

ICPSR 34263

**Oregon Youth Substance Use
Project (OYSUP), 1998-2010**

Judy Andrews
Oregon Research Institute

Codebook for Youth Scales - Year 8

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/34263/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE

WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

ICPSR 34263

Oregon Youth Substance Use Project (OYSUP), 1998-2010

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

Oregon Youth Substance Use Project (OYSUP), 1998-2010

Youth Scales - Year 8

Variable Groups

Variable Groups Containing Variables

<i>Variable Group Name</i>	<i>Variable Group Label</i>	<i>Page</i>
VG1F1	Case Identification	2
VG2F1	Student Characteristics	2
VG3F1	Scales	2
VG4F1	Cohort Information	5
VG5F1	Mode of Assessment	5

VG1F1 Case Identification

<i>Variables within this Variable Group</i>		
<i>Variable</i>	<i>Variable Label</i>	<i>Page</i>
CASEID	CASE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	5
FAMID	FAMILY ID NUMBER	5

VG2F1 Student Characteristics

<i>Variables within this Variable Group</i>		
<i>Variable</i>	<i>Variable Label</i>	<i>Page</i>
GRADE8	GRADE AT TIME OF INTERVIEW	5
SEX8	RESPONDENT'S SEX	6

VG3F1 Scales

<i>Variables within this Variable Group</i>		
<i>Variable</i>	<i>Variable Label</i>	<i>Page</i>
UCIGAS8	CHILD SMOKING SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	6
FCIGAS8	FRIEND SMOKING NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	7
UINHALS8	CHILD INHALING SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	7
FINHALS8	FRIEND INHALING NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	8
UPOTS8	CHILD MARIJUANA SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	9
FPOTS8	FRIEND MARIJUANA NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	9
UCHEWS8	CHILD CHEWING SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	10
FCHEWS8	FRIEND CHEWING NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	10

- Study 34263 -

<i>Variables within this Variable Group</i>		
<i>Variable</i>	<i>Variable Label</i>	<i>Page</i>
UALCOS8	CHILD DRINKING SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	11
FALCOS8	FRIEND DRINKING NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	12
UBIKES8	CHILD HELMETLESSNESS SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	12
FBIKES8	FRIEND HELMETLESSNESS NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	13
UEXERCS8	CHILD EXERCISING SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	13
FEXERCS8	FRIEND EXERCISING NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	14
UMATCHS8	CHILD MATCH SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	14
FMATCHS8	FRIEND MATCH NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	15
UBEDS8	CHILD STAY UP LATE SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	15
FBEDS8	FRIEND STAY UP LATE NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]	16
UDEV8	TARGET'S DEVIANCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER DEVIANCE]	16
FDEV8	FRIEND'S DEVIANCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER DEVIANCE]	17
RADS8	SHORTENED: REYNOLD'S DEPRESSION SCALE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER DEPRESSION]	18
RISKY8	SENSATION SEEKING [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE RISK]	18
HACOMP8	HARTER ADOL: ACADEMIC COMP [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER ACADEMIC COMPETENCE]	19
HSCOMP8	HARTER ADOL: SOCIAL COMP [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER SOCIAL COMPETENCE]	20
USCOMP8	TARGET'S SOCIAL COMPETENCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER SOCIAL COMPETENCE]	21
FSCOMP8	FRIEND'S SOCIAL COMPETENCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER SOCIAL COMPETENCE]	21
UACOMP8	TARGET'S ACADEMIC COMPETENCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER ACADEMIC COMPETENCE]	22
FACOMP8	FRIEND'S ACADEMIC COMPETENCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER ACADEMIC COMPETENCE]	23
CBQDAD8	GRADES 4 TO 8: CHILD/DAD CBQ SCALE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER CONFLICT WITH PARENT]	23
CBQMOM8	GRADES 4 TO 8: CHILD/MOM CBQ SCALE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER CONFLICT WITH PARENT]	24
CBQD8	CBQ DAD: 16 ITEM [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER CONFLICT WITH PARENT]	24

- Study 34263 -

<i>Variables within this Variable Group</i>		
<i>Variable</i>	<i>Variable Label</i>	<i>Page</i>
CBQM8	CBQ MOM: 16 ITEM [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER CONFLICT WITH PARENT]	25
MONIT8	MONITORING AND SUPERVISION [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER MONITORING]	26
MONHS8	MONITORING AND SUPERVIISON [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER MONITORING]	27
RELIG8	RELIGIOSITY [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER RELIGIOSITY]	28
BODY8	BODY IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE SELF-IMAGE]	29
COPE8	COPING [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER COPING]	30
STRESS8	SUM OF STRESSFUL EVENTS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATED GREATER PERCEIVED STRESS]	31
PCON8	CONFLICT TACTICS SCALE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PARENT CONFLICT]	32
CVIO8	COMMUNITY VIOLENCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER COMMUNITY VIOLENCE]	33
EXTRA8	BIG 5: EXTRAVERSION [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PRESENCE OF TRAIT]	34
AGREE8	BIG 5: AGREEABLENESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PRESENCE OF TRAIT]	34
CONSC8	BIG 5: CONSCIENTIOUSNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PRESENCE OF TRAIT]	35
EMOTN8	BIG 5: EMOTION [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PRESENCE OF TRAIT]	36
INTEL8	BIG 5: INTELLECT [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PRESENCE OF TRAIT]	36
CESD8	CESD [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES HIGHER DEPRESSION SCORES]	37
INTCIG8	INTENTION TO USE CIGARETTES [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER INTENTION]	40
INTALC8	INTENTION TO USE ALCOHOL [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER INTENTION]	40
INTCHW8	INTENTION TO USE CHEWING TOBACCO [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER INTENTION]	41
INTPOT8	INTENTION TO USE MARIJUANA [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER INTENTION]	41
INTINH8	INTENTION TO USE INHALANTS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER INTENTION]	42
CIGWLL8	CIGARETTE WILLINGNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER WILLINGNESS TO USE SUBSTANCE]	42
ALCWLL8	ALCOHOL WILLINGNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER WILLINGNESS TO USE SUBSTANCE]	43
CHWWLL8	CHEW WILLINGNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER WILLINGNESS TO USE SUBSTANCE]	44

- Study 34263 -

<i>Variables within this Variable Group</i>		
<i>Variable</i>	<i>Variable Label</i>	<i>Page</i>
POTWLL8	POT WILLINGNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER WILLINGNESS TO USE SUBSTANCE]	45
INHWLL8	INHALANT WILLINGNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER WILLINGNESS TO USE SUBSTANCE]	45

