ICPSR 34390

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Policies Database, 2011

Linda Giannarelli
Urban Institute

Christin Durham
Urban Institute

Sarah Minton
Urban Institute

Codebook for Definition of Family Data

About *Research Connections*

These data are made available by the Child Care and Early Education *Research Connections* project. *Research Connections* promotes high quality research in child care and early education and the use of that research in policymaking.

Research Connections is operated by the National Center for Children in Poverty at the Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University and the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research at the Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, through a cooperative agreement with the Office of Child Care, Office of Family Assistance and the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/34390/terms

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTE REGARDING THE FOLLOWING FREQUENCIES

The frequency counts reflect the entire period covered by the databases (not an individual year or point in time). Further, each record of information is counted equivalently, regardless of whether that record covers an entire state for a lengthy period vs. only a portion of a state's caseload for a short period of time. Thus, the frequency counts provide technical documentation of the range of variation in the database but should not be used for analytic purposes.

ICPSR 34390

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Policies Database, 2011

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Policies Database, 2011

Definition of Family Data

STATE	The state to which the rules of the record apply		

Location: 1-5 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Alabama	1	1.1 %
2	Alaska	2	2.3 %
4	Arizona	1	1.1 %
5	Arkansas	1	1.1 %
6	California	1	1.1 %
8	Colorado	3	3.4 %
9	Connecticut	1	1.1 %
10	Delaware	1	1.1 %
11	District of Columbia	3	3.4 %
12	Florida	1	1.1 %
13	Georgia	1	1.1 %
15	Hawaii	2	2.3 %
16	Idaho	2	2.3 %
17	Illinois	1	1.1 %
18	Indiana	3	3.4 %
19	Iowa	1	1.1 %
20	Kansas	1	1.1 %
21	Kentucky	4	4.6 %
22	Louisiana	1	1.1 %
23	Maine	2	2.3 %
24	Maryland	3	3.4 %
25	Massachusetts	2	2.3 %
26	Michigan	1	1.1 %
27	Minnesota	1	1.1 %
28	Mississippi	2	2.3 %
29	Missouri	2	2.3 %
30	Montana	1	1.1 %
31	Nebraska	1	1.1 %
32	Nevada	3	3.4 %
33	New Hampshire	1	1.1 %
34	New Jersey	1	1.1 %
35	New Mexico	2	2.3 %
36	New York	2	2.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
37	North Carolina	1	1.1 %
38	North Dakota	1	1.1 %
39	Ohio	1	1.1 %
40	Oklahoma	2	2.3 %
41	Oregon	1	1.1 %
42	Pennsylvania	1	1.1 %
44	Rhode Island	1	1.1 %
45	South Carolina	1	1.1 %
46	South Dakota	2	2.3 %
47	Tennessee	2	2.3 %
48	Texas	2	2.3 %
49	Utah	2	2.3 %
50	Vermont	2	2.3 %
51	Virginia	1	1.1 %
53	Washington	2	2.3 %
54	West Virginia	1	1.1 %
55	Wisconsin	2	2.3 %
56	Wyoming	1	1.1 %
60	American Samoa	1	1.1 %
66	Guam	1	1.1 %
69	Northern Mariana Islands	1	1.1 %
72	Puerto Rico	1	1.1 %
78	Virgin Islands	2	2.3 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 31.56
- Median: 29.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 78.00
- Standard Deviation: 18.36

COUNTY	The county (or geographic area) to which the rules of the record apply

Location: 6-11 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label
1	All Counties
2	All Counties
3	All Counties
4	All Counties

Value	Label
5	All Counties
6	All Counties
7	All Counties
8	All Counties
9	All Counties
10	All Counties
11	All Counties
12	All Counties
13	All Counties
14	All Counties
15	All Counties
16	All Counties
17	All Counties
18	All Counties
19	All Counties
20	All Counties
21	All Counties
22	All Counties
23	All Counties
24	All Counties
25	All Counties
26	All Counties
27	All Counties
28	All Counties
29	All Counties
30	All Counties
31	All Counties
32	All Counties
33	All Counties
34	All Counties
35	All Counties
36	All Counties
37	All Counties
38	All Counties
39	All Counties
40	All Counties
41	All Counties
42	All Counties
43	All Counties
44	All Counties
45	All Counties

