



NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE

Data Resources Program

Evaluation of Victim Advocacy Services for Battered Women in Detroit, 1998–1999

Arlene Weisz and David Canales-Portalatin

ICPSR 3017

User Guide



Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

EVALUATION OF VICTIM ADVOCACY SERVICES FOR BATTERED WOMEN
IN DETROIT, 1998-1999

(ICPSR 3017)

Principal Investigators

Arlene Weisz and David Canales-Portalatin
Wayne State University

First ICPSR Version
August 2001

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION

Publications based on ICPSR data collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Weisz, Arlene, and David Canales-Portalatin. EVALUATION OF VICTIM ADVOCACY SERVICES FOR BATTERED WOMEN IN DETROIT, 1998-1999 [Computer file]. ICPSR version. Detroit, MI: Wayne State University [producer], 2000. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor], 2001.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ON USE OF ICPSR RESOURCES

To provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, users of ICPSR data are requested to send to ICPSR bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstract. Please indicate in a cover letter which data were used.

DATA DISCLAIMER

The original collector of the data, ICPSR, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Summary	1
General Study Overview	3
Study Identification	3
Key Words	3
Purpose of the Study	3
Methods	4
Study Design	4
Sources of Information	5
Sample	6
Response Rates	6
Dates of Data Collection	7
Summary of Contents	7
Description of Variables	7
Presence of Common Scales	8
Unit of Observation	8
Extent of Processing	8
Extent of Collection	8
Data Collection Notes	8
File Specifications	9
Related Publications	10
Final Reports and Other Publications	10
Data Resources Program on the Internet	10
Data Completeness Report	13
Part 1	13
Part 2	21
Part 3	25
Part 4	27
Part 5	35
Part 6	41

SUMMARY

This study evaluated advocacy services offered to battered women in Detroit, Michigan, and examined other aspects of coordinated community responses to domestic violence by focusing on women named as victims in police reports. Advocacy was defined as those services provided to support victims during the legal process or to enhance their safety. For the Preliminary Complaint Reports Data (Part 1), a random sample of preliminary complaint reports (PCRs), completed by police officers after they responded to domestic violence calls, were gathered, resulting in a sample of 1,057 incidents and victims. For Victim Advocacy Contact Data (Part 2), researchers obtained data from advocates' files about the services they provided to the 1,057 victims. For Case Disposition Data (Part 3), researchers conducted a computer search to determine the outcomes of the cases. They looked up each perpetrator from the list of 1,057 incidents, and determined whether there was a warrant for the focal incident, whether it turned into a prosecution, and the outcome. The Initial Victim Interview (Part 4) and Follow-Up Victim Interview Data (Part 5) were conducted from April 1998 to July 1999. During the same period that researchers were completing the second interviews, they also interviewed 23 women (Victim Comparison Group Interview Data, Part 6) from the list of 1,057 whom they had been unable to reach during the first interviews. They compared these 23 women to the 63 who had second interviews to determine if there were any differences in use of services, or views toward or participation in prosecution. Variables in Part 1 focus on whether alcohol and abuse were involved, previous incidents, the suspect's psychological aggressions and physical assaults, if a weapon was used, if the victim was hurt, if property was damaged, if the victim sought medical attention, and the severity of physical abuse or injury. Variables in Part 2 provide information on the role of the advocate, methods of contact, types of referrals made, and services provided. Variables in Part 3 include the type of charge, outcome of resolved case, why the case was dismissed, if applicable, and if the suspect was sentenced to probation, costs, confinement, no contact with the victim, a batterer program, or community service. The initial, follow-up, and comparison group interviews (Parts 4-6) all collected similar information. Variables about the incident include how well the respondent remembered the incident, if police arrived promptly, if the respondent was advised to file charges, if police told the respondent that a counselor was available, and if the respondent's partner had been in jail since the incident. Variables concerning advocacy include whether the victim contacted advocates, and if advocates provided legal help and referrals. Legal system variables include whether the respondent felt pressured by anyone to drop charges or pursue charges, if the respondent received help for preliminary examination or trial, and if contact with the legal system

helped the respondent. Variables about services include whether the respondent received assistance in temporary shelter, food/money resources, child care, employment, education, a lawyer for divorce/custody, support or self-help group, or a substance abuse treatment program. Variables concerning what happened in the previous six months cover the number of times the respondent had called police because of danger, left home because of a violent incident, partner had been arrested because of violence, and partner physically abused respondent. Variables about events that occurred while the respondent and abuser were separated include how often the partner harassed the respondent on the phone, wrote threatening letters, violated legal restrictions, refused to leave the respondent's home, failed to pay child support, and threatened to take the children. Demographic variables include respondent's race or ethnic background, education, marital status, number of children, number of children who lived with respondent, and employment status and income at the time of the interviews.

GENERAL STUDY OVERVIEW

STUDY IDENTIFICATION

EVALUATION OF VICTIM ADVOCACY SERVICES FOR BATTERED WOMEN IN DETROIT,
1998-1999

Arlene Weisz and David Canales-Portalatin

Wayne State University

Award No. 97-WT-VX-0006

KEY WORDS

domestic violence, court, police, victim services, victims

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Responding to the scarcity of published research about advocacy services for battered women, this study evaluated advocacy services offered to battered women in Detroit, Michigan, and examined other aspects of coordinated community responses to domestic violence by focusing on women named as victims in police reports. Advocacy was defined as those services provided to support victims during the legal process or to enhance their safety. The study sought to answer the following questions: (1) Is advocacy at the precinct and/or prosecutor's level associated with a higher rate of completed prosecution of batterers? (2) Is advocacy at the precinct and/or prosecutor's level associated with a higher rate of guilty findings against batterers (or pleas of guilty)? (3) Does advocacy at the precinct and/or prosecutor's level affect victims' reports of rates of subsequent violence as well as rates of police reports and arrests? (4) Do victims who received advocacy assess their situation as more or less safe than victims who did not receive it? (5) How do victims view advocacy and the criminal justice process related to the abuse?

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

For the Preliminary Complaint Reports Data (Part 1), a random sample of preliminary complaint reports (PCRs) was gathered. A research assistant went weekly to the five precincts and collected copies of all the PCRs that officers set aside as "domestic violence incidents." She then sorted them and removed cases that did not meet the criteria of having an adult female victim meeting Michigan's definition of domestic violence. According to Michigan law, an incident is domestic violence when the perpetrator and victim are married, formerly married, live-together partners, formerly lived together, or have a child in common. Researchers had a quota of cases for each precinct, so if the research assistant had too many from a single precinct, she made a selection by counting them and selecting a case when her count matched the last two digits of a table of random numbers. This quota enabled the researchers to be certain to gather reports from all of the precincts throughout the data collection period rather than gathering all the reports researchers needed from one precinct in a short period of time. If the number of reports did not exceed the quota, researchers used all the domestic violence reports from the precinct for the week. A total of 1,057 cases were selected. The researchers continued to collect PCRs from the five precincts through March 1999 to look for additional reports pertaining to the 1,057 victims in the sample. The subsequent PCRs were coded according to whether the perpetrator was the same or different from the initial incident perpetrator. For Victim Advocacy Contact Data (Part 2), researchers obtained data from advocates' files about the services they provided to the 1,057 victims. During the planning stages of the proposal, the advocacy programs agreed to provide access to advocates' records about the numbers of face-to-face and telephone contacts they had with each victim as well as the types of services provided. However, as the data gathering began, researchers learned that some advocates did not keep methodical records on all of the services they delivered to each victim. Others were apparently unwilling to allow the researchers to have access to these data. Therefore, researchers devised a "contact form" for advocates to fill out after each in-person or phone contact with a victim. After the intake of PCRs was completed, researchers compiled a list of the 1,057 names with the birth date or age of each victim. They then asked the police department advocates and legal advocates to check the name of each victim they served against this list and fill out a contact form for new contacts with women on the list. While advocates reported that they were following this procedure, it was impossible to know how consistently they were checking the list. For Case Disposition Data (Part 3), researchers created a prosecution outcome form and produced a copy for each case when the prosecutor's advocate's logbook

indicated a warrant request. Researchers then asked the prosecutor's advocates to fill out a form for cases that were assigned to them on their intake days. Researchers collected a few completed forms, but the prosecutor's advocates did not fill out the forms for most of the cases that were completed. Therefore, researchers conducted a computer search for the outcomes of the cases. Researchers looked up each perpetrator from the list of 1,057 incidents, and determined whether there was a warrant for the focal incident, whether it turned into a prosecution, and the outcome. Although the computer search did not yield a report that was as detailed as the disposition forms that researchers gave the advocates, it did provide the outcome of the case, and it gave some information about why cases were dismissed. Therefore, researchers were able to categorize cases according to whether they were dismissed for lack of evidence or lack of a complaining witness. The Initial Victim Interview (Part 4) and Follow-Up Victim Interview (Part 5) were conducted from April 1998 to July 1999. Before the initial interview, a passive consent (refusal) form was used in precincts by domestic violence counselors and by the prosecutor's advocates. Advocates were asked to describe the study when they met with the victims. They explained the survey and had women sign the refusal form if they were unwilling to be contacted for the survey. Two questionnaires, one for the initial telephone interview and the other for the follow-up, were developed. At the beginning of each interview, interviewers read the consent form to the respondents and informed them of potential risks and benefits. Victims were contacted again three months after the first interview to make sure they were still at the same telephone number and to ask them to notify the interviewers if their number changed. For the six-month interviews, all of the women who were reached at three months were called, except the few who had refused further contact. Researchers also attempted to contact women who were initially interviewed but could not be reached with the three-month call. During the same period that researchers were completing the second interviews, they also interviewed 23 women (Victim Comparison Group Interview, Part 6) from the list of 1,057 whom they had been unable to reach during the first interviews. They compared these 23 women to the 63 who had second interviews to determine if there were any differences in use of services, or views toward or participation in prosecution.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

In Parts 1-3, administrative data were collected from police incident reports, advocacy contact forms, and disposition forms. In Parts 4-6, data were collected from telephone interviews.

SAMPLE

Researchers began by collecting police reports from four Detroit precincts (2, 9, 10, and 12) to obtain cases for the study. Researchers planned to collect 250 preliminary complaint reports (PCRs) from each of these precincts. The 9th precinct had one full-time and one part-time police precinct advocate, one full-time and one part-time legal advocate, and an on-site prosecutor, as well as police officers who dealt strictly with domestic violence cases. The 12th precinct had a full-time police precinct advocate, one full-time and one part-time legal advocate, and domestic violence police officers, but no on-site prosecutor. After researchers began to gather cases, they decided to include the 8th precinct, which had a domestic violence counselor but no legal advocate or on-site prosecutor. Researchers selected comparison precincts that were not served by in-precinct domestic violence teams. Researchers chose precincts that closely resembled the 8th, 9th, and 12th precincts in ethnic representation and median income. The 2nd and 10th precincts had no on-site advocates or domestic violence police officers, but women from these precincts could receive services from the prosecutor's advocates. Researchers collected 144 PCRs from the 12th precinct, 263 from the 9th, and 156 from the 8th precinct. From the comparison precincts, researchers collected 250 PCRs from the 2nd and 244 from the 10th. This meant that researchers had 563 incident reports from precincts with on-site advocates and 494 from precincts without on-site advocates. Therefore, researchers had a total of 1,057 PCRs.

RESPONSE RATES

Initial interview: 242 initial interviews were completed from the list of 1,057 selected from the PCRs, which was a response rate of 22.8 percent. One hundred and ninety of the phone numbers were disconnected, and 182 were numbers for homes with no resident females or where the victim listed on the PCR denied that the incident had happened. Eighty-one women refused to be interviewed. Three-month follow-up: Out of 242 women who were interviewed initially, phone interviewers were able to reach 153 women for the three-month follow-up. They were able to make an appointment for the six-month interview with 126 of them. During the three-month calls, nine women refused to be contacted for the six-month interview. At 18 of the numbers, interviewers were told that the respondent was not there or it was the wrong locale. Of the remainder of the telephone numbers, 62 were not in service. Six-month interview: 63 interviews were completed. There were 67 telephone numbers that were not in service. Twenty-four women refused the second interview, 34 were the wrong locale or no respondent, and three claimed to be ineligible or that there was no incident that occurred on the PCR date.