VG4F1 Cohort Information

<i>Variables within this Variable Group</i>		
<i>Variable</i>	<i>Variable Label</i>	<i>Page</i>
COHORT	COHORT: GRADE AT T1	46
GRADE8C	COHORT GRADE AT T8	46

VG5F1 Mode of Assessment

<i>Variables within this Variable Group</i>		
<i>Variable</i>	<i>Variable Label</i>	<i>Page</i>
YPHONE8	TYPE OF ASSESSMENT	47

CASEID CASE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

Location: 1-3 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FAMID FAMILY ID NUMBER

Location: 4-7 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>
-99 (M)	Phone
-9 (M)	Missing

Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

GRADE8 GRADE AT TIME OF INTERVIEW

Location: 8-10 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question: Grade the student is in.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	1st grade	0	0.0 %	-
2	2nd grade	0	0.0 %	-
3	3rd grade	0	0.0 %	-
4	4th grade	0	0.0 %	-
5	5th grade	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
6	6th grade	0	0.0 %	-
7	7th grade	0	0.0 %	-
8	8th grade	6	0.6 %	0.7%
9	9th grade	195	20.9 %	21.4%
10	10th grade	197	21.1 %	21.6%
11	11th grade	190	20.4 %	20.8%
12	12th grade	189	20.3 %	20.7%
13	Grad/ged	133	14.3 %	14.6%
14	Not attending	2	0.2 %	0.2%
-9 (M)	Missing	20	2.1 %	-

Based upon 912 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

SEX8	RESPONDENT'S SEX
-------------	-------------------------

Location: 11-13 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question: Gender of the student.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Male	453	48.6 %	48.6%
2	Female	479	51.4 %	51.4%

Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

UCIGAS8	CHILD SMOKING SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]
----------------	---

Location: 14-16 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question:

Social Image / Prototype Scales: Child smoking. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude towards substance. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 12

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	489	52.5 %	52.8%
1	-	108	11.6 %	11.7%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	-	89	9.5 %	9.6%
3	-	208	22.3 %	22.5%
4	-	18	1.9 %	1.9%
5	-	8	0.9 %	0.9%
6	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
-9 (M)	Missing	6	0.6 %	-

Based upon 926 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FCIGAS8	FRIEND SMOKING NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]
----------------	---

Location: 17-19 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question:

Normative Social Image Scales: Friend smoking. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude towards substance. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 12

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	414	44.4 %	45.0%
1	-	104	11.2 %	11.3%
2	-	87	9.3 %	9.4%
3	-	279	29.9 %	30.3%
4	-	20	2.1 %	2.2%
5	-	6	0.6 %	0.7%
6	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
-9 (M)	Missing	11	1.2 %	-

Based upon 921 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

UINHALS8	CHILD INHALING SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]
-----------------	--

Location: 20-22 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question:

Social Image / Prototype Scales: Child using inhalants. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude towards substance.

- Study 34263 -

(sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 12

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	653	70.1 %	70.4%
1	-	76	8.2 %	8.2%
2	-	58	6.2 %	6.2%
3	-	135	14.5 %	14.5%
4	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
5	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
6	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
-9 (M)	Missing	4	0.4 %	-

Based upon 928 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FINHALS8

FRIEND INHALING NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 23-25 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Normative Social Image Scales: Friend using inhalants. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude towards substance. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 12

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	579	62.1 %	63.1%
1	-	77	8.3 %	8.4%
2	-	60	6.4 %	6.5%
3	-	195	20.9 %	21.2%
4	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
5	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
-9 (M)	Missing	14	1.5 %	-

Based upon 918 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

- Study 34263 -

UPOTS8 CHILD MARIJUANA SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 26-28 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question: Social Image / Prototype Scales: Child using marijuana. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude towards substance. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 12

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	443	47.5 %	47.6%
1	-	104	11.2 %	11.2%
2	-	74	7.9 %	7.9%
3	-	260	27.9 %	27.9%
4	-	29	3.1 %	3.1%
5	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
6	-	9	1.0 %	1.0%
-9 (M)	Missing	1	0.1 %	-

Based upon 931 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FPOTS8 FRIEND MARIJUANA NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 29-31 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question: Normative Social Image Scales: Friend using marijuana. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude towards substance. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 12

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	394	42.3 %	42.8%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	-	84	9.0 %	9.1%
2	-	67	7.2 %	7.3%
3	-	315	33.8 %	34.2%
4	-	33	3.5 %	3.6%
5	-	13	1.4 %	1.4%
6	-	15	1.6 %	1.6%
-9 (M)	Missing	11	1.2 %	-

Based upon 921 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

UCHEWS8 CHILD CHEWING SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 32-34 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question:

Social Image / Prototype Scales: Child chewing tobacco. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude towards substance. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 12

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	552	59.2 %	59.5%
1	-	71	7.6 %	7.7%
2	-	59	6.3 %	6.4%
3	-	227	24.4 %	24.5%
4	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
5	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
6	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
-9 (M)	Missing	5	0.5 %	-

Based upon 927 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FCHEWS8 FRIEND CHEWING NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 35-37 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question:

Normative Social Image Scales: Friend chewing tobacco. Scale scored 0 to 6,

- Study 34263 -

with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude towards substance.
(sum of 3 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 12

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	502	53.9 %	54.4%
1	-	68	7.3 %	7.4%
2	-	57	6.1 %	6.2%
3	-	278	29.8 %	30.1%
4	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
5	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
6	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
-9 (M)	Missing	9	1.0 %	-

Based upon 923 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

UALCOS8

CHILD DRINKING SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 38-40 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question:

Social Image / Prototype Scales: Child drinking alcohol. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude towards substance.
(sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 12

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	331	35.5 %	35.6%
1	-	82	8.8 %	8.8%
2	-	81	8.7 %	8.7%
3	-	329	35.3 %	35.4%
4	-	59	6.3 %	6.3%
5	-	24	2.6 %	2.6%
6	-	24	2.6 %	2.6%
-9 (M)	Missing	2	0.2 %	-

- Study 34263 -

Based upon 930 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FALCOS8 FRIEND DRINKING NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 41-43 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Normative Social Image Scales: Friend drinking alcohol. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude towards substance. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 12

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	299	32.1 %	32.5%
1	-	70	7.5 %	7.6%
2	-	76	8.2 %	8.3%
3	-	356	38.2 %	38.7%
4	-	63	6.8 %	6.9%
5	-	23	2.5 %	2.5%
6	-	32	3.4 %	3.5%
-9 (M)	Missing	13	1.4 %	-

