



NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE

Data Resources Program

Understanding the Use of Force by and Against the Police in Six Jurisdictions in the United States, 1996–1997

Part 3: Police Officer Ranking of Force Data

ICPSR 3172

Joel H. Garner and Christopher D. Maxwell

Codebook and Data Collection Instrument



Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

UNDERSTANDING THE USE OF FORCE BY AND AGAINST THE POLICE
IN SIX JURISDICTIONS IN THE UNITED STATES, 1996-1997

(ICPSR 3172)

Principal Investigators

Joel H. Garner
Joint Centers for Justice Studies, Inc.

and

Christopher D. Maxwell
Michigan State University
School of Criminal Justice

First ICPSR Version
June 2001

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Garner, Joel H., and Christopher D. Maxwell.
UNDERSTANDING THE USE OF FORCE BY AND AGAINST
THE POLICE IN SIX JURISDICTIONS IN THE UNITED
STATES, 1996-1997 [Computer file]. ICPSR
version. Shepherdstown, WV: Joint Centers for
Justice Studies, Inc./Lansing, MI: Michigan
State University, School of Criminal Justice
[producers], 2001. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-
university Consortium for Political and Social
Research [distributor], 2001.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Joel H. Garner and Christopher D. Maxwell

UNDERSTANDING THE USE OF FORCE BY AND AGAINST THE POLICE IN SIX JURISDICTIONS IN THE UNITED STATES, 1996-1997 (ICPSR 3172)

SUMMARY: This study examined the amount of force used by and against law enforcement officers and more than 50 characteristics of officers, civilians, and arrest situations associated with the use of different levels of force. An important component of this multijurisdiction project was to employ a common measurement of elements of force and predictors of force. Data were gathered about suspects' and police officers' behaviors from adult custody arrests in six urban law enforcement agencies. The participating agencies were the Charlotte-Mecklenburg (North Carolina) Police Department, Colorado Springs (Colorado) Police Department, Dallas (Texas) Police Department, St. Petersburg (Florida) Police Department, San Diego (California) Police Department, and San Diego County (California) Sheriff's Department. Data collection began at different times in the participating departments, so the total sample included arrests during the summer, fall, and winter of 1996-1997. Forms were completed and coded for 7,512 adult custody arrests (Part 1). This form was used to record officer self-reports on the characteristics of the arrest situation, the suspects, and the officers, and the specific behavioral acts of officers, suspects, and bystanders in a particular arrest. Similar items were asked of 1,156 suspects interviewed in local jails at the time they were booked following arrest to obtain an independent assessment of officer and suspect use of force (Part 2). Officers were informed that some suspects would be interviewed, but they did not know which would be interviewed or when. Using the items included on the police survey, the research team constructed four measures of force used by police officers -- physical force, physical force plus threats, continuum of force, and maximum force. Four comparable measures of force used by arrested suspects were also developed. These measures are included in the data for Part 1. Each measure was derived by combining specific actions by law enforcement officers or by suspects in various ways. The first measure was a traditional conceptual dichotomy of arrests in which physical force was or was not used. For both the police and for suspects, the definition of physical force included any arrest in which a weapon or weaponless tactic was used. In addition, police arrests in which officers used a severe restraint were included. The second measure, physical force plus threats, was similar to physical force but added the use of threats and displays of weapons. To address the potential limitations of these two dichotomous measures, two other measures were developed. The continuum-of-force measure captured the levels of force commonly used in official policies by the participating law enforcement agencies. To construct the fourth measure, maximum force, 503 experienced officers in five of the six jurisdictions ranked a variety of hypothetical types of force by officers and by suspects on a scale from 1 (least forceful) to 100 (most forceful). Officers were asked to rank these items based on their own personal experience, not official policy. These rankings of police and suspect use of force, which appear in Part 3, were averaged for each jurisdiction and used in Part 1 to weight the behaviors that occurred in the sampled arrests.