DATE(S) OF DATA COLLECTION

April 1998 to July 1999

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

DESCRIPTION OF VARIABLES

Variables in Part 1 focus on whether alcohol and abuse were involved, previous incidents, the suspect's psychological aggressions and physical assaults, if a weapon was used, if the victim was hurt, if property was damaged, if the victim sought medical attention, and the severity of physical abuse or injury. Variables in Part 2 provide information on the role of the advocate, methods of contact, types of referrals made, and services provided. Variables in Part 3 include the type of charge, outcome of resolved case, why the case was dismissed, if applicable, and if the suspect was sentenced to probation, costs, confinement, no contact with the victim, a batterer program, or community service. The initial, follow-up, and comparison group interviews (Parts 4-6) all collected similar information. Variables about the incident include how well the respondent remembered the incident, if police arrived promptly, if the respondent was advised to file charges, if police told the respondent that a counselor was available, and if the respondent's partner was in jail since the incident. Variables concerning advocacy include whether the victim contacted advocates, and if advocates provided legal help and referrals. Legal system variables include whether the respondent felt pressured by anyone to drop charges or pursue charges, if the respondent received help for preliminary examination or trial, and if contact with the legal system helped the respondent. Variables about services include whether the respondent received assistance in temporary shelter, food/money resources, child care, employment, education, a lawyer for divorce/custody, support or self-help group, or a substance abuse treatment program. Variables concerning what happened in the previous six months cover the number of times the respondent had called police because of danger, left home because of a violent incident, partner had been arrested because of violence, and partner physically abused respondent. Variables about events that occurred while the respondent and abuser were separated include how often the partner harassed the respondent on the phone, wrote threatening letters, violated legal restrictions, refused to leave the respondent's home, failed to pay child support, and threatened to take the children. Demographic variables include respondent's race or ethnic background, education, marital status, number of children

respondent had, number of children who lived with respondent, and employment status and income at the time of the interviews.

PRESENCE OF COMMON SCALES

Several Likert-type scales were used.

UNIT OF OBSERVATION

Individuals.

EXTENT OF PROCESSING

Missing data codes were standardized by the principal investigator and ICPSR. ICPSR checked for undocumented codes, produced a codebook, generated SAS and SPSS data definition statements, converted the hardcopy documentation to a PDF file, and reformatted the data and documentation.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION

This data collection consists of six data files with a PDF user guide, a codebook and data collection instruments in a separate PDF file, and SAS and SPSS data definition statements.

DATA COLLECTION NOTES

The user guide, codebook, and data collection instruments are provided by ICPSR as Portable Document Format (PDF) files. The PDF file format was developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated and can be accessed using PDF reader software, such as the Adobe Acrobat Reader. Information on how to obtain a copy of the Acrobat Reader is provided on the ICPSR Web site.

FILE SPECIFICATIONS

PART NUMBER: 1
PART NAME: Preliminary Complaint Reports Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 1,050
VARIABLE COUNT: 288
RECORD LENGTH: 347
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 2
PART NAME: Victim Advocacy Contact Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 189
VARIABLE COUNT: 190
RECORD LENGTH: 337
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 3
PART NAME: Case Disposition Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 148
VARIABLE COUNT: 28
RECORD LENGTH: 47
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 4
PART NAME: Initial Victim Interview Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 242
VARIABLE COUNT: 351
RECORD LENGTH: 5,593
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 5
PART NAME: Follow-Up Victim Interview Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 63
VARIABLE COUNT: 266
RECORD LENGTH: 2,940
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

PART NUMBER: 6
PART NAME: Victim Comparison Group Interview Data
FILE STRUCTURE: rectangular
CASE COUNT: 23
VARIABLE COUNT: 322
RECORD LENGTH: 2,932
RECORDS PER CASE: 1

RELATED PUBLICATION

Weisz, Arlene, David Canales-Portalatin, and Neva Nahan. "Evaluation of Victim Advocacy Within a Team Approach" (Final Report Summary). NCJ-187107. Washington, DC: United States Department of Justice. National Institute of Justice, 2001.

FINAL REPORTS AND OTHER PUBLICATIONS

The National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) was established in 1972 by the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), of the U.S. Department of Justice, to provide research findings to criminal justice professionals and researchers. NCJRS operates specialized clearinghouses that are staffed by information specialists who supply a range of reference, referral, and distribution services. Final reports and other publications describing research conducted on a variety of criminal justice topics are available. Publications can be obtained from NCJRS at NIJ/NCJRS, Box 6000, Rockville, MD, 20849-6000, 800-851-3420 or 301-519-5500. TTY Service for the Hearing Impaired is 877-712-9279 (toll-free) or 301-947-8374 (local). The URL for the NCJRS homepage is:

<http://www.ncjrs.org>

DATA RESOURCES PROGRAM ON THE INTERNET

The National Institute of Justice Data Resources Program (DRP) makes datasets from NIJ-funded research and evaluation projects available to the research community and sponsors research and training activities devoted to secondary data analysis. Datasets are archived by the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (NACJD) at the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) at the University of Michigan.

The NACJD maintains a World Wide Web site with instructions for transferring files and sending messages. Criminal justice data funded

by the Department of Justice are available via the Internet at this site at no charge to the user. NACJD may be contacted at NACJD/ICPSR, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI, 48106-1248, 800-999-0960 or 734-998-9825. The URL for the NACJD homepage is:

<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/NACJD>

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3017.P1

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                     Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=1050)                                       Missing Values
-----
3.8% (11 of 288 variables) have 0% Missing Values

12.8% (37 of 288 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

3.1% (9 of 288 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

1.0% (3 of 288 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

4.5% (13 of 288 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

P_AGESUS AGE OF SUSPECT                                     5.8%
P_MARRIT MARITAL STATUS                                    6.4%
P_CHILD CHILD IN COMMON                                    6.4%
P_LIVSTA LIVING STATUS                                     6.5%
P_MARREC REC P_MARRIT CAN'T DETERM MISSING              7.0%
MLDPH_S1 NUM PCR MILD PHYSICAL ASSAULT                  8.3%
PCRSEVRT PCR SEVERITY INDICATORS                        8.3%
PCRSVRRE INITIAL PCR SEVERITY RECODED                  8.3%
SVTHT_S1 NUM PCR SEVERE THREAT PHY OR SEX              9.0%
MPHYSUB NUM MILD PHYSICAL ABUSE ALL SUBSEQ             9.0%
SVTHRSUB NUM SEVERE THREATS                             9.5%
MLDPS_S1 NUM SUBSEQ1 PCR MILD PSYCHOLOGICAL ABUSE      9.7%
MPSYSUB NUM MILD PSYCH ABUSE                            10.0%

1.0% (3 of 288 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

P_ARREST ARREST                                          11.0%
P_ALCOHO ALCOHOL INVOLVED                                13.2%
P_COMPLS WAS COMPLAINANT STRUCK                          17.3%