Based upon 919 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

UBIKES8 CHILD HELMETLESSNESS SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 44-46 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question:

Social Image / Prototype Scales: Attitude of child not wearing a helmet. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 8

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	6	0.6 %	100.0%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FBIKES8 FRIEND HELMETLESSNESS NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 47-49 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question: Normative Social Images Scales: Attitude of friend not wearing a helmet. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 8

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	5	0.5 %	83.3%
3	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

UEXERCS8 CHILD EXERCISING SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 50-52 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question: Social Image / Prototype Scales: Attitude of child exercising. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 8

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
4	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
5	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
6	-	4	0.4 %	66.7%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FEXERCS8

FRIEND EXERCISING NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 53-55 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question:

Normative Social Images Scales: Attitude of friend exercising.
Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 8

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
6	-	5	0.5 %	83.3%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

UMATCHS8

CHILD MATCH SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 56-58 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question:

Social Image / Prototype Scales: Attitude of child playing with matches.
Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 8

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	6	0.6 %	100.0%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FMATCHS8 FRIEND MATCH NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 59-61 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question:

Normative Social Images Scales: Attitude of friend not playing with matches. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 8

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	5	0.5 %	83.3%
1	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

UBEDS8 CHILD STAY UP LATE SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 62-64 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question:

Social Image / Prototype Scales: Attitude of child going to bed late. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 8

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	2	0.2 %	33.3%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	-	2	0.2 %	33.3%
3	-	2	0.2 %	33.3%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FBEDS8 FRIEND STAY UP LATE NORM SOCIAL IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS SUBSTANCE]

Location: 65-67 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question:

Normative Social Images Scales: Attitude of friend going to bed late. Scale scored 0 to 6, with higher scores indicating a more positive attitude. (sum of 3 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 8

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	3	0.3 %	50.0%
1	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
3	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
4	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

UDEV8 TARGET'S DEVIANCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER DEVIANCE]

Location: 68-72 (width: 5; decimal: 1)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.0 , -9.0 , -5.0

Question:

Delinquency - Self. Scale scored 0 to 12, with higher scores indicating greater delinquency (Adapted from Achenbach Youth Self Report, 1993). (sum of 6 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 8

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0.0	-	111	11.9 %	12.0%
1.0	-	159	17.1 %	17.2%
1.2	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2.0	-	168	18.0 %	18.2%
2.4	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
3.0	-	171	18.3 %	18.5%
3.6	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
4.0	-	113	12.1 %	12.2%
5.0	-	74	7.9 %	8.0%
6.0	-	64	6.9 %	6.9%
7.0	-	31	3.3 %	3.4%
8.0	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
9.0	-	10	1.1 %	1.1%
10.0	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
11.0	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
12.0	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
-9.0 (M)	Missing	2	0.2 %	-
-5.0 (M)	Question not asked on this form	6	0.6 %	-

Based upon 924 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FDEV8

FRIEND'S DEVIANCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER DEVIANCE]

Location: 73-77 (width: 5; decimal: 1)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.0 , -9.0 , -5.0

Question:

Delinquency - Friend. Scale scored 0 to 12, with higher scores indicating greater delinquency (Adapted from Achenbach Youth Self Report, 1993). (sum of 6 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 8

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0.0	-	69	7.4 %	7.5%
1.0	-	134	14.4 %	14.6%
2.0	-	118	12.7 %	12.9%
2.4	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
3.0	-	113	12.1 %	12.3%
3.6	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
4.0	-	107	11.5 %	11.7%
5.0	-	122	13.1 %	13.3%
6.0	-	131	14.1 %	14.3%
7.0	-	63	6.8 %	6.9%
8.0	-	22	2.4 %	2.4%
8.4	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
9.0	-	13	1.4 %	1.4%
10.0	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
11.0	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
12.0	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
-9.0 (M)	Missing	9	1.0 %	-
-5.0 (M)	Question not asked on this form	6	0.6 %	-

Based upon 917 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

RADS8 **SHORTENED: REYNOLD'S DEPRESSION SCALE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER DEPRESSION]**

Location: 78-80 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question:

Child Depression Inventory. Scale is scored 13 to 52, with higher scores indicating greater depression. Shortened version of Reynolds, 1989. (sum of 13 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 8

- (1) Almost never
- (2) Sometimes
- (3) A lot of the time
- (4) All the time

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
13	-	2	0.2 %	33.3%
16	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
24	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
25	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
26	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

RISKY8 **SENSATION SEEKING [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE RISK]**

Location: 81-83 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

- Study 34263 -

Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question:

Sensation Seeking. Abbreviated Sensation Seeking Scale for Children.
 Scale scored 0 to 3, with higher scores indicating more risk.
 (Russo, et.al., 1993) (sum of 3 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 13

(0) Never does danger (0) Would jump (0) Fast skiing dangerous
 (1) Likes to do danger (1) Wouldn't jump (1) Fast skiing fun

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	60	6.4 %	6.5%
1	-	160	17.2 %	17.2%
2	-	302	32.4 %	32.5%
3	-	406	43.6 %	43.8%
-9 (M)	Missing	4	0.4 %	-

Based upon 928 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

HACOMP8 HARTER ADOL: ACADEMIC COMP [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER ACADEMIC COMPETENCE]

Location: 84-89 (width: 6; decimal: 2)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99.00 , -9.00 , -5.00
 Question:

Harter Academic Competence. Scale scored 1 to 4, with higher scores indicating greater academic competence. (Self-Perception Profile for Children: Harter and Pike, 1985) (mean of 5 items)

Grade 7 to Grade 12

Reading from left side of comparisons:
 (1) Really true for me
 (2) Sort of true for me
 (3) Sort of true for me
 (4) Really true for me

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1.00	-	4	0.4 %	0.6%
1.20	-	4	0.4 %	0.6%
1.40	-	2	0.2 %	0.3%
1.50	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1.60	-	10	1.1 %	1.4%
1.80	-	10	1.1 %	1.4%
2.00	-	32	3.4 %	4.5%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2.20	-	38	4.1 %	5.4%
2.25	-	3	0.3 %	0.4%
2.40	-	39	4.2 %	5.5%
2.50	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2.60	-	65	7.0 %	9.2%
2.75	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2.80	-	64	6.9 %	9.0%
3.00	-	83	8.9 %	11.7%
3.20	-	63	6.8 %	8.9%
3.40	-	63	6.8 %	8.9%
3.60	-	60	6.4 %	8.5%
3.75	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3.80	-	44	4.7 %	6.2%
4.00	-	121	13.0 %	17.1%
-9.00 (M)	Missing	71	7.6 %	-
-5.00 (M)	Question not asked on this form	152	16.3 %	-