Value	Label
46	All Counties
47	All Counties
48	All Counties
49	All Counties
50	All Counties
51	All Counties
52	All Counties
53	All Counties
54	All Counties
55	All Counties
56	All Counties
57	All Counties
58	All Counties
59	All Counties
60	All Counties
61	test2 county
62	test3 county
63	Birmingham Region
64	Huntsville Region
65	Mobile Region
66	Montgomery Region
67	Opelika Region
68	Tuscaloosa Region
69	Ft. Payne Region
70	Talladega Region
71	Dothan Region
72	Denver
73	Zone 1
74	Zone 2
75	Zone 3
76	Group 1A
77	Group 1B
78	Group 2
79	Region 1
80	Region 2
81	Region 3
82	Region 4
83	Region 5
84	Region 6
85	Region 7
86	Marion

- Study 34390 -

Value	Label
87	Billings Region
88	Bozeman Region
89	Butte Region
90	Glasgow Region
91	Glendive Region
92	Great Falls Region
93	Havre Region
94	Helena Region
95	Kalispell Region
96	Lewiston Region
97	Miles City Region
98	Missoula Region
99	Out of State
100	Clark
101	Washoe
102	Carson
103	Douglas
104	Rural Counties
105	Eastern Region
106	North Central Region
107	Northwest Region
108	South Central Region
109	Southwest Region
110	District I
111	District II
112	District III
113	District IV
114	District V
115	District VI
116	Anchorage
117	Fairbanks
118	Gulf Coast
119	Interior
120	Juneau
121	Matanuska-Susitna Valley
122	Northern
123	Southeast
124	Southwest
125	Group Area A
126	Group Area B
127	Group Area C

Value	Label
128	Group I
129	Group II
130	Group III
131	Montgomery
132	Richmond
133	Roanoke
134	Virginia Beach
135	Fairfax
136	Lancaster and Dakota Counties
137	Douglas and Sarpy Counties
138	Lancaster, Dakota, Douglas, and Sarpy Counties
139	All Other Counties
140	Minnehaha County
141	Baltimore City
142	Region U
143	Region V
144	Region W
145	Region X
146	Region Y
147	Region Z
148	Metro
149	Rural
150	Hennepin
151	St. Louis County
152	Urban Counties
153	Rural Counties
154	Central Region Urban Counties
155	Central Region Non-Urban Counties
156	East Region Urban Counties
157	East Region Non-Urban Counties
158	West Region Urban Counties
159	West Region Non-Urban Counties
160	Philadelphia
161	Region 1
162	Region 2
163	Region 3
164	Region 4
165	Region 5
166	Region 6
167	Shelter Area I
168	Shelter Area II

Value	Label
169	Shelter Area III
170	Shelter Area IV
171	Shelter Area V
172	Shelter Area VI
173	New Castle
174	Kent
175	Sussex
176	Milwaukee
177	Top 21
178	Other 74
179	Standard Area
180	Metro Area
181	Enhanced Area
182	Miami-Dade
183	Region 1
184	Spokane County
185	Region 2
186	Region 3
187	Region 4
188	Region 5
189	Region 6
190	Cuyahoga
191	Los Angeles
192	Gulf Coast Region
193	Sedgwick
194	Mecklenburg
195	New York City
196	Top Tier
197	Lower Tier
198	Cumberland
199	Group E Counties

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 31.38
- Median: 26.00
- Mode: 18.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 195.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.68

PROGRAM   **The program to which the rules of the record apply**

- Study 34390 -

Location: 12-18 (width: 7; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Child Care Subsidy Program	13	14.9 %
7	Colorado Child Care Assistance Program (CCCAP)	3	3.4 %
8	Child Care	1	1.1 %
9	Childcare and Parent Services (CAPS)	1	1.1 %
10	Child Care Assistance Program	16	18.4 %
11	CCDF Program	4	4.6 %
12	Idaho Child Care Program (ICCP)	2	2.3 %
14	Best Beginnings Child Care Scholarship Program	1	1.1 %
18	California Alternative Payment Programs	1	1.1 %
19	Child Care Certificate Program	4	4.6 %
20	Subsidized Child Care Program	1	1.1 %
21	Child Care Program	4	4.6 %
22	Child Care Subsidy and Services	1	1.1 %
23	Purchase of Care	2	2.3 %
24	NH Child Care Scholarship	1	1.1 %
25	Subsidized Child Care	3	3.4 %
26	Child Care Assistance	8	9.2 %
27	Early Education and Care Financial Assistance	2	2.3 %
28	ABC Child Care Program	1	1.1 %
29	Child Development and Care (CDC)	1	1.1 %
30	Wisconsin Shares Child Care	2	2.3 %
31	Child Care Services	1	1.1 %
32	Working Connections Child Care (WCCC)	2	2.3 %
33	School Readiness	1	1.1 %
34	Workforce Solutions Child Care	1	1.1 %
35	Publicly Funded Child Care	1	1.1 %
36	Child Care Financial Assistance Program	2	2.3 %
38	Child Care and Development Program	1	1.1 %
39	DHHS Child Care Subsidy	2	2.3 %
40	Child Care Works Subsidized Child Care	1	1.1 %
41	Child Care Connection Hawaii	2	2.3 %
42	Child Care Subsidy	1	1.1 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 18.98
- Median: 19.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 6.00

