3	0.2	0.2	49.5
3996 Retail Buyers	1	0.1	0.1	49.6
3997 Library Technicians	3	0.2	0.2	49.7
3999 Other Miscellaneous Associate Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	49.8
4000 TRADESPERSONS AND RELATED WORKERS	7	0.4	0.4	50.3
4112 Metal Fitters and Machinists	9	0.5	0.6	50.8
4115 Precision Metal Tradespersons	2	0.1	0.1	50.9
4122 Structural Steel and Welding Tradespersons	6	0.3	0.4	51.3
4211 Motor Mechanics	7	0.4	0.4	51.8
4213 Panel Beaters	3	0.2	0.2	52.0
4311 Electricians	12	0.7	0.8	52.7
4312 Refrigeration and Airconditioning Mechanics	2	0.1	0.1	52.8
4315 Electronic and Office Equipment Tradespersons	6	0.3	0.4	53.2
4316 Communications Tradespersons	6	0.3	0.4	53.6
4411 Carpentry and Joinery Tradespersons	4	0.2	0.3	53.8
4413 Roof Slaters and Tilers	1	0.1	0.1	53.9
4414 Bricklayers	3	0.2	0.2	54.1
4416 Wall and Floor Tilers and Stonemasons	3	0.2	0.2	54.3
4421 Painters and Decorators	6	0.3	0.4	54.7
4423 Floor Finishers	1	0.1	0.1	54.7
4431 Plumbers	8	0.5	0.5	55.2
4511 Meat Tradespersons	3	0.2	0.2	55.4
4512 Bakers and Pastrycooks	1	0.1	0.1	55.5
4513 Cooks	8	0.5	0.5	56.0
4519 Other Food Tradespersons	1	0.1	0.1	56.1
4612 Shearers	1	0.1	0.1	56.1
4613 Wool, Hide and Skin Classers	1	0.1	0.1	56.2
4622 Greenkeepers	2	0.1	0.1	56.3
4623 Gardeners	5	0.3	0.3	56.6

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
4921 Wood Machinists and Turners	2	0.1	0.1	56.7
4922 Cabinetmakers	3	0.2	0.2	56.9
4931 Hairdressers	6	0.3	0.4	57.3
4941 Clothing Tradespersons	6	0.3	0.4	57.7
4983 Jewellers and Related Tradespersons	1	0.1	0.1	57.8
4984 Florists	1	0.1	0.1	57.8
4987 Chemical, Petroleum and Gas Plant Operators	1	0.1	0.1	57.9
4991 Defence Force Members Not Elsewhere Included	3	0.2	0.2	58.1
4992 Performing Arts Support Workers	2	0.1	0.1	58.2
5111 Secretaries and Personal Assistants	28	1.6	1.8	60.0
5911 Bookkeepers	17	1.0	1.1	61.0
5912 Credit and Loans Officers	1	0.1	0.1	61.1
5991 Advanced Legal and Related Clerks	4	0.2	0.3	61.3
5992 Court and Hansard Reporters	1	0.1	0.1	61.4
5993 Insurance Agents	2	0.1	0.1	61.5
5994 Insurance Risk Surveyors, Investigators and Loss Adjusters	1	0.1	0.1	61.6
5996 Travel Attendants	1	0.1	0.1	61.7
5999 Other Miscellaneous				
Advanced Clerical and Service Workers	3	0.2	0.2	61.9
6000 INTERMEDIATE CLERICAL, SALES AND SERVICE WORKERS	3	0.2	0.2	62.0
6111 General Clerks	32	1.8	2.0	64.1
6121 Keyboard Operators	11	0.6	0.7	64.8
6131 Receptionists	25	1.4	1.6	66.3
6140 Intermediate Numerical Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	66.4
6141 Accounting Clerks	12	0.7	0.8	67.2
6142 Payroll Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	67.2
6143 Bank Workers	12	0.7	0.8	68.0
6144 Insurance Clerks	2	0.1	0.1	68.1
6145 Money Market and Statistical Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	68.2
6150 Material Recording and Dispatching Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	68.2
6152 Transport and Dispatching Clerks	8	0.5	0.5	68.7
6153 Stock and Purchasing Clerks	10	0.6	0.6	69.4
6191 Inquiry and Admissions Clerks	14	0.8	0.9	70.2
6192 Library Assistants	1	0.1	0.1	70.3
6193 Personnel Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	70.4
6194 Intermediate Inspectors and Examiners	4	0.2	0.3	70.6

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
6199 Other Intermediate Clerical Workers	2	0.1	0.1	70.7
6211 Sales Representatives	12	0.7	0.8	71.5
6212 Motor Vehicle and Related Products Salespersons	1	0.1	0.1	71.6
6213 Retail and Checkout Supervisors	7	0.4	0.4	72.0
6300 INTERMEDIATE SERVICE WORKERS	1	0.1	0.1	72.1
6310 Carers and Aides	1	0.1	0.1	72.1
6311 Education Aides	15	0.8	0.9	73.1
6312 Children's Care Workers	16	0.9	1.0	74.1
6313 Special Care Workers	15	0.8	0.9	75.0
6314 Personal Care and Nursing Assistants	5	0.3	0.3	75.3
6320 Hospitality Workers	1	0.1	0.1	75.4
6321 Hotel Service Supervisors	1	0.1	0.1	75.5
6322 Bar Attendants	9	0.5	0.6	76.0
6323 Waiters	15	0.8	0.9	77.0
6390 Miscellaneous Intermediate Service Workers	1	0.1	0.1	77.0
6391 Dental Assistants	5	0.3	0.3	77.4
6392 Veterinary Nurses	1	0.1	0.1	77.4
6393 Prison Officers	2	0.1	0.1	77.6
6396 Fitness Instructors	2	0.1	0.1	77.7
6397 Travel and Tourism Agents	4	0.2	0.3	77.9
6399 Other Intermediate Service Workers	1	0.1	0.1	78.0
7000 INTERMEDIATE PRODUCTION AND TRANSPORT WORKERS	2	0.1	0.1	78.1
7111 Mobile Construction Plant Operators	3	0.2	0.2	78.3
7112 Forklift Drivers	2	0.1	0.1	78.4
7122 Crane, Hoist and Lift Operators	1	0.1	0.1	78.5
7123 Engineering Production Systems Workers	4	0.2	0.3	78.8
7124 Pulp and Paper Mill Operators	1	0.1	0.1	78.8
7129 Other Intermediate Stationary Plant Operators	4	0.2	0.3	79.1
7200 INTERMEDIATE MACHINE OPERATORS	9	0.5	0.6	79.6
7211 Sewing Machinists	6	0.3	0.4	80.0
7212 Textile and Footwear Production Machine Operators	1	0.1	0.1	80.1
7310 Road and Rail Transport Drivers	5	0.3	0.3	80.4
7311 Truck Drivers	20	1.1	1.3	81.7
7312 Bus and Tram Drivers	8	0.5	0.5	82.2
7313 Automobile Drivers	4	0.2	0.3	82.4
7314 Delivery Drivers	6	0.3	0.4	82.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
7315 Train Drivers and Assistants	1	0.1	0.1	82.8
7911 Miners	4	0.2	0.3	83.1
7913 Structural Steel Construction Workers	1	0.1	0.1	83.2
7914 Insulation and Home Improvements Installers	2	0.1	0.1	83.3
7991 Motor Vehicle Parts and Accessories Fitters	1	0.1	0.1	83.4
7992 Product Quality Controllers	4	0.2	0.3	83.6
7993 Storepersons	15	0.8	0.9	84.6
7994 Seafarers and Fishing Hands	1	0.1	0.1	84.6
7995 Forestry and Logging Workers	1	0.1	0.1	84.7
8000 ELEMENTARY CLERICAL, SALES AND SERVICE WORKERS	2	0.1	0.1	84.8
8110 Elementary Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	84.9
8111 Registry and Filing Clerks	6	0.3	0.4	85.2
8112 Mail Sorting Clerks	2	0.1	0.1	85.4
8113 Switchboard Operators	5	0.3	0.3	85.7
8114 Messengers	3	0.2	0.2	85.9
8115 Betting Clerks	2	0.1	0.1	86.0
8119 Other Elementary Clerks	3	0.2	0.2	86.2
8200 ELEMENTARY SALES WORKERS	1	0.1	0.1	86.3
8211 Sales Assistants	79	4.5	5.0	91.2
8291 Checkout Operators and Cashiers	6	0.3	0.4	91.6
8292 Ticket Salespersons	1	0.1	0.1	91.7
8293 Street Vendors and Related Workers	4	0.2	0.3	91.9
8294 Telemarketers	2	0.1	0.1	92.1
8295 Sales Demonstrators and Models	1	0.1	0.1	92.1
8296 Service Station Attendants	1	0.1	0.1	92.2
8311 Guards and Security Officers	7	0.4	0.4	92.6
8312 Ushers, Porters and Related Workers	1	0.1	0.1	92.7
8313 Domestic Housekeepers	2	0.1	0.1	92.8
8315 Laundry Workers	4	0.2	0.3	93.1
8319 Other Elementary Service Workers	1	0.1	0.1	93.1
9000 LABOURERS AND RELATED WORKERS	12	0.7	0.8	93.9
9111 Cleaners	28	1.6	1.8	95.6
9200 FACTORY LABOURERS	1	0.1	0.1	95.7
9210 Process Workers	1	0.1	0.1	95.8
9211 Engineering Production Process Workers	3	0.2	0.2	96.0

