

ICPSR 25481

Compstat and Organizational Change in the United States, 1999-2001

David Weisburd Police Foundation

Rosann Greenspan Police Foundation

Stephen Mastrofski Police Foundation

Line Officer Survey Codebook





Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at: http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/cocoon/ICPSR/TERMS/25481.xml

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

ICPSR CODEBOOK NOTES Line Officer Survey Data

1) The data are restricted from general dissemination. Users interested in obtaining these data must complete a Restricted Data Use Agreement form and specify the reasons for the request. A copy of the Restricted Data Use Agreement form can be requested by calling 800-999-0960. Researchers can also download this form as a Portable Document Format (PDF) file from the download page associated with this dataset.

Completed forms should be returned to: Director, National Archive of Criminal Justice Data, Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Institute for Social Research, P.O. Box 1248, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1248, or by fax: 734-647-8200.

 Because long character variables may cause errors in some versions of certain statistical software packages, ICPSR divided the following long character variable into two variables.

Q8 was divided into:

- Q8A "PLEASE DESCRIBE ANY CHANGES IN YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES"
- Q8B "PLEASE DESCRIBE ANY CHANGES IN YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES (CONT.)"

Q11 was divided into:

- Q11A "PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR VIEW OF THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT'S PERFORMANCE IN SERVING THE PUBLIC"
- Q11B "PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR VIEW OF THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT'S PERFORMANCE IN SERVING THE PUBLIC (CONT.)"

Q13 was divided into:

- Q13A "PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR VIEW OF THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT AS A GOOD PLACE TO WORK AS A POLICE OFFICER"
- Q13B "PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR VIEW OF THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT AS A GOOD PLACE TO WORK AS A POLICE OFFICER (CONT.)"

COMMENTS was divided into:

- COMMENTSA "ANY OTHER COMMENTS WRITTEN ON THE SURVEY"
 COMMENTSB "ANY OTHER COMMENTS WRITTEN ON THE SURVEY (CONT.)"
- 3) ICPSR removed individual names from the value labels in the RSRCHER "RESEARCHER NAME" variable and replaced the names with the following labels: 1 "<RESEARCHER 1>", 2 "<RESEARCHER 2>", and 3 "<RESEARCHER 3>".
- 4) ICPSR edited the DATE "DATE OF SURVEY" variable so that the years correspond to 2000 and 2001 as opposed to 1900 and 1901.
- 5) Users should be aware that this data collection may contain undocumented codes. These codes were not labeled by the principal investigator nor were they labeled by ICPSR.
- 6) Due to the default formatting of some versions of certain statistical software packages, the value of "24:00" in the Q16_FROM "WHAT HOURS DO YOU WORK, FROM" and Q16_TO "WHAT HOURS DO YOU WORK, TO" variables was replaced by the value of "0:00".
- 7) ICPSR masked the ID "ID NUMBER ASSIGNED BY PF" variable and created the CASEID variable, which is a unique identifier.

ICPSR 25481

Compstat and Organizational Change in the United States, 1999-2001

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Compstat and Organizational Change in the United States, 1999-2001

Line Officer Survey

CASEID	CASE IDENTIFIER CREATED BY ICPSR

Location: 1-8(width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Mean: 225.50Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 450.00

• Standard Deviation: 130.05

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

SITE SITE NAME

Location: 9-9(width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

SITE: SIT	E NAME		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Lowell, MA	97	21.6 %
2	Minneapolis, MN	136	30.2 %
3	Newark, NJ	217	48.2 %

Mean: 2.27Median: 2.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Standard Deviation: 0.79

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

RSRCHER RESEARCHER NAME

Location: 10-10(width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

RSRCH	ER: RESEARCHER NAME		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	<researcher 1=""></researcher>	136	30.2 %
2	<researcher 2=""></researcher>	97	21.6 %
3	<researcher 3=""></researcher>	217	48.2 %

• Mean: 2.18

Median: 2.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.87

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

DATE DATE OF SURVEY

Location: 11-21(width: 11; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

DATE: DATE OF SURVEY		
Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
14-May-2001	49	10.9 %
15-May-2001	58	12.9 %
16-May-2001	54	12.0 %
17-May-2001	91	20.2 %
19-Dec-2000	47	10.4 %
20-Dec-2000	39	8.7 %
21-Dec-2000	50	11.1 %
25-Apr-2001	62	13.8 %

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

ID ID NUMBER ASSIGNED BY PF

Location: 22-29(width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

ID: ID NUMBER ASSIGNED BY PF		
Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
[MASKED]	450	100.0 %