Based upon 709 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

HSCOMP8

HARTER ADOL: SOCIAL COMP [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER SOCIAL COMPETENCE]

Location: 90-95 (width: 6; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.00 , -9.00

Question:

Harter Social Competence. Scale scored 1 to 4, with higher scores indicating greater social competence. (Self-Perception Profile for Children: Harter and Pike, 1985) (mean of 5 items)

Grade 7 to Grade 13

Reading from left side of comparisons:

- (1) Really true for me
- (2) Sort of true for me
- (3) Sort of true for me
- (4) Really true for me

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1.00	-	3	0.3 %	0.4%
1.40	-	6	0.6 %	0.7%
1.50	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1.60	-	4	0.4 %	0.5%
1.80	-	15	1.6 %	1.8%
2.00	-	18	1.9 %	2.2%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2.20	-	32	3.4 %	3.9%
2.25	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2.40	-	37	4.0 %	4.5%
2.60	-	45	4.8 %	5.4%
2.80	-	65	7.0 %	7.8%
3.00	-	99	10.6 %	11.9%
3.20	-	83	8.9 %	10.0%
3.25	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
3.40	-	74	7.9 %	8.9%
3.50	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3.60	-	76	8.2 %	9.2%
3.80	-	89	9.5 %	10.7%
4.00	-	179	19.2 %	21.6%
-9.00 (M)	Missing	102	10.9 %	-

Based upon 830 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

USCOMP8 TARGET'S SOCIAL COMPETENCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER SOCIAL COMPETENCE]

Location: 96-98 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Social Competence - Personal. Scale score 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating greater competence. (sum of 2 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 13

- (0) Not true
- (1) Sometimes true
- (2) Very true

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	24	2.6 %	2.6%
1	-	43	4.6 %	4.6%
2	-	158	17.0 %	17.0%
3	-	171	18.3 %	18.4%
4	-	533	57.2 %	57.4%
-9 (M)	Missing	3	0.3 %	-

Based upon 929 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

FSCOMP8 FRIEND'S SOCIAL COMPETENCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER SOCIAL COMPETENCE]

- Study 34263 -

Location: 99-101 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question: Social Competence - Friends. Scale score 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating greater competence. (sum of 2 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 13

(0) Not true
 (1) Sometimes true
 (2) Very true

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	10	1.1 %	1.1%
1	-	16	1.7 %	1.7%
2	-	245	26.3 %	26.5%
3	-	186	20.0 %	20.2%
4	-	466	50.0 %	50.5%
-9 (M)	Missing	9	1.0 %	-

Based upon 923 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

UACOMP8 TARGET'S ACADEMIC COMPETENCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER ACADEMIC COMPETENCE]

Location: 102-104 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question: Academic Competence - Personal. Scale scored 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating greater competence. (sum of 2 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 12

(0) Not true
 (1) Sometimes true
 (2) Very true

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	28	3.0 %	3.0%
1	-	94	10.1 %	10.2%
2	-	291	31.2 %	31.4%
3	-	310	33.3 %	33.5%
4	-	203	21.8 %	21.9%
-9 (M)	Missing	6	0.6 %	-

Based upon 926 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

- Study 34263 -

FACOMP8 FRIEND'S ACADEMIC COMPETENCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER ACADEMIC COMPETENCE]

Location: 105-107 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question: Academic Competence - Friends. Scale scored 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating greater competence. (sum of 2 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 12

- (0) Not true
- (1) Sometimes true
- (2) Very true

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	19	2.0 %	2.1%
1	-	117	12.6 %	12.7%
2	-	416	44.6 %	45.2%
3	-	256	27.5 %	27.8%
4	-	112	12.0 %	12.2%
-9 (M)	Missing	12	1.3 %	-

Based upon 920 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

CBQDAD8 GRADES 4 TO 8: CHILD/DAD CBQ SCALE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER CONFLICT WITH PARENT]

Location: 108-110 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question: Conflict Behavior Questionnaire Scale: Grades 4 to 8. Child/dad CBQ scale. Scale scored 0 to 7, with higher scores indicating a greater conflict with parent. (sum of 7 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 8

- (0) False
- (1) True

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
1	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
2	-	2	0.2 %	33.3%
3	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
7	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

CBQMOM8 GRADES 4 TO 8: CHILD/MOM CBQ SCALE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER CONFLICT WITH PARENT]

Location: 111-113 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question:

Conflict Behavior Questionnaire Scale: Grades 4 to 8. Child/mom CBQ scale. Scale scored 0 to 7, with higher scores indicating a greater conflict with parent. (sum of 7 items)

Grade 4 to Grade 8

(0) False

(1) True

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
2	-	2	0.2 %	33.3%
3	-	2	0.2 %	33.3%
4	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

CBQD8 CBQ DAD: 16 ITEM [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER CONFLICT WITH PARENT]

Location: 114-119 (width: 6; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.00 , -9.00 , -5.00

Question:

Conflict Behavior Questionnaire Scale - Dad. Scale scored 0 to 16, with higher scores indicating greater conflict with parent(Prinz, Foster, Kent, and O'Leary, 1979). (sum of 16 items)

Grade 9 to Grade 13

(0) False

(1) True

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0.00	-	127	13.6 %	18.1%
1.00	-	106	11.4 %	15.1%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1.07	-	2	0.2 %	0.3%
2.00	-	94	10.1 %	13.4%
2.13	-	5	0.5 %	0.7%
2.29	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3.00	-	63	6.8 %	9.0%
3.20	-	2	0.2 %	0.3%
4.00	-	46	4.9 %	6.6%
4.27	-	3	0.3 %	0.4%
5.00	-	38	4.1 %	5.4%
5.33	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6.00	-	37	4.0 %	5.3%
6.40	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
7.00	-	29	3.1 %	4.1%
8.00	-	23	2.5 %	3.3%
8.53	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
9.00	-	20	2.1 %	2.9%
9.60	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
10.00	-	27	2.9 %	3.9%
10.67	-	2	0.2 %	0.3%
11.00	-	23	2.5 %	3.3%
12.00	-	14	1.5 %	2.0%
13.00	-	8	0.9 %	1.1%
14.00	-	10	1.1 %	1.4%
15.00	-	8	0.9 %	1.1%
16.00	-	9	1.0 %	1.3%
-9.00 (M)	Missing	73	7.8 %	-
-5.00 (M)	Question not asked on this form	158	17.0 %	-