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
9212 Product Assemblers	2	0.1	0.1	96.1
9213 Meat and Fish Process Workers	4	0.2	0.3	96.3
9214 Other Food Factory Hands	2	0.1	0.1	96.5
9219 Other Process Workers	2	0.1	0.1	96.6
9221 Hand Packers	3	0.2	0.2	96.8
9222 Packagers and Container Fillers	3	0.2	0.2	97.0
9900 OTHER LABOURERS AND RELATED WORKERS	1	0.1	0.1	97.0
9910 Mining, Construction and Related Labourers	1	0.1	0.1	97.1
9911 Mining Support Workers and Driller's Assistants	2	0.1	0.1	97.2
9912 Earthmoving Labourers	1	0.1	0.1	97.3
9913 Paving and Surfacing Labourers	1	0.1	0.1	97.4
9915 Railway Labourers	1	0.1	0.1	97.4
9916 Construction and Plumber's Assistants	1	0.1	0.1	97.5
9917 Concreters	4	0.2	0.3	97.7
9919 Other Mining, Construction and Related Labourers	2	0.1	0.1	97.9
9921 Farm Hands	8	0.5	0.5	98.4
9922 Nursery and Garden Labourers	1	0.1	0.1	98.4
9929 Other Agricultural and Horticultural Labourers	1	0.1	0.1	98.5
9931 Kitchenhands	10	0.6	0.6	99.1
9932 Fast Food Cooks	1	0.1	0.1	99.2
9933 Food Trades Assistants	1	0.1	0.1	99.2
9991 Garbage Collectors	1	0.1	0.1	99.3
9992 Freight and Furniture Handlers	1	0.1	0.1	99.4
9993 Handypersons	4	0.2	0.3	99.6
9999 Other Miscellaneous Labourers and Related Workers	6	0.3	0.4	100.0
Total Valid	1586	89.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	183	10.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

h6pos H6. Position in organisation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.6. What kind of work do you do? Please give your full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation.

>> If you are unemployed or retired, please describe your last regular paid job.

>> If you have never worked for pay, please skip this section and go to Section I.

d. Which of the following best describes the position that you hold (or held)?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Upper managerial	238	13.5	15.9	15.9
2 Middle managerial	241	13.6	16.1	31.9
3 Lower managerial	162	9.2	10.8	42.7
4 Supervisory	260	14.7	17.3	60.0
5 Non-supervisory	600	33.9	40.0	100.0
Total Valid	1501	84.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	268	15.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

h6employ H6. Employer

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.6. What kind of work do you do? Please give your full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation.

>> If you are unemployed or retired, please describe your last regular paid job.

>> If you have never worked for pay, please skip this section and go to Section I.

e. Whom do (or did) you work for?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Self-employed	270	15.3	17.3	17.3
2 Employee in private company or business	800	45.2	51.3	68.6
3 Employee of Federal/State/Local Government	425	24.0	27.2	95.8
4 Employee in family business or farm	65	3.7	4.2	100.0
Total Valid	1560	88.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	209	11.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

h6superv H6. Supervisory responsibility

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.6. What kind of work do you do? Please give your full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation.

>> If you are unemployed or retired, please describe your last regular paid job.

>> If you have never worked for pay, please skip this section and go to Section I.

f. As an official part of your job, do (or did) you directly supervise the work of other employees or tell other employees what work to do?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	848	47.9	54.4	54.4
2 No	712	40.2	45.6	100.0
Total Valid	1560	88.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	209	11.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

h7 H7. Belong to a trade union

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

H.7. Do you belong to a trade union?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	401	22.7	25.0	25.0
2 No	1202	67.9	75.0	100.0
Total Valid	1603	90.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	166	9.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

Section I: Personal Background**i1 I1. Sex**

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.1. Firstly, what is your sex?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Male	835	47.2	48.3	48.3
2 Female	895	50.6	51.7	100.0
Total Valid	1730	97.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	39	2.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

i2 I2. Year of birth

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.2. When were you born? Just the year will do. Year 19

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
13	1	0.1	0.1	0.1
14	3	0.2	0.2	0.2
15	3	0.2	0.2	0.4
16	4	0.2	0.2	0.7
17	4	0.2	0.2	0.9
18	9	0.5	0.5	1.5
19	2	0.1	0.1	1.6
20	5	0.3	0.3	1.9
21	7	0.4	0.4	2.3
22	16	0.9	1.0	3.3
23	7	0.4	0.4	3.7
24	9	0.5	0.5	4.3
25	14	0.8	0.9	5.1
26	13	0.7	0.8	5.9
27	14	0.8	0.9	6.8
28	13	0.7	0.8	7.6
29	16	0.9	1.0	8.6
30	18	1.0	1.1	9.7
31	25	1.4	1.5	11.2
32	15	0.8	0.9	12.1
33	16	0.9	1.0	13.1
34	17	1.0	1.0	14.1
35	20	1.1	1.2	15.3
36	25	1.4	1.5	16.9
37	25	1.4	1.5	18.4
38	27	1.5	1.6	20.0
39	28	1.6	1.7	21.7
40	28	1.6	1.7	23.5
41	19	1.1	1.2	24.6
42	26	1.5	1.6	26.2
43	23	1.3	1.4	27.6
44	26	1.5	1.6	29.2
45	34	1.9	2.1	31.3
46	27	1.5	1.6	32.9
47	43	2.4	2.6	35.6
48	45	2.5	2.7	38.3
49	38	2.1	2.3	40.6
50	33	1.9	2.0	42.6
51	34	1.9	2.1	44.7
52	45	2.5	2.7	47.5
53	34	1.9	2.1	49.5
54	36	2.0	2.2	51.7
55	26	1.5	1.6	53.3
56	44	2.5	2.7	56.0
57	39	2.2	2.4	58.4
58	30	1.7	1.8	60.2
59	35	2.0	2.1	62.4
60	42	2.4	2.6	64.9
61	28	1.6	1.7	66.6
62	26	1.5	1.6	68.2
63	33	1.9	2.0	70.3
64	29	1.6	1.8	72.0

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
65	27	1.5	1.6	73.7
66	26	1.5	1.6	75.3
67	16	0.9	1.0	76.2
68	22	1.2	1.3	77.6
69	25	1.4	1.5	79.1
70	23	1.3	1.4	80.5
71	22	1.2	1.3	81.9
72	20	1.1	1.2	83.1
73	22	1.2	1.3	84.4
74	24	1.4	1.5	85.9
75	13	0.7	0.8	86.7
76	21	1.2	1.3	88.0
77	15	0.8	0.9	88.9
78	22	1.2	1.3	90.2
79	22	1.2	1.3	91.6
80	27	1.5	1.6	93.2
81	18	1.0	1.1	94.3
82	12	0.7	0.7	95.1
83	20	1.1	1.2	96.3
84	16	0.9	1.0	97.3
85	19	1.1	1.2	98.4
86	26	1.5	1.6	100.0
Total Valid	1637	92.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	132	7.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

i3own I3. Country of birth

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.3. Where were you born?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Australia	1326	75.0	76.6	76.6
2 New Zealand	20	1.1	1.2	77.8
3 United Kingdom	150	8.5	8.7	86.4
4 Republic of Ireland	6	0.3	0.3	86.8
5 Italy	13	0.7	0.8	87.5
6 Germany	14	0.8	0.8	88.3
7 Greece	13	0.7	0.8	89.1
8 China	17	1.0	1.0	90.1
9 Netherlands	13	0.7	0.8	90.8
10 Vietnam	17	1.0	1.0	91.8
11 Yugoslavia (former)	19	1.1	1.1	92.9
12 Other (please specify)	123	7.0	7.1	100.0
Total Valid	1731	97.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	38	2.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

xi3own I3. Extended-Country of birth

Variable type = numeric, width = 4

I.3. Where were you born?

Coded according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, Australian Standard Classification of Countries (SACC) 1998. Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics Catalogue No. 1269.0.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1101 Australia	1326	75.0	76.6	76.6
1201 New Zealand	20	1.1	1.2	77.8
1302 Papua New Guinea	1	0.1	0.1	77.8
1502 Fiji	1	0.1	0.1	77.9
1508 Tonga	2	0.1	0.1	78.0
2100 United Kingdom	147	8.3	8.5	86.5
2104 Northern Ireland	1	0.1	0.1	86.5
2105 Scotland	1	0.1	0.1	86.6
2106 Wales	1	0.1	0.1	86.7
2201 Ireland	6	0.3	0.3	87.0
2301 Austria	1	0.1	0.1	87.1
2303 France	1	0.1	0.1	87.1
2304 Germany	14	0.8	0.8	87.9
2308 Netherlands	13	0.7	0.8	88.7
2311 Switzerland	4	0.2	0.2	88.9
2407 Sweden	4	0.2	0.2	89.1
3104 Italy	13	0.7	0.8	89.9
3105 Malta	6	0.3	0.3	90.2
3106 Portugal	2	0.1	0.1	90.4
3108 Spain	1	0.1	0.1	90.4
3200 South Eastern Europe	14	0.8	0.8	91.2
3202 Bosnia and Herzegovina	1	0.1	0.1	91.3
3204 Croatia	4	0.2	0.2	91.5
3205 Cyprus	3	0.2	0.2	91.7
3206 Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	1	0.1	0.1	91.7
3207 Greece	13	0.7	0.8	92.5
3211 Romania	1	0.1	0.1	92.5
3304 Hungary	3	0.2	0.2	92.7
3306 Lithuania	2	0.1	0.1	92.8
3307 Poland	6	0.3	0.3	93.2
3308 Russian Federation	1	0.1	0.1	93.2
4102 Egypt	5	0.3	0.3	93.5
4207 Kuwait	1	0.1	0.1	93.6
4208 Lebanon	4	0.2	0.2	93.8
4214 Syria	1	0.1	0.1	93.9
4215 Turkey	3	0.2	0.2	94.0
5101 Burma (Myanmar)	4	0.2	0.2	94.3
5102 Cambodia	5	0.3	0.3	94.6
5105 Viet Nam	17	1.0	1.0	95.6
5202 Indonesia	2	0.1	0.1	95.7
5203 Malaysia	7	0.4	0.4	96.1
5204 Philippines	9	0.5	0.5	96.6
5205 Singapore	1	0.1	0.1	96.6
6101 China (excludes SARs and Taiwan Province)	17	1.0	1.0	97.6
6200 Japan and the Koreas	1	0.1	0.1	97.7