- Maximum: 42.00
- Standard Deviation: 11.05

| FAMILYGROUP | The family subgroup to which the rules of the record apply | | |

Location: 19-29 (width: 11; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
98	All	87	100.0 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 98.00
- Median: 98.00
- Mode: 98.00
- Minimum: 98.00
- Maximum: 98.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

| PROVIDERTYPE | The provider type to which the rules of the record apply | | |

Location: 30-41 (width: 12; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	NA	87	100.0 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 99.00
- Median: 99.00
- Mode: 99.00
- Minimum: 99.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

| PROVIDERSUBTYPE | The provider subtype to which the rules of the record apply | | |

Location: 42-56 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	87	100.0 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 0.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

BEGINDAT	The beginning effective date of the rules of the record

Location: 57-66 (width: 10; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2002/04/05	1	1.1 %
2003/12/01	1	1.1 %
2004/05/01	1	1.1 %
2005/04/01	1	1.1 %
2005/12/01	1	1.1 %
2006/07/01	1	1.1 %
2006/09/01	1	1.1 %
2006/10/01	1	1.1 %
2006/11/07	1	1.1 %
2007/01/01	2	2.3 %
2007/01/14	1	1.1 %
2007/01/29	1	1.1 %
2007/03/01	1	1.1 %
2007/04/01	2	2.3 %
2007/05/01	1	1.1 %
2007/05/16	1	1.1 %
2007/06/01	2	2.3 %
2007/07/01	4	4.6 %
2007/07/16	1	1.1 %
2007/07/18	1	1.1 %
2007/07/20	1	1.1 %
2007/08/01	1	1.1 %
2007/08/20	1	1.1 %
2007/09/01	2	2.3 %
2007/10/01	7	8.0 %
2007/10/31	1	1.1 %
2007/11/01	1	1.1 %
2007/12/03	1	1.1 %
2008/03/01	1	1.1 %
2008/03/08	1	1.1 %
2008/05/01	4	4.6 %

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2008/05/05	1	1.1 %
2008/05/16	1	1.1 %
2008/05/23	1	1.1 %
2008/06/01	1	1.1 %
2008/07/01	2	2.3 %
2008/09/01	2	2.3 %
2008/10/29	1	1.1 %
2009/01/01	1	1.1 %
2009/02/09	1	1.1 %
2009/04/01	2	2.3 %
2009/05/01	1	1.1 %
2009/06/01	1	1.1 %
2009/08/01	1	1.1 %
2009/09/01	1	1.1 %
2009/10/01	7	8.0 %
2009/10/14	1	1.1 %
2009/12/06	1	1.1 %
2010/01/04	1	1.1 %
2010/06/30	1	1.1 %
2010/07/01	1	1.1 %
2010/08/28	1	1.1 %
2010/10/01	5	5.7 %
2010/11/01	1	1.1 %
2010/11/29	1	1.1 %
2011/05/01	1	1.1 %
2011/06/01	1	1.1 %
2011/10/01	1	1.1 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

ENDDAT	The end effective date of the rules of the record

Location: 67-76 (width: 10; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2007/10/30	1	1.1 %
2007/12/02	1	1.1 %
2008/04/30	1	1.1 %
2008/05/04	1	1.1 %
2008/05/15	1	1.1 %