Based upon 701 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

CBQM8

CBQ MOM: 16 ITEM [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER CONFLICT WITH PARENT]

Location: 120-125 (width: 6; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.00 , -9.00 , -5.00

Question:

Conflict Behavior Questionnaire Scale - Mom. Scale scored 0 to 16, with higher scores indicating greater conflict with parent(Prinz, Foster, Kent, and O'Leary, 1979). (sum of 16 items)

Grade 9 to Grade 13

(0) False

- Study 34263 -

(1) True

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0.00	-	161	17.3 %	21.7%
1.00	-	127	13.6 %	17.1%
1.07	-	5	0.5 %	0.7%
1.14	-	2	0.2 %	0.3%
2.00	-	108	11.6 %	14.5%
2.13	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3.00	-	82	8.8 %	11.0%
3.20	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3.43	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
4.00	-	41	4.4 %	5.5%
4.27	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
5.00	-	33	3.5 %	4.4%
5.33	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6.00	-	38	4.1 %	5.1%
7.00	-	27	2.9 %	3.6%
7.47	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
8.00	-	15	1.6 %	2.0%
9.00	-	19	2.0 %	2.6%
9.60	-	2	0.2 %	0.3%
10.00	-	21	2.3 %	2.8%
11.00	-	11	1.2 %	1.5%
11.73	-	2	0.2 %	0.3%
12.00	-	19	2.0 %	2.6%
13.00	-	10	1.1 %	1.3%
14.00	-	6	0.6 %	0.8%
15.00	-	4	0.4 %	0.5%
16.00	-	4	0.4 %	0.5%
-9.00 (M)	Missing	31	3.3 %	-
-5.00 (M)	Question not asked on this form	158	17.0 %	-

Based upon 743 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

MONIT8 MONITORING AND SUPERVISION [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER MONITORING]

Location: 126-128 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9 , -5

Question:

Monitoring and Supervision. Scale scored 8 to 40, with higher scores indicating greater monitoring (French, unpublished). (sum of 8 items)

- Study 34263 -

Grade 6 to Grade 8

- (1) Almost always false
- (2) Usually false
- (3) True half the time
- (4) Usually true
- (5) Almost always true

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
20	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
29	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
31	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
32	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
39	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
40	-	1	0.1 %	16.7%
-5 (M)	Question not asked on this form	926	99.4 %	-

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

MONHS8 MONITORING AND SUPERVIISON [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER MONITORING]

Location: 129-134 (width: 6; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.00 , -9.00 , -5.00

Question: Monitoring and Supervision. Scale scored 0 to 25, with higher scores indicating greater monitoring (French, unpublished). (sum of 5 items)
(Note: HS version is shorter)

Grade 9 to Grade 12

- (1) Almost always false
- (2) Usually false
- (3) True half the time
- (4) Usually true
- (5) Almost always true

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
5.00	-	9	1.0 %	1.2%
7.00	-	2	0.2 %	0.3%
8.00	-	6	0.6 %	0.8%
9.00	-	9	1.0 %	1.2%
10.00	-	8	0.9 %	1.1%
11.00	-	6	0.6 %	0.8%
12.00	-	13	1.4 %	1.7%
13.00	-	16	1.7 %	2.1%
14.00	-	17	1.8 %	2.2%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
15.00	-	34	3.6 %	4.5%
16.00	-	33	3.5 %	4.4%
17.00	-	30	3.2 %	4.0%
18.00	-	32	3.4 %	4.2%
19.00	-	52	5.6 %	6.9%
20.00	-	64	6.9 %	8.5%
21.00	-	60	6.4 %	7.9%
22.00	-	51	5.5 %	6.7%
23.00	-	69	7.4 %	9.1%
23.75	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
24.00	-	60	6.4 %	7.9%
25.00	-	184	19.7 %	24.3%
-9.00 (M)	Missing	18	1.9 %	-
-5.00 (M)	Question not asked on this form	158	17.0 %	-

Based upon 756 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

RELIG8

RELIGIOSITY [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER RELIGIOSITY]

Location: 135-137 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Religiosity. Scale scored 4 to 16, with higher scores indicating greater religiosity (Wallace & Formann, 1998). (sum of 4 items)

Grade 6 to Grade 13

- (1) Never
- (2) Hardly ever
- (3) Once or twice a month
- (4) Once a week or more

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
4	-	207	22.2 %	22.3%
5	-	49	5.3 %	5.3%
6	-	65	7.0 %	7.0%
7	-	39	4.2 %	4.2%
8	-	43	4.6 %	4.6%
9	-	55	5.9 %	5.9%
10	-	59	6.3 %	6.4%
11	-	57	6.1 %	6.1%
12	-	51	5.5 %	5.5%
13	-	55	5.9 %	5.9%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
14	-	49	5.3 %	5.3%
15	-	56	6.0 %	6.0%
16	-	143	15.3 %	15.4%
-9 (M)	Missing	4	0.4 %	-

Based upon 928 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

BODY8 BODY IMAGE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES MORE POSITIVE SELF-IMAGE]

Location: 138-142 (width: 5; decimal: 1)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.0 , -9.0

Question:

Self Image / Body Image. Scale scored 6 to 30, with higher scores indicating more positive self-image. Abbreviated Self-Image Questionnaire (Offer, Ostrov, & Howard, 1984). (sum of 6 items)

Grade 6 to Grade 13

- (1) Doesn't describe at all
- (2) Doesn't quite describe
- (3) Describes fairly well
- (4) Describes well
- (5) Describes very well

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
8.0	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
10.0	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
11.0	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
12.0	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
13.0	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
13.2	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
14.0	-	19	2.0 %	2.0%
14.4	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
15.0	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
16.0	-	15	1.6 %	1.6%
17.0	-	19	2.0 %	2.0%
18.0	-	54	5.8 %	5.8%
19.0	-	35	3.8 %	3.8%
20.0	-	53	5.7 %	5.7%
21.0	-	54	5.8 %	5.8%
21.6	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
22.0	-	55	5.9 %	5.9%
23.0	-	84	9.0 %	9.0%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
24.0	-	74	7.9 %	8.0%
25.0	-	73	7.8 %	7.8%
25.2	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
26.0	-	78	8.4 %	8.4%
26.4	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
27.0	-	59	6.3 %	6.3%
28.0	-	66	7.1 %	7.1%
29.0	-	53	5.7 %	5.7%
30.0	-	100	10.7 %	10.8%
-9.0 (M)	Missing	2	0.2 %	-