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
6201 Japan	5	0.3	0.3	98.0
7103 India	8	0.5	0.5	98.4
7107 Sri Lanka	4	0.2	0.2	98.7
8102 Canada	1	0.1	0.1	98.7
8104 United States of America	2	0.1	0.1	98.8
8201 Argentina	1	0.1	0.1	98.9
8204 Chile	4	0.2	0.2	99.1
9207 Ethiopia	2	0.1	0.1	99.2
9208 Kenya	1	0.1	0.1	99.3
9214 Mauritius	2	0.1	0.1	99.4
9225 South Africa	6	0.3	0.3	99.8
9231 Zambia	1	0.1	0.1	99.8
9232 Zimbabwe	1	0.1	0.1	99.9
9777 Inadequately described	1	0.1	0.1	99.9
9888 Other (Not specified)	1	0.1	0.1	100.0
Total Valid	1731	97.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	38	2.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

i3mum I3. Mothers country of birth

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.3. And where were your mother and father born?

Mother

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Australia	1110	62.7	64.4	64.4
2 New Zealand	33	1.9	1.9	66.3
3 United Kingdom	227	12.8	13.2	79.5
4 Republic of Ireland	14	0.8	0.8	80.3
5 Italy	44	2.5	2.6	82.8
6 Germany	17	1.0	1.0	83.8
7 Greece	23	1.3	1.3	85.2
8 China	23	1.3	1.3	86.5
9 Netherlands	22	1.2	1.3	87.8
10 Vietnam	15	0.8	0.9	88.6
11 Yugoslavia (former)	29	1.6	1.7	90.3
12 Other (please specify)	167	9.4	9.7	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

xi3mum I3. Extended-Mothers country of birth

Variable type = numeric, width = 4

I.3. And where were your mother and father born?

Mother

Coded according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, Australian Standard Classification of Countries (SACC) 1998. Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics Catalogue No. 1269.0.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1101 Australia	1110	62.7	64.4	64.4
1201 New Zealand	33	1.9	1.9	66.3
1502 Fiji	1	0.1	0.1	66.4
1508 Tonga	2	0.1	0.1	66.5
2100 United Kingdom	219	12.4	12.7	79.2
2104 Northern Ireland	1	0.1	0.1	79.2
2105 Scotland	6	0.3	0.3	79.6
2106 Wales	1	0.1	0.1	79.6
2201 Ireland	14	0.8	0.8	80.5
2301 Austria	2	0.1	0.1	80.6
2302 Belgium	1	0.1	0.1	80.6
2303 France	1	0.1	0.1	80.7
2304 Germany	17	1.0	1.0	81.7
2308 Netherlands	22	1.2	1.3	82.9
2311 Switzerland	4	0.2	0.2	83.2
2407 Sweden	3	0.2	0.2	83.4
3104 Italy	44	2.5	2.6	85.9
3105 Malta	14	0.8	0.8	86.7
3106 Portugal	2	0.1	0.1	86.8
3108 Spain	2	0.1	0.1	86.9
3200 South Eastern Europe	22	1.2	1.3	88.2
3202 Bosnia and Herzegovina	1	0.1	0.1	88.3
3204 Croatia	6	0.3	0.3	88.6
3205 Cyprus	6	0.3	0.3	89.0
3206 Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	1	0.1	0.1	89.0
3207 Greece	23	1.3	1.3	90.4
3302 Czech Republic	1	0.1	0.1	90.4
3303 Estonia	1	0.1	0.1	90.5
3304 Hungary	6	0.3	0.3	90.8
3306 Lithuania	2	0.1	0.1	91.0
3307 Poland	14	0.8	0.8	91.8
3308 Russian Federation	2	0.1	0.1	91.9
3312 Ukraine	2	0.1	0.1	92.0
4102 Egypt	5	0.3	0.3	92.3
4208 Lebanon	7	0.4	0.4	92.7
4213 Saudi Arabia	1	0.1	0.1	92.7
4214 Syria	2	0.1	0.1	92.9
4215 Turkey	4	0.2	0.2	93.1
5101 Burma (Myanmar)	3	0.2	0.2	93.3
5102 Cambodia	5	0.3	0.3	93.6
5103 Laos	1	0.1	0.1	93.6
5105 Viet Nam	15	0.8	0.9	94.5
5202 Indonesia	4	0.2	0.2	94.7
5203 Malaysia	7	0.4	0.4	95.1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
5204 Philippines	11	0.6	0.6	95.8
5205 Singapore	2	0.1	0.1	95.9
6101 China (excludes SARs and Taiwan Province)	23	1.3	1.3	97.2
6200 Japan and the Koreas	1	0.1	0.1	97.3
6201 Japan	3	0.2	0.2	97.4
7103 India	13	0.7	0.8	98.2
7107 Sri Lanka	6	0.3	0.3	98.5
8102 Canada	1	0.1	0.1	98.6
8104 United States of America	4	0.2	0.2	98.8
8201 Argentina	1	0.1	0.1	98.9
8204 Chile	4	0.2	0.2	99.1
9207 Ethiopia	2	0.1	0.1	99.2
9214 Mauritius	2	0.1	0.1	99.4
9223 Seychelles	1	0.1	0.1	99.4
9225 South Africa	6	0.3	0.3	99.8
9227 Tanzania	1	0.1	0.1	99.8
9777 Inadequately described	2	0.1	0.1	99.9
9888 Other (Not specified)	1	0.1	0.1	100.0
Total Valid	1724	97.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	45	2.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

i3dad I3. Fathers country of birth

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.3. And where were your mother and father born?

Father

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Australia	1094	61.8	63.5	63.5
2 New Zealand	28	1.6	1.6	65.1
3 United Kingdom	234	13.2	13.6	78.7
4 Republic of Ireland	20	1.1	1.2	79.9
5 Italy	50	2.8	2.9	82.8
6 Germany	13	0.7	0.8	83.5
7 Greece	23	1.3	1.3	84.9
8 China	24	1.4	1.4	86.2
9 Netherlands	23	1.3	1.3	87.6
10 Vietnam	14	0.8	0.8	88.4
11 Yugoslavia (former)	34	1.9	2.0	90.4
12 Other (please specify)	166	9.4	9.6	100.0
Total Valid	1723	97.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	46	2.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

xi3dad I3. Extended-Fathers country of birth

Variable type = numeric, width = 4

I.3. And where were your mother and father born?

Father

Coded according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, Australian Standard Classification of Countries (SACC) 1998. Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics Catalogue No. 1269.0.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1101 Australia	1094	61.8	63.5	63.5
1201 New Zealand	28	1.6	1.6	65.1
1302 Papua New Guinea	2	0.1	0.1	65.2
1502 Fiji	1	0.1	0.1	65.3
1508 Tonga	3	0.2	0.2	65.5
2100 United Kingdom	227	12.8	13.2	78.6
2104 Northern Ireland	1	0.1	0.1	78.7
2105 Scotland	4	0.2	0.2	78.9
2106 Wales	2	0.1	0.1	79.0
2201 Ireland	20	1.1	1.2	80.2
2301 Austria	3	0.2	0.2	80.4
2303 France	1	0.1	0.1	80.4
2304 Germany	13	0.7	0.8	81.2
2308 Netherlands	23	1.3	1.3	82.5
2311 Switzerland	3	0.2	0.2	82.7
2401 Denmark	2	0.1	0.1	82.8
2403 Finland	1	0.1	0.1	82.9
2407 Sweden	3	0.2	0.2	83.1
3104 Italy	50	2.8	2.9	86.0
3105 Malta	17	1.0	1.0	86.9
3106 Portugal	2	0.1	0.1	87.1
3108 Spain	1	0.1	0.1	87.1
3200 South Eastern Europe	26	1.5	1.5	88.6
3202 Bosnia and Herzegovina	1	0.1	0.1	88.7
3204 Croatia	7	0.4	0.4	89.1
3205 Cyprus	7	0.4	0.4	89.5
3206 Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	1	0.1	0.1	89.6
3207 Greece	23	1.3	1.3	90.9
3211 Romania	1	0.1	0.1	90.9
3304 Hungary	4	0.2	0.2	91.2
3306 Lithuania	3	0.2	0.2	91.4
3307 Poland	12	0.7	0.7	92.0
3308 Russian Federation	2	0.1	0.1	92.2
3312 Ukraine	3	0.2	0.2	92.3
4102 Egypt	4	0.2	0.2	92.6
4205 Israel	1	0.1	0.1	92.6
4208 Lebanon	9	0.5	0.5	93.2
4214 Syria	3	0.2	0.2	93.3
4215 Turkey	4	0.2	0.2	93.6
5101 Burma (Myanmar)	4	0.2	0.2	93.8
5102 Cambodia	5	0.3	0.3	94.1
5103 Laos	1	0.1	0.1	94.1
5105 Viet Nam	14	0.8	0.8	95.0
5202 Indonesia	4	0.2	0.2	95.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
5203 Malaysia	7	0.4	0.4	95.6
5204 Philippines	9	0.5	0.5	96.1
5205 Singapore	2	0.1	0.1	96.2
6101 China (excludes SARs and Taiwan Province)	24	1.4	1.4	97.6
6200 Japan and the Koreas	1	0.1	0.1	97.7
6201 Japan	2	0.1	0.1	97.8
7103 India	11	0.6	0.6	98.4
7107 Sri Lanka	6	0.3	0.3	98.8
8102 Canada	2	0.1	0.1	98.9
8104 United States of America	5	0.3	0.3	99.2
8204 Chile	3	0.2	0.2	99.4
9207 Ethiopia	1	0.1	0.1	99.4
9214 Mauritius	2	0.1	0.1	99.5
9225 South Africa	6	0.3	0.3	99.9
9777 Inadequately described	1	0.1	0.1	99.9
9888 Other (Not specified)	1	0.1	0.1	100.0
Total Valid	1723	97.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	46	2.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

