



NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE

Data Resources Program

Portland [Oregon] Domestic Violence Experiment, 1996–1997

Annette Jolin, Robert Fountain, William Feyerherm,
and Sharon Friedman

ICPSR 3353

User Guide



Inter university Consortium for Political and Social Research

PORTLAND [OREGON] DOMESTIC VIOLENCE EXPERIMENT, 1996-1997

(ICPSR 3353)

Principal Investigators

Annette Jolin, Robert Fountain, William Feyerherm,
and Sharon Friedman
Portland State University

First ICPSR Version
April 2002

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Jolin, Annette, Robert Fountain, William Feyerherm, and Sharon Friedman. PORTLAND [OREGON] DOMESTIC VIOLENCE EXPERIMENT, 1996-1997 [Computer file]. ICPSR version. Portland, OR: Portland State University [producer], 1998. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor], 2002.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Summary	1
General Study Overview	5
Study Identification	5
Key Words	5
Purpose of the Study	5
Methods	6
Study Design	6
Sources of Information	7
Sample	7
Response Rates	8
Dates of Data Collection	8
Summary of Contents	8
Description of Variables	8
Presence of Common Scales	10
Unit of Observation	10
Extent of Processing	10
Extent of Collection	10
Data Collection Notes	10
File Specifications	10
Related Publications	12
Final Reports and Other Publications	13
Data Resources Program on the Internet	13
Data Completeness Report	15
Part 1	15
Part 2	17
Part 3	19
Part 4	21
Part 5	23
Part 6	25
Part 7	27
Part 8	29
Part 9	31
Part 10	37
Part 11	39
Part 12.....	41

SUMMARY

As part of its organization-wide transition to community policing in 1989, the Portland Police Bureau, in collaboration with the Family Violence Intervention Steering Committee of Multnomah County, developed a plan to reduce domestic violence in Portland. The creation of a special police unit to focus exclusively on misdemeanor domestic crimes was the centerpiece of the plan. This police unit, the Domestic Violence Reduction Unit (DVRU), had two goals: to increase the sanctions for batterers and to empower victims. This study was designed to determine whether DVRU strategies led to reductions in domestic violence. Data were collected from official records on batterers (Parts 1-10), and from surveys on victims (Parts 11-12). Part 1 (Police Recorded Study Case Data) provides information on police custody reports. Part 2 (Batterer Arrest History Data) describes the arrest history during a five-year period prior to each batterer's study case arrest date. Part 3 (Charges Data for Study Case Arrests) contains charges filed by the prosecutor's office in conjunction with study case arrests. Part 4 (Jail Data) reports booking charges and jail information. Part 5 (Court Data) contains sentencing information for those offenders who had either entered a guilty plea or had been found guilty of the charges stemming from the study case arrest. Data in Part 6 (Restraining Order Data) document the existence of restraining orders, before and/or after the study case arrest date. Part 7 (Diversion Program Data) includes deferred sentencing program information for study cases. Variables in Parts 1-7 provide information on number of batterer's arrests for domestic violence and non-domestic violence crimes in the past five years, charge and disposition of the study case, booking charges, number of hours offender spent in jail, type of release, type of sentence, if restraining order was filed after case arrest, if restraining order was served or vacated, number of days offender stayed in diversion program, and type of diversion violation incurred. Part 8 (Domestic Violence Reduction Unit Treatment Data) contains 395 of the 404 study cases that were randomly assigned to the treatment condition. Variables describe the types of services DVRU provided, such as taking photographs along with victim statements; providing the victim with information on case prosecution, restraining orders, shelters, counseling, and an appointment with district attorney; helping the victim get a restraining order; serving a restraining order on the batterer; transporting the victim to a shelter; and providing the victim with a motel voucher and emergency food supply. Part 9 (Police Record Recidivism Data) includes police entries (incident or arrest) six months before and six months after the study case arrest date. Part 10 (Police Recorded Revictimization and Reoffending Data) consists of revictimization and reoffending summary counts as well as time-to-failure data. Most of the variables in Part 10 were derived

from information reported in Part 9. Part 9 and Part 10 variables include whether the offense in each incident was related to domestic violence, whether victimization was done by the same batterer as in the study case arrest, type of police action against the victimization, charges of the victimization, type of premises where the crime was committed, whether the police report indicated that witnesses or children were present, whether the police report mentioned victim injury, weapon used, involvement of drugs or alcohol, whether the batterer denied abuse victim, number of days from study cases to police-recorded revictimization, and whether the recorded victimization led to the batterer's arrest. Part 11 (Wave 1 Victim Interview Data) contains data obtained through in-person interviews with victims shortly (1-2 weeks) after the case entered the study. Data in Part 12 (Wave 2 Victim Interview Data) represent victims' responses to the second wave of interviews, conducted approximately six months after the study case victimization occurred. Variables in Part 11 and Part 12 cover the victim's experience six months before the study case arrest and six months after the study case arrest. Demographic variables in both files include victim's and batterer's race and ethnicity, employment, and income, and relationship status between victim and batterer. Information on childhood experiences includes whether the victim and batterer felt emotionally cared for by parents, whether the victim and batterer witnessed violence between parents while growing up, and whether the victim and batterer were abused as children by a family member. Variables on the batterer's abusive behaviors include whether the batterer threatened to kill, swore at, pushed or grabbed, slapped, beat, or forced the victim to have sex. Information on the results of the abuse includes whether the abuse led to cuts or bruises, broken bones, burns, internal injury, or damage to eyes or ears. Information was also collected on whether alcohol or drugs were involved in the abuse events. Variables on victims' actions after the event include whether the victim saw a doctor; whether the victim talked to a minister, a family member, a friend, a mental health professional, or a district attorney; whether the victim tried to get an arrest warrant, went to a shelter to talk, and/or stayed at a shelter; whether the victim asked police to intervene, tried to get a restraining order, talked to an attorney, or undertook other actions; and whether the event led to the batterer's arrest. Variables on victim satisfaction with the police and the DVRU include whether police or the DVRU were able to calm things down, recommended going to the district attorney, informed the victim of her legal rights, recommended that the victim contact shelter or support groups, transported the victim to a hospital, and listened to the victim; whether police treated the victim with respect; and whether the victim would want police or the DVRU involved in the future if needed. Variables on the victim's emotional state include whether the victim was confident that she could keep herself safe, felt her family life was under control, and felt she was doing all she could to get

help. Other variables include number of children the victim had and their ages, and whether the children had seen violence between the victim and batterer.