2.8% (8 of 288 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

P_CONTRO CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE INVOLVED                  21.0%
P_MEDICA COMPLAINANT SOUGHT MEDICAL ATTENTION           24.9%
=====

```

Table 1 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
P_PROPER PROPERTY DAMAGE	25.2%
P_PERSPR PERSONAL PROTECTION ORDER	25.4%
P_WEAPON WEAPON/OTHER OBJECT USED	25.9%
P_PREVIN PREVIOUS INCIDENTS INVOLVING VIOLENCE	27.2%
P_CALL CALL ORIGINATED FROM	28.6%
P_DPD DPD VICT RIGHTS FORM PROVIDED TO VICT	35.9%
70.8% (204 of 288 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
P_ADMITT ADMITTED TO HOSPITAL	66.5%
MILDPHY NUM PCR MILD PHYSICAL ASSAULT	74.9%
SEVRTHRT NUM PCR SEVERE THREAT PHY OR SEX	85.5%
PCR_SUBS PCR SUBSEQUENT DATA SET	88.6%
S1_OCCUR S1: SUBSEQUENT OCCURRENCES	88.8%
S1_PREC S1: PRECINCT	88.8%
S1_REL_1 S1: MARRIED	88.8%
S1_REL_2 S1: RESIDE TOGETHER	88.8%
S1_REL_3 S1: CHILD IN COMMON	88.8%
S1_REL_4 S1: DIVORCED	88.8%
S1_PSY_1 S1: INSULTED OR SWORE TO VICTIM	88.8%
S1_PSY_2 S1: SHOUTED AT VICTIM	88.8%
S1_PSY_3 S1: STOMPED OUT OF ROOM HOUSE	88.8%
S1_PSY_4 S1: DESTROYED SMTHNG BELONGAING TO VICT	88.8%
S1_PSY_5 S1:THREATENED TO HIT/THROW SMTHNG AT VIC	88.8%
S1_PSY_6 S1: THREATENED VICTIM WITH A WEAPON	88.8%
S1_PSY_7 S1:THREATENED TO KILL(NO WEAPONS INVOLVED)	88.8%
S1_INJ_1 S1: VICTIM HAD A SPRAIN, BRUISE, ETC.	88.8%
S1_INJ_2 S1: VICTIM PASSED OUT FROM BEING HIT	88.8%
S1_INJ_3 S1: VICTIM WENT TO A DOCTOR	88.8%
S1_INJ_4 S1: VICTIM REFUSED TO SEE A DOCTOR	88.8%
S1_INJ_5 S1: VICTIM HAD BROKEN BONE FROM A FIGHT	88.8%
S1_SEX_1 S1: THREATENED SEX IN A WAY SHE DIDN'T WANT	88.8%
S1_SEX_2 S1: FORCED SEX IN A WAY SHE DIDN'T WANT	88.8%
S1_ASS1 S1: THREW SOMETHING AT VICTIM	88.8%
S1_ASS2 S1: TWIST VICTIM'S ARM OR HAIR	88.8%
S1_ASS3 S1: PUSHED OR SHOVED VICTIM	88.8%
S1_ASS4 S1: GRABBED VICTIM	88.8%
S1_ASS5 S1: SLAPPED VICTIM	88.8%
S1_ASS6 S1: USED A KNIFE OR GUN ON VICTIM	88.8%
S1_ASS7 S1: PUNCHED OR HIT VICTIM WITH SOMETHING	88.8%
S1_ASS8 S1: CHOKED VICTIM	88.8%
S1_ASS9 S1: SLAMMED VICTIM AGAINST THE WALL	88.8%

Table 1 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
S1_ASS10 S1: BEAT UP OR DRAGGED VICTIM	88.8%
S1_ASS11 S1: BURNED OR SCALED VICTIM ON PURPOSE	88.8%
S1_ASS12 S1: KICKED VICTIM	88.8%
MILDPSYC NUM PCR MILD PSYCHOLOGICAL ABUSE	89.2%
S1_SAME S1:SUSPCT SAME PERSN FR INITIAL INCIDENT	89.4%
SUBSV_S1 SUBSEQUENT SEVERITY SUB1	89.9%
TOTSVSUB TOTAL SEVERITY SUBSEQ PCRS	90.0%
TTSVSUBR RECODED TOTAL SEVERITY SUBSEQUENT PCRS	90.0%
S1_ARRES S1: ARREST	90.3%
S1_ALCOH S1: ALCOHOL INVOLVED	90.5%
S1_COMPL S1: WAS COMPL STRUCK	90.7%
S1_WEAP S1: WEAPON/OTHER OBJECT USED	90.8%
S1_MEDIC S1: COMPLAINANT SOUGHT MEDICAL ATTENTION	91.1%
PCRSBSAY PCR SUBSQNT SAME PERP	91.1%
S1_CONTR S1: CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE INVOLVED	91.2%
S1_PROP S1: PROPERTY DAMAGE	91.3%
S1_PREV S1:PREVIOUS INCIDENTS INVOLVING VIOLENCE	91.9%
S1_CALL S1: CALL ORIENTED FROM	92.6%
S1_PROT S1: PERSONAL PROTECTION ORDER	93.0%
S1_DPD S1: DPD VICT RIGHTS FORM PROVIDE TO VIC	93.3%
DFFPSYRK DIFF IN RISK PREDICTIONS PSYCHOLOGICAL	94.4%
DFFFIYRK DIFF IN RISK PREDICTIONS FINANCIAL	94.5%
DFFPHYRK DIFF IN RISK PREDICTIONS PHYSICAL	94.9%
S1_ADMIT S1: ADMITTED TO HOSPITAL	95.6%
S2_OCCUR S2: SUBSEQUENT OCCURRENCES	96.5%
S2_PREC S2: PRECINCT	96.5%
S2_REL_1 S2: MARRIED	96.5%
S2_REL_2 S2: RESIDE TOGETHER	96.5%
S2_REL_3 S2: CHILD IN COMMON	96.5%
S2_REL_4 S2: DIVORCED	96.5%
S2_PSY_1 S2: INSULTED OR SWORE TO VICTIM	96.5%
S2_PSY_2 S2: SHOUTED AT VICTIM	96.5%
S2_PSY_3 S2: STOMPED OUT OF ROOM HOUSE	96.5%
S2_PSY_4 S2: DESTROYED SOMETHING BELONGING TO VIC	96.5%
S2_PSY_5 S2: THREATENED TO HIT/THROW SOMTHNG AT	96.5%
S2_PSY_6 S2: THREATENED VICTIM WITH A WEAPON	96.5%
S2_PSY_7 S2: THREATENED TO KILL(NO WEAPONS INVOL)	96.5%
S2_INJ_1 S2: VICTIM HAD A SPRAIN, BRUISE, ETC.	96.5%
S2_INJ_2 S2: VICTIM PASSED OUT FROM BEING HIT	96.5%
S2_INJ_3 S2: VICT WENT TO DOCTOR BECAUSE OF FIGHT	96.5%
S2_INJ_4 S2: VICTIM REFUSED TO SEE A DOCTOR	96.5%
S2_INJ_5 S2: VICTIM HAD BROKEN BONE FROM A FIGHT	96.5%

Table 1 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
S2_SEX_1 S2: THREATEN SEX IN A WAY SHE DIDN'T WANT	96.5%
S2_SEX_2 S2: FORCED SEX IN A WAY SHE DIDN'T WANT	96.5%
S2_ASS1 S2: THREW SOMETHING AT VICTIM	96.5%
S2_ASS2 S2: TWIST VICTIM'S ARM OR HAIR	96.5%
S2_ASS3 S2: PUSHED OR SHOVED VICTIM	96.5%
S2_ASS4 S2: GRABBED VICTIM	96.5%
S2_ASS5 S2: SLAPPED VICTIM	96.5%
S2_ASS6 S2: USED A KNIFE OR GUN ON VICTIM	96.5%
S2_ASS7 S2: PUNCHED OR HIT VICTIM WITH SOMETHING	96.5%
S2_ASS8 S2: CHOKED VICTIM	96.5%
S2_ASS9 S2: SLAMMED VICTIM AGAINST THE WALL	96.5%
S2_ASS10 S2: BEAT UP OR DRAGGED VICTIM	96.5%
S2_ASS11 S2: BURNED OR SCALED VICTIM ON PURPOSE	96.5%
S2_ASS12 S2: KICKED VICTIM	96.5%
S2_SAME S2: SUSPECT SAME PERSON FRM INITIAL INC	96.7%
S2_ARRES S2: ARREST	96.9%
SUBSV_S2 SUBSEQUENT SEVERITY SUB2	96.9%
S2_ALCOH S2: ALCOHOL INVOLVED	97.0%
S2_COMPL S2: WAS COMPL STRUCK	97.2%
S2_CONTR S2: CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE INVOLVED	97.3%
S2_PREV S2: PREVIOUS INCIDENTS INVOLVING VIOLENCE	97.3%
S2_MEDIC S2: DID COMPLAINANT SEEK MEDICAL ATTENTION	97.3%
S2_PROT S2: PERSONAL PROTECTION ORDER	97.4%
S2_WEAP S2: WEAPON/OTHER OBJECT USED	97.4%
S2_PROP S2: PROPERTY DAMAGE	97.4%
SVPHY_S2 SUB PCR2 SEVERE PHYSICAL ABUSE/RAPE	97.7%
S2_CALL S2: CALL ORIENTED FROM	97.9%
S2_DPD S2: DPD VICTIM RIGHTS FORM PROVIDE TO V	98.4%
S2_ADMIT S2: ADMITTED TO HOSPITAL	99.0%
S1_NOINF S1: NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION	99.4%
S3_OCCUR S3: SUBSEQUENT OCCURRENCES	99.4%
S3_PREC S3: PRECINCT	99.4%
S3_ARRES S3: ARREST	99.4%
S3_SAME S3: SUSPCT SAME PERSN FR INITIAL INCIDENT	99.4%
S3_REL_1 S3: MARRIED	99.4%
S3_REL_2 S3: RESIDE TOGETHER	99.4%
S3_REL_3 S3: CHILD IN COMMON	99.4%
S3_REL_4 S3: DIVORCED	99.4%
S3_ALCOH S3: ALCOHOL INVOLVED	99.4%
S3_COMPL S3: WAS COMPL STRUCK	99.4%
S3_PREV S3: PREVIOUS INCIDENTS INVOLV VIOLENCE	99.4%
S3_PROP S3: PROPERTY DAMAGE	99.4%

Table 1 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
S3_MEDIC S3: COMPLAINANT SOUGHT MEDICAL ATTENTION	99.4%
S3_PSY_1 S3: INSULTED OR SWORE TO VICTIM	99.4%
S3_PSY_2 S3: SHOUTED AT VICTIM	99.4%
S3_PSY_3 S3: STOMPED OUT OF ROOM HOUSE	99.4%
S3_PSY_4 S3: DESTROYED SMTHNG BELONGAING TO VICT	99.4%
S3_PSY_5 S3: THREATENED TO HIT/THROW SMTHNG AT V	99.4%
S3_PSY_6 S3: THREATENED VICTIM WITH A WEAPON	99.4%
S3_PSY_7 S3: THREAT TO KILL (NO WEAPONS INVOLVED	99.4%
S3_INJ_1 S3: VICTIM HAD A SPRAIN, BRUISE, ETC.	99.4%
S3_INJ_2 S3: VICTIM PASSED OUT FROM BEING HIT	99.4%
S3_INJ_3 S3: VICT WENT TO DOCTOR BECAUSE OF FIGHT	99.4%
S3_INJ_4 S3: VICTIM REFUSED TO SEE A DOCTOR	99.4%
S3_INJ_5 S3: VICTIM HAD BROKEN BONE FROM A FIGHT	99.4%
S3_SEX_1 S3: THREAT SEX IN A WAY SHE DIDN'T WANT	99.4%
S3_SEX_2 S3: FORCED SEX IN A WAY SHE DIDN'T WANT	99.4%
S3_ASS1 S3: THREW SOMETHING AT VICTIM	99.4%
S3_ASS2 S3: TWIST VICTIM'S ARM OR HAIR	99.4%
S3_ASS3 S3: PUSHED OR SHOVED VICTIM	99.4%
S3_ASS4 S3: GRABBED VICTIM	99.4%
S3_ASS5 S3: SLAPPED VICTIM	99.4%
S3_ASS6 S3: USED A KNIFE OR GUN ON VICTIM	99.4%
S3_ASS7 S3: PUNCHED OR HIT VICTIM WITH SOMETHING	99.4%
S3_ASS8 S3: CHOKED VICTIM	99.4%
S3_ASS9 S3: SLAMMED VICTIM AGAINST THE WALL	99.4%
S3_ASS10 S3: BEAT UP OR DRAGGED VICTIM	99.4%
S3_ASS11 S3: BURNED OR SCALED VICTIM ON PURPOSE	99.4%
S3_ASS12 S3: KICKED VICTIM	99.4%
SUBSV_S3 SUBSEQUENT SEVERITY SUB3	99.4%
S3_CONTR S3: CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE INVOLVED	99.5%
S3_WEAP S3: WEAPON/OTHER OBJECT USED	99.5%
S3_CALL S3: CALL ORIENTED FROM	99.5%
S3_PROT S3: PERSONAL PROTECTION ORDER	99.6%
S3_DPD S3: DPD VICT RIGHT FORM PROVIDE TO VICT	99.6%
S2_NOINF S2: NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION	99.7%
S3_ADMIT S3: ADMITTED TO HOSPITAL	99.7%
S4_OCCUR S4: SUBSEQUENT OCCURRENCES	99.7%
S4_PREC S4: PRECINCT	99.7%
S4_ARRES S4: ARREST	99.7%
S4_SAME S4: SUSPCT SAME PERSN FR INITIAL INCIDENT	99.7%
S4_REL_1 S4: MARRIED	99.7%
S4_REL_2 S4: RESIDE TOGETHER	99.7%
S4_REL_3 S4: CHILD IN COMMON	99.7%

Table 1 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
S4_REL_4 S4: DIVORCED	99.7%
S4_ALCOH S4: ALCOHOL INVOLVED	99.7%
S4_COMPL S4: WAS COMPL STRUCK	99.7%
S4_PSY_1 S4: INSULTED OR SWORE TO VICTIM	99.7%
S4_PSY_2 S4: SHOUTED AT VICTIM	99.7%
S4_PSY_3 S4: STOMPED OUT OF ROOM HOUSE	99.7%
S4_PSY_4 S4: DESTROYED SMTHNG BELONGING TO VICTIM	99.7%
S4_PSY_5 S4: THREAT TO HIT/THROW SMTHNG AT VICT	99.7%
S4_PSY_6 S4: THREATENED VICTIM WITH A WEAPON	99.7%
S4_PSY_7 S4: THREATEN TO KILL(NO WEAPONS INVOLVED)	99.7%
S4_INJ_1 S4: VICTIM HAD A SPRAIN, BRUISE, ETC.	99.7%
S4_INJ_2 S4: VICTIM PASSED OUT FROM BEING HIT	99.7%
S4_INJ_3 S4: VICT WENT TO DOCTOR BECAUSE OF FIGHT	99.7%
S4_INJ_4 S4: VICTIM REFUSED TO SEE A DOCTOR	99.7%
S4_INJ_5 S4: VICTIM HAD BROKEN BONE FROM A FIGHT	99.7%
S4_SEX_1 S4: THREATEN SEX IN A WAY SHE DIDN'T WANT	99.7%
S4_SEX_2 S4: FORCED SEX IN A WAY SHE DIDN'T WANT	99.7%
S4_ASS1 S4: THREW SOMETHING AT VICTIM	99.7%
S4_ASS2 S4: TWIST VICTIM'S ARM OR HAIR	99.7%
S4_ASS3 S4: PUSHED OR SHOVED VICTIM	99.7%
S4_ASS4 S4: GRABBED VICTIM	99.7%
S4_ASS5 S4: SLAPPED VICTIM	99.7%
S4_ASS6 S4: USED A KNIFE OR GUN ON VICTIM	99.7%
S4_ASS7 S4: PUNCHED OR HIT VICTIM WITH SOMETHING	99.7%
S4_ASS8 S4: CHOKED VICTIM	99.7%
S4_ASS9 S4: SLAMMED VICTIM AGAINST THE WALL	99.7%
S4_ASS10 S4: BEAT UP OR DRAGGED VICTIM	99.7%
S4_ASS11 S4: BURNED OR SCALED VICTIM ON PURPOSE	99.7%
S4_ASS12 S4: KICKED VICTIM	99.7%
SUBSV_S4 SUBSEQUENT SEVERITY SUB4	99.7%
S4_CONTR S4: CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE INVOLVED	99.8%
S4_PROP S4: PROPERTY DAMAGE	99.8%
S4_CALL S4: CALL ORIENTED FROM	99.8%
S4_DPD S4: DPD VIC RIGHTS FORM PROVIDE TO VICT	99.8%
S4_PROT S4: PERSONAL PROTECTION ORDER	99.9%
S4_WEAP S4: WEAPON/OTHER OBJECT USED	99.9%
S4_PREV S4: PREVIOUS INCIDENTS INVOLV VIOLENCE	99.9%
S4_MEDIC S4: COMPLAINANT SOUGHT MEDICAL ATTENTION	99.9%
P_DOI DATE OF INCIDENT	100.0%
P_INCICO CODE OF INCIDENT	100.0%
S3_NOINF S3: NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION	100.0%
S4_ADMIT S4: ADMITTED TO HOSPITAL	100.0%

Table 1 (continued)