i4 I4. Year came to Australia

Variable type = numeric, width = 4

I.4. [If born overseas only] In what year did you come to Australia? Year

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1925	1	0.1	0.2	0.2
1932	1	0.1	0.2	0.5
1946	1	0.1	0.2	0.7
1948	2	0.1	0.5	1.2
1949	5	0.3	1.2	2.5
1950	15	0.8	3.7	6.2
1951	6	0.3	1.5	7.7
1952	7	0.4	1.7	9.4
1953	10	0.6	2.5	11.9
1954	3	0.2	0.7	12.7
1955	7	0.4	1.7	14.4
1956	6	0.3	1.5	15.9
1957	10	0.6	2.5	18.4
1958	11	0.6	2.7	21.1
1959	5	0.3	1.2	22.3
1960	14	0.8	3.5	25.8
1961	7	0.4	1.7	27.5
1962	5	0.3	1.2	28.8
1963	6	0.3	1.5	30.3
1964	10	0.6	2.5	32.8
1965	11	0.6	2.7	35.5
1966	15	0.8	3.7	39.2
1967	12	0.7	3.0	42.2
1968	10	0.6	2.5	44.7
1969	13	0.7	3.2	47.9
1970	11	0.6	2.7	50.6
1971	12	0.7	3.0	53.6
1972	4	0.2	1.0	54.6
1973	8	0.5	2.0	56.6
1974	16	0.9	4.0	60.5
1975	4	0.2	1.0	61.5
1976	4	0.2	1.0	62.5
1977	5	0.3	1.2	63.8
1978	6	0.3	1.5	65.3
1979	6	0.3	1.5	66.7
1980	10	0.6	2.5	69.2
1981	11	0.6	2.7	72.0
1982	12	0.7	3.0	74.9
1983	7	0.4	1.7	76.7
1984	8	0.5	2.0	78.7
1985	8	0.5	2.0	80.6
1986	5	0.3	1.2	81.9
1987	9	0.5	2.2	84.1
1988	6	0.3	1.5	85.6
1989	8	0.5	2.0	87.6
1990	6	0.3	1.5	89.1
1991	3	0.2	0.7	89.8
1992	7	0.4	1.7	91.6
1993	3	0.2	0.7	92.3
1994	4	0.2	1.0	93.3
1995	6	0.3	1.5	94.8
1996	3	0.2	0.7	95.5

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1997	5	0.3	1.2	96.8
1998	4	0.2	1.0	97.8
1999	5	0.3	1.2	99.0
2000	2	0.1	0.5	99.5
2001	2	0.1	0.5	100.0
Total Valid	403	22.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	1366	77.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

i5dad I5. Fathers party ID at 14

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.5. Did your father have any particular preference for one of the political parties when you were young, say about 14 years old?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal (includes UAP)	447	25.3	27.1	27.1
2 Labor	579	32.7	35.1	62.2
3 National/Country (includes Progressive Party)	77	4.4	4.7	66.8
4 Democratic Labor (DLP)	19	1.1	1.2	68.0
5 Australian Democrats	1	0.1	0.1	68.1
6 Other parties	25	1.4	1.5	69.6
7 Don't know	502	28.4	30.4	100.0
Total Valid	1650	93.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	119	6.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

i5mum I5. Mothers party ID at 14

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.5. And how about your mother?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal (includes UAP)	458	25.9	28.1	28.1
2 Labor	519	29.3	31.9	60.0
3 National/Country (includes Progressive Party)	77	4.4	4.7	64.8
4 Democratic Labor (DLP)	15	0.8	0.9	65.7
5 Australian Democrats	6	0.3	0.4	66.1
6 Other parties	19	1.1	1.2	67.2
7 Don't know	533	30.1	32.8	100.0
Total Valid	1627	92.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	142	8.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

i6 I6. Religion

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.6. What is your religion or faith?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Roman Catholic	444	25.1	26.0	26.0
2 Anglican/Church of England	432	24.4	25.3	51.3
3 Uniting Church/Methodist	183	10.3	10.7	62.1
4 Orthodox Church	46	2.6	2.7	64.8
5 Presbyterian	75	4.2	4.4	69.2
6 Other (please specify)	163	9.2	9.6	78.7
9 No Religion	363	20.5	21.3	100.0
Total Valid	1706	96.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	63	3.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

xi6 I6. Extended-Religion

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.6. What is your religion or faith?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Catholic-Roman	444	25.1	26.0	26.0
2 Catholic - not Roman	2	0.1	0.1	26.1
3 Church of England	432	24.4	25.3	51.5
5 Orthodox	46	2.6	2.7	54.2
6 Presbyterian	75	4.2	4.4	58.6
7 Uniting Church/Methodist	183	10.3	10.7	69.3
9 Baptist	21	1.2	1.2	70.5
10 Brethren	2	0.1	0.1	70.6
11 Churches of Christ	8	0.5	0.5	71.1
14 Latter Day Saints	5	0.3	0.3	71.4
15 Lutheran	20	1.1	1.2	72.6
17 Salvation Army	6	0.3	0.4	72.9
18 Seventh Day Adventist	11	0.6	0.6	73.6
19 Other Protestant	4	0.2	0.2	73.8
20 Other Christian	33	1.9	1.9	75.7
21 Buddhist	19	1.1	1.1	76.8
22 Hebrew/Jewish	9	0.5	0.5	77.4
23 Muslim	4	0.2	0.2	77.6
24 Other Non-Christian	9	0.5	0.5	78.1
25 Hindu	4	0.2	0.2	78.4
97 Other (Not Specified)	6	0.3	0.4	78.7
98 No Religion	363	20.5	21.3	100.0
Total Valid	1706	96.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	63	3.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

i7 I7. Religious attendance

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.7. Apart from weddings, funerals and baptisms, about how often do you attend religious services?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 At least once a week	257	14.5	15.1	15.1
2 At least once a month	95	5.4	5.6	20.6
3 Several times a year	193	10.9	11.3	31.9
4 At least once a year	162	9.2	9.5	41.4
5 Less than once a year	316	17.9	18.5	59.9
6 Never	684	38.7	40.1	100.0
Total Valid	1707	96.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	62	3.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

i8 I8. Marital status

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.8. What is your current marital status?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Never married	309	17.5	18.0	18.0
2 Now married (including de facto relationships)	1131	63.9	66.1	84.1
3 Widowed	110	6.2	6.4	90.5
4 Divorced or separated	162	9.2	9.5	100.0
Total Valid	1712	96.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	57	3.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

i9 I9. Own, buying or rent home

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.9. Do you own outright, are you buying or renting the dwelling in which you now live?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Own outright	773	43.7	45.4	45.4
2 Own, paying off mortgage	480	27.1	28.2	73.6
3 Rent from private landlord	228	12.9	13.4	87.0
4 Rent from public housing authority	61	3.4	3.6	90.5
5 Other (boarding, living at home, etc)	161	9.1	9.5	100.0
Total Valid	1703	96.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	66	3.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

i10 I10. Own a firearm

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.10. Do you, or anyone in your household, own a firearm?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	191	10.8	11.1	11.1
2 No	1527	86.3	88.9	100.0
Total Valid	1718	97.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	51	2.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

i11 I11. Own a personal computer

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.11. Do you, or anyone in your household, own a personal computer?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	1313	74.2	76.6	76.6
2 No	401	22.7	23.4	100.0
Total Valid	1714	96.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	55	3.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

i12 I12. How often use internet

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.12. In general, how often do you use the internet?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Several times a day	351	19.8	20.8	20.8
2 About once a day	286	16.2	16.9	37.7
3 Three to five days a week	180	10.2	10.7	48.3
4 One to two days a week	155	8.8	9.2	57.5
5 Every few weeks	96	5.4	5.7	63.2
6 Less often	82	4.6	4.9	68.0
7 Do not use the internet	540	30.5	32.0	100.0
Total Valid	1690	95.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	79	4.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

i13 I13. When start using internet

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.13. When did you first start using the internet?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Do not use the internet	429	24.3	27.0	27.0
2 Within the last six months	67	3.8	4.2	31.2
3 About a year ago	121	6.8	7.6	38.9
4 Two or three years ago	247	14.0	15.6	54.4
5 More than three years ago	724	40.9	45.6	100.0
Total Valid	1588	89.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	181	10.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

i14 I14. You get cable or satellite television

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.14. Do you get either cable or satellite television?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes, either cable or satellite or both	481	27.2	28.3	28.3
2 No, neither	1218	68.9	71.7	100.0
Total Valid	1699	96.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	70	4.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

i15p1 I15. Member: Business or employers' association

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.15. Are you an active member of any of the following organisations, an inactive member or not a member?