GENERAL STUDY OVERVIEW

STUDY IDENTIFICATION

PORTLAND [OREGON] DOMESTIC VIOLENCE EXPERIMENT, 1996-1997

Annette Jolin, Robert Fountain, William Feyerherm, and Sharon Friedman

Portland State University

Award No. 95-IJ-CX-0054

KEY WORDS

batterers, community policing, domestic violence, victims

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

As part of its organization-wide transition to community policing in 1989, the Portland Police Bureau, in collaboration with the Family Violence Intervention Steering Committee of Multnomah County, developed a plan to reduce domestic violence in Portland. The creation of a special police unit to focus exclusively on misdemeanor domestic crimes was the centerpiece of the plan. This police unit, the Domestic Violence Reduction Unit (DVRU), had two goals: to increase the sanctions for batterers and to empower victims. The logic underlying the DVRU strategies was that, in the long run, the unit's efforts would bring about reductions in violence by increasing the cost of violence to batterers and reducing the risk of renewed violence to their victims. This was to be accomplished in the short run by increases in prosecutions and victim empowerment. This study was designed to determine whether these DVRU strategies led to reductions in domestic violence. The researchers sought to answer the following questions: (1) Do DVRU interventions increase prosecutions of misdemeanor domestic violence cases? (2) Do DVRU interventions increase victim empowerment? (3) Do DVRU interventions lead to reductions in domestic violence?

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

The study, which was funded by the National Institute of Justice in December 1995, was designed as an experiment in which domestic violence cases were randomly assigned to treatment and control conditions and examined before and after a six-month observation period. A double-blind randomization design was used to assign eligible cases to a DVRU treatment group or to a control group, i.e., one that did not receive DVRU intervention. The research staff housed at the DVRU was responsible for the randomization of the case materials. The research staff picked up the police reports three times a day from the depository, so that the DVRU officers didn't see a case until after it had been entered into the study by the research staff. The police report and a police intervention checklist went into a manila envelope with the case number printed on the outside. The research assistant then gave the stack of sealed envelopes to the DVRU sergeant, who then divided the cases into treatment and control sets based on the last digit of the case number. At this point, treatment cases were made available to the DVRU officers for intervention. Control cases were filed in a cabinet, and not touched until the cessation of the study. Research staff constrained by the double-blind design contacted the victims in both groups and scheduled initial interviews. If the victim refused an interview, then the staff attempted another call after approximately one week. If the victim still refused to be interviewed, her case was omitted from the interview phase of the data collection but was retained for agency data collection purposes. All research staff members at the DVRU who were involved in randomization were kept ignorant of the treatment code. Once the interviews were scheduled, the research staff member faxed a copy of the victim's face sheet to a Portland State University (PSU) research facility, where the rest of the research staff was housed. The PSU research staff was in contact with the DVRU sergeant about contaminated control cases, safety concerns, and other issues. The interview packets were assembled from this facility and picked up by interviewers. If interviewers had questions about the nature of a case, they were to speak only to the PSU staff so as not to disclose any information about the case to the staff at the DVRU. If a victim called a DVRU officer on her own initiative, the officer provided the research staff with an "orange slip" denoting victim contact. The DVRU research staff would fax the information on a follow-up sheet to PSU, where, if the case was determined to be a control case, it would be marked "received treatment," dated, and separated from the rest of the cases. If an interview was already arranged, the PSU research staff conducted the interview to avoid breaking an engagement with a victim who had agreed to participate in the study. These kinds of situations contributed to case attrition. Two machine-readable data collection

instruments were specifically developed for the first wave of victim interviews. Treatment group questionnaires differed from control group questionnaires only in that the former contained a set of questions about the DVRU. The study design called for Wave 1 interviews to be completed with victims within 10 days of entry into the study. Wave 2 victim interviews were conducted approximately six months after the study case victimization occurred.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Data were collected from the following sources: Parts 1, 9, and 10: the Portland Police Data System (PPDS) electronic data files. Part 2: Law Enforcement Data Systems (LEDS), the electronic crime data file maintained by the State of Oregon Department of State Police. Part 3: the District Attorney Case Tracking System (DACTS) maintained by the Multnomah County District Attorney's Office. Part 4: the Multnomah County Sheriff's Warrant and Inmate System (SWIS) electronic database. Parts 5 and 6: the Oregon Judicial Information Network (OJIN) electronic database. Part 7: the Adult Community Justice Services Division-Deferred Sentencing Program Files. Part 8: the machine-readable "Police Check Lists (PCL)," a document in which DVRU officers recorded the types of "treatment" they delivered in each treatment case. Parts 11 and 12: personal interviews with victims.

SAMPLE

The sample was selected from domestic violence cases that came to the attention of the Portland Police Bureau between March 18 and November 27, 1996. All of the cases that met the study criteria during this time period were included in the sample. The study's definition of "domestic" meant "intimate" and was therefore narrower than the legal definition, which includes, for example, crimes that occur between blood relatives. Domestic violence incidents were included in the study if they involved misdemeanor crimes between adult, heterosexual, intimate partners when the male assailant was arrested at the scene and taken to jail by the officers who responded to the call. Victims had to reside within Oregon or southwestern Washington and be reachable by telephone. Cases in which officers arrested both parties were excluded. For each eligible case, a computer program generated a random five-digit number. The first four digits were used for tracking purposes, and to help disguise the treatment cases. The last digit signified whether the case was to be classified as treatment or control (numerals 1-4 indicated "treatment," numerals 5-9 and 0 indicated "control"). Treatment cases were then made available

to DVRU officers and control cases were set apart for the duration of the study.