Variables for Parts 1 and 2 include nature of the arrest, features of the arrest location, mobilization of the police, and officer and suspect characteristics. Part 3 provides officer rankings on 54 items that suspects might do or say during an arrest. Separately, officers ranked a series of 44 items that a police officer might do or say during an arrest. These items include spitting, shouting or cursing, hitting, wrestling, pushing, resisting, fleeing, commanding, using conversational voice, and using pressure point holds, as well as possession, display, threat of use, or use of several weapons (e.g., knife, chemical agent, dog, blunt object, handgun, motor vehicle).

UNIVERSE: All adult custody arrests in the participating jurisdictions during their sampling period.

SAMPLING: Convenience sample.

NOTE: (1) Users are encouraged to obtain a copy of the project's final report for a more complete description of the four constructed measures of force. (2) The user guide, codebooks, and data collection instruments are provided by ICPSR as Portable Document Format (PDF) files. The PDF file format was developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated and can be accessed using PDF reader software, such as the Adobe Acrobat Reader. Information on how to obtain a copy of the Acrobat Reader is provided on the ICPSR Web site.

RESTRICTIONS: In Part 2, the actual age of the suspect (vs. suspect age categories) and the month of the suspect interview are restricted from general dissemination. Users interested in obtaining these data must complete a Data Transfer Agreement Form and specify the reasons for the request. A copy of the Data Transfer Agreement Form can be requested by calling 800-999-0960 or 734-998-9825. The Data Transfer Agreement Form is also available as a Portable Document Format (PDF) file from the NACJD Web site at <http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/NACJD/Private/private.pdf>. Completed forms should be returned to: Director, National Archive of Criminal Justice Data, Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Institute for Social Research, P.O. Box 1248, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1248, or by fax: 734-998-9905.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION: 3 data files + machine-readable documentation (PDF) + SAS data definition statements + SPSS data definition statements

EXTENT OF PROCESSING: MDATA.PR/ REFORM.DATA/ REFORM.DOC/ UNDOCCHK.ICPSR/ MDATA.ICPSR/ CDBK.ICPSR/ DDEF.ICPSR

DATA FORMAT: Logical Record Length with SAS and SPSS data definition statements

Part 1
Part: Police Officer Survey Data
File Structure: rectangular
Cases: 7,512
Variables: 255
Record Length: 426
Records Per Case: 1

Part 2
Part: Suspect Interview Data
File Structure: rectangular
Cases: 1,156
Variables: 52
Record Length: 105
Records Per Case: 1

Part 3

Part: Police Officer Ranking of Force Data

File Structure: rectangular

Cases: 503

Variables: 106

Record Length: 313

Records Per Case: 1

RELATED PUBLICATIONS:

Garner, Joel H., and Christopher D. Maxwell. "Understanding the Use of Force By and Against the Police in Six Jurisdictions" (Final Report). Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice, n.d.

Garner, Joel H., and Christopher D. Maxwell. "Measuring the Amount of Force Used By and Against the Police in Six Jurisdictions" (Chapter Four). Kenneth Adams, et al. (eds.), USE OF FORCE BY POLICE: OVERVIEW OF NATIONAL AND LOCAL DATA (Research Report), NCJ 176330. Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice. National Institute of Justice and Bureau of Justice Statistics, October 1999.

*** ICPSR CODEBOOK NOTES ***

- (1) ICPSR recoded system missing to the -9 code as was used by the principal investigator for other variables, labeled the code as "Missing," and declared it as a missing value.
- (2) For the Maximum Force ranking variables (VOICE_R to SWEAPN_R) in Part 1, values range on a 1 to 100 scale derived from the officer ranking survey. These values are based on the comparable survey variables (e.g., PVOICE for VOICE_R) and the result of analyzing the ranking survey. Thus, if the officer indicated that he used a command voice and the average ranking of severity for command voice in that jurisdiction in the officer ranking survey was 20, the value for that case for VOICE_R will be 20. When officers reported that they had engaged in two or more forceful acts, the highest single item was recorded, as indicated by the name Maximum Force.
- (3) SPSS code used to create many of the dichotomous, continuum of force, and maximum force variables and to adjust other variables for missing data in the Part 1 data file is provided in the appendix.
- (4) The variable, OFFDUTY, in the Part 1 data file identified only a small percentage of off duty arrests. The variable was blanked by ICPSR for reasons of confidentiality.
- (5) In Part 2 (Suspect Interview Data), individual age values in SSAGE were bracketed into age categories and the codes for month of the suspect interview were blanked for the public release dataset. The original values are available in the restricted access version of the data file. Data in the Restricted Access Archive are provided to the requestor only upon receipt of a completed, legally-binding Data Transfer Agreement Form through which the requestor promises to maintain the confidentiality of the data and use the data only for research purposes. The form must be accompanied by a letter proposal or brief written proposal that explains why the restricted information is needed for the requestor's research project. An institutional signature is also required. The Data Transfer Agreement Form can be requested from NACJD staff or downloaded in an PDF file from the NACJD website at <http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/NACJD/Private/private.pdf>
- (6) For Part 3 (Police Officer Ranking of Force Data), some variables contain values beyond 100. The principal investigator made no "corrections" to the rankings, including cases where most suspect behavior was ranked as 100 and most police behavior as 0.