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2008/05/31	1	1.1 %
2009/03/31	2	2.3 %
2009/04/30	1	1.1 %
2009/05/31	1	1.1 %
2009/08/31	1	1.1 %
2009/09/30	2	2.3 %
2009/10/13	1	1.1 %
2009/12/05	1	1.1 %
2010/01/03	1	1.1 %
2010/06/29	1	1.1 %
2010/06/30	1	1.1 %
2010/08/27	1	1.1 %
2010/09/30	5	5.7 %
2010/10/31	1	1.1 %
2010/11/28	1	1.1 %
2011/04/30	1	1.1 %
2011/05/31	1	1.1 %
2011/09/30	1	1.1 %
2011/10/31	2	2.3 %
2012/01/31	1	1.1 %
2012/06/30	1	1.1 %
9999/12/31	54	62.1 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

BEGINMAJORITY	**The beginning date for the majority record, or record that applies to the majority of the caseload in a given state and time period**

Location: 77-89 (width: 13; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2002/04/05	1	1.1 %
2003/12/01	1	1.1 %
2004/05/01	1	1.1 %
2005/04/01	1	1.1 %
2005/12/01	1	1.1 %
2006/07/01	1	1.1 %
2006/09/01	1	1.1 %
2006/10/01	1	1.1 %
2006/11/07	1	1.1 %
2007/01/01	2	2.3 %

- Study 34390 -

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2007/01/14	1	1.1 %
2007/01/29	1	1.1 %
2007/03/01	1	1.1 %
2007/04/01	2	2.3 %
2007/05/01	1	1.1 %
2007/06/01	2	2.3 %
2007/07/01	4	4.6 %
2007/07/16	1	1.1 %
2007/07/18	1	1.1 %
2007/07/20	1	1.1 %
2007/08/01	1	1.1 %
2007/08/20	1	1.1 %
2007/09/01	2	2.3 %
2007/10/01	7	8.0 %
2007/10/31	1	1.1 %
2007/11/01	1	1.1 %
2007/12/03	1	1.1 %
2008/03/01	1	1.1 %
2008/03/08	1	1.1 %
2008/05/01	4	4.6 %
2008/05/05	1	1.1 %
2008/05/16	1	1.1 %
2008/05/23	1	1.1 %
2008/06/01	1	1.1 %
2008/07/01	2	2.3 %
2008/09/01	1	1.1 %
2008/10/29	1	1.1 %
2009/01/01	1	1.1 %
2009/02/09	1	1.1 %
2009/04/01	2	2.3 %
2009/05/01	1	1.1 %
2009/06/01	1	1.1 %
2009/08/01	1	1.1 %
2009/09/01	1	1.1 %
2009/10/01	7	8.0 %
2009/10/14	1	1.1 %
2009/12/06	1	1.1 %
2010/01/04	1	1.1 %
2010/06/30	1	1.1 %
2010/07/01	1	1.1 %

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2010/08/28	1	1.1 %
2010/10/01	5	5.7 %
2010/11/01	1	1.1 %
2010/11/29	1	1.1 %
2011/05/01	1	1.1 %
2011/06/01	1	1.1 %
2011/10/01	1	1.1 %
8888/88/88	2	2.3 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

ENDMAJORITY	**The end date for the majority record, or record that applies to the majority of the caseload in a given state and time period**

Location: 90-100 (width: 11; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2007/10/30	1	1.1 %
2007/12/02	1	1.1 %
2008/04/30	1	1.1 %
2008/05/04	1	1.1 %
2008/05/15	1	1.1 %
2008/05/31	1	1.1 %
2009/03/31	2	2.3 %
2009/04/30	1	1.1 %
2009/05/31	1	1.1 %
2009/08/31	1	1.1 %
2009/09/30	2	2.3 %
2009/10/13	1	1.1 %
2009/12/05	1	1.1 %
2010/01/03	1	1.1 %
2010/06/29	1	1.1 %
2010/06/30	1	1.1 %
2010/08/27	1	1.1 %
2010/09/30	5	5.7 %
2010/10/31	1	1.1 %
2010/11/28	1	1.1 %
2011/04/30	1	1.1 %
2011/05/31	1	1.1 %
2011/09/30	1	1.1 %
2011/10/31	2	2.3 %

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
2012/01/31	1	1.1 %
2012/06/30	1	1.1 %
8888/88/88	2	2.3 %
9999/12/31	52	59.8 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

FAMTEENPARENTDEF	**Maximum age a person could be considered a minor/teen parent**