Based upon 930 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

COPE8

COPING [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER COPING]

Location: 143-147 (width: 5; decimal: 1)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.0 , -9.0

Question:

Coping. Scale scored 6 to 30, with higher scores indicating greater coping (Wills, 1990). (sum of 6 items)

Grade 6 to Grade 13

- (1) Never
- (2) Occasionally
- (3) Sometimes
- (4) Often
- (5) Always

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
6.0	-	16	1.7 %	1.7%
7.0	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
8.0	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
9.0	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
10.0	-	15	1.6 %	1.6%
11.0	-	9	1.0 %	1.0%
12.0	-	30	3.2 %	3.2%
13.0	-	16	1.7 %	1.7%
14.0	-	29	3.1 %	3.1%
15.0	-	29	3.1 %	3.1%
16.0	-	36	3.9 %	3.9%
17.0	-	37	4.0 %	4.0%
18.0	-	84	9.0 %	9.0%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
19.0	-	35	3.8 %	3.8%
20.0	-	49	5.3 %	5.3%
21.0	-	55	5.9 %	5.9%
21.6	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
22.0	-	61	6.5 %	6.6%
23.0	-	68	7.3 %	7.3%
24.0	-	88	9.4 %	9.5%
25.0	-	68	7.3 %	7.3%
26.0	-	50	5.4 %	5.4%
27.0	-	29	3.1 %	3.1%
28.0	-	27	2.9 %	2.9%
29.0	-	20	2.1 %	2.1%
30.0	-	72	7.7 %	7.7%
-9.0 (M)	Missing	1	0.1 %	-

Based upon 931 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

STRESS8 SUM OF STRESSFUL EVENTS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATED GREATER PERCEIVED STRESS]

Location: 148-150 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Perceived Stress. Scale scored 0 to 20, with higher scores indicating greater perceived stress. Major Life Events Scale from Cornell Medical Index (Dornsbusch, et.al., 1991). (sum of 20 items)

Grade 6 to 8

(0) No

(1) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	104	11.2 %	11.2%
1	-	147	15.8 %	15.8%
2	-	155	16.6 %	16.6%
3	-	162	17.4 %	17.4%
4	-	121	13.0 %	13.0%
5	-	97	10.4 %	10.4%
6	-	54	5.8 %	5.8%
7	-	36	3.9 %	3.9%
8	-	28	3.0 %	3.0%
9	-	9	1.0 %	1.0%
10	-	9	1.0 %	1.0%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
11	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
12	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
13	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
14	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
19	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

PCON8 CONFLICT TACTICS SCALE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PARENT CONFLICT]

Location: 151-156 (width: 6; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.00 , -9.00

Question:

Conflict Tactics Scale. Scale scored 10 to 40, with higher scores indicating greater parent conflict (Strauss, 1979). (sum of 10 items)

Grade 6 to Grade 12

- (1) Never
- (2) Once or twice
- (3) A few times
- (4) Lots of times

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
10.00	-	203	21.8 %	24.3%
11.00	-	88	9.4 %	10.6%
12.00	-	88	9.4 %	10.6%
12.22	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
13.00	-	97	10.4 %	11.6%
14.00	-	72	7.7 %	8.6%
14.44	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
15.00	-	58	6.2 %	7.0%
15.56	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
16.00	-	38	4.1 %	4.6%
17.00	-	26	2.8 %	3.1%
17.78	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
18.00	-	35	3.8 %	4.2%
18.89	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
19.00	-	23	2.5 %	2.8%
20.00	-	22	2.4 %	2.6%
21.00	-	13	1.4 %	1.6%
22.00	-	11	1.2 %	1.3%
23.00	-	10	1.1 %	1.2%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
24.00	-	7	0.8 %	0.8%
25.00	-	9	1.0 %	1.1%
26.00	-	3	0.3 %	0.4%
27.00	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
28.00	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
28.89	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
29.00	-	4	0.4 %	0.5%
30.00	-	4	0.4 %	0.5%
31.00	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
32.00	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
33.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
35.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
37.00	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
39.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
40.00	-	4	0.4 %	0.5%
-9.00 (M)	Missing	98	10.5 %	-

Based upon 834 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

CVIO8 COMMUNITY VIOLENCE [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER COMMUNITY VIOLENCE]

Location: 157-162 (width: 6; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.00 , -9.00

Question:

Community Violence. Scale scored 7 to 21, with higher scores indicating greater community violence (Richter, 1992). (sum of 7 items)

Grade 6 to Grade 12

- (0) Never
- (1) Once
- (2) More than once

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
7.00	-	249	26.7 %	26.7%
8.00	-	165	17.7 %	17.7%
8.17	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
9.00	-	124	13.3 %	13.3%
10.00	-	93	10.0 %	10.0%
11.00	-	73	7.8 %	7.8%
12.00	-	48	5.2 %	5.2%
12.83	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
13.00	-	38	4.1 %	4.1%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
14.00	-	38	4.1 %	4.1%
15.00	-	34	3.6 %	3.6%
16.00	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
17.00	-	28	3.0 %	3.0%
18.00	-	10	1.1 %	1.1%
18.67	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
19.00	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
20.00	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
21.00	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%

Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

EXTRA8

BIG 5: EXTRAVERSION [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PRESENCE OF TRAIT]

Location: 163-165 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Abbreviated Big Five Markers. Scale scored 2 to 10, with higher scores indicating greater presence of trait.

Grade 6 to Grade 13

- (1) False
- (2) Somewhat false
- (3) Neither
- (4) Somewhat true
- (5) True

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	-	9	1.0 %	1.0%
3	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
4	-	23	2.5 %	2.5%
5	-	30	3.2 %	3.2%
6	-	63	6.8 %	6.8%
7	-	92	9.9 %	9.9%
8	-	189	20.3 %	20.3%
9	-	184	19.7 %	19.8%
10	-	333	35.7 %	35.8%
-9 (M)	Missing	3	0.3 %	-

Based upon 929 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

AGREE8

BIG 5: AGREEABLENESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PRESENCE OF TRAIT]

Location: 166-168 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

- Study 34263 -

Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question:

Abbreviated Big Five Markers. Scale scored 2 to 10, with higher scores indicating greater presence of trait.

