

ICPSR 34390

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Policies Database, 2011

Linda Giannarelli
Urban Institute

Christin Durham
Urban Institute

Sarah Minton
Urban Institute

Codebook for Administration Data


ICPSR | INTER-UNIVERSITY
CONSORTIUM FOR
POLITICAL AND
SOCIAL RESEARCH

P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

About *Research Connections*

These data are made available by the Child Care and Early Education *Research Connections* project. *Research Connections* promotes high quality research in child care and early education and the use of that research in policymaking.

Research Connections is operated by the National Center for Children in Poverty at the Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University and the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research at the Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, through a cooperative agreement with the Office of Child Care, Office of Family Assistance and the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.



Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/34390/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE

WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

NOTE REGARDING THE FOLLOWING FREQUENCIES

The frequency counts reflect the entire period covered by the databases (not an individual year or point in time). Further, each record of information is counted equivalently, regardless of whether that record covers an entire state for a lengthy period vs. only a portion of a state's caseload for a short period of time. Thus, the frequency counts provide technical documentation of the range of variation in the database but should not be used for analytic purposes.

ICPSR 34390

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Policies Database, 2011

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Policies Database, 2011

Administration Data

STATE The state to which the rules of the record apply

Location: 1-5 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	Alabama	3	1.8 %
2	Alaska	3	1.8 %
4	Arizona	3	1.8 %
5	Arkansas	3	1.8 %
6	California	3	1.8 %
8	Colorado	3	1.8 %
9	Connecticut	3	1.8 %
10	Delaware	3	1.8 %
11	District of Columbia	1	0.6 %
12	Florida	3	1.8 %
13	Georgia	3	1.8 %
15	Hawaii	3	1.8 %
16	Idaho	3	1.8 %
17	Illinois	3	1.8 %
18	Indiana	3	1.8 %
19	Iowa	3	1.8 %
20	Kansas	3	1.8 %
21	Kentucky	3	1.8 %
22	Louisiana	3	1.8 %
23	Maine	3	1.8 %
24	Maryland	5	3.0 %
25	Massachusetts	3	1.8 %
26	Michigan	3	1.8 %
27	Minnesota	4	2.4 %
28	Mississippi	3	1.8 %
29	Missouri	3	1.8 %
30	Montana	5	3.0 %
31	Nebraska	3	1.8 %
32	Nevada	3	1.8 %
33	New Hampshire	4	2.4 %
34	New Jersey	3	1.8 %
35	New Mexico	3	1.8 %
36	New York	3	1.8 %

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
37	North Carolina	4	2.4 %
38	North Dakota	3	1.8 %
39	Ohio	3	1.8 %
40	Oklahoma	4	2.4 %
41	Oregon	3	1.8 %
42	Pennsylvania	2	1.2 %
44	Rhode Island	3	1.8 %
45	South Carolina	3	1.8 %
46	South Dakota	3	1.8 %
47	Tennessee	3	1.8 %
48	Texas	3	1.8 %
49	Utah	5	3.0 %
50	Vermont	3	1.8 %
51	Virginia	3	1.8 %
53	Washington	3	1.8 %
54	West Virginia	4	2.4 %
55	Wisconsin	3	1.8 %
56	Wyoming	3	1.8 %
60	American Samoa	1	0.6 %
66	Guam	1	0.6 %
69	Northern Mariana Islands	1	0.6 %
72	Puerto Rico	1	0.6 %
78	Virgin Islands	1	0.6 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 30.78
- Median: 30.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 78.00
- Standard Deviation: 16.72

COUNTY	The county (or geographic area) to which the rules of the record apply
---------------	---

Location: 6-11 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>
1	All Counties
2	All Counties
3	All Counties
4	All Counties
5	All Counties

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>
6	All Counties
7	All Counties
8	All Counties
9	All Counties
10	All Counties
11	All Counties
12	All Counties
13	All Counties
14	All Counties
15	All Counties
16	All Counties
17	All Counties
18	All Counties
19	All Counties
20	All Counties
21	All Counties
22	All Counties
23	All Counties
24	All Counties
25	All Counties
26	All Counties
27	All Counties
28	All Counties
29	All Counties
30	All Counties
31	All Counties
32	All Counties
33	All Counties
34	All Counties
35	All Counties
36	All Counties
37	All Counties
38	All Counties
39	All Counties
40	All Counties
41	All Counties
42	All Counties
43	All Counties
44	All Counties
45	All Counties
46	All Counties