RESPONSE RATES

Response rate was 52 percent for Part 11 (Wave 1 Victim Interview Data), and 81 percent for Part 12 (Wave 2 Victim Interview Data).

DATE(S) OF DATA COLLECTION

1996-1997

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

DESCRIPTION OF VARIABLES

Variables in Parts 1-7 provide information on number of batterer's arrests for domestic violence and non-domestic violence crimes in the past five years, charge and disposition of the study case, booking charges, number of hours offender spent in jail, type of release, type of sentence, if restraining order was filed after case arrest, if restraining order was served or vacated, number of days offender stayed in diversion program, and type of diversion violation incurred. Part 8 (Domestic Violence Reduction Unit Treatment Data) contains 395 of the 404 study cases that were randomly assigned to the treatment condition. Variables describe the types of services DVRU provided, such as taking photographs along with victim statements; providing the victim with information on case prosecution, restraining orders, shelters, counseling, and an appointment with district attorney; helping the victim get a restraining order; serving a restraining order on the batterer; transporting the victim to a shelter; and providing the victim with a motel voucher and emergency food supply. Part 9 (Police Record Recidivism Data) includes police entries (incident or arrest) six months before and six months after the study case arrest date. Part 10 (Police Recorded Revictimization and Reoffending Data) consists of revictimization and reoffending summary counts as well as time-to-failure data. Most of the variables in Part 10 were derived from information reported in Part 9. Part 9 and Part 10 variables include whether the offense in each incident was related to domestic violence, whether victimization was done by the same batterer as in the study case arrest, type of police action against the victimization, charges of the victimization, type of premises where the crime was committed, whether the police report

indicated that witnesses or children were present, whether the police report mentioned victim injury, weapon used, involvement of drugs or alcohol, whether the batterer denied abuse victim, number of days from study cases to police-recorded revictimization, and whether the recorded victimization led to the batterer's arrest. Part 11 (Wave 1 Victim Interview Data) contains data obtained through in-person interviews with victims shortly (1-2 weeks) after the case entered the study. Data in Part 12 (Wave 2 Victim Interview Data) represent victims' responses to the second wave of interviews, conducted approximately six months after the study case victimization occurred. Variables in Part 11 and Part 12 cover the victim's experience six months before the study case arrest and six months after the study case arrest. Demographic variables in both files include victim's and batterer's race and ethnicity, employment, and income, and relationship status between victim and batterer. Information on childhood experiences includes whether the victim and batterer felt emotionally cared for by parents, whether the victim and batterer witnessed violence between parents while growing up, and whether the victim and batterer were abused as children by a family member. Variables on the batterer's abusive behaviors include whether the batterer threatened to kill, swore at, pushed or grabbed, slapped, beat, or forced the victim to have sex. Information on the results of the abuse includes whether the abuse led to cuts or bruises, broken bones, burns, internal injury, or damage to eyes or ears. Information was also collected on whether alcohol or drugs were involved in the abuse events. Variables on victims' actions after the event include whether the victim saw a doctor; whether the victim talked to a minister, a family member, a friend, a mental health professional, or a district attorney; whether the victim tried to get an arrest warrant, went to a shelter to talk, and/or stayed at a shelter; whether the victim asked police to intervene, tried to get a restraining order, talked to an attorney, or undertook other actions; and whether the event led to the batterer's arrest. Variables on victim satisfaction with the police and the DVRU include whether police or the DVRU were able to calm things down, recommended going to the district attorney, informed the victim of her legal rights, recommended that the victim contact shelter or support groups, transported the victim to a hospital, and listened to the victim; whether police treated the victim with respect; and whether the victim would want police or the DVRU involved in the future if needed. Variables on the victim's emotional state include whether the victim was confident that she could keep herself safe, felt her family life was under control, and felt she was doing all she could to get help. Other variables include number of children the victim had and their ages, and whether the children had seen violence between the victim and batterer.

PRESENCE OF COMMON SCALES

Conflict Tactics Scale, and several Likert-type scales were used.

UNIT OF OBSERVATION

Parts 1-10: Cases. Parts 11-12: Victims.

EXTENT OF PROCESSING

Missing data codes were standardized by the principal investigator and ICPSR. ICPSR checked for undocumented codes, produced a codebook, generated SAS and SPSS data definition statements, converted the hardcopy documentation to a PDF file, and reformatted the data and documentation.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION

This data collection consists of 12 data files, a PDF user guide, a codebook and data collection instruments in a separate PDF file, and SAS and SPSS data definition statements.

DATA COLLECTION NOTES

The user guide, codebook, and data collection instruments are provided by ICPSR as Portable Document Format (PDF) files. The PDF file format was developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated and can be accessed using PDF reader software, such as the Adobe Acrobat Reader. Information on how to obtain a copy of the Acrobat Reader is provided on the ICPSR Web site.