CODEBOOK FOR ICPSR 3172

UNDERSTANDING THE USE OF FORCE BY AND AGAINST THE POLICE
IN SIX JURISDICTIONS IN THE UNITED STATES, 1996-1997

(PART 3: POLICE OFFICER RANKING OF FORCE DATA)

PLEASE NOTE: The "M" between the code and the code label indicates
the code has been designated as a missing value.

NAME	VARIABLE LABEL	BEG COL	END COL	FMT
SITE	JURISDICTION	1	1	F1
	1 Dallas			
	2 St. Pete			
	3 Springs			
	6 Charlotte			
	7 SD Police			
RANKID	CASE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	2	4	F3
SPKNIFE	SUSPECT POSSESSED KNIFE	5	7	F3
	-9 M Missing			
SSPITS	SUSPECT SPIT ON POLICE OFFICER	8	10	F3
	-9 M Missing			
SPCHEM	SUSPECT POSSESSED CHEMICAL AGENT	11	13	F3
	-9 M Missing			
SPDOG	SUSPECT POSSESSED CANINE	14	16	F3
	-9 M Missing			
SDOBJECT	SUSPECT DISPLAYED STICK/BLUNT OBJECT	17	19	F3
	-9 M Missing			
SSHOUT	SUSPECT SHOUTED AT/CURSED POLICE	20	22	F3
	-9 M Missing			
SUKNIFE	SUSPECT USED KNIFE	23	25	F3
	-9 M Missing			
SUGUN	SUSPECT USED HANDGUN	26	28	F3
	-9 M Missing			

NAME	VARIABLE LABEL	BEG COL	END COL	FMT
STOBJECT	SUSPECT THREATENED STICK/BLUNT OBJECT -9 M Missing	29	31	F3
SDCHEM	SUSPECT DISPLAYED CHEMICAL AGENT -9 M Missing	32	34	F3
SWRESTLE	SUSPECT WRESTLED WITH POLICE -9 M Missing	35	37	F3
SHIT	SUSPECT HIT POLICE -9 M Missing	38	40	F3
SUDF	SUSPECT USED DEADLY FORCE -9 M Missing	41	43	F3
SHIDE	SUSPECT HIDES FROM POLICE -9 M Missing	44	46	F3
STCAR	SUSPECT THREATENED TO USE CAR AS WEAPON -9 M Missing	47	49	F3
SUPRESS	SUSPECT USES PRESSURE POINT HOLD -9 M Missing	50	52	F3
SCOMMAND	SUSPECT USES COMMAND VOICE -9 M Missing	53	55	F3
SRCUFF	SUSPECT RESISTS CUFFING -9 M Missing	56	58	F3
SANTAG	SUSPECT ANTAGONISTIC TOWARD POLICE -9 M Missing	59	61	F3
SIMPED	SUSPECT IMPEDES OFFICER MOVEMENT -9 M Missing	62	64	F3