```
=====
Variable Name and Label                                     Percent of Cases with
                                                           Missing Values
-----
S4_NOINF S4: NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION                       100.0%
PCRDATE  POLICE INCIDENT REPORT DAY                       100.0%
PCRYRMDA POLICE INCIDENT REPORT DATE                      100.0%
=====
```

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

*Variables P_DOI, P_INCICO, PCRDATE, and PCRYRMDA were blanked by
ICPSR for confidentiality purposes.

Table 2 (continued)

Variable Name and Label		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
T2Q2_8	T2:COLLATERAL CONTCT: PPO-DELIV. RETURN	73.0%
T2Q2_9	T2: COLLATERAL CONTACT: OTHR PPO SERVIC	73.0%
T2Q2_5	T2: COLLATERAL CONTACT: OTHER CONTACT	73.0%
T2Q3_1	T2: REFERRAL MADE: COUNSELING FOR VICT	73.0%
T2Q3_2	T2: REFERRAL MADE: COUNSELING FOR CHILD	73.0%
T2Q3_3	T2: REFERRAL MADE: SHELTER	73.0%
T2Q3_4	T2: REFERRAL MADE: MEDICAL CARE	73.0%
T2Q3_5	T2: REFERRAL MADE: LEGAL ADVOCATE	73.0%
T2Q3_6	T2: REFERRAL MADE: LAWYER/OTHR LEGAL AID	73.0%
T2Q3_7	T2: REFERRAL MADE: CRISIS LINE/HOTLINE	73.0%
T2Q3_8	T2: REFERRAL MADE: CHILD VISITATION	73.0%
T2Q3_9	T2: REFERRAL MADE: OTHER	73.0%
T2Q4_1	T2: SERVICES PROVIDED: GETTING PPO	73.0%
T2Q4_2	T2:SERVCS PROVIDED:POLICE/CRT PROCEDURE	73.0%
T2Q4_3	T2: SERVICES PROVIDED:CONTACT COLLATERA	73.0%
T2Q4_4	T2: SERVICES PROVIDED: TRANSPORTATION	73.0%
T2Q4_5	T2: SERVICES PROVIDED: DIRECT COUNSELIN	73.0%
T2Q4_6	T2:SERVCS PROVIDED: CRT SUPP PPO HEARIN	73.0%
T2Q4_7	T2:SERVCS PROVIDED:CRT SUPP PERP'S TRIA	73.0%
T2Q4_8	T2: SERVICES PROVIDED: OTHER	73.0%
T2CONTDA	T2: CONTACT DATE	73.0%
T2ROLE	T2: YOUR ROLE	73.5%
BTCFBLEG	BOTH CFAB & LEGL PREC CONT.SHT OR INTV	74.1%
POLCFAB	POLICE & CFAB ADV CONTACT SHT INTERV LO	74.1%
ADVOSNRE	ADVO SEEN RECODED	75.7%
T1Q3_OTH	T1: REFERRAL MADE: OTHER SPECIFY	82.0%
BTCFBPOL	BOTH CFAB & POLICE ADV CONT.SHT/INTERV	87.3%
BTLEGPOL	BOTH LEGL PREC&POLICE ADV CONT.SHT/INTV	87.3%
T3Q1	T3: TYPE OF CONTACT	92.6%
T3ONE	T3: CONTACT: IN PERSON	92.6%
T3Q1_1_2	T3: CONTACT:ON PHONE	92.6%
T3Q1_1_3	T3: CONTACT: IN COURT	92.6%
T3Q1_1_4	T3: CONTACT: SUPPORT GROUP MEETING	92.6%
T3Q1_1_5	T3: CONTACT: MAILING	92.6%
T3Q1_1_6	T3: CONTACT: OTHER	92.6%
T3Q2_1	T3: COLLATERAL CONTACT: WITH POLICE	92.6%
T3Q2_2	T3: COLLATERAL CONTACT: WITH PROSECUTOR	92.6%
T3Q2_3	T3: COLLATERAL CONTACT: SOCIAL SERVICE	92.6%
T3Q2_4	T3:COLLATERAL CONTCT:CHILD CUSTODY ORDE	92.6%
T3Q2_6	T3:COLLATERAL CONTACT:PPO-IN HOUSE SERV	92.6%
T3Q2_7	T3:COLLATERAL CONTACT:PPO-PROCESS SERV.	92.6%
T3Q2_8	T3:COLLATERAL CONTACT:PPO-DELIV. RETURN	92.6%

Table 2 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
T3Q2_9	T3: COLLATERAL CONTACT: OTHR PPO SERVIC	92.6%
T3Q2_5	T3: COLLATERAL CONTACT: OTHER CONTACT	92.6%
T3Q3_1	T3: REFERRAL MADE: COUNSELING FOR VICT	92.6%
T3Q3_2	T3: REFERRAL MADE: COUNSELING FOR CHILD	92.6%
T3Q3_3	T3: REFERRAL MADE: SHELTER	92.6%
T3Q3_4	T3: REFERRAL MADE: MEDICAL CARE	92.6%
T3Q3_5	T3: REFERRAL MADE: LEGAL ADVOCATE	92.6%
T3Q3_6	T3: REFERRAL MADE: LAWYER/OTHER LEGAL AID	92.6%
T3Q3_7	T3: REFERRAL MADE: CRISIS LINE/HOTLINE	92.6%
T3Q3_8	T3: REFERRAL MADE: CHILD VISITATION	92.6%
T3Q3_9	T3: REFERRAL MADE: OTHER	92.6%
T3Q4_1	T3: SERVICES PROVIDED: GETTING PPO	92.6%
T3Q4_2	T3:SERVCS PROVIDED:POLICE/CRT PROCEDURE	92.6%
T3Q4_3	T3: SERVICES PROVIDED:CONTACT COLLATERA	92.6%
T3Q4_4	T3: SERVICES PROVIDED: TRANSPORTATION	92.6%
T3Q4_5	T3: SERVICES PROVIDED: DIRECT COUNSELIN	92.6%
T3Q4_6	T3: SERVCS PROVIDED:CRT SUPP PPO HEARIN	92.6%
T3Q4_7	T3:SERVCS PROVIDED:CRT SUPP PERP'S TRIA	92.6%
T3Q4_8	SERVICES PROVIDED: OTHER	92.6%
T3CONTDA	T3: CONTACT DATE	93.1%
T3ROLE	YOUR ROLE	93.7%
ALL3ADV	ALL THREE ADVO TYPES CONTACTED BY	95.2%
TTLEGADV	LEGAL PREC ADVCO TOTAL CONTACTS	95.8%
T2Q3_OTH	T2: REFERRAL MADE: OTHER SPECIFY	97.9%
T4ROLE	T4: YOUR ROLE	98.4%
T4Q1	T4: TYPE OF CONTACT	98.4%
T4ONE	T4: CONTACT: IN PERSON	98.4%
T4Q1_1_2	CONTACT:ON PHONE	98.4%
T4Q1_1_3	T4: CONTACT: IN COURT	98.4%
T4Q1_1_4	T4: CONTACT: SUPPORT GROUP MEETING	98.4%
T4Q1_1_5	T4: CONTACT: MAILING	98.4%
T4Q1_1_6	T4: CONTACT: OTHER	98.4%
T4Q2_1	T4: COLLATERAL CONTACT: WITH POLICE	98.4%
T4Q2_2	T4: COLLATERAL CONTACT: WITH PROSECUTOR	98.4%
T4Q2_3	T4: COLLATERAL CONTACT: SOCIAL SERVICE	98.4%
T4Q2_4	T4:COLLATERAL CONTCT:CHILD CUSTODY ORDE	98.4%
T4Q2_6	T4: COLLATERAL CONTACT:PPO-IN HOUSE SER	98.4%
T4Q2_7	T4:COLLATERAL CONTCT:PPO-PROCESS SERVIC	98.4%
T4Q2_8	T4:COLLATERAL CONTCT: PPO-DELIV. RETURN	98.4%
T4Q2_9	T4: COLLATERAL CONTACT: OTHR PPO SERVIC	98.4%
T4Q2_5	T4: COLLATERAL CONTACT: OTHER CONTACT	98.4%
T4Q3_1	T4: REFERRAL MADE: COUNSELING FOR VICT	98.4%

Table 2 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
T4Q3_2 T4: REFERRAL MADE: COUNSELING FOR CHILD	98.4%
T4Q3_3 T4: REFERRAL MADE: SHELTER	98.4%
T4Q3_4 T4: REFERRAL MADE: MEDICAL CARE	98.4%
T4Q3_5 T4: REFERRAL MADE: LEGAL ADVOCATE	98.4%
T4Q3_6 T4: REFERRAL MADE: LAWYER/OTHER LEGAL AID	98.4%
T4Q3_7 T4: REFERRAL MADE: CRISIS LINE/HOTLINE	98.4%
T4Q3_8 T4: REFERRAL MADE: CHILD VISITATION	98.4%
T4Q3_9 T4: REFERRAL MADE: OTHER	98.4%
T4Q4_1 T4: SERVICES PROVIDED: GETTING PPO	98.4%
T4Q4_2 T4:SERVCS PROVIDED:POLICE/CRT PROCEDURE	98.4%
T4Q4_3 T4: SERVICES PROVIDED:CONTACT COLLATERAL	98.4%
T4Q4_4 T4: SERVICES PROVIDED: TRANSPORTATION	98.4%
T4Q4_5 T4: SERVICES PROVIDED: DIRECT COUNSELING	98.4%
T4Q4_6 T4: SERVCS PROVIDED:CRT SUPP PPO HEARING	98.4%
T4Q4_7 T4:SERVCS PROVIDED:CRT SUPP PERP'S TRIAL	98.4%
T4Q4_8 T4: SERVICES PROVIDED: OTHER	98.4%
T1Q2_OTH T1: COLLATERAL CONTACT: OTHER SPECIFY	98.4%
T1Q4_OTH T1: SERVICES PROVIDED: OTHER SPECIFY	98.4%
T4CONTD T4: CONTACT DATE	98.4%
T3Q3_OTH T3: REFERRAL MADE: OTHER	98.9%
T3Q4_OTH SERVICES PROVIDED: OTHER SPECIFY	98.9%
T1ROLE_O T1: ROLE_OTHER	99.5%
T2Q2_OTH T2: COLLATERAL CONTACT: OTHER SPECIFY	99.5%
T1Q1_1_O T1: Q1_1_OTHER	100.0%
T2Q1_1_O T2: CONTACT: OTHER SPECIFY	100.0%
T3Q1_1_O T3: CONTACT: OTHER SPECIFY	100.0%
T4Q1_1_O T4: Q1_1_OTHER	100.0%
T2ROLE_O T2: ROLE_OTHER	100.0%
T2Q4_OTH T2: SERVICES PROVIDED: OTHER SPECIFY	100.0%
T3ROLE_O T3: ROLE_OTHER	100.0%
T3Q2_OTH T3: COLLATERAL CONTACT: OTHER SPECIFY	100.0%
T4ROLE_O T4: ROLE_OTHER	100.0%
T4Q2_OTH T4: COLLATERAL CONTACT: OTHER SPECIFY	100.0%
T4Q3_OTH T4: REFERRAL MADE: OTHER SPECIFY	100.0%
T4Q4_OTH T4: SERVICES PROVIDED: OTHER SPECIFY	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3017.P3

Table 3: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
(Total cases=148)                                    Missing Values
-----
14.3% (4 of 28 variables) have 0% Missing Values

35.7% (10 of 28 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

21.4% (6 of 28 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 28 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 28 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 28 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

10.7% (3 of 28 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

D_OUTCOM OUTCOME OF RESOLVED CASE                    20.3%
GUILTNO  GUILTY OR NO                                20.3%
DISTIME  DAYS BETW DISPOSITION & INITIAL INCIDENT  22.3%

17.9% (5 of 28 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

D_DISMIS WHY CASE DISMISSED                          66.9%
D_DISMRE WHY DISMISSED RECODED                       66.9%
D_PROBA  PROBATION                                   70.9%
D_UNDIS  CASE INDISPOSED                             80.4%
D_DATE_D DATE DISPOSED OF RESOLVED CASE              100.0%
=====

```

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.

*Variable D_DATE_D was blanked by ICPSR for confidentiality purposes.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3017.P4

Table 4: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                Percent of Cases with
      (Total cases=242)                                Missing Values
-----
15.7% (55 of 351 variables) have 0% Missing Values

6.0%  (21 of 351 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

5.1%  (18 of 351 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

2.6%  (9 of 351 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

2.6%  (9 of 351 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

Q17      POLICE TOLD YOU COUNSELOR AVAILABLE           5.8%
Q211     PARTNER USED ALCOHOL DURING INCIDENTS        5.8%
Q232     HOW LIKELY PARTNER HARM YOU PHYSICLLY        6.6%
Q234     HOW LIKELY WILL HARM YOU FINANCIALLY        7.0%
Q122     GOOD IDEA PROSECUTOR PRESSES CHARGES        7.9%
Q9        WERE YOU OR YOUR PARTNER ARRESTED          8.7%
Q145     CONTACT WITH LEGAL SYSTEM HELPED YOU        8.7%
Q145REC  HELPFULNESS OF LEGAL SYS REC                8.7%
Q123_1   WHY GOOD IDEA OR NOT - PROSECUTOR 1         9.1%