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>
47	All Counties
48	All Counties
49	All Counties
50	All Counties
51	All Counties
52	All Counties
53	All Counties
54	All Counties
55	All Counties
56	All Counties
57	All Counties
58	All Counties
59	All Counties
60	All Counties
61	test2 county
62	test3 county
63	Birmingham Region
64	Huntsville Region
65	Mobile Region
66	Montgomery Region
67	Opelika Region
68	Tuscaloosa Region
69	Ft. Payne Region
70	Talladega Region
71	Dothan Region
72	Denver
73	Zone 1
74	Zone 2
75	Zone 3
76	Group 1A
77	Group 1B
78	Group 2
79	Region 1
80	Region 2
81	Region 3
82	Region 4
83	Region 5
84	Region 6
85	Region 7
86	Marion
87	Billings Region

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>
88	Bozeman Region
89	Butte Region
90	Glasgow Region
91	Glendive Region
92	Great Falls Region
93	Havre Region
94	Helena Region
95	Kalispell Region
96	Lewiston Region
97	Miles City Region
98	Missoula Region
99	Out of State
100	Clark
101	Washoe
102	Carson
103	Douglas
104	Rural Counties
105	Eastern Region
106	North Central Region
107	Northwest Region
108	South Central Region
109	Southwest Region
110	District I
111	District II
112	District III
113	District IV
114	District V
115	District VI
116	Anchorage
117	Fairbanks
118	Gulf Coast
119	Interior
120	Juneau
121	Matanuska-Susitna Valley
122	Northern
123	Southeast
124	Southwest
125	Group Area A
126	Group Area B
127	Group Area C
128	Group I

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>
129	Group II
130	Group III
131	Montgomery
132	Richmond
133	Roanoke
134	Virginia Beach
135	Fairfax
136	Lancaster and Dakota Counties
137	Douglas and Sarpy Counties
138	Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties
139	All Other Counties
140	Minnehaha County
141	Baltimore City
142	Region U
143	Region V
144	Region W
145	Region X
146	Region Y
147	Region Z
148	Metro
149	Rural
150	Hennepin
151	St. Louis County
152	Urban Counties
153	Rural Counties
154	Central Region Urban Counties
155	Central Region Non-Urban Counties
156	East Region Urban Counties
157	East Region Non-Urban Counties
158	West Region Urban Counties
159	West Region Non-Urban Counties
160	Philadelphia
161	Region 1
162	Region 2
163	Region 3
164	Region 4
165	Region 5
166	Region 6
167	Shelter Area I
168	Shelter Area II
169	Shelter Area III

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>
170	Shelter Area IV
171	Shelter Area V
172	Shelter Area VI
173	New Castle
174	Kent
175	Sussex
176	Milwaukee
177	Top 21
178	Other 74
179	Standard Area
180	Metro Area
181	Enhanced Area
182	Miami-Dade
183	Region 1
184	Spokane County
185	Region 2
186	Region 3
187	Region 4
188	Region 5
189	Region 6
190	Cuyahoga
191	Los Angeles
192	Gulf Coast Region
193	Sedgwick
194	Mecklenburg
195	New York City
196	Top Tier
197	Lower Tier
198	Cumberland
199	Group E Counties

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 27.48
- Median: 27.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 60.00
- Standard Deviation: 15.19

PROGRAM

The program to which the rules of the record apply

Location:

12-18 (width: 7; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
6	Child Care Subsidy Program	23	13.9 %
7	Colorado Child Care Assistance Program (CCCAP)	3	1.8 %
8	Child Care	4	2.4 %
9	Childcare and Parent Services (CAPS)	3	1.8 %
10	Child Care Assistance Program	34	20.5 %
11	CCDF Program	4	2.4 %
12	Idaho Child Care Program (ICCP)	3	1.8 %
14	Best Beginnings Child Care Scholarship Program	5	3.0 %
18	California Alternative Payment Programs	3	1.8 %
19	Child Care Certificate Program	6	3.6 %
20	Subsidized Child Care Program	4	2.4 %
21	Child Care Program	8	4.8 %
22	Child Care Subsidy and Services	3	1.8 %
23	Purchase of Care	4	2.4 %
24	NH Child Care Scholarship	4	2.4 %
25	Subsidized Child Care	1	0.6 %
26	Child Care Assistance	17	10.2 %
27	Early Education and Care Financial Assistance	3	1.8 %
28	ABC Child Care Program	3	1.8 %
29	Child Development and Care (CDC)	3	1.8 %
30	Wisconsin Shares Child Care	3	1.8 %
31	Child Care Services	3	1.8 %
32	Working Connections Child Care (WCCC)	3	1.8 %
33	School Readiness	3	1.8 %
35	Publicly Funded Child Care	3	1.8 %
36	Child Care Financial Assistance Program	3	1.8 %
38	Child Care and Development Program	1	0.6 %
39	DHHS Child Care Subsidy	3	1.8 %
40	Child Care Works Subsidized Child Care	2	1.2 %
41	Child Care Connection Hawaii	3	1.8 %
42	Child Care Subsidy	1	0.6 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 18.60
- Median: 19.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 42.00
- Standard Deviation: 10.49