NAME	VARIABLE LABEL	BEG COL	END COL	FMT
SPUSH	SUSPECT PUSHES OFFICER -9 M Missing	65	67	F3
SCONVER	SUSPECT TALKS IN CONVERSATIONAL VOICE -9 M Missing	68	70	F3
SUSHOT	SUSPECT USES SHOTGUN/RIFLE -9 M Missing	71	73	F3
SUCAROT	SUSPECT USES CAROTID HOLD -9 M Missing	74	76	F3
STSTAND	SUSPECT IN THREATENING STANCE -9 M Missing	77	79	F3
SPSHOT	SUSPECT POSSESSES SHOTGUN -9 M Missing	80	82	F3
SPRESIST	SUSPECT RESISTS PASSIVELY -9 M Missing	83	85	F3
SVRESIST	SUSPECT VERBALLY RESISTS POLICE -9 M Missing	86	88	F3
SDKNIFE	SUSPECT DISPLAYS KNIFE -9 M Missing	89	91	F3
SFFOOT	SUSPECT FLEES ON FOOT/BIKE -9 M Missing	92	94	F3
STWIST	SUSPECT TWISTS OFFICERS ARM -9 M Missing	95	97	F3
SFCAR	SUSPECT FLEES IN CAR -9 M Missing	98	100	F3

NAME	VARIABLE LABEL	BEG COL	END COL	FMT
SPOBJECT	SUSPECT POSSESSES STICK/BLUNT OBJECT -9 M Missing	101	103	F3
SUCHOLD	SUSPECT USES CHOKE HOLD -9 M Missing	104	106	F3
SDGUN	SUSPECT DISPLAYS HANDGUN -9 M Missing	107	109	F3
SOBSCENE	SUSPECT MAKES OBSCENE GESTURE -9 M Missing	110	112	F3
STCHEM	SUSPECT THREATENS CHEMICAL AGENT -9 M Missing	113	115	F3
SASSAULT	SUSPECT ASSAULTIVE -9 M Missing	116	118	F3
SPGUN	SUSPECT POSSESSES HANDGUN -9 M Missing	119	121	F3
STDOG	SUSPECT THREATENS TO USE CANINE -9 M Missing	122	124	F3
SUCAR	SUSPECT USES CAR AS WEAPON -9 M Missing	125	127	F3
SGRAB	SUSPECT GRABS POLICE OFFICER -9 M Missing	128	130	F3
SUCHEM	SUSPECT USES CHEMICAL AGENT -9 M Missing	131	133	F3
STKNIFE	SUSPECT THREATENS TO USE KNIFE -9 M Missing	134	136	F3

NAME	VARIABLE LABEL	BEG COL	END COL	FMT
STSHOT	SUSPECT THREATENS TO USE SHOTGUN/RIFLE -9 M Missing	137	139	F3
SUDOG	SUSPECT USES CANINE AGAINST POLICE -9 M Missing	140	142	F3
SBITE	SUSPECT BITES POLICE -9 M Missing	143	145	F3
STGUN	SUSPECT THREATENS TO USE HANDGUN -9 M Missing	146	148	F3
SVERBALT	SUSPECT VERBALLY THREATENS OFFICER -9 M Missing	149	151	F3
SDSHOT	SUSPECT DISPLAYS SHOTGUN/RIFLE -9 M Missing	152	154	F3
SKICK	SUSPECT KICKS POLICE -9 M Missing	155	157	F3
SREPLACE	SUSPECT RESISTS PLACEMENT IN VEHICLE -9 M Missing	158	160	F3
SCIVIL	SUSPECT CIVIL TOWARD POLICE -9 M Missing	161	162	F2
SUOBJECT	SUSPECT USES STICK/BLUNT OBJECT -9 M Missing	163	165	F3
STOTAL	SUM OF SUSPECT ACTION RANKINGS -9 M Missing	166	169	F4
SAVERAGE	AVERAGE RANK OF SUSPECT BEHAVIORS -9.99 M Missing	170	174	F5.2