FILE SPECIFICATIONS

PART NUMBER: 1
PART NAME: Police Recorded Study Case Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 927
VARIABLE COUNT: 21
RECORD LENGTH: 61
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 2
PART NAME: Batterer Arrest History Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 930
VARIABLE COUNT: 10
RECORD LENGTH: 16
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 3
PART NAME: Charges Data For Study Case Arrests
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 1,571
VARIABLE COUNT: 6
RECORD LENGTH: 27
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 4
PART NAME: Jail Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 920
VARIABLE COUNT: 13
RECORD LENGTH: 75
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 5
PART NAME: Court Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 207
VARIABLE COUNT: 23
RECORD LENGTH: 57
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 6
PART NAME: Restraining Order Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 326
VARIABLE COUNT: 10
RECORD LENGTH: 44
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 7
PART NAME: Diversion Program Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 110
VARIABLE COUNT: 7
RECORD LENGTH: 37
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 8
PART NAME: Domestic Violence Reduction Unit Treatment Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 395
VARIABLE COUNT: 22
RECORD LENGTH: 26
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 9
PART NAME: Police Recorded Recidivism Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 927
VARIABLE COUNT: 175
RECORD LENGTH: 467
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 10
PART NAME: Police Recorded Revictimization and Reoffending Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 927
VARIABLE COUNT: 15
RECORD LENGTH: 37
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 11
PART NAME: Wave 1 Victim Interview Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 488
VARIABLE COUNT: 142
RECORD LENGTH: 298
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 12
PART NAME: Wave 2 Victim Interview Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 396
VARIABLE COUNT: 193
RECORD LENGTH: 325
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

RELATED PUBLICATION

Jolin, Annette, William Feyerherm, Robert Fountain, and Sharon Friedman. "Beyond Arrest: The Portland, Oregon Domestic Violence Experiment" (Final Report). NCJ 179968. Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice. National Institute of Justice, 1998.

FINAL REPORTS AND OTHER PUBLICATIONS

The National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) was established in 1972 by the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), of the U.S. Department of Justice, to provide research findings to criminal justice professionals and researchers. NCJRS operates specialized clearinghouses that are staffed by information specialists who supply a range of reference, referral, and distribution services. Final reports and other publications describing research conducted on a variety of criminal justice topics are available. Publications can be obtained from NCJRS at NIJ/NCJRS, Box 6000, Rockville, MD, 20849-6000, 800-851-3420 or 301-519-5500. TTY Service for the Hearing Impaired is 877-712-9279 (toll-free) or 301-947-8374 (local). The URL for the NCJRS homepage is:

<http://www.ncjrs.org>

DATA RESOURCES PROGRAM ON THE INTERNET

The National Institute of Justice Data Resources Program (DRP) makes datasets from NIJ-funded research and evaluation projects available to the research community and sponsors research and training activities devoted to secondary data analysis. Datasets are archived by the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (NACJD) at the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) at the University of Michigan.

The NACJD maintains a World Wide Web site with instructions for transferring files and sending messages. Criminal justice data funded by the Department of Justice are available via the Internet at this site at no charge to the user. NACJD may be contacted at NACJD/ICPSR, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI, 48106-1248, 800-999-0960 or 734-998-9825. The URL for the NACJD homepage is:

<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/NACJD>

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P1

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=927)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

71.4% (15 of 21 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
9.5% (2 of 21 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
4.8% (1 of 21 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 21 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 21 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 21 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 21 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
14.3% (3 of 21 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
INCDATE DATE OF CRIME	100.0%
VICRACE VICTIM RACE INDICATED ON POLICE REPORT	100.0%
OFFRACE OFFENDER RACE INDICATED ON REPORT	100.0%
=====	

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected in the data as coded.
 *Variabls INCDATE, VICRACE, and OFFRACE were blanked by ICPSR for confidentiality purposes.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P2

Table 2: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```
=====
Variable Name and Label                               Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=930)                                    Missing Values
-----
```

100% (10 of 10 variables) have 0% Missing Values