Location:	101-115 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Range of Missing Values (M):	-5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17	-	41	47.1 %
18	-	6	6.9 %
19	-	24	27.6 %
20	-	5	5.7 %
21	-	3	3.4 %
22	-	1	1.1 %
25	-	3	3.4 %
-5 (M)	Not in manual	4	4.6 %

Based upon 83 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 18.33
- Median: 18.00
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: 17.00
- Maximum: 25.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.80

FAMTEENPARENTSIBSNOTCCDF	**Who in the household must be included in the unit when a minor has a child receiving the subsidy and none of the minor's siblings are receiving CCDF funding**

Location:	116-130 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Range of Missing Values (M):	91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Only minor parent and child(ren) included	50	57.5 %
2	Parents/caretakers of the minor parent included	1	1.1 %
3	Parents/caretakers and minor siblings of the minor parent included	16	18.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	Varies	16	18.4 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	4	4.6 %

Based upon 83 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 20.29
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 38.71

FAMTEENPARENTSIBSCCDF	Who in the household must be included in the unit when a minor has a child receiving the subsidy and one or more of the minor's siblings are receiving CCDF funding

Location:	131-145 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Range of Missing Values (M):	91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Minor and child form one unit, and parent and siblings form another	46	52.9 %
2	Minor, child, parent, and siblings form one unit	15	17.2 %
99	Varies	21	24.1 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	5	5.7 %

Based upon 82 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 26.28
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 42.93

FAMMAXAGESIB	The maximum age at which a sibling who is too old to receive CCDF continues to be counted in the unit

Location:	146-157 (width: 12; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Range of Missing Values (M):	-5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-4	NA	8	9.2 %
17	-	58	66.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	11	12.6 %
20	-	2	2.3 %
-5 (M)	Not in manual	8	9.2 %

Based upon 79 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 15.09
- Median: 17.00
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: -4.00
- Maximum: 20.00
- Standard Deviation: 6.47

FAMMAXAGESIBSCHOOL	The maximum age at which siblings who are too old to receive CCDF continue to be counted in the unit, if they are completing their high school, vocational, or post-secondary education

Location:	158-172 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Range of Missing Values (M):	-5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-4	NA	8	9.2 %
17	-	30	34.5 %
18	-	21	24.1 %
19	-	1	1.1 %
20	-	5	5.7 %
21	-	9	10.3 %
23	-	2	2.3 %
-5 (M)	Not in manual	11	12.6 %

Based upon 76 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 15.92
- Median: 17.50
- Mode: 17.00
- Minimum: -4.00
- Maximum: 23.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.05

FAMSTEPPARENT	How a stepparent in the unit, who does not have any children receiving CCDF, is treated

Location:	173-185 (width: 13; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Range of Missing Values (M):	91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always included	81	93.1 %
2	Never included	4	4.6 %
99	Varies	1	1.1 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	1.1 %

Based upon 86 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 2.19
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 10.56

FAMSTEPCHILDREN	How children of a stepparent in the unit, who are not receiving CCDF, are treated

Location:	186-200 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Range of Missing Values (M):	91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always included	73	83.9 %
99	Varies	1	1.1 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	13	14.9 %

Based upon 74 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 2.32
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 11.39

FAMBLENDEDNOCOMMONKIDS	How blended families, in which each parent has CCDF eligible children and no children in common, are treated

Location:	201-215 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Range of Missing Values (M):	91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The parents and children form one unit	70	80.5 %
2	Each parent forms one unit with their children	10	11.5 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	7	8.0 %

Based upon 80 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 1.12
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.33

FAMPARENTPARTNER	How the parent or caretaker's partner in the unit is treated		

Location: 216-230 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always included	10	11.5 %
2	Never included	53	60.9 %
99	Varies	11	12.6 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	13	14.9 %

Based upon 74 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 16.28
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 34.80

FAMNONPARENTRELATIVEELIGIBLE	If non-parent, relative caretakers are allowed to apply for child care subsidies for the children in their care		

Location: 231-245 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, all relative caretakers	69	79.3 %
2	Yes, only legal guardians	9	10.3 %
4	Other	7	8.0 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	2	2.3 %

Based upon 85 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 1.35

- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.86

FAMNONPARENTRELATIVE	How a non-parent, relative caretaker in the unit is treated

Location: 246-260 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	1	1.1 %
1	Always included	67	77.0 %
2	Never included	11	12.6 %
99	Varies	7	8.0 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	1.1 %