NAME	VARIABLE LABEL	BEG COL	END COL	FMT
PHIT	POLICE HIT SUSPECT -9 M Missing	175	177	F3
PUPRES	POLICE USE PRESSURE HOLD -9 M Missing	178	180	F3
PDFLASH	POLICE DISPLAY FLASHLIGHT -9 M Missing	181	183	F3
PDCHEM	POLICE DISPLAY CHEMICAL AGENT -9 M Missing	184	185	F2
PCHASEC	POLICE CHASE SUSPECT IN CAR -9 M Missing	186	188	F3
PUBATON	POLICE USE BATON -9 M Missing	189	190	F2
PUCHEM	POLICE USE CHEMICAL AGENT -9 M Missing	191	193	F3
PSHOUT	POLICE SHOUT/CURSE AT SUSPECT -9 M Missing	194	195	F2
PTFLASH	POLICE THREATEN TO USE FLASHLIGHT -9 M Missing	196	197	F2
PULEG	POLICE USE LEG RESTRAINTS -9 M Missing	198	200	F3
PUCUFF	POLICE USE HANDCUFF -9 M Missing	201	203	F3
PUCONVER	POLICE SPEAK IN CONVERSATIONAL VOICE -9 M Missing	204	206	F3

NAME	VARIABLE LABEL	BEG COL	END COL	FMT
PTWO	TWO POLICE OFFICERS PRESENT -9 M Missing	207	209	F3
PPUSH	POLICE PUSH SUSPECT -9 M Missing	210	211	F2
PDBATON	POLICE DISPLAY BATON -9 M Missing	212	213	F2
PUFLASH	POLICE USE FLASHLIGHT -9 M Missing	214	216	F3
PVERBALT	POLICE VERBALLY THREATEN SUSPECT -9 M Missing	217	219	F3
PCHASEH	POLICE CHASE SUSPECT IN HELICOPTER -9 M Missing	220	221	F2
PCHASEF	POLICE CHASE SUSPECT ON FOOT/BICYCLE -9 M Missing	222	224	F3
PBITE	POLICE BITE SUSPECT -9 M Missing	225	227	F3
PUDOG	POLICE USE CANINE -9 M Missing	228	230	F3
PTCAR	POLICE THREATEN TO USE CAR AS WEAPON -9 M Missing	231	233	F3
PPSHOT	POLICE POSSESS SHOTGUN -9 M Missing	234	236	F3
PTWIST	POLICE TWIST SUSPECTS ARM -9 M Missing	237	239	F3

NAME	VARIABLE LABEL	BEG COL	END COL	FMT
PPDOG	POLICE POSSESS CANINE -9 M Missing	240	242	F3
PGRAB	POLICE GRAB SUSPECT -9 M Missing	243	245	F3
PTCHEM	POLICE THREATEN TO USE CHEMICAL AGENT -9 M Missing	246	248	F3
PSPIT	POLICE SPIT ON SUSPECT -9 M Missing	249	251	F3
PTBATON	POLICE THREATEN TO USE BATON -9 M Missing	252	254	F3
PDGUN	POLICE DISPLAY HANDGUN -9 M Missing	255	257	F3
PTSHOT	POLICE THREATEN TO USE SHOTGUN/RIFLE -9 M Missing	258	260	F3
PUCHOLD	POLICE USE CHOKE HOLD -9 M Missing	261	263	F3
PKICK	POLICE KICK SUSPECT -9 M Missing	264	266	F3
PUCAR	POLICE USE CAR AS WEAPON -9 M Missing	267	269	F3
PDSHOT	POLICE DISPLAY SHOTGUN/RIFLE -9 M Missing	270	272	F3
PTGUN	POLICE THREATEN SUSPECT WITH HANDUGN -9 M Missing	273	275	F3

NAME	VARIABLE LABEL	BEG COL	END COL	FMT
PTDOG	POLICE THREATEN SUSPECT WITH CANINE -9 M Missing	276	278	F3
PWRESTLE	POLICE WRESTLE WITH SUSPECT -9 M Missing	279	281	F3
PUSHOT	POLICE USE SHOTGUN/RIFLE -9 M Missing	282	284	F3
PUGUN	POLICE USE HANDGUN -9 M Missing	285	287	F3
PGHOLD	POLICE GENTLY HOLD SUSPECT -9 M Missing	288	290	F3
PCOMMAND	POLICE COMMAND SUSPECT TO DO SOMETHING -9 M Missing	291	293	F3
PUSEVRES	POLICE USE SEVERE RESTRAINTS -9 M Missing	294	296	F3
PUCAROT	POLICE USE CAROTID HOLD -9 M Missing	297	299	F3
YEARS	YEARS ON POLICE FORCE -9 M Missing	300	301	F2
PTOTAL	SUM OF POLICE ACTION RANKINGS -9 M Missing	302	305	F4
PAVERAGE	AVERAGE RANK OF POLICE BEHAVIORS -9.99 M Missing	306	310	F5.2
CASENUMB	UNIQUE CASE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	311	313	F3