8.3%  (29 of 351 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

Q124     ANY CHARGES FILED                            10.3%
Q8        DID THE POLICE ARRIVE PROMPTLY              10.7%
Q241_1   YOU HAVE LESS THAN 1 CHILD                  12.4%
Q241_2   YOU HAVE 1 CHILD                            12.4%
Q241_3   YOU HAVE 2 CHILDREN                         12.4%
Q241_4   YOU HAVE 3 CHILDREN                         12.4%
Q241_5   YOU HAVE 4 CHILDREN                         12.4%
Q241_6   YOU HAVE 5 CHILDREN                         12.4%
Q241_7   YOU HAVE 6 CHILDREN                         12.4%
Q241_8   YOU HAVE 7 CHILDREN                         12.4%
Q241_9   YOU HAVE 8 CHILDREN                         12.4%
Q241_10  YOU HAVE 9 CHILDREN                         12.4%
=====

```

Table 4 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
Q241_11 YOU HAVE 10 CHILDREN	12.4%
Q241_12 YOU HAVE 11 CHILDREN	12.4%
Q241_13 YOU HAVE 12 CHILDREN	12.4%
Q241_14 YOU HAVE 13 CHILDREN	12.4%
Q241_15 YOU HAVE 14 CHILDREN	12.4%
Q241_16 YOU HAVE 15 CHILDREN	12.4%
Q241_17 YOU HAVE 16 CHILDREN	12.4%
Q241_18 YOU HAVE 17 CHILDREN	12.4%
Q241_19 YOU HAVE 18 CHILDREN	12.4%
Q241_20 NUM CHILDREN YOU HAVE - REFUSE	12.4%
Q245 YOUR INCOME	12.8%
Q212 PARTNER USED DRUGS DURING INCIDENTS	14.0%
QTTIME TOTAL TIME IN SECONDS	14.5%
Q122RE FAVOR PROS 1 AND 0	14.5%
Q122REC2 RECODED Q122	14.5%
Q188 PARTNR RECEIVED TREATMENT FOR DRUG/ALC	14.9%
Q189 PARTNER RECEIVED TRTMNT FOR BATTERING	16.9%
3.7% (13 of 351 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
Q218 HOW OFTEN WRITTEN THREATENING LETTERS	20.2%
Q217 HOW OFTN PARTNER HARASSED YOU ON PHONE	20.7%
Q222 HOW OFTEN HE INSISTED ON SEEING YOU	20.7%
Q224 HOW OFTEN HE HARASSED YOU AT WORK	20.7%
Q225 HOW OFTEN HE THREATENED TO HURT YOU	20.7%
Q216 HOW LONG YOU BEEN (WERE) SEPARATED	21.1%
Q220 HOW OFTEN THREATENED TO HURT HIMSELF	21.1%
Q223 HOW OFTEN REFUSED TO LEAVE YOUR HOME	21.5%
Q246_1 COMMENTS ON POLICE/ADV/PROSEC/JUDGE 1	24.8%
Q219 HOW OFTEN VIOLATED LEGAL RESTRICTIONS	25.2%
Q221 HOW OFTEN HE FOLLOWED YOU AROUND	26.0%
Q147_1 OTH HELPFUL RESULT CONTACT LEGAL SYS	38.8%
Q187_1 RECEIVED ASST FROM OTHER SOURCES	39.3%
56.1% (197 of 351 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
Q11 YOU OR PARTNER ADVISED TO LEAVE SCENE	43.0%
Q230 HOW OFTEN THREATENED TAKE CHILDREN	43.0%
Q227 HOW OFTN HE PUT CHILDREN IN THE MIDDLE	43.4%
Q228 HOW OFTEN HE USED CHILDREN AS EXCUSE	43.4%
Q226 HOW OFTEN FAILED TO PAY CHILD SUPPORT	43.8%
Q229 HOW OFTN USED CHILDREN TO GET BACK AT U	43.8%

Table 4 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
Q231	HOW OFTEN HE THREATENED CUSTDY BATTLE	43.8%
Q244	ARE YOU EMPLOYED FULL OR PART-TIME	45.0%
Q247_1	YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT THIS INTERVIEW 1	47.5%
Q10	PARTNER REMOVED FROM SCENE W/O ARREST	50.4%
Q215_1	YOU & PARTNER BEEN SEPARATED > 3 DAYS	53.3%
Q243_2	OTHER CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS	56.2%
Q113	HOW WAS THE CONTACT MADE - PROSEC	65.3%
Q116	PROSECUTOR DISCUSSED THE WARRANT	65.3%
Q115	PROSEC GATHERED INFO ON WHAT HAPPENED	65.7%
Q121	HOW LIKELY WOULD TALK TO PROSECUTOR	65.7%
Q117	PROSECUTOR DISCUSSED CHARGES	66.1%
Q119	HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH PROSECUTOR	66.1%
Q120_1	WHY ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH PROSECUTOR 1	68.2%
MNADHLP1	MEAN ADV HELPFULNESS 1ST INT	69.0%
MNADHP1R	MEAN ADV HELPFULNESS 1 INT RECODED	69.0%
MNADHPRE	MEAN ADVO HELPFULNESS REC 1ST INTER	69.0%
Q118_1	OTH THINGS PROSECUTOR HELPED WITH	71.5%
FSTINTYR	FSTINTYR=XDATE.YEAR(QDATE)(COMPUTE)	72.7%
FSTINTMO	FSTINTMO = XDATE.MONTH(QDATE) (COMPUTE)	72.7%
FSTINTDY	FIRST INTERVIEW DAY	72.7%
FSTYRMDA	FIRST INTERVIEW DATE	72.7%
TM2LEGHP	TOTAL HELPFULNESS LEGAL SYS TIME 2	74.0%
TM2LGHRE	REC TO HELPED AND SAME OR NOT HELPED	74.0%
TM2HELLE	TIME2 HELPFULNESS OF LEGAL SYSTEM	74.0%
TM2HPLRE	TIME2 HELPFULNESS LEG SYS REC	74.0%
TM2LGH4M	TOTAL LEGAL SYS HELPFUL 4 CODED MISSING	75.2%
Q89	CFAB REFERRED YOU TO A SHELTER	79.3%
Q92	CFAB REFERRED FAMLY INDEP. AGENCY	79.3%
Q98	CFAB ASSISTED YOU WITH MEDICAL CARE	79.3%
Q101	CFAB ASSISTED FINDING ATTORNEY	79.3%
Q104	CFAB ASSISTED WITH TRANS. TO COURT	79.3%
Q108	OVERALL, HOW HELPFUL WAS CFAB	79.3%
Q246_2	COMMENTS ON POLICE/ADV/PROSEC/JUDGE 2	79.3%
Q82	HOW WAS THE CONTACT MADE - CFAB	79.8%
Q85	CFAB ASSISTED PLANNING FOR SAFETY	79.8%
Q86	CFAB REFERRED YOU TO COUNSELOR/GROUP	79.8%
Q95	CFAB REFERRED FAMILY SERVICE AGENCY	79.8%
Q83	CFAB TALKED ABOUT YOUR FEELINGS	80.2%
Q110	HOW LIKELY TO SEEK SERVICE FRM CFAB	80.6%
Q84	CFAB TOLD YOU WHAT HAPPEN IN LEGAL	81.0%
Q109_1	WHY YOU FELT CFAB WAS HELPFUL 1	81.0%
Q128	PRELIMINARY EXAM OR PRE-TRIAL	81.4%

Table 4 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
Q125	MISDEMEANOR OR FELONY	82.2%
Q20_2	WHY SATISFIED WAY INCIDENT HANDLED 2	82.2%
Q215	YOU & PARTNER EVER BEEN SEPARATED	83.9%
Q107_1	OTHER THINGS CFAB HELPED YOU WITH 1	84.3%
Q123_2	WHY GOOD IDEA OR NOT - PROSECUTOR 2	86.0%
Q185	WHERE LEARNED SPIRITUAL GUIDANCE	86.8%
Q186	HOW HELPFUL WAS SPIRITUAL GUIDANCE	86.8%
Q23	HOW LONG WAS HE HELD	87.2%
Q25	HOW WAS THE CONTACT MADE - POL PREC	87.2%
Q26	POL PREC TALKED ABOUT YOUR FEELINGS	87.2%
Q27	POL PREC TOLD WHAT HAPPEN IN LEGAL	87.2%
Q29	POL PREC HELPED PLAN FOR YOUR SAFETY	87.2%
Q30	POL PREC TOLD YOU HOW TO GET PPO	87.2%
Q33	POL PREC REFERED ANOTHER COUNSELOR	87.2%
Q36	POL PREC REFERRED YOU TO A SHELTER	87.2%
Q42	POL PREC HELPED GET MEDICAL CARE	87.2%
Q45	POL PREC HELPED GET TRANSPORTATION	87.2%
Q48	POL PREC HELPED GET CHILDRN'S SERVICE	87.2%
Q51	POL PREC HELPED FIND AN ATTORNEY	87.2%
Q62	HOW LIKELY SEEK SERVICE FROM POL PREC	87.2%
Q39	POL PREC REFERRED TO CHILD VISITATION	87.6%
Q54	POL PREC TOLD YOU ABOUT DV SUPPORT	87.6%
Q60	OVERALL, HOW HELPFUL WAS POL PREC	88.0%
Q61_1	WHY DO YOU FEEL POL PREC WAS HELPFUL 1	88.0%
Q28	POL PREC HELPED ABOUT POLICE PROCESS	88.4%
Q143	NUM TIMES YOU CALLED ADVOCACY	89.3%
Q58_2	OTHER THINGS POL PREC HELPED 2	89.3%
Q144_1	KIND HELP YOU LOOKED FOR	89.3%
Q59_1	YOUR NEEDS POL PREC DIDN'T ADDRESS	89.7%
Q126_2	WHO PRESSURED YOU TO DROP CHARGE	89.7%
Q114	WHERE DID YOU SEE THE PROSECUTOR	91.3%
Q127_2	WHO PRESSURED YOU TO PURSUE CHARGE	91.3%
Q31	DID YOU GET PPO - POL PREC	91.7%
Q132	CFAB NOTIFIED YOU DATE OF PRE. EXAM	92.1%
Q131	RECEIVED SERVICE FROM CFAB PRE. EXAM	93.0%
Q129	YOU WERE PRESENT FOR PRELIMINARY EXAM	94.6%
Q176	WHERE LEARNED INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	94.6%
Q177	HOW HELPFUL WAS INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	94.6%
Q130_1	WHY DID / DIDN'T YOU GO TO COURT	94.6%
Q135_1	OTH THINGS CFAB HELPED WITH PRE.EXAM	94.6%
Q137_1	WHY FELT CFAB HELPFUL DURING PRE.EXAM	94.6%
Q136	HOW HELPFUL WAS CFAB DURING PRE.EXAM	95.0%

Table 4 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
Q7_2	OTH WHO CALLED POLICE DURING INCIDENT	95.0%
Q139_1	WHY SATISFIED HANDLED BY PROSEC 1	95.0%
Q141_1	WHY SATISFIED HANDLED BY JUDGE	95.0%
Q138	SATISFIED PRE. EXAM HANDLED BY PROSEC	95.9%
Q140	SATISFIED PRE. EXAM HANDLED BY JUDGE	95.9%
Q133	CFAB HELPED YOU PREPARE FOR PRE. EXAM	96.3%
Q55	ATTENDED DV SUPPORT - POL PREC	96.7%
Q87	FOLLOWUP WITH COUNSELOR/GROUP - CFAB	96.7%
Q120_2	WHY ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH PROSECUTOR 2	96.7%
Q185_2	OTHER SOURCES SPIRITUAL GUIDANCE	96.7%
Q32	HOW HELPFUL IS PPO - POL PREC	97.1%
Q90	FOLLOWUP WITH SHELTER - CFAB	97.1%
Q176_2	OTHER SOURCES INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	97.1%
Q34	FOLLOWED UP WITH COUNSELOR - POL PREC	97.5%
Q68	PREC LEGAL GATHERED WHAT HAPPENED	97.5%
Q69	PREC LEGAL REFERRED YOU TO A SHELTER	97.5%
Q71	PREC LEGAL HELPED FIND AN ATTORNEY	97.5%
Q72	PREC LEGAL HELPED GET CHILD SUPPORT	97.5%
Q73	PREC LEGAL TOLD YOU HOW TO GET PPO	97.5%
Q77	OVERALL, HOW HELPFUL WAS PREC LEGAL	97.5%
Q79	LIKELY SEEK SERVICES FRM PREC LEGAL	97.5%
Q134	CFAB ACCOMPANIED YOU DURING PRE. EXAM	97.5%
Q149	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT THE SHELTER	97.5%
Q150	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE TEMPORARY SHELTER	97.5%
Q78_1	WHY YOU FELT PREC LEGAL WAS HELPFUL	97.5%
Q70	PREC LEGAL HELPED TRANS. TO SHELTER	97.9%
Q105	FOLLOWUP WITH TRANSPORTATION - CFAB	97.9%
Q173	WHERE LEARNED SUPPORT GROUP	97.9%
Q174	HOW HELPFUL WAS SUPPORT GROUP	97.9%
Q61_2	WHY DO YOU FEEL POL PREC WAS HELPFUL 2	97.9%
Q76_1	OTH THINGS PREC LEGAL HELPED WITH	97.9%
Q247_2	YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT THIS INTERVIEW 2	97.9%
Q37	USED A SHELTER - POL PREC	98.3%
Q102	FOLLOWUP WITH ATTORNEY - CFAB	98.3%
Q164	WHERE LEARNED TO FURTHER EDUCATION	98.3%
Q182	WHERE LEARNED SUB ABUSE TREATMENT	98.3%
Q183	HOW HELPFUL SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT	98.3%
Q80_1	WHAT ELSE COULD PREC LEGAL HAVE DONE	98.3%