```
=====
*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.
```


DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P3

Table 3: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=1571)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

16.7% (1 of 6 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 6 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
50.0% (3 of 6 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 6 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 6 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 6 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
16.7% (1 of 6 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
NUMBR	NUMBER OF CHARGES PER CASE 37.0%
16.7% (1 of 6 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
DAFILE	DATE CASE RECEIVED IN PROSECUTOR'S OFFIC 100.0%
=====	

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.
 *Variable DAFILE was blanked by ICPSR for confidentiality purposes.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P4

Table 4: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=920)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

15.4% (2 of 13 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 13 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
53.8% (7 of 13 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
7.7% (1 of 13 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 13 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 13 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 13 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
23.1% (3 of 13 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
ARR STUDY CASE ARREST DATE	100.0%
DATEIN DATE OFFENDER WAS BOOKED INTO JAIL	100.0%
DATEOUT DATE OFFENDER WAS RELEASED FROM JAIL	100.0%
=====	

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected in the data as coded.
 *Variables ARR, DATEIN, and DATEOUT were blanked by ICPSR for confidentiality purposes.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P5

Table 5: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=207)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

82.6% (19 of 23 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 23 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 23 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 23 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 23 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
4.3% (1 of 23 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
CON1 CONVICTION OFFENSE ONE ORS CODE	18.8%
0.0% (0 of 23 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
13.0% (3 of 23 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
CON2 CONVICTION OFFENSE TWO ORS CODE	83.1%
CON3 CONVICTION OFFENSE THREE ORS CODE	95.2%
ARR STUDY CASE ARREST DATE	100.0%
=====	

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.
 *Variable ARR was blanked by ICPSR for confidentiality purposes.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P6

Table 6: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=326)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

60.0% (6 of 10 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 10 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 10 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 10 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 10 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 10 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
10.0% (1 of 10 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
SERV RESTRAINING ORDER WAS SERVED	20.2%
30.0% (3 of 10 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
ARR DATE OF STUDY CASE ARREST	100.0%
FILEDATE DATE RESTRAINING ORDER WAS FILED	100.0%
HGDATE DATE OF HEARING	100.0%
=====	

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.
 *Variables ARR, FILEDATE, and HGDATE were blanked by ICPSR for
 confidentiality purposes.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P7

Table 7: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=110)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

42.9% (3 of 7 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 7 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 7 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 7 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 7 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
14.3% (1 of 7 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
VIOLETYPE	12.7%
14.3% (1 of 7 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
DIVDAYS # DAYS OFFENDER IN DIVERSION PROGRAM	36.4%
28.6% (2 of 7 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
STDATE OFFENDER STARTED DIVERSION PROGRAM	100.0%
FIDATED OFFENDER ENDED DIVERSION PROGRAM	100.0%
=====	

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected in the data as coded.
 *Variables STDATE and FIDATED were blanked by ICPSR for confidentiality purposes.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P8

Table 8: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=395)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
--	---

100% (22 of 22 variables) have 0% Missing Values

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P9

Table 9: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=927)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

13.1% (23 of 175 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 175 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 175 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 175 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 175 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 175 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 175 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
86.9% (152 of 175 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
OTIME1 OFF. 1 OCCURED BEF/AFT STUDY CASE ARRES	73.7%
OSAME1 OFFENSE 1 SAME VICTIM AS IN STUDY CASE	73.7%
OREPORT1 OFFENSE 1 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	73.7%
VTIME1 VICTIMIZATION 1 OCCURRED BEFORE/AFT ARR	73.9%
SAME1 VICTIMIZATN 1 BY SAME BATTERER AS IN ARR	73.9%
VREPORT1 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION VICTIMIZATION 1	73.9%
VOFFA1 VICTIMIZATN 1 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 1	85.3%
OOFFA1 OFFENSE 1 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 1	85.4%
OORSA1 OFFENSE 1 CHARGE CODE 1	88.5%
VORSA1 VICTIMIZATION 1 CHARGE 1	88.7%
OINC2 OFFENSE 2 RECORDED BY POLICE	89.2%