Appendix A:

Police Ranking Forms

Joint Centers for Justice Studies, Inc.

Multisite Arrest Tactics Study

Police Officer Ranking of Force

The Joint Centers for Justice Studies, in cooperation with the St. Petersburg Police Department, is conducting a study of the use of force by and against the police during arrests. We want your opinion about how much force is involved in the use of different types of actions by suspects and by police officers. This survey will take about 5 minutes to complete.

We have constructed a list of 54 items that suspects might do or say during an arrest. We have included separate items for weapon possession, verbally threatening to use of weapon, displaying or brandish a weapon and actually using a weapon.

We would like you to rank these items from 1 to 100. For example, a suspect who immediately complies with the police would be ranked as a score of 1. A suspect who uses deadly force would be ranked with a score of 100. We want to know how you would rank all the other items compared to these two. Some items--like using a motor vehicle as a weapon--do not happen very often but we would still like your judgment about how much force would be involved if it did happen.

There is a separate list for ranking 44 police actions. In this listing, a single officer making an arrest where there is no pursuit involved, no restraints used, no tactics used, and the police neither verbally threaten, display or actually use a weapon would be ranked as a score of 1. The use of deadly force would be ranked with a score of 100. Again, we would like to know where you would rank each item relative to these two extremes.

Of course, each item could involve a great variety of force in different circumstances. We would like to know **from your own personal experience**, how much force you associate with each item on average. We would like these ratings to be based on your own perceptions, ideally from arrests that you yourself have completed or directly observed and not necessarily on the basis of official departmental policy.

These surveys are anonymous but we would like to know how many years of experience you have had as a police officer. Thank you for your assistance.


POLICE ACTIONS AT ARREST

Please Mark With An "X"


1=Single officer making an arrest with no pursuit, restraint, tactics, or weapons

Police use deadly force=100


Police Hit or Punch Suspect




Police Use Pressure Point Hold on Suspect




Police Display or Brandish Flashlight (as weapon) at Suspect




Police Display or Brandish Chemical Agent



Police Pursue Suspect with Motor Vehicle




Police Use Baton Against Suspect




Police Use Chemical Agent Against Suspect




Police Shout or Curse at Suspect



Police Verbally Threaten Suspect with Flashlight (as weapon)




Police Use Leg Cuffs on Suspect




1=Single officer making an arrest with no pursuit, restraint, tactics, or weapons Police use deadly force=100


Police Use Hand Cuffs on Suspect




Police Use Conversational Voice with Suspect




Two or More Officers Present at Time of Arrest




Police Push or Shove Suspect




Police Display or Brandish Baton at Suspect




Police Use Flashlight (as weapon) Against Suspect




Police Make Verbal Threats Against Suspect




Police Pursue Suspect by Helicopter




Police Pursues Suspect on Foot or Bicycle




Police Bite or Scratch Suspect



Police Use Canine Against Suspect




Police Verbally Threaten Suspect with Use of Motor Vehicle (as weapon)




1=Single officer making an arrest with no pursuit, restraint, tactics, or weapons

Police use deadly force=100


Police Possess Shotgun or Rifle




Police Twist Suspect's Arm




Police Possess Canine




Police Grab Suspect's Arm




Police Verbally Threaten Suspect with Chemical Agent




Police Spit at Suspect




Police Verbally Threaten Suspect with Baton




Police Display or Brandish Handgun at Suspect




Police Verbally Threaten Suspect with Shotgun or Rifle




Police Use Control Hold on Suspect



Police Kick Suspect




Police Use Motor Vehicle (as weapon) Against Suspect




1=Single officer making an arrest with no pursuit, restraint, tactics, or weapons Police use deadly force=100