Business or employers' association

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Active member	63	3.6	4.4	4.4
2 Inactive member	62	3.5	4.3	8.7
3 Don't belong	1305	73.8	91.3	100.0
Total Valid	1430	80.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	339	19.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

i15p2 I15. Member: Farmers' association

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.15. Are you an active member of any of the following organisations, an inactive member or not a member?

Farmers' association

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Active member	10	0.6	0.7	0.7
2 Inactive member	24	1.4	1.7	2.5
3 Don't belong	1346	76.1	97.5	100.0
Total Valid	1380	78.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	389	22.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

i15p3 I15. Member: Professional organisation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.15. Are you an active member of any of the following organisations, an inactive member or not a member?

Professional association

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Active member	134	7.6	9.3	9.3
2 Inactive member	147	8.3	10.2	19.4
3 Don't belong	1164	65.8	80.6	100.0
Total Valid	1445	81.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	324	18.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

i15p4 I15. Member: Charitable organisation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.15. Are you an active member of any of the following organisations, an inactive member or not a member?

Charitable organisation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Active member	181	10.2	12.4	12.4
2 Inactive member	109	6.2	7.5	19.9
3 Don't belong	1167	66.0	80.1	100.0
Total Valid	1457	82.4	100.0	
-1 Missing	312	17.6		
Total	1769	100.0		

i15p5 I15. Member: Sport/recreation organisation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.15. Are you an active member of any of the following organisations, an inactive member or not a member?

Sport or recreation organisation

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Active member	412	23.3	27.3	27.3
2 Inactive member	122	6.9	8.1	35.4
3 Don't belong	974	55.1	64.6	100.0
Total Valid	1508	85.2	100.0	
-1 Missing	261	14.8		
Total	1769	100.0		

i16 I16. Social class

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.16. Which social class would you say you belong to?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Upper class	26	1.5	1.5	1.5
2 Middle class	829	46.9	49.2	50.7
3 Working class	677	38.3	40.2	90.9
4 None	153	8.6	9.1	100.0
Total Valid	1685	95.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	84	4.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

i17 I17. Live rural or urban

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.17. Would you say you now live in

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 A rural area or village	194	11.0	11.5	11.5
2 A small country town (under 10,000 people)	190	10.7	11.2	22.7
3 A larger country town (over 10,000 people)	124	7.0	7.3	30.0
4 A large town (over 25,000 people)	289	16.3	17.1	47.1
5 A major city (over 100,000 people)	895	50.6	52.9	100.0
Total Valid	1692	95.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	77	4.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

i18 I18. Gross annual income

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.18. What is the gross annual income, before tax or other deductions, for you and your family living with you from all sources? Please include any pensions and allowances, and income from interest or dividends.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Less than \$5000 per year	48	2.7	3.0	3.0
2 \$5001 to \$10000 per year	100	5.7	6.3	9.3
3 \$10001 to \$15000 per year	103	5.8	6.5	15.8
4 \$15001 to \$20000 per year	106	6.0	6.7	22.5
5 \$20001 to \$25000 per year	101	5.7	6.4	28.8
6 \$25001 to \$30000 per year	89	5.0	5.6	34.4
7 \$30001 to \$35000 per year	76	4.3	4.8	39.2
8 \$35001 to \$40000 per year	99	5.6	6.2	45.4
9 \$40001 to \$45000 per year	83	4.7	5.2	50.7
10 \$45001 to \$50000 per year	85	4.8	5.3	56.0
11 \$50001 to \$60000 per year	134	7.6	8.4	64.4
12 \$60001 to \$70000 per year	106	6.0	6.7	71.1
13 \$70001 to \$80000 per year	88	5.0	5.5	76.7
14 \$80001 to \$90000 per year	71	4.0	4.5	81.1
15 \$90001 to \$100000 per year	85	4.8	5.3	86.5
16 More than \$100000 per year	215	12.2	13.5	100.0
Total Valid	1589	89.8	100.0	
-1 Missing	180	10.2		
Total	1769	100.0		

For those who are NOT now married or living in a de facto relationship, that is the end of the questionnaire. Please put the questionnaire in the pre-paid reply envelope and post it back to us. Thank you again for your cooperation.

Your Husband, Wife or De Facto

i19 I19. Spouse working now

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.19. Is your husband or wife working now?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Working full-time for pay	465	26.3	41.9	41.9
2 Working part-time for pay	191	10.8	17.2	59.2
3 Unemployed--looking for full-time work	13	0.7	1.2	60.3
4 Unemployed--looking for part-time work	6	0.3	0.5	60.9
5 Retired from paid work	277	15.7	25.0	85.8
6 A full-time school or university student	12	0.7	1.1	86.9
7 Keeping house	136	7.7	12.3	99.2
8 Other (please specify)	9	0.5	0.8	100.0
Total Valid	1109	62.7	100.0	
-1 Missing	660	37.3		
Total	1769	100.0		

i20 I20. Spouse occupation

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.20. What kind of work does (or did) your husband or wife do? Please give their full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation.

>> If not working for pay now, please describe his or her last regular paid job.

Coded according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, Australian Standard Classification of Occupation: Second Edition (ASCO 2). Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics Catalogue No. 1222.0.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
-5 Student	2	0.1	0.1	0.1
-4 Not in Labour Force	33	1.9	2.1	2.2
-3 Not applicable	530	30.0	33.2	35.4
-2 Inadequately described	32	1.8	2.0	37.5
10 Managers and Administrators	18	1.0	1.1	38.6
11 Generalist Managers	32	1.8	2.0	40.6
12 Specialist Managers	43	2.4	2.7	43.3
13 Farmers and Farm Managers	34	1.9	2.1	45.4
20 Professionals	3	0.2	0.2	45.6
21 Science, Building and Engineering Professionals	20	1.1	1.3	46.9
22 Business and Information Professionals	42	2.4	2.6	49.5
23 Health Professionals	40	2.3	2.5	52.0
24 Education Professionals	74	4.2	4.6	56.6
25 Social, Arts and Miscellaneous Professionals	38	2.1	2.4	59.0
31 Science, Engineering and Related Associate Professionals	21	1.2	1.3	60.4
32 Business and Administration Associate Professionals	42	2.4	2.6	63.0
33 Managing Supervisors (Sales and Service)	42	2.4	2.6	65.6
34 Health and Welfare Associate Professionals	6	0.3	0.4	66.0
39 Other Associate Professionals	8	0.5	0.5	66.5
40 Tradespersons and Related Workers	4	0.2	0.3	66.8
41 Mechanical and Fabrication Engineering Tradespersons	15	0.8	0.9	67.7
42 Automotive Tradespersons	11	0.6	0.7	68.4
43 Electrical and Electronics Tradespersons	16	0.9	1.0	69.4
44 Construction Tradespersons	23	1.3	1.4	70.8
45 Food Tradespersons	10	0.6	0.6	71.5
46 Skilled Agricultural and	6	0.3	0.4	71.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Horticultural Workers				
49 Other Tradespersons and Related Workers	22	1.2	1.4	73.2
51 Secretaries and Personal Assistants	25	1.4	1.6	74.8
59 Other Advanced Clerical and Service Workers	28	1.6	1.8	76.5
61 Intermediate Clerical Workers	87	4.9	5.5	82.0
62 Intermediate Sales and Related Workers	16	0.9	1.0	83.0
63 Intermediate Service Workers	47	2.7	2.9	85.9
71 Intermediate Plant Operators	16	0.9	1.0	87.0
72 Intermediate Machine Operators	10	0.6	0.6	87.6
73 Road and Rail Transport Drivers	33	1.9	2.1	89.6
79 Other Intermediate Production and Transport Workers	24	1.4	1.5	91.2
81 Elementary Clerks	8	0.5	0.5	91.7
82 Elementary Sales Workers	55	3.1	3.5	95.1
83 Elementary Service Workers	6	0.3	0.4	95.5
90 Labourers and Related Workers	6	0.3	0.4	95.9
91 Cleaners	20	1.1	1.3	97.1
92 Factory Labourers	17	1.0	1.1	98.2
99 Other Labourers and Related Workers	29	1.6	1.8	100.0
Total Valid	1594	90.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	175	9.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

xi20 I20. Spouse occupation - ASCO2

Variable type = numeric, width = 4

I.20. What kind of work does (or did) your husband or wife do? Please give their full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation.

>> If not working for pay now, please describe his or her last regular paid job.