Based upon 86 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 9.09
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 26.92

FAMNONPARENTNONRELATIVEELIGIBLE	If non-parent, non-relative caretakers are allowed to apply for child care subsidies for the children in their care

Location: 261-275 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, all non-relative caretakers	51	58.6 %
2	Yes, only legal guardians	26	29.9 %
4	Other	8	9.2 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	2	2.3 %

Based upon 85 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 1.59
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.90

FAMNONPARENTNONRELATIVE   How a non-parent, non-relative caretaker in the unit is treated

Location:	276-290 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Range of Missing Values (M):	91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NA	1	1.1 %
1	Always included	62	71.3 %
2	Never included	11	12.6 %
99	Varies	12	13.8 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	1	1.1 %

Based upon 86 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 14.79
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 34.11

FAMRELATEDMULTIFAMHOUSE   How related, multi-family households are treated when each family has eligible children

Location:	291-305 (width: 15; decimal: 0)
Variable Type:	numeric
Range of Missing Values (M):	91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Each family is its own unit	67	77.0 %
2	All related children in the household and their parents/caretakers become one unit	1	1.1 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	19	21.8 %

Based upon 68 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 1.01
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.12

FAMOTHERADULTRELATIVE   If an adult relative living in the household may be included in the unit

Location:	306-320 (width: 15; decimal: 0)		
Variable Type:	numeric		
Range of Missing Values (M):	91 , 92		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No other adult relatives included	70	80.5 %
2	Adult relatives are included	1	1.1 %
99	Varies	12	13.8 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	4	4.6 %

Based upon 83 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 15.18
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 34.67

FAMSITUATIONADULTRELATIVE Circumstances under which an adult relative may be included in the unit

Location:	321-471 (width: 151; decimal: 0)	
Variable Type:	character	

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
A family unit includes any additional related adults who reside with the family and who are financially supported by the family.	1	1.1 %
A parent of a minor parent can apply for child care if they demonstrate that they provide primary support and care for the minor's child.	1	1.1 %
Adults older than 60 years old who live in the home 24 hours per day are included.	1	1.1 %
Any adult children and their dependent children for whom a parent has guardianship of both the adult and their dependent child are counted in the unit.	1	1.1 %
Dependent grandparents who reside in the same household may be included in the unit.	2	2.3 %
Grandparents are included in the unit if they are part of the assistance unit for TANF and the minor parent is under the age of 18.	1	1.1 %
If an adult is considered as a dependent for income tax purposes, they are included in the unit.	2	2.3 %
If the adult relative intends to claim a member of the eligible family as a dependent, the entire household is counted as one unit.	1	1.1 %
If the adult relative is a dependent of the parent, they are included.	1	1.1 %
NA	74	85.1 %
Not in manual	1	1.1 %

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
The relative must be dependent upon the family for more than 50 percent of his or her support.	1	1.1 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

FAMADULTNONRELATIVE	**If an adult non-relative living in the household may be included in the unit**

Location: 472-486 (width: 15; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): 91 , 92

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No other adult non-relatives included	74	85.1 %
2	Adult non-relatives are included	1	1.1 %
99	Varies	8	9.2 %
92 (M)	Not in manual	4	4.6 %

Based upon 83 valid cases out of 87 total cases.

- Mean: 10.46
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.09

FAMSITUATIONADULTNONRELATIVE	**Circumstances under which an adult non-relative may be included in the unit**

Location: 487-730 (width: 244; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
A legal guardian of a minor parent can apply for child care if they demonstrate that they provide primary support and care for the minor's child.	1	1.1 %
Adults older than 60 years old who live in the home 24 hours per day are included.	1	1.1 %
If a child is in the full-time physical custody of someone other than the parent or guardian due to the parent or guardian being activated for military duty, the adult with power of attorney over the child will serve as an authorized representa	1	1.1 %
If an adult is considered as a dependent for income tax purposes, they are included in the unit.	2	2.3 %
If the adult is a dependent of the parent, they are included.	1	1.1 %
If the non-relative adult is counted in the TANF or SNAP budget, he or she is counted in the family unit for child care.	1	1.1 %

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
NA	77	88.5 %
Non-relative adults living in the home with the natural or adoptive parent are included in the unit if they are of the opposite sex of the parent.	2	2.3 %
Not in manual	1	1.1 %

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 87 total cases.