- Study 34390 -

FAMILYGROUP The family subgroup to which the rules of the record apply

Location: 19-29 (width: 11; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
98	All	166	100.0 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 98.00
- Median: 98.00
- Mode: 98.00
- Minimum: 98.00
- Maximum: 98.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

PROVIDERTYPE The provider type to which the rules of the record apply

Location: 30-41 (width: 12; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
99	NA	166	100.0 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 99.00
- Median: 99.00
- Mode: 99.00
- Minimum: 99.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

PROVIDERSUBTYPE The provider subtype to which the rules of the record apply

Location: 42-56 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NA	166	100.0 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00

- Study 34390 -

- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

BEGINDAT **The beginning effective date of the rules of the record**

Location: 57-66 (width: 10; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
2003/10/01	1	0.6 %
2005/10/01	48	28.9 %
2006/01/30	1	0.6 %
2006/07/01	3	1.8 %
2006/08/01	1	0.6 %
2006/10/01	1	0.6 %
2007/07/01	1	0.6 %
2007/10/01	50	30.1 %
2007/11/01	1	0.6 %
2008/01/01	1	0.6 %
2008/05/01	1	0.6 %
2009/10/01	56	33.7 %
2010/11/29	1	0.6 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

ENDDAT **The end effective date of the rules of the record**

Location: 67-76 (width: 10; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
2005/09/30	1	0.6 %
2006/01/29	1	0.6 %
2006/06/30	3	1.8 %
2006/07/31	1	0.6 %
2006/09/30	1	0.6 %
2007/06/30	1	0.6 %
2007/09/30	48	28.9 %
2007/10/31	1	0.6 %
2007/12/31	1	0.6 %
2008/04/30	1	0.6 %
2009/09/30	50	30.1 %
2010/11/28	1	0.6 %
2011/09/30	56	33.7 %

- Study 34390 -

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

BEGINMAJORITY	The beginning date for the majority record, or record that applies to the majority of the caseload in a given state and time period
----------------------	--

Location: 77-89 (width: 13; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
2003/10/01	1	0.6 %
2005/10/01	48	28.9 %
2006/01/30	1	0.6 %
2006/07/01	3	1.8 %
2006/08/01	1	0.6 %
2006/10/01	1	0.6 %
2007/07/01	1	0.6 %
2007/10/01	50	30.1 %
2007/11/01	1	0.6 %
2008/01/01	1	0.6 %
2008/05/01	1	0.6 %
2009/10/01	56	33.7 %
2010/11/29	1	0.6 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

ENDMAJORITY	The end date for the majority record, or record that applies to the majority of the caseload in a given state and time period
--------------------	--

Location: 90-100 (width: 11; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
2005/09/30	1	0.6 %
2006/01/29	1	0.6 %
2006/06/30	3	1.8 %
2006/07/31	1	0.6 %
2006/09/30	1	0.6 %
2007/06/30	1	0.6 %
2007/09/30	48	28.9 %
2007/10/31	1	0.6 %
2007/12/31	1	0.6 %
2008/04/30	1	0.6 %
2009/09/30	50	30.1 %
2010/11/28	1	0.6 %
2011/09/30	56	33.7 %