Coded according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics, Australian Standard Classification of Occupation: Second Edition (ASCO 2). Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics Catalogue No. 1222.0.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
-5 Student	2	0.1	0.1	0.1
-4 Not in Labour Force	33	1.9	2.1	2.2
-3 Not applicable	530	30.0	33.2	35.4
-2 Inadequately described	32	1.8	2.0	37.5
1000 MANAGERS AND ADMINISTRATORS	18	1.0	1.1	38.6
1111 Legislators and Government Appointed Officials	1	0.1	0.1	38.6
1112 General Managers	17	1.0	1.1	39.7
1191 Building and Construction Managers	10	0.6	0.6	40.3
1192 Importers, Exporters and Wholesalers	1	0.1	0.1	40.4
1193 Manufacturers	3	0.2	0.2	40.6
1200 SPECIALIST MANAGERS	1	0.1	0.1	40.7
1211 Finance Managers	1	0.1	0.1	40.7
1212 Company Secretaries	1	0.1	0.1	40.8
1213 Human Resource Managers	1	0.1	0.1	40.8
1221 Engineering Managers	1	0.1	0.1	40.9
1222 Production Managers	8	0.5	0.5	41.4
1223 Supply and Distribution Managers	2	0.1	0.1	41.5
1224 Information Technology Managers	4	0.2	0.3	41.8
1231 Sales and Marketing Managers	9	0.5	0.6	42.3
1291 Policy and Planning Managers	1	0.1	0.1	42.4
1292 Health Services Managers	1	0.1	0.1	42.5
1293 Education Managers	6	0.3	0.4	42.8
1294 Commissioned Officers (Management)	3	0.2	0.2	43.0
1295 Child Care Co-ordinators	1	0.1	0.1	43.1
1299 Other Specialist Managers	3	0.2	0.2	43.3
1310 Farmers and Farm Managers	8	0.5	0.5	43.8
1311 Mixed Crop and Livestock Farmers	6	0.3	0.4	44.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1312 Livestock Farmers	15	0.8	0.9	45.1
1313 Crop Farmers	4	0.2	0.3	45.4
1314 Aquaculture Farmers	1	0.1	0.1	45.4
2000 PROFESSIONALS	3	0.2	0.2	45.6
2100 SCIENCE, BUILDING AND ENGINEERING PROFESSIONALS	1	0.1	0.1	45.7
2111 Chemists	1	0.1	0.1	45.7
2112 Geologists and Geophysicists	2	0.1	0.1	45.9
2114 Environmental and Agricultural Science Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	45.9
2115 Medical Scientists	1	0.1	0.1	46.0
2119 Other Natural and Physical Science Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	46.0
2120 Building and Engineering Professionals	3	0.2	0.2	46.2
2121 Architects and Landscape Architects	2	0.1	0.1	46.4
2125 Electrical and Electronics Engineers	3	0.2	0.2	46.5
2126 Mechanical, Production and Plant Engineers	3	0.2	0.2	46.7
2129 Other Building and Engineering Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	46.9
2211 Accountants	10	0.6	0.6	47.5
2221 Marketing and Advertising Professionals	7	0.4	0.4	47.9
2231 Computing Professionals	14	0.8	0.9	48.8
2291 Human Resource Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	48.9
2292 Librarians	2	0.1	0.1	49.1
2293 Mathematicians, Statisticians and Actuaries	1	0.1	0.1	49.1
2294 Business and Organisation Analysts	3	0.2	0.2	49.3
2295 Property Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	49.4
2299 Other Business and Information Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	49.5
2311 Generalist Medical Practitioners	4	0.2	0.3	49.7
2312 Specialist Medical Practitioners	2	0.1	0.1	49.9
2323 Registered Nurses	22	1.2	1.4	51.3
2381 Dental Practitioners	2	0.1	0.1	51.4
2382 Pharmacists	2	0.1	0.1	51.5
2383 Occupational Therapists	3	0.2	0.2	51.7
2384 Optometrists	1	0.1	0.1	51.8
2385 Physiotherapists	1	0.1	0.1	51.8
2391 Medical Imaging Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	51.9
2393 Dieticians	1	0.1	0.1	52.0
2400 EDUCATION PROFESSIONALS	2	0.1	0.1	52.1
2410 School Teachers	16	0.9	1.0	53.1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2411 Pre-Primary School Teachers	3	0.2	0.2	53.3
2412 Primary School Teachers	22	1.2	1.4	54.7
2413 Secondary School Teachers	19	1.1	1.2	55.9
2414 Special Education Teachers	1	0.1	0.1	56.0
2420 University and Vocational Education Teachers	1	0.1	0.1	56.0
2421 University Lecturers and Tutors	3	0.2	0.2	56.2
2422 Vocational Education Teachers	2	0.1	0.1	56.3
2491 Extra-Systemic Teachers	3	0.2	0.2	56.5
2492 English as a Second Language Teachers	1	0.1	0.1	56.6
2493 Education Officers	1	0.1	0.1	56.6
2510 Social Welfare Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	56.7
2511 Social Workers	1	0.1	0.1	56.8
2512 Welfare and Community Workers	3	0.2	0.2	57.0
2513 Counsellors	1	0.1	0.1	57.0
2514 Psychologists	4	0.2	0.3	57.3
2515 Ministers of Religion	3	0.2	0.2	57.5
2521 Legal Professionals	10	0.6	0.6	58.1
2522 Economists	2	0.1	0.1	58.2
2530 Artists and Related Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	58.3
2531 Visual Arts and Crafts Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	58.3
2533 Designers and Illustrators	2	0.1	0.1	58.5
2534 Journalists and Related Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	58.6
2535 Authors and Related Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	58.7
2536 Film, Television, Radio and Stage Directors	1	0.1	0.1	58.8
2537 Musicians and Related Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	58.8
2541 Air Transport Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	58.9
2542 Sea Transport Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	59.0
2543 Occupational and Environmental Health Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	59.0
3110 Medical and Science Technical Officers	2	0.1	0.1	59.2
3111 Medical Technical Officers	1	0.1	0.1	59.2
3112 Science Technical Officers	5	0.3	0.3	59.5
3120 Building and	1	0.1	0.1	59.6

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Engineering Associate Professionals				
3121 Building, Architectural and Surveying Associate Professional	5	0.3	0.3	59.9
3123 Electrical Engineering Associate Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	60.0
3124 Electronic Engineering Associate Professionals	3	0.2	0.2	60.2
3125 Mechanical Engineering Associate Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	60.4
3200 BUSINESS AND ADMINISTRATION ASSOCIATE PROFESSIONALS	1	0.1	0.1	60.4
3211 Branch Accountants and Managers (Financial Institution)	4	0.2	0.3	60.7
3212 Financial Dealers and Brokers	4	0.2	0.3	60.9
3213 Financial Investment Advisers	1	0.1	0.1	61.0
3291 Office Managers	9	0.5	0.6	61.5
3292 Project and Program Administrators	12	0.7	0.8	62.3
3293 Real Estate Associate Professionals	8	0.5	0.5	62.8
3294 Computing Support Technicians	3	0.2	0.2	63.0
3311 Shop Managers	26	1.5	1.6	64.6
3321 Restaurant and Catering Managers	4	0.2	0.3	64.9
3322 Chefs	1	0.1	0.1	64.9
3323 Hotel and Motel Managers	1	0.1	0.1	65.0
3324 Club Managers (Licensed Premises)	1	0.1	0.1	65.1
3392 Customer Service Managers	5	0.3	0.3	65.4
3393 Transport Company Managers	1	0.1	0.1	65.4
3399 Other Managing Supervisors (Sales and Service)	3	0.2	0.2	65.6
3411 Enrolled Nurses	3	0.2	0.2	65.8
3421 Welfare Associate Professionals	1	0.1	0.1	65.9
3492 Dental Associate Professionals	2	0.1	0.1	66.0
3911 Police Officers	3	0.2	0.2	66.2
3991 Primary Products Inspectors	1	0.1	0.1	66.2
3992 Safety Inspectors	1	0.1	0.1	66.3
3994 Senior Non-Commissioned Defence Force Officers	1	0.1	0.1	66.4
3997 Library Technicians	2	0.1	0.1	66.5
4000 TRADESPERSONS	4	0.2	0.3	66.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
AND RELATED WORKERS				
4111 General Mechanical Engineering Tradespersons	1	0.1	0.1	66.8
4112 Metal Fitters and Machinists	6	0.3	0.4	67.2
4113 Toolmakers	3	0.2	0.2	67.4
4121 General Fabrication Engineering Tradespersons	1	0.1	0.1	67.4
4122 Structural Steel and Welding Tradespersons	4	0.2	0.3	67.7
4210 Automotive Tradespersons	1	0.1	0.1	67.8
4211 Motor Mechanics	6	0.3	0.4	68.1
4213 Panel Beaters	1	0.1	0.1	68.2
4214 Vehicle Painters	2	0.1	0.1	68.3
4215 Vehicle Body Makers	1	0.1	0.1	68.4
4310 Electrical and Electronics Tradespersons	1	0.1	0.1	68.4
4311 Electricians	8	0.5	0.5	68.9
4312 Refrigeration and Airconditioning Mechanics	2	0.1	0.1	69.1
4313 Electrical Distribution Tradespersons	1	0.1	0.1	69.1
4315 Electronic and Office Equipment Tradespersons	1	0.1	0.1	69.2
4316 Communications Tradespersons	3	0.2	0.2	69.4
4411 Carpentry and Joinery Tradespersons	7	0.4	0.4	69.8
4414 Bricklayers	3	0.2	0.2	70.0
4416 Wall and Floor Tilers and Stonemasons	1	0.1	0.1	70.1
4421 Painters and Decorators	3	0.2	0.2	70.3
4422 Signwriters	3	0.2	0.2	70.5
4431 Plumbers	6	0.3	0.4	70.8
4511 Meat Tradespersons	4	0.2	0.3	71.1
4512 Bakers and Pastrycooks	2	0.1	0.1	71.2
4513 Cooks	4	0.2	0.3	71.5
4612 Shearers	1	0.1	0.1	71.5
4614 Animal Trainers	1	0.1	0.1	71.6
4622 Greenkeepers	1	0.1	0.1	71.6
4623 Gardeners	3	0.2	0.2	71.8
4911 Graphic Pre-Press Tradespersons	1	0.1	0.1	71.9
4912 Printing Machinists and Small Offset Printers	4	0.2	0.3	72.1
4922 Cabinetmakers	1	0.1	0.1	72.2
4929 Other Wood Tradespersons	1	0.1	0.1	72.3
4931 Hairdressers	4	0.2	0.3	72.5
4941 Clothing Tradespersons	4	0.2	0.3	72.8
4982 Glass Tradespersons	2	0.1	0.1	72.9
4985 Fire Fighters	3	0.2	0.2	73.1
4986 Drillers	1	0.1	0.1	73.1
4987 Chemical, Petroleum and Gas Plant Operators	1	0.1	0.1	73.2
5111 Secretaries and Personal Assistants	25	1.4	1.6	74.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
5911 Bookkeepers	18	1.0	1.1	75.9
5912 Credit and Loans Officers	1	0.1	0.1	76.0
5991 Advanced Legal and Related Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	76.0
5992 Court and Hansard Reporters	2	0.1	0.1	76.2
5993 Insurance Agents	2	0.1	0.1	76.3
5996 Travel Attendants	2	0.1	0.1	76.4
5999 Other Miscellaneous Advanced Clerical and Service Workers	2	0.1	0.1	76.5
6111 General Clerks	21	1.2	1.3	77.9
6121 Keyboard Operators	8	0.5	0.5	78.4
6131 Receptionists	16	0.9	1.0	79.4
6141 Accounting Clerks	7	0.4	0.4	79.8
6142 Payroll Clerks	4	0.2	0.3	80.1
6143 Bank Workers	11	0.6	0.7	80.7
6144 Insurance Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	80.8
6152 Transport and Dispatching Clerks	2	0.1	0.1	80.9
6153 Stock and Purchasing Clerks	5	0.3	0.3	81.2
6191 Inquiry and Admissions Clerks	7	0.4	0.4	81.7
6192 Library Assistants	1	0.1	0.1	81.7
6193 Personnel Clerks	2	0.1	0.1	81.9
6194 Intermediate Inspectors and Examiners	2	0.1	0.1	82.0
6211 Sales Representatives	13	0.7	0.8	82.8
6212 Motor Vehicle and Related Products Salespersons	2	0.1	0.1	82.9
6213 Retail and Checkout Supervisors	1	0.1	0.1	83.0
6300 INTERMEDIATE SERVICE WORKERS	1	0.1	0.1	83.1
6311 Education Aides	7	0.4	0.4	83.5
6312 Children's Care Workers	11	0.6	0.7	84.2
6313 Special Care Workers	11	0.6	0.7	84.9
6320 Hospitality Workers	1	0.1	0.1	84.9
6321 Hotel Service Supervisors	1	0.1	0.1	85.0
6322 Bar Attendants	4	0.2	0.3	85.3
6323 Waiters	3	0.2	0.2	85.4
6390 Miscellaneous Intermediate Service Workers	1	0.1	0.1	85.5
6391 Dental Assistants	2	0.1	0.1	85.6
6395 Personal Care Consultants	1	0.1	0.1	85.7
6396 Fitness Instructors	1	0.1	0.1	85.8
6397 Travel and Tourism Agents	1	0.1	0.1	85.8
6399 Other Intermediate Service Workers	2	0.1	0.1	85.9
7100 INTERMEDIATE PLANT OPERATORS	1	0.1	0.1	86.0

