Child Care & Early Education RESEARCH CONNECTIONS

ICPSR 34390

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Policies Database, 2011

Linda Giannarelli Urban Institute

Christin Durham *Urban Institute*

Sarah Minton Urban Institute

Codebook for Program Development Data

About Research Connections

These data are made available by the Child Care and Early Education Research Connections project. Research Connections promotes high quality research in child care and early education and the use of that research in policymaking.

Research Connections is operated by the National Center for Children in Poverty at the Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University and the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research at the Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, through a cooperative agreement with the Office of Child Care, Office of Family Assistance and the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.











Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at: http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/34390/terms

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

NOTE REGARDING THE FOLLOWING FREQUENCIES

The frequency counts reflect the entire period covered by the databases (not an individual year or point in time). Further, each record of information is counted equivalently, regardless of whether that record covers an entire state for a lengthy period vs. only a portion of a state's caseload for a short period of time. Thus, the frequency counts provide technical documentation of the range of variation in the database but should not be used for analytic purposes.

ICPSR 34390

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Policies Database, 2011

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Policies Database, 2011

Program Development Data

STATE The state to which the rules of the record apply Location: 1-5 (width: 5; decimal: 0) Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Alabama	3	1.9 %
2	Alaska	3	1.9 %
4	Arizona	3	1.9 %
5	Arkansas	3	1.9 %
6	California	3	1.9 %
8	Colorado	3	1.9 %
9	Connecticut	3	1.9 %
10	Delaware	3	1.9 %
11	District of Columbia	1	0.6 %
12	Florida	3	1.9 %
13	Georgia	3	1.9 %
15	Hawaii	3	1.9 %
16	Idaho	3	1.9 %
17	Illinois	3	1.9 %
18	Indiana	3	1.9 %
19	Iowa	3	1.9 %
20	Kansas	3	1.9 %
21	Kentucky	3	1.9 %
22	Louisiana	3	1.9 %
23	Maine	3	1.9 %
24	Maryland	4	2.6 %
25	Massachusetts	3	1.9 %
26	Michigan	3	1.9 %
27	Minnesota	3	1.9 %
28	Mississippi	3	1.9 %
29	Missouri	3	1.9 %
30	Montana	3	1.9 %
31	Nebraska	3	1.9 %
32	Nevada	3	1.9 %
33	New Hampshire	3	1.9 %
34	New Jersey	3	1.9 %
35	New Mexico	3	1.9 %
36	New York	3	1.9 %

- Study 34390 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
37	North Carolina	3	1.9 %
38	North Dakota	3	1.9 %
39	Ohio	3	1.9 %
40	Oklahoma	3	1.9 %
41	Oregon	3	1.9 %
42	Pennsylvania	2	1.3 %
44	Rhode Island	3	1.9 %
45	South Carolina	3	1.9 %
46	South Dakota	3	1.9 %
47	Tennessee	3	1.9 %
48	Texas	3	1.9 %
49	Utah	3	1.9 %
50	Vermont	3	1.9 %
51	Virginia	3	1.9 %
53	Washington	3	1.9 %
54	West Virginia	3	1.9 %
55	Wisconsin	3	1.9 %
56	Wyoming	3	1.9 %
60	American Samoa	1	0.6 %
66	Guam	1	0.6 %
69	Northern Mariana Islands	1	0.6 %
72	Puerto Rico	1	0.6 %
78	Virgin Islands	1	0.6 %

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 30.36Median: 30.00Mode: 24.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 78.00

• Standard Deviation: 16.98

COUNTY

The county (or geographic area) to which the rules of the record apply

Location:

6-11 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

Value	Label
1	All Counties
2	All Counties
3	All Counties
4	All Counties

Value	Label
5	All Counties
6	All Counties
7	All Counties
8	All Counties
9	All Counties
10	All Counties
11	All Counties
12	All Counties
13	All Counties
14	All Counties
15	All Counties
16	All Counties
17	All Counties
18	All Counties
19	All Counties
20	All Counties
21	All Counties
22	All Counties
23	All Counties
24	All Counties
25	All Counties
26	All Counties
27	All Counties
28	All Counties
29	All Counties
30	All Counties
31	All Counties
32	All Counties
33	All Counties
34	All Counties
35	All Counties
36	All Counties
37	All Counties
38	All Counties
39	All Counties
40	All Counties
41	All Counties
42	All Counties
43	All Counties
44	All Counties
45	All Counties