Grade 6 to Grade 13

- (1) False
- (2) Somewhat false
- (3) Neither
- (4) Somewhat true
- (5) True

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
3	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
4	-	8	0.9 %	0.9%
5	-	10	1.1 %	1.1%
6	-	41	4.4 %	4.4%
7	-	72	7.7 %	7.7%
8	-	213	22.9 %	22.9%
9	-	207	22.2 %	22.3%
10	-	372	39.9 %	40.0%
-9 (M)	Missing	2	0.2 %	-

Based upon 930 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

CONSC8 BIG 5: CONSCIENTIOUSNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PRESENCE OF TRAIT]

Location: 169-171 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question:

Abbreviated Big Five Markers. Scale scored 2 to 10, with higher scores indicating greater presence of trait.

Grade 6 to Grade 13

- (1) False
- (2) Somewhat false
- (3) Neither
- (4) Somewhat true
- (5) True

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	-	13	1.4 %	1.4%
3	-	20	2.1 %	2.2%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
4	-	55	5.9 %	5.9%
5	-	73	7.8 %	7.9%
6	-	133	14.3 %	14.3%
7	-	140	15.0 %	15.1%
8	-	211	22.6 %	22.8%
9	-	140	15.0 %	15.1%
10	-	142	15.2 %	15.3%
-9 (M)	Missing	5	0.5 %	-

Based upon 927 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

EMOTN8 BIG 5: EMOTION [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PRESENCE OF TRAIT]

Location: 172-174 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Abbreviated Big Five Markers. Scale scored 2 to 10, with higher scores indicating greater presence of trait.

Grade 6 to Grade 13

- (1) False
- (2) Somewhat false
- (3) Neither
- (4) Somewhat true
- (5) True

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	-	70	7.5 %	7.6%
3	-	66	7.1 %	7.1%
4	-	144	15.5 %	15.5%
5	-	163	17.5 %	17.6%
6	-	169	18.1 %	18.2%
7	-	123	13.2 %	13.3%
8	-	87	9.3 %	9.4%
9	-	46	4.9 %	5.0%
10	-	59	6.3 %	6.4%
-9 (M)	Missing	5	0.5 %	-

Based upon 927 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

INTEL8 BIG 5: INTELLECT [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER PRESENCE OF TRAIT]

Location: 175-177 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 34263 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Abbreviated Big Five Markers. Scale scored 2 to 10, with higher scores indicating greater presence of trait.

Grade 6 to Grade 13

- (1) False
- (2) Somewhat false
- (3) Neither
- (4) Somewhat true
- (5) True

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
3	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
4	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
5	-	26	2.8 %	2.8%
6	-	63	6.8 %	6.8%
7	-	103	11.1 %	11.1%
8	-	243	26.1 %	26.2%
9	-	210	22.5 %	22.6%
10	-	261	28.0 %	28.1%
-9 (M)	Missing	4	0.4 %	-

Based upon 928 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

CESD8

CESD [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES HIGHER DEPRESSION SCORES]

Location: 178-183 (width: 6; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99.00 , -9.00 , -5.00

Question:

Center for Epidemiological Studies - Depression (CES-D). Scale scored 0 to 60, with higher scores indicating higher depression (Radloff, 1997). (sum of 02 items)

Grade 9 to Grade 13

- (0) Rarely
- (1) Little
- (2) Moderate
- (3) Most

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0.00	-	33	3.5 %	3.6%
1.00	-	23	2.5 %	2.5%
1.11	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2.00	-	20	2.1 %	2.2%
2.11	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
2.35	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3.00	-	65	7.0 %	7.0%
3.16	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
4.00	-	50	5.4 %	5.4%
4.21	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
4.44	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
5.00	-	52	5.6 %	5.6%
5.26	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6.00	-	50	5.4 %	5.4%
6.32	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
7.00	-	41	4.4 %	4.4%
7.37	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
7.78	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
8.00	-	37	4.0 %	4.0%
8.42	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
8.89	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
9.00	-	39	4.2 %	4.2%
10.00	-	31	3.3 %	3.4%
10.53	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
11.00	-	28	3.0 %	3.0%
11.11	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
12.00	-	50	5.4 %	5.4%
12.63	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
13.00	-	26	2.8 %	2.8%
13.68	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
14.00	-	18	1.9 %	2.0%
14.74	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
15.00	-	26	2.8 %	2.8%
16.00	-	30	3.2 %	3.3%
16.84	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
17.00	-	14	1.5 %	1.5%
17.89	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
18.00	-	15	1.6 %	1.6%
19.00	-	16	1.7 %	1.7%
20.00	-	16	1.7 %	1.7%
21.00	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
22.00	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
22.11	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
23.00	-	13	1.4 %	1.4%
23.16	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
24.00	-	25	2.7 %	2.7%
24.21	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
25.00	-	13	1.4 %	1.4%
25.56	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
26.00	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
27.00	-	13	1.4 %	1.4%
28.00	-	10	1.1 %	1.1%
28.42	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
29.00	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
30.00	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
31.00	-	7	0.8 %	0.8%
31.58	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
32.00	-	6	0.6 %	0.7%
32.63	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
33.00	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
34.00	-	9	1.0 %	1.0%
34.74	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
35.00	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
36.00	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
36.84	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
37.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
37.89	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
38.00	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
39.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
40.00	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
41.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
42.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
43.00	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
44.00	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
44.21	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
45.00	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
46.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
47.00	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
49.00	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
50.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
51.00	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
54.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
55.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
60.00	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
-9.00 (M)	Missing	4	0.4 %	-
-5.00 (M)	Question not asked on this form	6	0.6 %	-

Based upon 922 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

INTCIG8 INTENTION TO USE CIGARETTES [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER INTENTION]

Location: 184-186 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Intention to Use Substances: Cigarettes. Scale scored 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating a greater intention to use. (Sum of 2 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 13

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	671	72.0 %	72.7%
1	-	33	3.5 %	3.6%
2	-	141	15.1 %	15.3%
3	-	20	2.1 %	2.2%
4	-	58	6.2 %	6.3%
-9 (M)	Missing	9	1.0 %	-

Based upon 923 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

INTALC8 INTENTION TO USE ALCOHOL [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER INTENTION]

Location: 187-189 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Intention to Use Substances: Alcohol. Scale scored 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating a greater intention to use. (Sum of 2 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 13

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	188	20.2 %	20.3%
1	-	66	7.1 %	7.1%
2	-	225	24.1 %	24.3%
3	-	87	9.3 %	9.4%
4	-	359	38.5 %	38.8%
-9 (M)	Missing	7	0.8 %	-

Based upon 925 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

INTCHW8 INTENTION TO USE CHEWING TOBACCO [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER INTENTION]

Location: 190-192 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Intention to Use Substances: Chewing tobacco. Scale scored 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating a greater intention to use. (Sum of 2 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 13