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
7111 Mobile Construction Plant Operators	3	0.2	0.2	86.2
7112 Forklift Drivers	3	0.2	0.2	86.4
7119 Other Mobile Plant Operators	2	0.1	0.1	86.5
7121 Engine and Boiler Operators	1	0.1	0.1	86.6
7122 Crane, Hoist and Lift Operators	1	0.1	0.1	86.6
7123 Engineering Production Systems Workers	3	0.2	0.2	86.8
7129 Other Intermediate Stationary Plant Operators	2	0.1	0.1	87.0
7200 INTERMEDIATE MACHINE OPERATORS	6	0.3	0.4	87.3
7211 Sewing Machinists	3	0.2	0.2	87.5
7212 Textile and Footwear Production Machine Operators	1	0.1	0.1	87.6
7310 Road and Rail Transport Drivers	2	0.1	0.1	87.7
7311 Truck Drivers	15	0.8	0.9	88.6
7312 Bus and Tram Drivers	5	0.3	0.3	89.0
7313 Automobile Drivers	3	0.2	0.2	89.1
7314 Delivery Drivers	6	0.3	0.4	89.5
7315 Train Drivers and Assistants	2	0.1	0.1	89.6
7911 Miners	1	0.1	0.1	89.7
7914 Insulation and Home Improvements Installers	3	0.2	0.2	89.9
7991 Motor Vehicle Parts and Accessories Fitters	2	0.1	0.1	90.0
7993 Storepersons	14	0.8	0.9	90.9
7994 Seafarers and Fishing Hands	1	0.1	0.1	91.0
7995 Forestry and Logging Workers	1	0.1	0.1	91.0
7996 Printing Hands	2	0.1	0.1	91.2
8111 Registry and Filing Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	91.2
8112 Mail Sorting Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	91.3
8113 Switchboard Operators	4	0.2	0.3	91.5
8114 Messengers	1	0.1	0.1	91.6
8119 Other Elementary Clerks	1	0.1	0.1	91.7
8211 Sales Assistants	46	2.6	2.9	94.5
8291 Checkout Operators and Cashiers	4	0.2	0.3	94.8
8293 Street Vendors and Related Workers	2	0.1	0.1	94.9
8294 Telemarketers	1	0.1	0.1	95.0
8295 Sales Demonstrators and Models	1	0.1	0.1	95.0
8299 Other Elementary Sales Workers	1	0.1	0.1	95.1
8311 Guards and Security Officers	3	0.2	0.2	95.3
8313 Domestic	2	0.1	0.1	95.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Housekeepers				
8314 Caretakers	1	0.1	0.1	95.5
9000 LABOURERS AND RELATED WORKERS	6	0.3	0.4	95.9
9111 Cleaners	20	1.1	1.3	97.1
9211 Engineering Production Process Workers	2	0.1	0.1	97.2
9212 Product Assemblers	2	0.1	0.1	97.4
9213 Meat and Fish Process Workers	5	0.3	0.3	97.7
9214 Other Food Factory Hands	1	0.1	0.1	97.7
9219 Other Process Workers	3	0.2	0.2	97.9
9221 Hand Packers	4	0.2	0.3	98.2
9912 Earthmoving Labourers	1	0.1	0.1	98.2
9913 Paving and Surfacing Labourers	2	0.1	0.1	98.4
9914 Survey Hands	1	0.1	0.1	98.4
9915 Railway Labourers	2	0.1	0.1	98.6
9917 Concreters	4	0.2	0.3	98.8
9919 Other Mining, Construction and Related Labourers	2	0.1	0.1	98.9
9921 Farm Hands	1	0.1	0.1	99.0
9922 Nursery and Garden Labourers	1	0.1	0.1	99.1
9929 Other Agricultural and Horticultural Labourers	1	0.1	0.1	99.1
9931 Kitchenhands	7	0.4	0.4	99.6
9992 Freight and Furniture Handlers	1	0.1	0.1	99.6
9993 Handypersons	5	0.3	0.3	99.9
9999 Other Miscellaneous Labourers and Related Workers	1	0.1	0.1	100.0
Total Valid	1594	90.1	100.0	
-1 Missing	175	9.9		
Total	1769	100.0		

i21 I21. Spouse employer

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.21. Whom does (or did) your partner work for?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Self-employed	212	12.0	19.9	19.9
2 Employee in private company or business	563	31.8	52.8	72.6
3 Employee of Federal/State/Local Government	258	14.6	24.2	96.8
4 Employee in family business or farm	34	1.9	3.2	100.0
Total Valid	1067	60.3	100.0	
-1 Missing	702	39.7		
Total	1769	100.0		

i22 I22. Does spouse supervise people at work

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.22. As an official part of their job, does (or did) your partner directly supervise the work of other employees or tell other employees what work to do?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	525	29.7	47.9	47.9
2 No	572	32.3	52.1	100.0
Total Valid	1097	62.0	100.0	
-1 Missing	672	38.0		
Total	1769	100.0		

i23 I23. Spouse member of trade union

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.23. Does your partner belong to a trade union?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Yes	213	12.0	19.2	19.2
2 No	895	50.6	80.8	100.0
Total Valid	1108	62.6	100.0	
-1 Missing	661	37.4		
Total	1769	100.0		

i24 I24. Spouse party identification

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.24. Generally speaking, does your partner usually think of himself or herself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Liberal	488	27.6	43.5	43.5
2 Labor	370	20.9	32.9	76.4
3 National (Country) Party	38	2.1	3.4	79.8
4 Australian Democrats	11	0.6	1.0	80.8
5 Greens	29	1.6	2.6	83.3
6 One Nation	2	0.1	0.2	83.5
7 Other (please specify)	10	0.6	0.9	84.4
8 No Party	175	9.9	15.6	100.0
Total Valid	1123	63.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	646	36.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

xi24 I24. Extended-Spouse party identification

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

I.24. Generally speaking, does your partner usually think of himself or herself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2 Australian Democrats	11	0.6	1.0	1.0
3 Greens	29	1.6	2.6	3.6
5 Labor	370	20.9	32.9	36.5
8 Christian Democratic Party	2	0.1	0.2	36.7
12 Democratic Labor Party (DLP) of Australia	1	0.1	0.1	36.8
14 Family First Party	2	0.1	0.2	37.0
18 Liberal	488	27.6	43.5	80.4
20 National (Country) Party	38	2.1	3.4	83.8
27 One Nation	2	0.1	0.2	84.0
32 Socialist Alliance	1	0.1	0.1	84.1
96 Independent	2	0.1	0.2	84.2
97 Other party (not specified)	2	0.1	0.2	84.4
98 No party	175	9.9	15.6	100.0
Total Valid	1123	63.5	100.0	
-1 Missing	646	36.5		
Total	1769	100.0		