Q111_2	WHY DIDN'T YOU TALK TO CFAB 2	98.3%
Q74	DECIDED TO GET PPO AFTER PREC LEGAL	98.8%
Q96	FOLLOWUP WITH FAMILY SERVICE AG.-CFAB	98.8%
Q99	FOLLOWUP WITH MEDICAL CARE - CFAB	98.8%

Table 4 (continued)

Variable Name and Label		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
Q106	HOW HELPFUL WAS TRANSPORTATION - CFAB	98.8%
Q152	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT FOOD RESOURCES	98.8%
Q153	HOW HELPFUL WAS FOOD/MONEY RESOURCES	98.8%
Q158	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT THE HOTLINE	98.8%
Q159	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE HOTLINE	98.8%
Q165	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE EDUCATION	98.8%
Q167	WHERE LEARNED GETTING A LAWYER	98.8%
Q168	HOW HELPFUL LAWYER FOR DIVORCE/CUSTODY	98.8%
Q58_1	OTHER THINGS POL PREC HELPED 1	98.8%
Q107_2	OTHER THINGS CFAB HELPED YOU WITH 2	98.8%
Q109_2	WHY YOU FELT CFAB WAS HELPFUL 2	98.8%
Q113_2	OTHER WAY CONTACT WAS MADE - PROSEC	98.8%
Q139_2	WHY SATISFIED HANDLED BY PROSEC 2	98.8%
Q167_2	OTHER SOURCES GETTING A LAWYER	98.8%
Q173_2	OTHER SOURCES SUPPORT GROUP	98.8%
Q182_2	OTH SOURCES SUB ABUSE TREATMENT	98.8%
Q43	FOLLOWUP WITH MEDICAL CARE - POL PREC	99.2%
Q52	FOLLOWED UP WITH ATTORNEY - POL PREC	99.2%
Q67	WHAT AGENCY WAS PREC LEGAL FROM	99.2%
Q161	WHERE LEARNED ASSISTANCE IN EMPLOYMNT	99.2%
Q162	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE EMPLOYMENT	99.2%
Q179	WHERE LEARNED MARITAL COUNSELING	99.2%
Q180	HOW HELPFUL WAS MARITAL COUNSELING	99.2%
Q67_2	OTHER AGENCY WAS THE PREC LEGAL FROM	99.2%
Q149_2	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT SHELTER - OTHER	99.2%
Q152_2	LEARNED ABOUT FOOD RESOURCES - OTHER	99.2%
Q161_2	OTH SOURCES ASSISTANCE IN EMPLOYMENT	99.2%
Q164_2	OTHER SOURCES LEARNED EDUCATION	99.2%
Q35	HOW HELPFUL WAS COUNSELOR - POL PREC	99.6%
Q40	FOLLOWUP WITH CHILD VISIT. - POL PREC	99.6%
Q44	HOW HELPFUL MEDICAL CARE - POL PREC	99.6%
Q53	HOW HELPFUL WAS ATTORNEY - POL PREC	99.6%
Q75	PREC LEGAL FILED PPO PAPERS FOR YOU	99.6%
Q93	FOLLOWUP WITH FAMLY INDEP. AG. - CFAB	99.6%
Q97	HOW HELPFUL FAMIXLY SERVICE AG.- CFAB	99.6%
Q100	HOW HELPFUL WAS MEDICAL CARE - CFAB	99.6%
Q155	WHERE DID YOU LEARN ABOUT CHILDCARE	99.6%
Q156	HOW HELPFUL ARRANGEMENT FOR CHILDCARE	99.6%
Q170	WHERE LEARNED GETTING PERM HOUSING	99.6%
Q171	HOW HELPFUL WAS GETTING PERM HOUSING	99.6%
Q114_2	OTHER WAY YOU SAW THE PROSECUTOR	99.6%
Q155_2	OTHER PLACES LEARNED ABOUT CHILDCARE	99.6%

Table 4 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
Q158_2 OTHER SOURCES LEARNED ABOUT HOTLINE	99.6%
Q170_2 OTHER SOURCE GETTING PERM HOUSING	99.6%
Q236_2 OTHER RACE OR ETHNIC BACKGROUND	99.6%
Q38 HOW HELPFUL WAS SHELTER - POL PREC	100.0%
Q41 HOW HELPFUL CHILD VISITATION-POL PREC	100.0%
Q46 FOLLOWUP WITH TRANSPORTATION-POL PREC	100.0%
Q47 HOW HELPFUL TRANSPORTATION - POL PREC	100.0%
Q49 FOLLOWUP CHILDRN'S SERVICE - POL PREC	100.0%
Q50 HOW HELPFUL CHILDRN'S SERVCS-POL PREC	100.0%
Q56_2 NUM TIMES HAVE ATTENDED - POL PREC	100.0%
Q57 HOW HELPFUL DV SUPPORT - POL PREC	100.0%
Q64 DID YOU GET PPO AFTER INCIDENT	100.0%
Q65 WAS THE ABUSER SERVED THE ORDER	100.0%
Q88 HOW HELPFUL WAS COUNSELOR/GROUP-CFAB	100.0%
Q91 HOW HELPFUL WAS SHELTER - CFAB.	100.0%
Q94 HOW HELPFUL FAMLY INDEP. AG. - CFAB	100.0%
Q103 HOW HELPFUL WAS ATTORNEY - CFAB	100.0%
QCOMMENT INTERVIEWER: INCL. NOTES/INTERVENTION	100.0%
Q6_2 OTHER REALTION TO THE ABUSER	100.0%
Q25_2 OTHER WAY CONTACT WAS MADE - POL PREC	100.0%
Q82_2 OTHER WAY CONTACT WAS MADE - CFAB	100.0%
Q179_2 OTHER SOURCES MARITAL COUNSELING	100.0%
Q237_2 OTHER HIGHEST GRADE OR LEVEL OF SCHOOL	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

This report corresponds to the data file: DA3017.P5

Table 5: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values*

=====

Variable Name and Label (Total cases=63)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
---	---

25.6% (68 of 266 variables) have 0% Missing Values

0.0% (0 of 266 variables) have > 0% - 1% Missing Values

2.3% (6 of 266 variables) have > 1% - 3% Missing Values

1.5% (4 of 266 variables) have > 3% - 5% Missing Values

0.8% (2 of 266 variables) have > 5% - 10% Missing Values

FTTIME	TOTAL TIME IN SECONDS	6.3%
FQ200	WAS YOUR PARTNER USING ALCOHOL DURING A	7.9%

1.1% (3 of 266 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values

FQ177	PARTNR RCVD DRUG/ACL TRT SINCE 1ST INT	15.9%
FQ178	PARTNR RCVD TRT BATTERING SINC 1ST INT	15.9%
FQ201	PARTNER USED DRUG DURING INCIDENTS	15.9%

4.1% (11 of 266 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values

FQ205	HOW OFTEN PARNTER HARASSED ON PHONE	25.4%
FQ206	PARTNER WRITTEN TREATENING LETTERS	25.4%
FQ207	PARTNER VIOLATED LEGAL RESTRICTIONS	25.4%
FQ208	HOW OFTEN THREATENED TO HURT HIMSELF	25.4%
FQ210	HOW OFTN PARTNR INSISTED ON SEEING YOU	25.4%
FQ211	HOW OFTEN PARTNER REFUSED TO LEAVE	25.4%
FQ212	HOW OFTEN PARTNR HARASSED YOU AT WORK	25.4%
FQ213	HOW OFTN PARTNR THREATENED TO HURT YOU	25.4%
FQ214	YOU & PARTNER HAVE CHILDREN UNDER 18	25.4%
FQ209	HOW OFTEN PARTNER FOLLOWED YOU AROUND	27.0%
FQ227	IF EMPLOYED: PART-TIME OR FULL TIME	28.6%

64.7% (172 of 266 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values

=====

Table 5 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
FQ215	HOW OFTEN FAILED TO PAY CHILD SUPP	44.4%
FQ216	HOW OFTN PARTNR PUT CHILDREN IN MIDDLE	44.4%
FQ217	USED CHILDREN AS EXCUSE TO SEE YOU	44.4%
FQ218	USED CHILDREN TO GET BACK AT YOU	44.4%
FQ219	HOW OFTEN THREATENED TO TAKE CHILDREN	44.4%
FQ221	EXTENT CONCERN ABOUT CHILDRN'S SAFETY	44.4%
FQ220	THREATENED/CARRIED OUT CUSTODY BATTLE	46.0%
FQ203	YOU AND YOUR PARTNER BEEN SEPARATED	60.3%
FQ44	WHEN DID YOU GET PPO	73.0%
FQ45	SOMEONE SERVED THE ABUSER AN ORDER	73.0%
FQ172	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT SPIRITUAL GUIDANCE	76.2%
FQ173	HOW HELPFUL WAS SPIRITUAL GUIDANCE	76.2%
FQ204	HOW LONG YOU BEEN (WERE YOU) SEPARATED	82.5%
FQ62	HOW WAS THE CONTACT MADE - CFAB	84.1%
FQ63	CFAB TALKED ABOUT YOUR FEELINGS	84.1%
FQ65	CFAB ASSISTED PLANNING FOR SAFETY	84.1%
FQ66	CFAB REFERRED YOU TO COUNSELOR/GROUP	84.1%
FQ69	CFAB REFERRED YOU TO A SHELTER	84.1%
FQ72	CFAB REFERRED FAMILY INDEP. AGENCY	84.1%
FQ75	CFAB REFERRED FAMILY SERVICE AGENCY	84.1%
FQ78	CFAB ASSISTED YOU WITH MEDICAL CARE	84.1%
FQ81	CFAB ASSISTED FINDING ATTORNEY	84.1%
FQ84	CFAB ASSISTED WITH TRANS. TO COURT	84.1%
FQ88	OVERALL, HOW HELPFUL WAS CFAB	84.1%
FQ90	HOW LIKELY TO SEEK SERVICE FRM CFAB	84.1%
FQ106	RECEIVED SERVICE FROM CFAB PRE.EXAM	84.1%
FQ64	CFAB TOLD YOU WHAT HAPPEN IN LEGAL	85.7%
FQ104	YOU WERE PRESENT FOR PRELIMINARY EXAM	85.7%
FQ7	HOW LONG WAS HE HELD IN JAIL	92.1%
FQ9	HOW WAS THE CONTACT MADE - POL PREC	92.1%
FQ10	POL PREC TALKED ABOUT YOUR FEELINGS	92.1%
FQ11	POL PREC TOLD WHAT HAPPEN IN LEGAL	92.1%
FQ12	POL PREC HELPED ABOUT POLICE PROCESS	92.1%
FQ13	POL PREC HELPED PLAN FOR YOUR SAFETY	92.1%
FQ14	POL PREC TOLD YOU HOW TO GET PPO	92.1%
FQ15	DID YOU GET PPO - POL PREC	92.1%
FQ17	POL PREC REFERRED ANOTHER COUNSELOR	92.1%
FQ20	POL PREC REFERRED YOU TO A SHELTER	92.1%
FQ23	POL PREC REFERRED TO CHILD VISITATION	92.1%
FQ26	POL PREC HELPED GET MEDICAL CARE	92.1%
FQ29	POL PREC HELPED GET TRANSPORTATION	92.1%
FQ32	POL PREC HELPED GET CHILDRN'S SERVICE	92.1%

Table 5 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
FQ35	POL PREC HELPED FIND AN ATTORNEY	92.1%
FQ40	OVERALL, HOW HELPFUL WAS POL PREC	92.1%
FQ42	HOW LIKELY SEEK SERVICE FROM POL PREC	92.1%
FQ49	PREC LEGAL REFERRED YOU TO A SHELTER	92.1%
FQ50	PREC LEGAL HELPED TRANS. TO SHELTER	92.1%
FQ51	PREC LEGAL HELPED FIND AN ATTORNEY	92.1%
FQ52	PREC LEGAL HELPED GET CHILD SUPPORT	92.1%
FQ53	PREC LEGAL TOLD YOU HOW TO GET PPO	92.1%
FQ57	OVERALL, HOW HELPFUL WAS PREC LEGAL	92.1%
FQ59	LIKELY TO SEEK SERVICE FRM PREC LEGAL	92.1%
FQ48	PREC LEGAL GATHERED WHAT HAPPENED	93.7%
FQ67	FOLLOWUP WITH COUNSELOR - CFAB	93.7%
FQ92	HOW WAS THE CONTACT MADE - PROSECUTOR	93.7%
FQ93	PROSECUTOR GATHERED INFO WHAT HAPPENED	93.7%
FQ94	PROSECUTOR DISCUSSED WHAT WILL HAPPEN	93.7%
FQ95	PROSECUTOR DISCUSSED THE CHARGES	93.7%
FQ97	HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH PROSECUTOR	93.7%
FQ99	HOW LIKELY TO TALK TO PROSECUTOR	93.7%
FQ100	FELT PRESSURED TO DROP CHARGES	93.7%
FQ229_1	ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT POLICE/ADVOC/PROS 1	93.7%
FQ21	USED A SHELTER - POL PREC	95.2%
FQ107	CFAB NOTIFIED YOU DATE OF PRE. EXAM	95.2%
FQ108	CFAB HELPED YOU PREPARE FOR PRE. EXAM	95.2%
FQ163	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	95.2%
FQ164	HOW HELPFUL WAS INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	95.2%
FQ134_1	OTH THINGS YOU FOUND LEGAL SYS HELPFUL	95.2%
FQ16	HOW HELPFUL IS PPO - POL PREC	96.8%
FQ68	HOW HELPFUL WAS COUNSELOR - CFAB	96.8%
FQ70	FOLLOWUP WITH SHELTER - CFAB	96.8%
FQ76	FOLLOWUP WITH FAMLY SERVICE AG.-CFAB	96.8%