- Study 34390 -

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

ADMINLEADAGENCY The Lead Agency in administering the child care subsidy program

Location: 101-197 (width: 97; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
Administration for Integral Child Care and Development	1	0.6 %
Agency For Workforce Innovation Office of Early Learning	1	0.6 %
Agency for Human Services, Department for Children and Families	1	0.6 %
Agency for Workforce Innovation: Office of Early Learning	1	0.6 %
Agency for Workplace Information Office of Early Learning	1	0.6 %
Agency of Human Services, Department for Children and Families	2	1.2 %
Alabama Department of Human Resources	3	1.8 %
Alaska Department of Health and Social Services	3	1.8 %
Arizona Department of Economic Security	2	1.2 %
Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES)	1	0.6 %
Arkansas Department of Health and Human Services	1	0.6 %
Arkansas Department of Human Services	1	0.6 %
Arkansas Department of Human Services (DHS)	1	0.6 %
Cabinet for Health and Family Services, Department for Community Based Services	1	0.6 %
California Department of Education	3	1.8 %
Colorado Department of Human Services	3	1.8 %
Connecticut Department of Social Services	2	1.2 %
Delaware Health and Social Services	1	0.6 %
Delaware Health and Social Services Division of Social Services	2	1.2 %
Department for Community Based Services Cabinet for Health and Family Services	2	1.2 %
Department of Community and Cultural Affairs	1	0.6 %
Department of Early Education and Care	2	1.2 %
Department of Early Learning	2	1.2 %
Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Welfare and Supportive Services	1	0.6 %
Department of Human Resources	1	0.6 %
Department of Human Resources Division of Welfare and Supportive Services	1	0.6 %
Department of Human Resources Welfare Division	1	0.6 %
Department of Human Services	5	3.0 %
Department of Human Services, Division of Family Development	1	0.6 %
Department of Human and Social Services	1	0.6 %
Department of Public Health and Social Services	1	0.6 %

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
Department of Public Welfare	2	1.2 %
Department of Social Services	5	3.0 %
Department of Social Services, Division of Child Care Services	3	1.8 %
Department of Social services	1	0.6 %
Department of Workforce Services	5	3.0 %
Employment Department, Child Care Division	1	0.6 %
Georgia Department of Human Resources	2	1.2 %
Idaho Department of Health and Welfare	3	1.8 %
Illinois Department of Human Services	3	1.8 %
Indiana Family and Social Services Administration Division of Family Resources	1	0.6 %
Indiana Family and Social Services Administration, Division of Family Resources	2	1.2 %
Iowa Department of Human Services	3	1.8 %
Kansas Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services	3	1.8 %
Maine Department of Health and Human Services	3	1.8 %
Maryland State Department of Education	4	2.4 %
Michigan Department of Human Services	1	0.6 %
Minnesota Department of Human Services	4	2.4 %
Mississippi Department of Human Services	3	1.8 %
Montana Department of Health and Human Services	1	0.6 %
Montana Department of Public Health & Human Services	1	0.6 %
Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services	3	1.8 %
Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services	3	1.8 %
New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services, Division for Children, Youth and Families	1	0.6 %
New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services, Division for Children, Youth, and Families	1	0.6 %
New Hampshire Dept. of Health and Human Services, Division for Children, Youth and Families	2	1.2 %
New Jersey Department of Human Services	1	0.6 %
New Mexico Children Youth and Families Department	1	0.6 %
New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department	1	0.6 %
North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services	4	2.4 %
North Dakota Department of Human Services	3	1.8 %
Office of Children and Family Services	3	1.8 %
Office of the State Superintendent of Education	1	0.6 %
Ohio Department of Job and Family Services	2	1.2 %
Ohio Department of Jobs and Family Services	1	0.6 %
Oklahoma Department of Human Services	4	2.4 %
Oregon Employment Department	1	0.6 %

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
Oregon Employment Department Child Care Division	1	0.6 %
Rhode Island Department of Human Services	2	1.2 %
South Carolina Department of Social Services	3	1.8 %
State of Connecticut Department of Social Services	1	0.6 %
State of Hawaii Department of Human Services	2	1.2 %
State of Hawaii, Department of Human Services	1	0.6 %
State of New Mexico, Children, Youth and Families Department	1	0.6 %
Tennessee Department of Human Services	3	1.8 %
Texas Workforce Commission	3	1.8 %
The Department of Human Services, Division of Family Development	1	0.6 %
The Office of Child Care Services	1	0.6 %
Virginia Department of Social Services	3	1.8 %
Washington State Department of Social and Health Services	1	0.6 %
West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources	2	1.2 %
West Virginia Department of Health and Human Services	2	1.2 %
Wisconsin Department of Children and Families	1	0.6 %
Wisconsin Department of Children and Family Services	1	0.6 %
Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development	1	0.6 %
Wyoming Department of Family Services	3	1.8 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

ADMINSTATECHILDCAREWEBSITE The web site where additional child care information can be found for a given state

Location: 198-286 (width: 89; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

ADMINPROGRAM If the lead agency directly administers all services and programs funded under the CCDF Act

Location: 287-298 (width: 12; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	Yes	14	8.4 %
2	No	151	91.0 %
91 (M)	Not enough information to code accurately	1	0.6 %

Based upon 165 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.92
- Median: 2.00

- Study 34390 -

- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.28

ADMINFUNDSFEDTANFTRANSFER If the state expects to transfer federal TANF funds to CCDF

Location: 299-313 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	Yes	112	67.5 %
2	No	53	31.9 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 165 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.32
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

ADMINFUNDSFEDTANFSPENDING If the state expects to use direct federal TANF funds on child care

Location: 314-328 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NA	1	0.6 %
1	Yes	76	45.8 %
2	No	88	53.0 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 165 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.53
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.51

ADMINFUNDSPRIVATEDONATE If the lead agency uses private funds to meet part of the CCDF matching requirement.