Police Display or Brandish Shotgun or Rifle at Suspect




Police Verbally Threaten Suspect with Handgun




Police Verbally Threaten Suspect with Canine




Police Wrestle or Scuffle with Suspect




Police Use Shotgun or Rifle Against Suspect




Police Use Handgun Against Suspect




Police Gently Hold Suspect




Police Use Command Voice with Suspect



Police Use Restraints More Severe Than Hand or Leg Cuffs on Suspect



Police Use Carotid Hold or Lateral Vascular Restraint on Suspect



Page 4 of 4 Police Actions

END OF POLICE ACTIONS AT ARREST


THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THIS STUDY

SUSPECT ACTIONS AT ARREST


Please Mark With An "X"

1= Suspect immediately complies with the police Suspect uses deadly force=100


Suspect Possesses Knife or Edged Weapon




Suspect Spits at Police




Suspect Possesses Chemical Agent




Suspect Possesses Canine




Suspect Displays or Brandishes Stick/Blunt Object at Police




Suspect Shouts or Curses at Police




Suspect Uses Knife or Edged Weapon Against Police




Suspect Uses Handgun Against Police



Suspect Verbally Threatens Police with Stick/Blunt Object




Suspect Displays or Brandishes Chemical Agent at Police




1= Suspect immediately complies with the police Suspect uses deadly force=100


Suspect Wrestles or Scuffles with Police




Suspect Hits or Punches Police




Suspect Uses or Attempts to Use Deadly Force Against Police




Suspect Evades, Hides, or Flees from Police




Suspect Verbally Threatens Police with Motor Vehicle (as weapon)




Suspect Uses Pressure Point Hold on Police




Suspect Uses Command Voice with Police




Suspect Resists Cuffing




Suspect Exhibits an Antagonistic Demeanor Towards Police




Suspect Impedes Officer's Movements



Suspect Pushes or Shoves Police




Suspect Uses Conversational Voice with Police




1= Suspect immediately complies with the police Suspect uses deadly force=100


Suspect Uses Shotgun or Rifle Against Police




Suspect Uses Carotid Hold or Lateral Vascular Restraints Against Police




Suspect Takes Threatening Stance




Suspect Possesses Shotgun or Rifle




Suspect Passively Resists Arrest




Suspect Offers Verbal Resistance




Suspect Displays or Brandishes Knife/Edged Weapon at Police




Suspect Flees on Foot or Bicycle




Suspect Twists Officer's Arm




Suspect Flees in Motor Vehicle



Suspect Possess Stick or Blunt Object




Suspect Uses Control Hold on Police




1= Suspect immediately complies with the police

Suspect uses deadly force=100


Suspect Displays or Brandishes Handgun at Police




Suspect Makes Disrespectful or Obscene Gesture at Police




Suspect Verbally Threatens Police with Chemical Agent




Suspect is Assaultive Towards Police




Suspect Possesses Handgun




Suspect Verbally Threatens Police with Canine




Suspect Uses Motor Vehicle (as weapon) Against Police




Suspect Grabs Officer's Arm




Suspect Uses Chemical Agent Against Police




Suspect Verbally Threatens Police with Knife/Edged Object



Suspect Verbally Threatens Police with Shotgun or Rifle



Suspect Uses Canine Against Police




Page 4 of 5 Suspect Actions


1= Suspect immediately complies with the police

Suspect uses deadly force=100


Suspect Bites or Scratches Police




Suspect Verbally Threatens Police with Handgun




Suspect Makes Verbal Threats Against Police




Suspect Displays or Brandishes Shotgun or Rifle at Police




Suspect Kicks Police




Suspect Resists Placement in Police Vehicle



Suspect Exhibits a Civil Demeanor Towards Police



Suspect Uses Stick or Blunt Object Against Police



Page 5 of 5 Suspect Actions

END OF SUSPECT ACTIONS AT ARREST

PLEASE COMPLETE NEXT SECTION ON POLICE ACTIONS AT ARREST