Value	Label
46	All Counties
47	All Counties
48	All Counties
49	All Counties
50	All Counties
51	All Counties
52	All Counties
53	All Counties
54	All Counties
55	All Counties
56	All Counties
57	All Counties
58	All Counties
59	All Counties
60	All Counties
61	test2 county
62	test3 county
63	Birmingham Region
64	Huntsville Region
65	Mobile Region
66	Montgomery Region
67	Opelika Region
68	Tuscaloosa Region
69	Ft. Payne Region
70	Talladega Region
71	Dothan Region
72	Denver
73	Zone 1
74	Zone 2
75	Zone 3
76	Group 1A
77	Group 1B
78	Group 2
79	Region 1
80	Region 2
81	Region 3
82	Region 4
83	Region 5
84	Region 6
85	Region 7
86	Marion

Value	Label
87	Billings Region
88	Bozeman Region
89	Butte Region
90	Glasgow Region
91	Glendive Region
92	Great Falls Region
93	Havre Region
94	Helena Region
95	Kalispell Region
96	Lewiston Region
97	Miles City Region
98	Missoula Region
99	Out of State
100	Clark
101	Washoe
102	Carson
103	Douglas
104	Rural Counties
105	Eastern Region
106	North Central Region
107	Northwest Region
108	South Central Region
109	Southwest Region
110	District I
111	District II
112	District III
113	District IV
114	District V
115	District VI
116	Anchorage
117	Fairbanks
118	Gulf Coast
119	Interior
120	Juneau
121	Matanuska-Susitna Valley
122	Northern
123	Southeast
124	Southwest
125	Group Area A
126	Group Area B
127	Group Area C

128 Group I 129 Group II 130 Group III 131 Montgomery 132 Richmond 133 Roanoke 134 Virginia Beach 135 Fairfax 136 Lancaster and Dakota Counties 137 Douglas and Sarpy Counties 138 Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties 139 All Other Counties 140 Minnehaha County 141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region 7	129 130 131	Group II
130 Group III 131 Montgomery 132 Richmond 133 Roanoke 134 Virginia Beach 135 Fairfax 136 Lancaster and Dakota Counties 137 Douglas and Sarpy Counties 138 Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties 139 All Other Counties 140 Minnehaha County 141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	130 131	·
131 Montgomery 132 Richmond 133 Roanoke 134 Virginia Beach 135 Fairfax 136 Lancaster and Dakota Counties 137 Douglas and Sarpy Counties 138 Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties 139 All Other Counties 140 Minnehaha County 141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	131	Group III
Richmond Roanoke Virginia Beach Virginia Beach Lancaster and Dakota Counties Douglas and Sarpy Counties Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties All Other Counties Minnehaha County Baltimore City Region U Region W Region X Region Y		
133 Roanoke 134 Virginia Beach 135 Fairfax 136 Lancaster and Dakota Counties 137 Douglas and Sarpy Counties 138 Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties 139 All Other Counties 140 Minnehaha County 141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y		Montgomery
134 Virginia Beach 135 Fairfax 136 Lancaster and Dakota Counties 137 Douglas and Sarpy Counties 138 Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties 139 All Other Counties 140 Minnehaha County 141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	132	Richmond
Fairfax 136 Lancaster and Dakota Counties 137 Douglas and Sarpy Counties 138 Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties 139 All Other Counties 140 Minnehaha County 141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	133	Roanoke
136 Lancaster and Dakota Counties 137 Douglas and Sarpy Counties 138 Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties 139 All Other Counties 140 Minnehaha County 141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	134	Virginia Beach
137 Douglas and Sarpy Counties 138 Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties 139 All Other Counties 140 Minnehaha County 141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	135	Fairfax
Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties All Other Counties Minnehaha County Baltimore City Region U Region V Region W Region X Region Y	136	Lancaster and Dakota Counties
139 All Other Counties 140 Minnehaha County 141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	137	Douglas and Sarpy Counties
140 Minnehaha County 141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	138	Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties
141 Baltimore City 142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	139	All Other Counties
142 Region U 143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	140	Minnehaha County
143 Region V 144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	141	Baltimore City
144 Region W 145 Region X 146 Region Y	142	Region U
145 Region X 146 Region Y	143	Region V
146 Region Y	144	Region W
	145	Region X
147 Region 7	146	Region Y
1.09ioii 2	147	Region Z
148 Metro	148	Metro
149 Rural	149	Rural
150 Hennepin	150	Hennepin
151 St. Louis County	151	St. Louis County
152 Urban Counties	152	Urban Counties
153 Rural Counties	153	Rural Counties
154 Central Region Urban Counties	154	Central Region Urban Counties
155 Central Region Non-Urban Counties	155	Central Region Non-Urban Counties
156 East Region Urban Counties	156	East Region Urban Counties
157 East Region Non-Urban Counties	157	East Region Non-Urban Counties
158 West Region Urban Counties	158	West Region Urban Counties
159 West Region Non-Urban Counties	159	West Region Non-Urban Counties
160 Philadelphia	160	Philadelphia
161 Region 1	161	Region 1
162 Region 2	162	Region 2
163 Region 3	163	Region 3
164 Region 4	164	Region 4
165 Region 5	165	Region 5
166 Region 6	166	Region 6
167 Shelter Area I	167	Shelter Area I
168 Shelter Area II	168	Shelter Area II