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	865	92.8 %	92.8%
1	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
2	-	38	4.1 %	4.1%
3	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
4	-	21	2.3 %	2.3%

Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

INTPOT8 INTENTION TO USE MARIJUANA [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER INTENTION]

Location: 193-195 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Intention to Use Substances: Marijuana. Scale scored 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating a greater intention to use. (Sum of 2 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 13

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	701	75.2 %	75.3%
1	-	47	5.0 %	5.0%
2	-	115	12.3 %	12.4%
3	-	13	1.4 %	1.4%
4	-	55	5.9 %	5.9%
-9 (M)	Missing	1	0.1 %	-

Based upon 931 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

INTINH8 INTENTION TO USE INHALANTS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER INTENTION]

Location: 196-198 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Intention to Use Substances: Inhalants. Scale scored 0 to 4, with higher scores indicating a greater intention to use. (Sum of 2 items)

Grade 1 to Grade 13

- (0) No
- (1) Maybe
- (2) Yes

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	-	905	97.1 %	98.7%
1	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
2	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
3	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
4	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
-9 (M)	Missing	15	1.6 %	-

Based upon 917 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

CIGWLL8 CIGARETTE WILLINGNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER WILLINGNESS TO USE SUBSTANCE]

Location: 199-201 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Willingness. Scale scored 4 to 20, with higher scores indicating greater willingness to use substance. (sum of 4 items)

Grade 7 to Grade 13

- (1) Not at all willing
- (2) A little willing

- Study 34263 -

(3) A kind a willig

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
4	-	654	70.2 %	70.4%
5	-	50	5.4 %	5.4%
6	-	16	1.7 %	1.7%
7	-	22	2.4 %	2.4%
8	-	23	2.5 %	2.5%
9	-	13	1.4 %	1.4%
10	-	8	0.9 %	0.9%
11	-	9	1.0 %	1.0%
12	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
13	-	7	0.8 %	0.8%
14	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
15	-	9	1.0 %	1.0%
16	-	28	3.0 %	3.0%
17	-	8	0.9 %	0.9%
18	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
19	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
20	-	52	5.6 %	5.6%
-9 (M)	Missing	3	0.3 %	-

Based upon 929 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

ALCWLL8

ALCOHOL WILLINGNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER WILLINGNESS TO USE SUBSTANCE]

Location: 202-204 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Willingness. Scale scored 3 to 15, with higher scores indicating greater willingness to use substance - ALCOHOL. (sum of 4 items)

Grade 7 to Grade 13

(1) Not at all willing

(2) A little willing

(3) A kind a willig

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3	-	341	36.6 %	36.7%
4	-	93	10.0 %	10.0%
5	-	42	4.5 %	4.5%
6	-	68	7.3 %	7.3%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
7	-	48	5.2 %	5.2%
8	-	47	5.0 %	5.1%
9	-	60	6.4 %	6.5%
10	-	34	3.6 %	3.7%
11	-	50	5.4 %	5.4%
12	-	67	7.2 %	7.2%
13	-	18	1.9 %	1.9%
14	-	15	1.6 %	1.6%
15	-	46	4.9 %	5.0%
-9 (M)	Missing	3	0.3 %	-

Based upon 929 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

CHWWLL8	CHEW WILLINGNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER WILLINGNESS TO USE SUBSTANCE]
----------------	---

Location: 205-207 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9
 Question:

Willingness. Scale scored 3 to 15, with higher scores indicating greater willingness to use substance - CHEWING TOBACCO. (sum of 4 items)

Grade 7 to Grade 13

- (1) Not at all willing
- (2) A little willing
- (3) A kind a willig

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3	-	833	89.4 %	89.4%
4	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
5	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
6	-	9	1.0 %	1.0%
7	-	9	1.0 %	1.0%
8	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
9	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
10	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
11	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
12	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
13	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
15	-	21	2.3 %	2.3%

Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

- Study 34263 -

POTWLL8 POT WILLINGNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER WILLINGNESS TO USE SUBSTANCE]

Location: 208-210 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Willingness. Scale scored 4 to 20, with higher scores indicating greater willingness to use substance - MARIJUANA. (sum of 4 items)

Grade 7 to Grade 13

- (1) Not at all willing
- (2) A little willing
- (3) A kind a willig

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%	Valid %
4	-	608	65.2 %	65.4%
5	-	59	6.3 %	6.3%
6	-	26	2.8 %	2.8%
7	-	28	3.0 %	3.0%
8	-	28	3.0 %	3.0%
9	-	11	1.2 %	1.2%
10	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
11	-	14	1.5 %	1.5%
12	-	20	2.1 %	2.2%
13	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
14	-	12	1.3 %	1.3%
15	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
16	-	27	2.9 %	2.9%
17	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
18	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
19	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
20	-	55	5.9 %	5.9%
-9 (M)	Missing	2	0.2 %	-

Based upon 930 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

INHWLL8 INHALANT WILLINGNESS [HIGHER SCORE INDICATES GREATER WILLINGNESS TO USE SUBSTANCE]

Location: 211-213 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -99 , -9

Question:

Willingness. Scale scored 3 to 15, with higher scores indicating greater willingness to use substance - INHALANTS. (sum of 4 items)

- Study 34263 -

Grade 7 to Grade 13

- (1) Not at all willing
- (2) A little willing
- (3) A kind a willig

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3	-	886	95.1 %	95.1%
4	-	10	1.1 %	1.1%
5	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
6	-	14	1.5 %	1.5%
7	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
8	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
9	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
11	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
12	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
13	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
15	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%

Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

COHORT **COHORT: GRADE AT T1**

Location: 214-216 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Question: Cohort subject was a part of at T1.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Cohort 1	196	21.0 %	21.0%
2	Cohort 2	200	21.5 %	21.5%
3	Cohort 3	191	20.5 %	20.5%
4	Cohort 4	186	20.0 %	20.0%
5	Cohort 5	159	17.1 %	17.1%

Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

GRADE8C **COHORT GRADE AT T8**

Location: 217-219 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Question: Grade the subject was in at this time period.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
9	196	21.0 %	21.0%
10	200	21.5 %	21.5%
11	191	20.5 %	20.5%
12	186	20.0 %	20.0%

- Study 34263 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
13	159	17.1 %	17.1%

Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.

YPHONE8	TYPE OF ASSESSMENT
----------------	---------------------------

Location: 220-222 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric
 Range of Missing Values (M): -9
 Question: Survey was completed by phone.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Unweighted Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	Questionnaire	879	94.3 %	94.3%
1	Phone	53	5.7 %	5.7%

Based upon 932 valid cases out of 932 total cases.