FQ109	CFAB ACCOMPANIED YOU DURING PRE. EXAM	96.8%
FQ110	HOW HELPFUL WAS CFAB DURING PRE.EXAM	96.8%
FQ112	SATISFIED PRE.EXAM HANDLED BY PROSEC	96.8%
FQ114	SATISFIED PRE. EXAM HANDLED BY JUDGE	96.8%
FQ116	HAS THERE BEEN TRIAL SINCE 1ST INTERV	96.8%
FQ117	WERE YOU IN COURT DURING TRIAL	96.8%
FQ119	RECEIVED ADVOCACY ABOUT TRIAL	96.8%
FQ142	WHERE DID YOU LEARNED FOR CHILDCARE	96.8%
FQ143	HOW HELPFUL ARRANGEMENT FOR CHILDCARE	96.8%
FQ154	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT GETTING A LAWYER	96.8%
FQ155	HOW HELPFUL LAWYER FOR DIVORCE/CUSTODY	96.8%
FQ160	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT SUPPORT GROUP	96.8%

Table 5 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
FQ161	HOW HELPFUL WAS SUPPORT GROUP	96.8%
FQ105_2	WHY DID/ DIDN'T YOU ATTEND PRE-TRIAL	96.8%
FQ130_1	OTH THINGS CFAB DID TO ASSIST YOU	96.8%
FQ174_1	ASST RECEIVED FRM OTH SOURCES FOR DV	96.8%
FQ226_2	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS-SPECIFY	96.8%
FQ230_1	COMMENTS ABOUT THIS INTERVIEW	96.8%
FQ18	FOLLOWED UP WITH COUNSELOR - POL PREC	98.4%
FQ24	FOLLOWUP WITH CHILD VISIT. - POL PREC	98.4%
FQ47	WHAT AGENCY WAS PREC LEGAL FROM	98.4%
FQ54	DECIDED TO GET PPO AFTER PREC LEGAL	98.4%
FQ73	FOLLOWUP WITH FAMLY INDEP. AG. - CFAB	98.4%
FQ77	HOW HELPFUL FAMLY SERV. AG. - CFAB	98.4%
FQ120	CFAB NOTIFIED OF DATE OF THE TRIAL	98.4%
FQ121	CFAB HELPED YOU PREPARE FOR THE TRIAL	98.4%
FQ139	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT FOOD RESOURCES	98.4%
FQ140	HOW HELPFUL WAS FOOD/MONEY RESOURCES	98.4%
FQ148	WHERE LEARNED ASSISTANCE IN EMPLOYMNT	98.4%
FQ149	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE EMPLOYMENT	98.4%
FQ151	WHERE LEARNED TO FURTHER EDUCATION	98.4%
FQ152	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE EDUCATION	98.4%
FQ166	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT MARITAL COUNSELING	98.4%
FQ167	HOW HELPFUL WAS MARITAL COUNSELING	98.4%
FQ98_1	WHY WERE YOU SATISTIED WITH PROSECUTOR	98.4%
FQ101_2	WHO PRESSURED YOU TO PURSURE CHARGE	98.4%
FQ233_1	INTERVIEWER: NOTES/INTERVENTN MEASURE 1	98.4%
FQ233_2	INTERVIEWER: NOTES/INTERVENTN MEASURE 2	98.4%
FQ19	HOW HELPFUL WAS COUNSELOR - POL PREC	100.0%
FQ22	HOW HELPFUL WAS SHELTER - POL PREC	100.0%
FQ25	HOW HELPFUL CHILD VISITATION-POL PREC	100.0%
FQ27	FOLLOWUP WITH MEDICAL CARE - POL PREC	100.0%
FQ28	HOW HELPFUL WAS MEDICAL CARE-POL PREC	100.0%
FQ30	FOLLOWUP WITH TRANSPORTATION-POL PREC	100.0%
FQ31	HOW HELPFUL TRANSPORTATION - POL PREC	100.0%
FQ33	FOLLOWUP CHILDRN'S SERVICES-POL PREC	100.0%
FQ34	HOW HELPFUL CHILDRN'S SERVCS-POL PREC	100.0%
FQ36	FOLLOWED UP WITH ATTORNEY - POL PREC	100.0%
FQ37	HOW HELPFUL WAS ATTORNEY - POL PREC	100.0%
FQ55	PREC LEGAL FILED PPO PAPERS FOR YOU	100.0%
FQ71	HOW HELPFUL WAS SHELTER - CFAB	100.0%
FQ74	HOW HELPFUL FAMLY INDEP. AG. - CFAB	100.0%
FQ79	FOLLOWUP WITH MEDICAL CARE - CFAB	100.0%
FQ80	HOW HELPFUL WAS MEDICAL CARE - CFAB	100.0%

Table 5 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
FQ82	FOLLOWUP WITH ATTORNEY - CFAB	100.0%
FQ83	HOW HELPFUL WAS ATTORNEY - CFAB	100.0%
FQ85	FOLLOWUP WITH TRANSPORTATION - CFAB	100.0%
FQ86	HOW HELPFUL WAS TRANSPORTATION - CFAB	100.0%
FQ122	CFAB ACCOMPANIED YOU DURING THE TRIAL	100.0%
FQ123	HOW HELPFUL WAS CFAB DURING TRIAL	100.0%
FQ125	SATISFIED TRIAL HANDLED BY PROSECUTOR	100.0%
FQ127	SATISFIED TRIAL HANDLED BY JUDGE	100.0%
FQ136	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT THE SHELTER	100.0%
FQ137	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE TEMPORARY SHELTER	100.0%
FQ145	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT THE HOTLINE	100.0%
FQ146	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE HOTLINE	100.0%
FQ157	WHERE LEARNED GETTING PERM HOUSING	100.0%
FQ158	HOW HELPFUL WAS GETTING PERM HOUSING	100.0%
FQ169	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT SUB ABUSE TREATMENT	100.0%
FQ170	HOW HELPFUL SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT	100.0%
FQ38_1	OTHER THINGS POL PREC DO TO HELP	100.0%
FQ39_1	NEEDS POL PREC DIDN'T ADDRESS	100.0%
FQ41_1	WHY YOU FELT COUNSELOR WAS HELPFUL	100.0%
FQ56_1	OTH THINGS PREC LEGAL HELP YOU WITH	100.0%
FQ58_1	WHY YOU FELT PREC LEGAL WAS HELPFUL	100.0%
FQ60_1	WHAT ELSE COULD PREC LEGAL HAVE DONE	100.0%
FQ87_1	OTH THINGS, PREC LEGAL HELP YOU WITH	100.0%
FQ89_1	WHY YOU FELT CFAB WAS HELPFUL	100.0%
FQ96_1	OTH THINGS PROSECUTOR HELPED YOU WITH	100.0%
FQ111_1	WHY ADVOCACY WAS HELPFUL AT PRE-TRIAL	100.0%
FQ113_1	WHY SATISFIED WITH PROS AT PRE-TRIAL	100.0%
FQ115_1	WHY SATISFIED WT JUDGE AT PRE-TRIAL	100.0%
FQ118_2	WHY DID / DIDN'T YOU ATTEND TRIAL	100.0%
FQ124_1	WHY FELT ADVOCACY HELPFUL AT TRIAL	100.0%
FQ126_1	WHY SATISFIED/NOT WITH PROS AT TRIAL	100.0%
FQ128_1	WHY SATIFIED/NOT WITH JUDGE AT TRIAL	100.0%
FQ139_2	WHERE LEARNED FOOD/MONEY RES. SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ142_2	WHERE LEARNED ARRANGEMENTS-SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ145_2	WHERE LEARNED THE HOTLINE-SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ148_2	WHERE LEARNED ASST GET EMPL-SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ151_2	WHERE LEARNED TO FURTHER EDU-SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ154_2	WHERE LEARNED GETTING LAWYER-SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ157_2	WHERE LEARNED PERM HOUSING-SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ160_2	WHERE LEARNED SUPP GROUP-SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ163_2	WHERE LEARNED INDIVDL COUNSEL-SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ166_2	WHERE LEARNED MARITAL COUNSEL-SPECIFY	100.0%

Table 5 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
FQ169_2 WHERE LEARNED SUB ABUSE TRT-SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ172_2 WHERE LEARNED SPIRITL GUIDANCE-SPECIFY	100.0%
FQ176_2 WHAT TYPE OF SERVICES DID YOU SEEK	100.0%
FQ229_2 ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT POLICE/ADVOC/PROS 2	100.0%

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
in the data as coded.

Table 6 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
CQ245 YOUR INCOME	8.7%
1.6% (5 of 322 variables) have > 10% - 20% Missing Values	
CQ8 DID THE POLICE ARRIVE PROMPTLY	13.0%
CQ9 WERE YOU OR PARTNER ARRESTED	13.0%
CQ124 ANY CHARGES FILED	13.0%
CQ212 PARTNER USED DRUGS DURING INCIDENTS	17.4%
CQ244 ARE YOU EMPLOYED FULL OR PART-TIME	17.4%
5.9% (19 of 322 variables) have > 20% - 40% Missing Values	
CQ216 HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN(WERE) SEPARATED	21.7%
CQ217 HOW OFTN PARTNR HARASSED YOU ON PHONE	21.7%
CQ218 HOW OFTEN WRITTEN THREATENING LETTERS	21.7%
CQ219 HOW OFTEN VIOLATED LEGAL RESTRICTIONS	21.7%
CQ221 HOW OFTEN HE FOLLOWED YOU AROUND	21.7%
CQ222 HOW OFTEN HE INSISTED ON SEEING YOU	21.7%
CQ223 HOW OFTEN REFUSED TO LEAVE YOUR HOME	21.7%
CQ224 HOW OFTEN HE HARASSED YOU AT WORK	21.7%
CQ225 HOW OFTEN HE THREATENED TO HURT YOU	21.7%
CQ147_1 OTH HELPFUL RESULT CONTACT LEGAL SYS	21.7%
CQ189 PARTNER RECEIVED TRTMNT FOR BATTERING	26.1%
CQ220 HOW OFTEN THREATENED TO HURT HIMSELF	26.1%
COMQ21 HOW LIKELY CALL POLICE IF SIMILAR INC	26.1%
CQ111_1 WHY DIDN'T YOU TALK TO CFAB	26.1%
CQ187_1 RECEIVED ASST FROM OTHER SOURCES	26.1%
CQ246_1 COMMENTS ON POLICE/ADV/PROSEC/JUDGE 1	26.1%
COMQ12 ADVISED TO FILE CHARGES	30.4%
CQ243_2 OTHER CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS	30.4%
CQ188 PARTNR RECEIVED TREATMENT FOR DRUG/ALC	34.8%
58.1% (187 of 322 variables) have > 40% - 100% Missing Values	
COMQ8 POL ARRIVE PROMPTLY	43.5%
COMQ18 WHOSE SIDE POLICE ON	43.5%
CQ247_1 YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT THIS INTERVIEW	43.5%
CQ226 HOW OFTEN FAILED TO PAY CHILD SUPPORT	47.8%
CQ227 HOW OFTN HE PUT CHILDREN IN THE MIDDLE	47.8%
CQ228 HOW OFTEN HE USED CHILDREN AS EXCUSE	47.8%
CQ229 HOW OFTN USED CHILDREN TO GET BACK AT U	47.8%
CQ230 HOW OFTEN THREATENED TO TAKE CHILDREN	47.8%

Table 6 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
CQ231	HOW OFTEN HE THREATENED CUSTODY BATTLE	47.8%
COMQ9	WHO ARRESTED	47.8%
COMQ19	SATISFIED WITH HOW HANDLED BY POLICE	47.8%
CQ215	YOU & PARTNER EVER BEEN SEPARATED	52.2%
CQ113	HOW WAS THE CONTACT MADE - PROSEC	56.5%
CQ115	PROSEC GATHERED INFO ON WHAT HAPPENED	56.5%
CQ119	HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH PROSECUTOR	56.5%
CQ121	HOW LIKELY WOULD TALK TO PROSECUTOR	56.5%
CQ117	PROSECUTOR DISCUSSED CHARGES	60.9%
CQ120_1	WHY ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH PROSECUTOR	60.9%
CQ11	YOU OR PARTNER ADVISED TO LEAVE SCENE	65.2%
CQ116	PROSECUTOR DISCUSSED THE WARRANT	65.2%
COMQ15	PROVIDED NAMES OF AGENCIES	65.2%
COMQ17	POLICE TOLD YOU COUNSELOR AVAILABLE	65.2%
CQ23	HOW LONG WAS HE HELD	69.6%
COMQ14	OFFERED TAKEN TO HOSPITAL	69.6%