Value	Label
169	Shelter Area III
170	Shelter Area IV
171	Shelter Area V
172	Shelter Area VI
173	New Castle
174	Kent
175	Sussex
176	Milwaukee
177	Top 21
178	Other 74
179	Standard Area
180	Metro Area
181	Enhanced Area
182	Miami-Dade
183	Region 1
184	Spokane County
185	Region 2
186	Region 3
187	Region 4
188	Region 5
189	Region 6
190	Cuyahoga
191	Los Angeles
192	Gulf Coast Region
193	Sedgwick
194	Mecklenburg
195	New York City
196	Top Tier
197	Lower Tier
198	Cumberland
199	Group E Counties

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 27.06Median: 27.00Mode: 21.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 60.00

• Standard Deviation: 15.41

PROGRAM

Location: 12-18 (width: 7; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Child Care Subsidy Program	22	14.1 %
7	Colorado Child Care Assistance Program (CCCAP)	3	1.9 %
8	Child Care	3	1.9 %
9	Childcare and Parent Services (CAPS)	3	1.9 %
10	Child Care Assistance Program	33	21.2 %
11	CCDF Program	4	2.6 %
12	Idaho Child Care Program (ICCP)	3	1.9 %
14	Best Beginnings Child Care Scholarship Program	3	1.9 %
18	California Alternative Payment Programs	3	1.9 %
19	Child Care Certificate Program	6	3.8 %
20	Subsidized Child Care Program	3	1.9 %
21	Child Care Program	8	5.1 %
22	Child Care Subsidy and Services	3	1.9 %
23	Purchase of Care	3	1.9 %
24	NH Child Care Scholarship	3	1.9 %
25	Subsidized Child Care	1	0.6 %
26	Child Care Assistance	15	9.6 %
27	Early Education and Care Financial Assistance	3	1.9 %
28	ABC Child Care Program	3	1.9 %
29	Child Development and Care (CDC)	3	1.9 %
30	Wisconsin Shares Child Care	3	1.9 %
31	Child Care Services	3	1.9 %
32	Working Connections Child Care (WCCC)	3	1.9 %
33	School Readiness	3	1.9 %
35	Publicly Funded Child Care	3	1.9 %
36	Child Care Financial Assistance Program	3	1.9 %
38	Child Care and Development Program	1	0.6 %
39	DHHS Child Care Subsidy	3	1.9 %
40	Child Care Works Subsidized Child Care	2	1.3 %
41	Child Care Connection Hawaii	3	1.9 %
42	Child Care Subsidy	1	0.6 %

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 18.70Median: 19.00Mode: 10.00Minimum: 6.00Maximum: 42.00

• Standard Deviation: 10.65

FAMILYGROUP The family subgroup to which the rules of the record apply

Location: 19-29 (width: 11; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
98	All	156	100.0 %

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 98.00Median: 98.00Mode: 98.00Minimum: 98.00Maximum: 98.00

Standard Deviation: 0.00

PROVIDERTYPE The provider type to which the rules of the record apply

Location: 30-41 (width: 12; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%	
99	NA	156	100.0 %	

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 99.00Median: 99.00Mode: 99.00Minimum: 99.00Maximum: 99.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.00

PROVIDERSUBTYPE The provider subtype to which the rules of the record apply

Location: 42-56 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	156	100.0 %

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00

Maximum: 0.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.00

DEGINDAL THE DEGINING ENECTIVE date of the rules of the rec	EGINDAT	The beginning effective date of the rules of the record
---	---------	---

Location: 57-66 (width: 10; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2003/10/01	1	0.6 %
2005/10/01	48	30.8 %
2007/10/01	50	32.1 %
2009/10/01	56	35.9 %
2010/11/29	1	0.6 %

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

ENDDAT The end effective date of the rules of the record

Location: 67-76 (width: 10; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2005/09/30	1	0.6 %
2007/09/30	48	30.8 %
2009/09/30	50	32.1 %
2010/11/28	1	0.6 %
2011/09/30	56	35.9 %

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

BEGINMAJORITY The beginning date for the majority record, or record that applies to the majority of the caseload in a given state and time period

Location: 77-89 (width: 13; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2003/10/01	1	0.6 %
2005/10/01	48	30.8 %
2007/10/01	50	32.1 %
2009/10/01	56	35.9 %
2010/11/29	1	0.6 %