OTIME2 OFFENSE 2 OCCURRED BEF/AFT STUDY CASE	89.2%
OSAME2 OFFENSE 2 SAME VICTIM AS IN STUDY CASE	89.2%
OREPORT2 OFFENSE 2 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	89.2%
VTIME2 VICTIMIZATION 2 OCCURRED BEFORE/AFTR ARR	90.2%
SAME2 VICTIMIZATN 2 SAME BATTERR IN STUDY CASE	90.2%
VREPORT2 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION VICTIMIZATION 2	90.2%
OOFFB1 OFFENSE 1 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 2	92.3%
=====	

Table 9 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
VOFB1	VICTIMIZATN 1 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 2	92.6%
OOFFA2	OFFENSE 2 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 1	92.9%
VOFFA2	VICTIMIZATN 2 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 1	93.6%
OINC3	OFFENSE 3 RECORDED BY POLICE	94.8%
OTIME3	OFFENSE 3 OCCURR BEF/AFT STUDY CASE ARR	94.8%
OSAME3	OFFENSE 3 SAME VICTIM AS STUDY CASE	94.8%
OREPORT3	OFFENSE 3 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	94.8%
VTIME3	VICTIMIZATN 3 OCCURRED BF/AFT STUDY CASE	94.9%
SAME3	VICTIMIZAT 3 SAME BATTERER IN STUDY CASE	94.9%
VREPORT3	VICTIMIZATION 3 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	94.9%
OOFFB2	OFFENSE 2 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 2	95.3%
VOFB2	VICTIMIZATN 2 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 2	95.4%
VOFFA3	VICTIMIZATN 3 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 1	96.0%
OOFFA3	OFFENSE 3 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 1	96.0%
OORSA2	OFFENSE 2 CHARGE CODE 1	96.3%
OORSB1	OFFENSE 1 CHARGE CODE 2	96.4%
VORSA2	VICTIMIZATION 2 CHARGE CODE 1	96.7%
VOFB3	VICTIMIZATN 3 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 2	96.9%
OOFFB3	OFFENSE 3 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 2	96.9%
VORSB1	VICTIMIZATION 1 CHARGE 2	97.3%
VTIME4	VICTIMIZATN 4 OCCURRED BF/AFT STUDY CASE	97.3%
SAME4	VICTIMIZAT 4 SAME BATTERER IN STUDY CASE	97.3%
VREPORT4	VICTIMIZATN 4 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	97.3%
OINC4	OFFENSE 4 RECORDED BY POLICE	97.3%
OTIME4	OFFENSE 4 OCCURR BEF/AFT STUDY CASE ARR	97.3%
OSAME4	OFFENSE 4 SAME VICTIM AS STUDY CASE	97.3%
OREPORT4	OFFENSE 4 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	97.3%
OOFFA4	OFFENSE 4 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 1	97.8%
VOFFA4	VICTIMIZATN 4 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 1	98.0%
OOFFB4	OFFENSE 4 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 2	98.4%
OINC5	OFFENSE 5 RECORDED BY POLICE	98.4%
OTIME5	OFFENSE 5 OCCURR BEF/AFT STUDY CASE ARR	98.4%
OSAME5	OFFENSE 5 SAME VICTIM AS STUDY CASE	98.4%
OREPORT5	OFFENSE 5 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	98.4%
VOFB4	VICTIMIZATN 4 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 2	98.5%
VTIME5	VICTIMIZATN 5 OCCUR BEF/AFT STUDY CASE	98.6%
SAME5	VICTIMIZAT 5 SAME BATTERER IN STUDY CASE	98.6%
VREPORT5	VICTIMIZATION 5 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	98.6%
OORSA3	OFFENSE 3 CHARGE CODE 1	98.8%
VORSA3	VICTIMIZATION 3 CHARGE CODE 1	98.9%
OOFFA5	OFFENSE 5 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 1	98.9%
OINC6	OFFENSE 6 RECORDED BY POLICE	99.0%

Table 9 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
OTIME6	OFFENSE 6 OCCURR BEF/AFT STUDY CASE ARR	99.0%
OSAME6	OFFENSE 6 SAME VICTIM AS STUDY CASE	99.0%
OREPORT6	OFFENSE 6 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	99.0%
VOFFA5	VICTIMIZATN 5 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 1	99.1%
VTIME6	VICTIMIZATN 6 OCCURRED BF/AFT STUDY CASE	99.1%
SAME6	VICTIMIZAT 6 SAME BATTERER IN STUDY CASE	99.1%
VREPORT6	VICTIMIZATION 6 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	99.1%
VOFB5	VICTIMIZATN 5 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 2	99.2%
OORSC1	OFFENSE 1 CHARGE CODE 3	99.2%
OOFFB5	OFFENSE 5 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 2	99.2%
VORSB2	VICTIMIZATION 2 CHARGE CODE 2	99.4%
VORSA4	VICTIMIZATION 4 CHARGE CODE 1	99.4%
OOFFA6	OFFENSE 6 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 1	99.4%
VORSA5	VICTIMIZATION 5 CHARGE CODE 1	99.5%
VOFFA6	VICTIMIZATN 6 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 1	99.5%
OORSB2	OFFENSE 2 CHARGE CODE 2	99.5%
OORSA4	OFFENSE 4 CHARGE CODE 1	99.5%
OORSA5	OFFENSE 5 CHARGE CODE 1	99.5%
VORSC1	VICTIMIZAITON 1 CHARGE 3	99.6%
VOFFC2	VICTIMIZATN 2 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 3	99.6%
OOFFC2	OFFENSE 2 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 3	99.6%
OINC7	OFFENSE 7 RECORDED BY POLICE	99.6%
OTIME7	OFFENSE 7 OCCURR BEF/AFT STUDY CASE ARR	99.6%
OSAME7	OFFENSE 7 SAME VICTIM AS STUDY CASE	99.6%
OREPORT7	OFFENSE 7 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	99.6%
VORSA6	VICTIMIZATION 6 CHARGE CODE 1	99.7%
VTIME7	VICTIMIZATN 7 OCCURR BEF/AFT STUDY CASE	99.7%
SAME7	VICTIMIZAT 7 SAME BATTERER IN STUDY CASE	99.7%
VREPORT7	VICTIMIZATION 7 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	99.7%
OOFFC1	OFFENSE 1 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 3	99.7%
OORSB3	OFFENSE 3 CHARGE CODE 2	99.7%
OOFFB6	OFFENSE 6 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 2	99.7%
OORSA6	OFFENSE 6 CHARGE CODE 1	99.7%
OOFFA7	OFFENSE 7 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 1	99.7%
VOFFC1	VICTIMIZATN 1 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 3	99.8%
VORSB3	VICTIMIZATION 3 CHARGE CODE 2	99.8%
VOFB6	VICTIMIZATN 6 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 2	99.8%
VORSB6	VICTIMIZATION 6 CHARGE CODE 2	99.8%
VOFFA7	VICTIMIZATN 7 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 1	99.8%
VINC8	EIGHTH VICTIMIZATION RECORDED BY POLICE	99.8%
VTIM8	VICTIMIZATN 8 OCCURR BEF/AFT STUDY CASE	99.8%
VREPORT8	VICTIMIZATION 8 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	99.8%

Table 9 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
SAME8	VICTIMIZAT 8 SAME BATTERER IN STUDY CASE	99.8%
VOFFA8	VICTIMIZATION 8 POLICE OFFENSE CODE 1	99.8%
VOFB8	VICTIMIZATN 8 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 2	99.8%
OORSB6	OFFENSE 6 CHARGE CODE 2	99.8%
OINC8	OFFENSE 8 RECORDED BY POLICE	99.8%
OTIME8	OFFENSE 8 OCCURR BEF/AFT STUDY CASE ARR	99.8%
OSAME8	OFFENSE 8 SAME VICTIM AS STUDY CASE	99.8%
OREPORT8	OFFENSE 8 TYPE OF POLICE ACTION	99.8%
OOFFA8	OFFENSE 8 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 1	99.8%
OOFFB8	OFFENSE 8 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 2	99.8%
VORSC2	VICTIMIZATION 2 CHARGE CODE 3	99.9%
VOFFC3	VICTIMIZATN 3 POLICE REPORT OFF CODE 3	99.9%