Administrative Variables

state State

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 New South Wales	555	31.4	31.7	31.7
2 Victoria	442	25.0	25.3	57.0
3 Queensland	337	19.1	19.3	76.2
4 South Australia	146	8.3	8.3	84.6
5 Western Australia	163	9.2	9.3	93.9
6 Tasmania	54	3.1	3.1	97.0
7 ACT	42	2.4	2.4	99.4
8 Northern Territory	11	0.6	0.6	100.0
Total Valid	1750	98.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	19	1.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

elecdiv Electoral Division

Variable type = numeric, width = 3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
101 Banks	12	0.7	0.7	0.7
102 Barton	8	0.5	0.5	1.1
103 Bennelong	12	0.7	0.7	1.8
104 Berowra	12	0.7	0.7	2.5
105 Blaxland	15	0.8	0.9	3.4
106 Bradfield	16	0.9	0.9	4.3
107 Calare	12	0.7	0.7	5.0
108 Charlton	13	0.7	0.7	5.7
109 Chifley	11	0.6	0.6	6.3
110 Cook	15	0.8	0.9	7.2
111 Cowper	15	0.8	0.9	8.1
112 Cunningham	9	0.5	0.5	8.6
113 Dobell	11	0.6	0.6	9.2
114 Eden-Monaro	13	0.7	0.7	9.9
115 Farrer	12	0.7	0.7	10.6
116 Fowler	6	0.3	0.3	11.0
117 Gilmore	13	0.7	0.7	11.7
118 Grayndler	10	0.6	0.6	12.3
119 Greenway	10	0.6	0.6	12.9
120 Gwydir	16	0.9	0.9	13.8
121 Hughes	10	0.6	0.6	14.3
122 Hume	11	0.6	0.6	15.0
123 Hunter	12	0.7	0.7	15.7
124 Kingsford-Smith	14	0.8	0.8	16.5
125 Lindsay	7	0.4	0.4	16.9
126 Lowe	12	0.7	0.7	17.5
127 Lyne	15	0.8	0.9	18.4
128 Macarthur	5	0.3	0.3	18.7
129 Mackellar	17	1.0	1.0	19.7
130 Macquarie	9	0.5	0.5	20.2
131 Mitchell	11	0.6	0.6	20.8
132 New England	12	0.7	0.7	21.5
133 Newcastle	14	0.8	0.8	22.3
134 North Sydney	15	0.8	0.9	23.1
135 Page	9	0.5	0.5	23.7
136 Parkes	8	0.5	0.5	24.1
137 Parramatta	4	0.2	0.2	24.3
138 Paterson	15	0.8	0.9	25.2
139 Prospect	8	0.5	0.5	25.7
140 Reid	6	0.3	0.3	26.0
141 Richmond	12	0.7	0.7	26.7
142 Riverina	10	0.6	0.6	27.3
143 Robertson	7	0.4	0.4	27.7
144 Shortland	17	1.0	1.0	28.6
145 Sydney	12	0.7	0.7	29.3
146 Throsby	8	0.5	0.5	29.8
147 Warringah	10	0.6	0.6	30.3
148 Watson	7	0.4	0.4	30.7
149 Wentworth	6	0.3	0.3	31.1
150 Werriwa	11	0.6	0.6	31.7
201 Aston	12	0.7	0.7	32.4
202 Ballarat	17	1.0	1.0	33.4
203 Batman	15	0.8	0.9	34.2
204 Bendigo	17	1.0	1.0	35.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
205 Bruce	6	0.3	0.3	35.5
206 Calwell	10	0.6	0.6	36.1
207 Casey	13	0.7	0.7	36.9
208 Chisholm	9	0.5	0.5	37.4
209 Corangamite	10	0.6	0.6	37.9
210 Corio	9	0.5	0.5	38.5
211 Deakin	14	0.8	0.8	39.3
212 Dunkley	15	0.8	0.9	40.1
213 Flinders	18	1.0	1.0	41.1
214 Gellibrand	12	0.7	0.7	41.8
215 Gippsland	14	0.8	0.8	42.6
216 Goldstein	11	0.6	0.6	43.3
217 Gorton	12	0.7	0.7	43.9
218 Higgins	11	0.6	0.6	44.6
219 Holt	8	0.5	0.5	45.0
220 Hotham	8	0.5	0.5	45.5
221 Indi	10	0.6	0.6	46.1
222 Isaacs	11	0.6	0.6	46.7
223 Jagajaga	4	0.2	0.2	46.9
224 Kooyong	14	0.8	0.8	47.7
225 Lalor	10	0.6	0.6	48.3
226 La Trobe	15	0.8	0.9	49.1
227 McEwen	12	0.7	0.7	49.8
228 McMillan	14	0.8	0.8	50.6
229 Mallee	15	0.8	0.9	51.5
230 Maribyrnong	14	0.8	0.8	52.3
231 Melbourne	15	0.8	0.9	53.1
232 Melbourne Ports	11	0.6	0.6	53.8
233 Menzies	13	0.7	0.7	54.5
234 Murray	13	0.7	0.7	55.3
235 Scullin	8	0.5	0.5	55.7
236 Wannon	13	0.7	0.7	56.5
237 Wills	9	0.5	0.5	57.0
301 Blair	12	0.7	0.7	57.7
302 Bonner	6	0.3	0.3	58.0
303 Bowman	13	0.7	0.7	58.7
304 Brisbane	15	0.8	0.9	59.6
305 Capricornia	11	0.6	0.6	60.2
306 Dawson	13	0.7	0.7	61.0
307 Dickson	9	0.5	0.5	61.5
308 Fadden	11	0.6	0.6	62.1
309 Fairfax	8	0.5	0.5	62.6
310 Fisher	14	0.8	0.8	63.4
311 Forde	15	0.8	0.9	64.2
312 Griffith	10	0.6	0.6	64.8
313 Groom	15	0.8	0.9	65.7
314 Herbert	12	0.7	0.7	66.3
315 Hinkler	13	0.7	0.7	67.1
316 Kennedy	9	0.5	0.5	67.6
317 Leichardt	16	0.9	0.9	68.5
318 Lilley	11	0.6	0.6	69.1
319 Longman	13	0.7	0.7	69.9
320 Maranoa	10	0.6	0.6	70.5
321 McPherson	10	0.6	0.6	71.0
322 Moncrieff	12	0.7	0.7	71.7
323 Moreton	12	0.7	0.7	72.4
324 Oxley	18	1.0	1.0	73.4
325 Petrie	11	0.6	0.6	74.1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
326 Rankin	10	0.6	0.6	74.6
327 Ryan	13	0.7	0.7	75.4
328 Wide Bay	15	0.8	0.9	76.2
401 Adelaide	14	0.8	0.8	77.0
402 Barker	12	0.7	0.7	77.7
403 Boothby	12	0.7	0.7	78.4
404 Grey	16	0.9	0.9	79.3
405 Hindmarsh	12	0.7	0.7	80.0
406 Kingston	14	0.8	0.8	80.8
407 Makin	11	0.6	0.6	81.4
408 Mayo	18	1.0	1.0	82.5
409 Port Adelaide	9	0.5	0.5	83.0
410 Sturt	15	0.8	0.9	83.8
411 Wakefield	13	0.7	0.7	84.6
501 Brand	12	0.7	0.7	85.3
502 Canning	11	0.6	0.6	85.9
503 Cowan	9	0.5	0.5	86.4
504 Curtin	12	0.7	0.7	87.1
505 Forrest	10	0.6	0.6	87.7
506 Fremantle	9	0.5	0.5	88.2
507 Hasluck	13	0.7	0.7	88.9
508 Kalgoorlie	9	0.5	0.5	89.4
509 Moore	11	0.6	0.6	90.1
510 O'Connor	12	0.7	0.7	90.7
511 Pearce	18	1.0	1.0	91.8
512 Perth	7	0.4	0.4	92.2
513 Stirling	10	0.6	0.6	92.7
514 Swan	8	0.5	0.5	93.2
515 Tangney	12	0.7	0.7	93.9
601 Bass	11	0.6	0.6	94.5
602 Braddon	12	0.7	0.7	95.2
603 Denison	9	0.5	0.5	95.7
604 Franklin	9	0.5	0.5	96.2
605 Lyons	13	0.7	0.7	97.0
701 Canberra	15	0.8	0.9	97.8
702 Fraser	27	1.5	1.5	99.4
801 Lingiari	5	0.3	0.3	99.7
802 Solomon	6	0.3	0.3	100.0
Total Valid	1750	98.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	19	1.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

region Region

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 Inner Metropolitan	484	27.4	27.7	27.7
2 Outer Metropolitan	494	27.9	28.2	55.9
3 Provincial	213	12.0	12.2	68.1
4 Rural	559	31.6	31.9	100.0
Total Valid	1750	98.9	100.0	
-1 Missing	19	1.1		
Total	1769	100.0		

subsam Subsample

Variable type = numeric, width = 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1 General sample	1769	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total	1769	100.0		