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

ENDMAJORITY The end date for the majority record, or record that applies to the majority of the caseload in a given state and time period

Location: 90-100 (width: 11; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2005/09/30	1	0.6 %
2007/09/30	48	30.8 %
2009/09/30	50	32.1 %
2010/11/28	1	0.6 %
2011/09/30	56	35.9 %

Based upon 156 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

DEVELOPCONSULTOTHERAGENCYCARE If the state is consulting or coordinating with other agencies

Location: 101-115 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric Range of Missing Values (M): 91, 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Consultation in development of state plan	1	0.6 %
2	Coordination with service delivery	61	39.1 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	91	58.3 %
4	Neither consultation nor coordination	2	1.3 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 2.61Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Standard Deviation: 0.53

DEVELOPCONSULTPUBLICHEALTH If the state is consulting or coordinating with public health officials

Location: 116-130 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric Range of Missing Values (M): 91, 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	1	0.6 %
2	Coordination with service delivery	72	46.2 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	82	52.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 2.52Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

Standard Deviation: 0.54

DEVELOPCONSULTEMPLOYSERVICE If the state is consulting or coordinating with employment services/work force development officials

Location: 131-145 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric Range of Missing Values (M): 91, 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	1	0.6 %
2	Coordination with service delivery	97	62.2 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	57	36.5 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 2.35Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.52

DEVELOPCONSULTPUBLICED If the state is consulting or coordinating with public education officials

Location: 146-160 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric Range of Missing Values (M): 91, 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	2	1.3 %
1	Consultation in development of state plan	1	0.6 %
2	Coordination with service delivery	74	47.4 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	78	50.0 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 2.47Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.58

DEVELOPCONSULTTANF

If the state is consulting or coordinating with TANF officials

Location: 161-175 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric Range of Missing Values (M): 91, 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	2	1.3 %
2	Coordination with service delivery	70	44.9 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	81	51.9 %
4	Neither consultation nor coordination	2	1.3 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 2.52Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.60

DEVELOPCONSULTTRIBES

If the state is consulting or coordinating with Indian Tribes or Tribal Organizations

Location: 176-190 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric Range of Missing Values (M): 91, 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	8	5.1 %
1	Consultation in development of state plan	11	7.1 %
2	Coordination with service delivery	40	25.6 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	43	27.6 %
4	Neither consultation nor coordination	52	33.3 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	2	1.3 %

Based upon 154 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 2.78Median: 3.00Mode: 4.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.14

DEVELOPCONSULTLOCALGOV If the state is consulting or coordinating with local government representatives

Location: 191-205 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
Range of Missing Values (M): 91, 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	1	0.6 %
1	Consultation in development of state plan	80	51.3 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	74	47.4 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 1.95Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

· Standard Deviation: 1.01

DEVELOPCONSULTAGENCYPREK If the state is consulting or coordinating with State/Tribal agencies responsible for Pre-K

Location: 206-220 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	5	3.2 %
1	Consultation in development of state plan	4	2.6 %
2	Coordination with service delivery	60	38.5 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	61	39.1 %
4	Neither consultation nor coordination	25	16.0 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 2.63Median: 3.00

Mode: 3.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.90

DEVELOPCONSULTAGENCYHEADSTART If the state is consulting or coordinating with State/Tribal agencies responsible for Head Start

Location: 221-235 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	1	0.6 %
1	Consultation in development of state plan	6	3.8 %
2	Coordination with service delivery	59	37.8 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	85	54.5 %
4	Neither consultation nor coordination	4	2.6 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 2.55Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

Standard Deviation: 0.65

DEVELOPCONSULTAGENCYSPECIALNEED If the state is consulting or coordinating with State/Tribal agencies responsible for special needs programs

Location: 236-250 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric Range of Missing Values (M): 91, 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	1	0.6 %
1	Consultation in development of state plan	8	5.1 %
2	Coordination with service delivery	72	46.2 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	72	46.2 %
4	Neither consultation nor coordination	2	1.3 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

• Mean: 2.43

Median: 2.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

· Standard Deviation: 0.64

DEVELOPCONSULTAGENCYEMERGENCY If the is state consulting or coordinating with State/Tribal agencies responsible for emergency preparedness

Location: 251-265 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric Range of Missing Values (M): 91, 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	106	67.9 %
1	Consultation in development of state plan	5	3.2 %
2	Coordination with service delivery	13	8.3 %
3	Both consultation and coordination	11	7.1 %
4	Neither consultation nor coordination	12	7.7 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	9	5.8 %

Based upon 147 valid cases out of 156 total cases.

Mean: 0.76Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.34