COMQ22	PARTNER PUT IN JAIL SINCE INCIDENT	69.6%
CQ20_2	WHY SATISFIED WAY INCIDENT HANDLED	69.6%
CQ246_2	COMMENTS ON POLICE/ADV/PROSEC/JUDGE 2	69.6%
CQ10	PARTNER REMOVED FROM SCENE W/O ARREST	73.9%
CQ128	PRELIMINARY EXAM OR PRE-TRIAL	73.9%
CQ118_1	OTH THINGS PROSECUTOR HELPED WITH	73.9%
CQ25	HOW WAS THE CONTACT MADE--POL PREC	78.3%
CQ26	POL PREC TALKED ABOUT YOUR FEELINGS	78.3%
CQ27	POL PREC TOLD WHAT HAPPEN IN LEGAL	78.3%
CQ28	POL PREC HELPED ABOUT POLICE PROCESS	78.3%
CQ29	POL PREC HELPED PLAN FOR YOUR SAFETY	78.3%
CQ30	POL PREC TOLD YOU HOW TO GET PPO	78.3%
CQ31	DID YOU GET PPO - POL PREC	78.3%
CQ33	POL PREC REFERED ANOTHER COUNSELOR	78.3%
CQ36	POL PREC REFERRED YOU TO A SHELTER	78.3%
CQ39	POL PREC REFERRED TO CHILD VISITATION	78.3%
CQ42	POL PREC HELPED GET MEDICAL CARE	78.3%
CQ45	POL PREC HELPED GET TRANSPORTATION	78.3%
CQ48	POL PREC HELPED GET CHILDRN'S SERVICE	78.3%
CQ60	OVERALL, HOW HELPFUL WAS POL PREC	78.3%
CQ62	HOW LIKELY SEEK SERVICE FROM POL PREC	78.3%
CQ82	HOW WAS CONTACT MADE - CFAB	78.3%
CQ83	CFAB TALKED ABOUT YOUR FEELINGS	78.3%
CQ84	CFAB TOLD YOU WHAT HAPPEN IN LEGAL	78.3%
CQ85	CFAB ASSISTED PLANNING FOR SAFETY	78.3%
CQ86	CFAB REFERED YOU TO COUNSELOR/GROUP	78.3%

Table 6 (continued)

Variable Name and Label		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
CQ92	CFAB REFERRED FAMILY INDEP. AGENCY	78.3%
CQ95	CFAB REFERRED FAMILY SERVICE AGENCY	78.3%
CQ98	CFAB ASSISTED YOU WITH MEDICAL CARE	78.3%
CQ101	CFAB ASSISTED FINDING ATTORNEY	78.3%
CQ104	CFAB ASSISTED WITH TRANS. TO COURT	78.3%
CQ108	OVERALL, HOW HELPFUL WAS CFAB	78.3%
CQ110	HOW LIKELY TO SEEK SERVICE FRM CFAB	78.3%
CQ51	POL PREC HELPED FIND AN ATTORNEY	82.6%
CQ54	POL PREC TOLD YOU ABOUT DV SUPPORT	82.6%
CQ87	FOLLOWUP WITH COUNSELOR - CFAB	82.6%
CQ89	CFAB REFERED YOU TO A SHELTER	82.6%
CQ114	WHERE DID YOU SEE THE PROSECUTOR	82.6%
CQ125	MISDEMEANOR OR FELONY	82.6%
CQ143	NUM TIMES YOU CALLED ADVOCACY	82.6%
CQ185	WHERE LEARNED SPIRITUAL GUIDANCE	82.6%
CQ186	HOW HELPFUL WAS SPIRITUAL GUIDANCE	82.6%
COMQ13	OFFERED TAKEN TO SHELTER	82.6%
CQ58_1	OTHER THINGS POL PREC HELPED	82.6%
CQ59_1	YOUR NEEDS POL PREC DIDN'T ADDRESS	82.6%
CQ61_1	WHY DO YOU FEEL POL PREC WAS HELPFUL	82.6%
CQ107_1	OTHER THINGS CFAB HELPED YOU WITH	82.6%
CQ109_1	WHY YOU FELT CFAB WAS HELPFUL	82.6%
CQ144_1	KIND HELP YOU LOOKED FOR	82.6%
CQ32	HOW HELPFUL IS PPO - POL PREC	87.0%
CQ68	PREC LEGAL GATHERED WHAT HAPPENED	87.0%
CQ69	PREC LEGAL REFERED YOU TO A SHELTER	87.0%
CQ71	PREC LEGAL HELPED FIND AN ATTORNEY	87.0%
CQ72	PREC LEGAL HELPED GET CHILD SUPPORT	87.0%
CQ73	PREC LEGAL TOLD YOU HOW TO GET PPO	87.0%
CQ77	OVERALL, HOW HELPFUL WAS PREC LEGAL	87.0%
CQ79	LIKELY SEEK SERVICES FRM PREC LEGAL	87.0%
CQ129	YOU WERE PRESENT FOR PRELIMINARY EXAM	87.0%
CQ131	RECEIVED SERVICE FROM CFAB PRE. EXAM	87.0%
CQ132	CFAB NOTIFED YOU DATE OF PRE. EXAM	87.0%
CQ136	HOW HELPFUL WAS CFAB DURING PRE. EXAM	87.0%
CQ140	SATISFIED PRE. EXAM HANDLED BY JUDGE	87.0%
CQ76_1	OTH THINGS PREC LEGAL HELPED WITH	87.0%
CQ130_1	WHY DID / DIDN'T YOU GO TO COURT	87.0%
CQ135_1	OTH THINGS CFAB HELPED WITH PRE.EXAM	87.0%
CQ137_1	WHY FELT CFAB HELPFUL DURING PRE.EXAM	87.0%
CQ139_1	WHY SATISFIED HANDLED BY PROSEC	87.0%
CQ141_1	WHY SATISFIED HANDLED BY JUDGE	87.0%

Table 6 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
CQ40	FOLLOWUP WITH CHILD VISIT. - POL PREC	91.3%
CQ43	FOLLOWUP WITH MEDICAL CARE - POL PREC	91.3%
CQ70	PREC LEGAL HELPED TRANS. TO SHELTER	91.3%
CQ74	DECIDED TO GET PPO AFTER PREC LEGAL	91.3%
CQ75	PREC LEGAL FILED PPO PAPERS FOR YOU	91.3%
CQ90	FOLLOWUP WITH SHELTER - CFAB	91.3%
CQ133	CFAB HELPED YOU PREPARE FOR PRE. EXAM	91.3%
CQ138	SATISFIED PRE. EXAM HANDLED BY PROSEC	91.3%
CQ78_1	WHY YOU FELT PREC LEGAL WAS HELPFUL	91.3%
CQ80_1	WHAT ELSE COULD PREC LEGAL HAVE DONE	91.3%
CQ126_2	WHO PRESSURED YOU TO DROP CHARGE	91.3%
CQ127_2	WHO PRESSURED YOU TO PURSUE CHARGE	91.3%
CQ34	FOLLOWED UP WITH COUNSELOR - POL PREC	95.7%
CQ37	USED A SHELTER - POL PREC	95.7%
CQ41	HOW HELPFUL CHILD VISITATION-POL PREC	95.7%
CQ44	HOW HELPFUL MEDICAL CARE - POL PREC	95.7%
CQ46	FOLLOWUP WITH TRANSPORTATION-POL PREC	95.7%
CQ49	FOLLOWUP CHILDRN'S SERVICES-POL PREC	95.7%
CQ52	FOLLOWED UP WITH ATTORNEY - POL PREC	95.7%
CQ53	HOW HELPFUL WAS ATTORNEY - POL PREC	95.7%
CQ67	WHAT AGENCY WAS PREC LEGAL FROM	95.7%
CQ88	HOW HELPFUL WAS COUNSELOR - CFAB	95.7%
CQ93	FOLLOWUP WITH FAMLY INDEP. AG. - CFAB	95.7%
CQ96	FOLLOWUP WITH FAMLY SERVICE AG.- CFAB	95.7%
CQ99	FOLLOWUP WITH MEDICAL CARE - CFAB	95.7%
CQ100	HOW HELPFUL WAS MEDICAL CARE - CFAB	95.7%
CQ102	FOLLOWUP WITH ATTORNEY - CFAB	95.7%
CQ134	CFAB ACCOMPANIED YOU DURING PRE. EXAM	95.7%
CQ167	WHERE LEARNED GETTING A LAWYER	95.7%
CQ168	HOW HELPFUL LAWYER FOR DIVORCE/CUSTODY	95.7%
CQ176	WHERE LEARNED INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	95.7%
CQ177	HOW HELPFUL WAS INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	95.7%
COMQ10	PARTNER REMOVED FROM SCENE	95.7%
COMQ11	ADVISED TO LEAVE SCENE	95.7%
CQ35	HOW HELPFUL WAS COUNSELOR - POL PREC	100.0%
CQ38	HOW HELPFUL WAS SHELTER - POL PREC	100.0%
CQ47	HOW HELPFUL TRANSPORTATION - POL PREC	100.0%
CQ50	HOW HELPFUL CHILDRN'S SERVCS-POL PREC	100.0%
CQ55	ATTENDED DV SUPPORT - POL PREC	100.0%
CQ56_2	NUM TIMES HAVE ATTENDED - POL PREC	100.0%
CQ57	HOW HELPFUL DV SUPPORT - POL PREC	100.0%
CQ64	DID YOU GET PPO AFTER INCIDENT	100.0%

Table 6 (continued)

Variable Name and Label	Percent of Cases with Missing Values	
CQ65	WAS THE ABUSER SERVED THE ORDER	100.0%
CQ91	HOW HELPFUL WAS SHELTER - CFAB	100.0%
CQ94	HOW HELPFUL FAMLY INDEP. AG. - CFAB	100.0%
CQ97	HOW HELPFUL FAMILY SERVICE AG.- CFAB	100.0%
CQ103	HOW HELPFUL WAS ATTORNEY - CFAB	100.0%
CQ105	FOLLOWUP WITH TRANSPORTATION - CFAB	100.0%
CQ106	HOW HELPFUL WAS TRANSPORTATION - CFAB	100.0%
CQ149	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT THE SHELTER	100.0%
CQ150	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE TEMPORARY SHELTER	100.0%
CQ152	WHERE LEARNED FOOD RESOURCES	100.0%
CQ153	HOW HELPFUL WAS FOOD/MONEY RESOURCES	100.0%
CQ155	WHERE DID YOU LEARNED ABOUT CHILDCARE	100.0%
CQ156	HOW HELPFUL ARRANGEMENT FOR CHILDCARE	100.0%
CQ158	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT THE HOTLINE	100.0%
CQ159	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE HOTLINE	100.0%
CQ161	WHERE LEARNED ASSISTANCE IN EMPLOYMNT	100.0%
CQ162	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE EMPLOYMENT	100.0%
CQ164	WHERE LEARNED TO FURTHER EDUCATION	100.0%
CQ165	HOW HELPFUL WAS THE EDUCATION	100.0%
CQ170	WHERE LEARNED GETTING PERM HOUSING	100.0%
CQ171	HOW HELPFUL WAS GETTING PERM HOUSING	100.0%
CQ173	WHERE LEARNED SUPPORT GROUP	100.0%
CQ174	HOW HELPFUL WAS SUPPORT GROUP	100.0%
CQ179	WHERE LEARNED MARITAL COUNSELING	100.0%
CQ180	HOW HELPFUL WAS MARITAL COUNSELING	100.0%
CQ182	WHERE LEARNED SUB ABUSE TRTREATMENT	100.0%
CQ183	HOW HELPFUL SUB ABUSE TREATMENT PROG	100.0%
CQ215_1	YOU & PARTNER BEEN SEPARATED > 3 DAY	100.0%
CQ248	OKAY WITH YOU FOR US TO CALL AGAIN	100.0%
CCOMMENT	INTERVIEWER: INCL. NOTES/INTERVENTN	100.0%
CTTIME	TOTAL TIME IN SECONDS	100.0%
CQ6_2	OTHER REALTION TO THE ABUSER	100.0%
CQ7_2	OTH WHO CALLED POLICE DURING INCIDENT	100.0%
CQ25_2	OTHER WAY CONTACT WAS MADE - POL PREC	100.0%
CQ67_2	OTHER AGENCY WAS THE PREC LEGAL FROM	100.0%
CQ82_2	OTHER WAY CONTACT WAS MADE - CFAB	100.0%
CQ113_2	OTHER WAY CONTACT WAS MADE - PROSEC	100.0%
CQ114_2	OTHER WAY YOU SAW THE PROSECUTOR	100.0%
CQ149_2	WHERE LEARNED ABOUT SHELTER - OTHER	100.0%
CQ152_2	LEARNED ABOUT FOOD RESOURCES - OTHER	100.0%
CQ155_2	OTHER PLACES LEARNED ABOUT CHILDCARE	100.0%
CQ158_2	OTHER SOURCES LEARNED ABOUT HOTLINE	100.0%

Table 6 (continued)

```

=====
Variable Name and Label                                     Percent of Cases with
                                                           Missing Values
-----
CQ161_2  OTH SOURCES ASSISTANCE IN EMPLOYMENT              100.0%
CQ164_2  OTHER SOURCES LEARNED EDUCATION                       100.0%
CQ167_2  OTHER SOURCES GETTING A LAWYER                         100.0%
CQ170_2  OTHER SOURCE GETTING PERM HOUSING                     100.0%
CQ173_2  OTHER SOURCES SUPPORT GROUP                            100.0%
CQ176_2  OTHER SOURCES INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING                   100.0%
CQ179_2  OTHER SOURCES MARITAL COUNSELING                      100.0%
CQ182_2  OTH SOURCES SUB ABUSE TREATMENT                       100.0%
CQ185_2  OTHER SOURCES SPIRITUAL GUIDANCE                     100.0%
CQ236_2  OTHER RACE OR ETHNIC BACKGROUND                       100.0%
CQ237_2  OTHR HIGHEST GRADE OR LEVEL OF SCHOOL                 100.0%
=====

```

*Variables individually listed only if greater than 5% missing values.
 Data does not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected
 in the data as coded.