- Study 34390 -

Location: 329-343 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	Yes	33	19.9 %
2	No	133	80.1 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.80
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

ADMINPREKMOE **If state expenditures for Pre-K programs will be used to meet any of the CCDF maintenance of effort requirement.**

Location: 344-355 (width: 12; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	Yes	55	33.1 %
2	No	111	66.9 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.67
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.47

ADMINPREKMATCHING **If state expenditures for Pre-K programs will be used to meet any of the CCDF matching fund requirement.**

Location: 356-370 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	Yes	68	41.0 %
2	No	98	59.0 %

- Study 34390 -

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.59
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.49

ADMINIMPROPERPAY If the state has implemented strategies to prevent, measure, identify, reduce, or collect improper payments.

Location: 371-385 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
1	Yes	164	98.8 %
2	No	1	0.6 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 165 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.01
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.08

ADMINMARKETRATESURVEYDATE The month and year when the local market rate survey was completed

Location: 386-410 (width: 25; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
April 2004	1	0.6 %
April 2005	3	1.8 %
April 2006	1	0.6 %
April 2007	3	1.8 %
April 2008	2	1.2 %
April 2009	5	3.0 %
April and May 2005	1	0.6 %
August 2005	1	0.6 %
August 2006	1	0.6 %

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
August 2007	3	1.8 %
August 2008	2	1.2 %
August-September 2004	1	0.6 %
December 2002	1	0.6 %
December 2004	3	1.8 %
December 2006	4	2.4 %
December 2008	3	1.8 %
February 2005	6	3.6 %
February 2006	2	1.2 %
February 2007	1	0.6 %
February 2008	1	0.6 %
February 2009	2	1.2 %
February- June 2004	1	0.6 %
January 2005	1	0.6 %
January 2006	1	0.6 %
January 2007	3	1.8 %
January 2008	1	0.6 %
January 2009	3	1.8 %
January and February 2005	1	0.6 %
July 2004	3	1.8 %
July 2005	1	0.6 %
July 2006	1	0.6 %
July 2008	1	0.6 %
June 2003	1	0.6 %
June 2004	3	1.8 %
June 2005	3	1.8 %
June 2006	4	2.4 %
June 2007	7	4.2 %
June 2008	2	1.2 %
June 2009	2	1.2 %
March 2003	1	0.6 %
March 2005	6	3.6 %
March 2006	1	0.6 %
March 2007	4	2.4 %
March 2008	2	1.2 %
March 2009	2	1.2 %
March-April 2009	1	0.6 %
May 2004	2	1.2 %
May 2005	5	3.0 %
May 2007	7	4.2 %

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
May 2009	11	6.6 %
NA	1	0.6 %
November 2004	1	0.6 %
November 2005	1	0.6 %
November 2006	4	2.4 %
November 2007	1	0.6 %
November 2008	4	2.4 %
October 2004	1	0.6 %
October 2006	2	1.2 %
October 2007	2	1.2 %
October 2008	3	1.8 %
September 2004	5	3.0 %
September 2005	2	1.2 %
September 2006	4	2.4 %
September 2007	3	1.8 %
September 2008	4	2.4 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

ADMINCURRENTMARKETRATESURVEY If the lead agency uses the current market rate survey to set payment rates

Location: 411-425 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NA	56	33.7 %
1	Yes	45	27.1 %
2	No	65	39.2 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.05
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.85

ADMINCHILDCAREGRANTSCONTRACTS If the lead agency has grants or contracts for child care slots

Location: 426-440 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

- Study 34390 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NA	1	0.6 %
1	Yes	61	36.7 %
2	No	104	62.7 %

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.62
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

ADMINCHILDCAREINHOMELIMIT If the lead agency limits the use of in-home care in any way

Location: 441-455 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NA	1	0.6 %
1	Yes	110	66.3 %
2	No	54	32.5 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	0.6 %

Based upon 165 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.32
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

ADMINCHILDCARESTATEWIDE If child care services provided through certificates, grants, and/or contracts are offered throughout the state

Location: 456-470 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
0	NA	1	0.6 %
1	Yes	157	94.6 %
2	No	8	4.8 %

- Study 34390 -

Based upon 166 valid cases out of 166 total cases.

- Mean: 1.04
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.23