VOFFC5	VICTIMIZATN 5 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 3	99.9%
VORSB5	VICTIMIZATION 5 CHARGE CODE 2	99.9%
VORSC5	VICTIMIZATION 5 CHARGE CODE 3	99.9%
VOFFC6	VICTIMIZATN 6 POLICE REPT OFF. CODE 3	99.9%
VORSA7	VICTIMIZATION 7 CHARGE CODE 1	99.9%
VORSB7	VICTIMIZATION 7 CHARGE CODE 2	99.9%
OOFFC3	OFFENSE 3 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 3	99.9%
OOFFC5	OFFENSE 5 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 3	99.9%
OORSB5	OFFENSE 5 CHARGE CODE 2	99.9%
OORSC5	OFFENSE 5 CHARGE CODE 3	99.9%
OOFFC6	OFFENSE 6 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 3	99.9%
OORSA7	OFFENSE 7 CHARCE CODE 1	99.9%
OORSB7	OFFENSE 7 CHARGE CODE 2	99.9%
VORSC3	VICTIMIZATION 3 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%
VOFFC4	VICTIMIZATN 4 POLICE REPORT OFF CODE 3	100.0%
VORSB4	VICTIMIZATION 4 CHARGE CODE 2	100.0%
VORSC4	VICTIMIZATION 4 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%
VORSC6	VICTIMIZATION 6 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%
VOFB7	VICTIMIZATN 7 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 2	100.0%
VOFFC7	VICTIMIZAT 7 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 3	100.0%
VORSC7	VICTIMIZATION 7 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%
VOFFC8	VICTIMIZATN 8 POLICE REPORT OFF. CODE 3	100.0%
VORSA8	VICTIMIZATION 8 CHARGE CODE 1	100.0%
VORSB8	VICTIMIZATION 8 CHARGE CODE 2	100.0%
VORSC8	VICTIMIZATION 8 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%
OORSC2	OFFENSE 2 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%
OORSC3	OFFENSE 3 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%
OOFFC4	OFFENSE 4 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 3	100.0%
OORSB4	OFFENSE 4 CHARGE CODE 2	100.0%
OORSC4	OFFENSE 4 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%

Table 9 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
OORSC6 OFFENSE 6 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%
OOFFB7 OFFENSE 7 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 2	100.0%
OOFFC7 OFFENSE 7 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 3	100.0%
OORSC7 OFFENSE 7 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%
OOFFC8 OFFENSE 8 POLICE REPORT OFFENSE CODE 3	100.0%
OORSA8 OFFENSE 8 CHARGE CODE 1	100.0%
OORSB8 OFFENSE 8 CHARGE CODE 2	100.0%
OORSC8 OFFENSE 8 CHARGE CODE 3	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P10

Table 10: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=927)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

93.3% (14 of 15 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 15 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 15 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 15 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 15 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 15 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 15 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
6.7% (1 of 15 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
INCDATE DATE OF STUDY CASE ARREST	100.0%
=====	

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.

*Variable INCDATE was blanked by ICPSR for confidentiality purposes.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P11

Table 11: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=488)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

0.7% (1 of 142 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 142 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
76.1% (108 of 142 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
3.5% (5 of 142 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
0.7% (1 of 142 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
Q51 PARTNER: HIGHEST GRADE IN SCHL COMPLETE	5.5%
2.8% (4 of 142 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
Q137 VICTIM'S CHILDREN AGES 3 - 6	19.5%
Q138 VICTIM'S CHILDREN 7 - 12	19.5%
Q139 VICTIM'S CHILDREN 13 - 18	19.5%
Q136 VICTIM'S CHILDREN UNDER AGE 3	19.7%
1.4% (2 of 142 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
Q140 CHILDREN SEEN VIOLENCE BTWN VICT/PARNTE	20.9%
AGE AGE OF VICTIM AT INTERVIEW 1	21.3%
14.8% (21 of 142 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
Q111 DVRU OFFICER TOOK PICTURES OF INJURIES	56.8%
Q112 DVRU OFFICER HELPED MAKE SAFETY PLAN	56.8%
Q113 DVRU TOLD VIC HOW TO PROSEC. PARTNER	56.8%
Q114 DVRU TOLD HOW TO GET RESTRAINING ORDR	56.8%
Q115 DVRU TOLD HOW TO GET INTO SHELTER	56.8%
Q116 DVRU TOLD VIC HOW TO GET COUNSELING	56.8%
Q117 DVRU TOLD HOW TO GET COUNSLNG FOR PARTN	56.8%
Q118 DVRU REFERRED TO VIC' ASSISTANCE PRGM	56.8%
=====	

Table 11 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
Q119 DVRU OFFICER GAVE VICTIM MOTEL VOUCHER	56.8%
Q120 DVRU HELPED VIC GET APPOINTMENT W/ DA	56.8%
Q121 DVRU HELPED VIC GET RESTRAINING ORDER	56.8%
Q122 DVRU TRANSPORTED VICTIM TO SHELTER	56.8%
Q123 DVRU TRANSPORTED VIC TO/FROM COURT	56.8%
Q124 DVRU TRANSPORTED VIC TO/FRM HOSPITAL	56.8%
Q104 HOW HELPFUL WERE POLICE WITH VICTIM	58.2%
Q125 DVRU OFFICER WAS CONCERNED ABOUT VICTIM	59.0%
Q126 DVRU OFFICER WAS HELPFUL TO VICTIM	59.0%
Q127 DVRU TREATED VICTIM WITH RESPECT	59.2%
Q128 DVRU HOSTILE/NASTY TOWARD VICTIM	59.2%
Q129 SATISFIED W/ ATTITUDE OF DVRU OFFICER	59.2%
Q130 VICTIM WOULD WANT DVRU INVOLVED AGAIN	59.6%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3353.P12

Table 12: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=396)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values

31.1% (60 of 193 variables) have 0% Missing Values	
8.3% (16 of 193 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values	
6.2% (12 of 193 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values	
1.0% (2 of 193 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values	
1.0% (2 of 193 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values	
W2Q26 PURPOSE OF CONTACT	6.1%
W2Q73 OTHER INJURIES -- PARTNER	6.1%
0.0% (0 of 193 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
0.0% (0 of 193 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
52.3% (101 of 193 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
W2Q109 YOU ASSISTED IN PROSECUTION-- PARTNER	46.7%
W2Q93 OFFICER WAS FROM THE DVRU -- PARTNER	67.2%
W2Q101 DVRU ADVISED GET COUNSELING FOR PARTNER	74.5%
W2Q94 NUMBER OF DVRU CONTACTS -- PARTNER	74.7%
W2Q96 DVRU HELPED MAKE SAFETY PLAN -- PARTNER	74.7%
W2Q102 DVRU REFERRED TO ASSIST. PRGM-- PARTNER	74.7%
W2Q95 DVRU TOOK PICTURES OF INJURIES-- PARTNE	75.0%
W2Q97 DVRU TOLD ABOUT PROSEC. CASE-- PARTNER	75.0%
W2Q98 DVRU TOLD ME HOW TO GET RO-- PARTNER	75.0%
W2Q103 DVRU GAVE ME MOTEL VOUCHER-- PARTNER	75.0%
W2Q105 DVRU HELPED WITH RO -- PARTNER	75.0%
W2Q99 DVRU TOLD HOW TO GET INTO SHLTR-PARTNER	75.3%
W2Q104 DVRU HELPED W/ DA APPOINTMENT-- PARTNER	75.3%
W2Q106 DVRU PROVIDED TRANSPORTATION-- PARTNER	75.3%
W2Q107 WANT DVRU INVOLVED IN FUTURE -- PARTNER	75.3%
=====	

Table 12 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
W2Q100	DVRU TOLD GET COUNSELNG FOR ME - PARTNE	75.5%
W2Q114	APPR RELATED TO PROSEC. CASE-- PARTNER	76.0%
W2Q115	COURT APPEARANCE RELATED TO RO-- PARTNE	76.0%
W2Q116	APPEARNC RELATED TO OTH LEG. MAT.-PARTN	76.3%
W2Q38	OFFICER LISTENED TO ME	84.6%
W2Q40	HOW CONCERNED WERE OFFICERS	84.6%
W2Q41	HOW HELPFUL WERE OFFICERS	84.6%
W2Q42	OFFICERS TREATED ME WITH RESPECT	84.6%
W2Q44	OFFICER WAS OBJECTIVE	84.6%
W2Q29	OFFICER PROVIDED INFORMATION CARD	84.8%
W2Q30	OFFICER CALMED THINGS DOWN	84.8%
W2Q31	OFFICER RECOMMENDED DA INVOLVEMENT	84.8%
W2Q32	OFFICER PROVIDED INFO ABOUT SHELTERS	84.8%
W2Q33	OFFICER PROVIDED INFO ABOUT LEGAL RIGHT	84.8%
W2Q37	OFFICER REFERRED TO VICTIM ASSISTANCE	84.8%
W2Q39	OFFICR PROVIDED INFO ON RESTRAINING ORD	84.8%
W2Q46	SATISFIED W/ ATTITUDE OF OFFICERS	84.8%
W2Q34	OFFICER HELPED WITH CONTACTING SHELTER	85.1%
W2Q35	OFFICER REFERRED TO COUNSELING	85.1%
W2Q36	OFFICER TRANSPORTED TO HOSPITAL	85.1%
W2Q43	OFFICER THOUGHT I WAS LYING	85.1%
W2Q45	OFFICER WAS HOSTILE	85.4%
W2Q47	WOULD WANT OFFICERS TO INTERVENE AGAIN	85.6%
W2Q118	NUM ABUSIVE EVENTS -- OTHER MALE	97.0%
W2Q119	NUM THREATENED TO HIT W/SMTHG-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q120	NUM THREATENED W/ KNIFE/GUN-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q121	NUM THREATENED TO KILL YOU-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q122	NUM SWORE, SCREAMED AT YOU-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q123	NUM THREW, HIT/KICKED SMTHNG-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q124	NUM THREW SOMETHING AT YOU-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q125	NUM PUSHED/GRABBED/SHOVED YOU-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q126	NUM SLAPPED/SPANKED YOU W/HAND-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q127	NUM KICKED/BIT/HIT YOU W/ FIST-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q128	NUM HIT/TRIED TO HIT W/SMETHNG-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q129	NUM CHOKED OR STRANGLLED YOU-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q130	NUM BEAT YOU UP-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q131	NUM USED GUN/WEAPON AGNST YOU-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q132	NUM FORCED YOU TO HAVE SEX-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q133	VIOLATED A RESTRAINING ORDER-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q134	VIOLATED A STALKING ORDER-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q135	CUTS OR BRUISES-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q136	BROKEN BONES-OTH MALE	97.0%

Table 12 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
W2Q137	BURNS-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q138	INTERNAL INJURIES-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q139	DAMAGE TO EYES, EARS/TEETH-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q140	BACK INJURY-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q141	DISLOCATED JOINT-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q143	OTHER INJURIES-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q144	POLICE GOT INVOLVED IN DISPUTES-OTH MAL	97.0%
W2Q145	NUM TALKED TO MINISTER -- OTHER MALE	97.0%
W2Q146	NUM SAW DOC./NURSE/ER STAFF-OTHER MALE	97.0%
W2Q147	NUM TALKED TO FAMILY MEMBER-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q148	NUM TALKED TO FRIEND-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q149	NUM TALKD TO MENTL HEALTH CNSEL-OTH MAL	97.0%
W2Q150	NUM TALKED TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY-OTH MAL	97.0%
W2Q151	NUM TRIED TO GET A WARRANT-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q152	NUM WENT TO A SHELTER TO TALK-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q153	NUM STAYED AT SHELTER-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q154	NUM ASKED POLICE TO INTERVENE-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q155	NUM WENT TO COURT TO GET RO-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q156	NUM TALKED TO AN ATTORNEY-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q157	NUM ATTENDED A 12-STEP PRGRM-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q158	NUM STAYED WITH A FRIEND-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q159	NUM SPOKE W/ PAROLE OFFICER-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q160	NUM SPOKE WITH A CO-WORKER-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q161	NUM CALLED A CRISIS LINE-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q162	NUM OTHER -- OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q163	DVRU INVOLVMENT-OTH MALE	97.0%
W2Q142	MENTAL OR EMOTIONAL PROBLEMS-OTH MALE	97.2%
W2Q164	ASKED DVRU TO GET INVOLVED-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q165	DVRU CALLED ME-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q166	RECEIVED DVRU SERVICES-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q167	NUM DVRU SERVICES RECEIVED-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q168	DVRU TOOK PICTURES-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q169	DVRU HELPED WITH SAFETY PLAN-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q170	DVRU ADVISED WITH PROSECUTION-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q171	DVRU ADVISED WITH RO-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q172	DVRU ADVISED WITH SHELTER-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q173	DVRU ADVISED RE COUNSELING-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q174	DVRU ADVISED CNSLNG FOR PARTNR-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q175	DVRU REFERRED TO VIC ASSISTANCE-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q176	DVRU GAVE ME MOTEL VOUCHER-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q177	DVRU HELPED WITH DA APPOINTMENT-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q178	DVRU HELPED GET RO-OTH MALE	100.0%

Table 12 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
W2Q179 DVRU PROVIDED TRANSPORTATION-OTH MALE	100.0%
W2Q180 WANT DVRU INVOLVED IN FUTURE-OTH MALE	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.