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

PRECINCT PRECINCT

Location: 30-31(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

PRECIN	CT: PRECINCT		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Lowell Unknown Precinct/All Roll Calls Together	97	21.6 %
21	Minneapolis Downtown Command	30	6.7 %
22	Minneapolis Second Precinct	16	3.6 %
23	Minneapolis Third Precinct	41	9.1 %
24	Minneapolis Fourth Precint	22	4.9 %

PRECIN	CT: PRECINCT		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
25	Minneapolis Fifth Precinct	27	6.0 %
31	Newark Compstat Meeting	0	0.0 %
32	Newark North District	49	10.9 %
33	Newark East District	58	12.9 %
34	Newark West District	54	12.0 %
35	Newark South District	56	12.4 %

Mean: 23.34Median: 25.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 35.00

• Standard Deviation: 12.62

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

SHIFT GENERAL SHIFT

Location: 32-33(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

SHIFT: G	GENERAL SHIFT		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Day	154	34.2 %
2	Mids	158	35.1 %
3	Nights/dogwatch	133	29.6 %
4	Cross day-afternoon	5	1.1 %

Mean: 1.98Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Standard Deviation: 0.83

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1A IMPORTANCE OF REDUCE COMPLAINTS AGAINST OFFICERS

Location: 34-35(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q1A: IMI	PORTANCE OF REDUCE COMPLAINTS AGAINST OFFICER	RS	
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	216	48.0 %
2	Somewhat important	105	23.3 %
3	Not at all important	86	19.1 %
8	Don't know	34	7.6 %
-9 (M)	-	9	2.0 %

Mean: 2.17Median: 2.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 1.85

Based upon 441 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1B IMPORTANCE OF REDUCE VIOLENT CRIME IN CITY

Location: 36-37(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q1B: IM	PORTANCE OF REDUCE VIOLENT CRIME IN CITY		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	321	71.3 %
2	Somewhat important	87	19.3 %
3	Not at all important	25	5.6 %
8	Don't know	13	2.9 %
-9 (M)	-	4	0.9 %

Mean: 1.51Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.26

Based upon 446 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1C IMPORTANCE OF IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN CITY

Location: 38-39(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q1C: IM	PORTANCE OF IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN CITY		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	306	68.0 %
2	Somewhat important	100	22.2 %
3	Not at all important	28	6.2 %
8	Don't know	11	2.4 %
-9 (M)	-	5	1.1 %

Mean: 1.52Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.19

Based upon 445 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1D IMPORTANCE OF ARREST PEOPLE COMMITTING MISDEMEANOR OFFENSES

Location: 40-41(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q1D: IMPORTANCE OF ARREST PEOPLE COMMITTING MISDEMEANOR OFFENSES			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	137	30.4 %
2	Somewhat important	249	55.3 %
3	Not at all important	47	10.4 %
8	Don't know	11	2.4 %
-9 (M)	-	6	1.3 %

Mean: 1.95Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.14

Based upon 444 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1E IMPORTANCE OF HOLD INSPECTORS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CRIMES IN THEIR PRECINTS

Location: 42-43(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q1E: IMPORTANCE OF HOLD INSPECTORS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CRIMES IN THEIR PRECINTS			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	101	22.4 %
2	Somewhat important	175	38.9 %
3	Not at all important	141	31.3 %
8	Don't know	25	5.6 %
-9 (M)	-	8	1.8 %

Mean: 2.43Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 1.55

Based upon 442 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1F IMPORTANCE OF PROVIDE TIMELY AND ACCURATE CRIME DATA

Location: 44-45(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q1F: IMI	PORTANCE OF PROVIDE TIMELY AND ACCURATE CRIME DA	TA	
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	210	46.7 %
2	Somewhat important	175	38.9 %
3	Not at all important	49	10.9 %
8	Don't know	9	2.0 %
-9 (M)	-	7	1.6 %

Mean: 1.76Median: 2.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.12

Based upon 443 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1G IMPORTANCE OF RESPOND QUICKLY TO CALLS FOR SERVICE

Location: 46-47(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q1G: IM	PORTANCE OF RESPOND QUICKLY TO CALLS FOR SERVICE	-	
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	241	53.6 %
2	Somewhat important	129	28.7 %
3	Not at all important	65	14.4 %
8	Don't know	11	2.4 %
-9 (M)	-	4	0.9 %

Mean: 1.75Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 1.23

Based upon 446 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1H IMPORTANCE OF IDENTIFY CRIME PATTERNS AND CHOOSE APPROPRIATE TACTICS

Location: 48-49(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q1H: IMPORTANCE OF IDENTIFY CRIME PATTERNS AND CHOOSE APPROPRIATE TACTICS			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	264	58.7 %
2	Somewhat important	149	33.1 %
3	Not at all important	24	5.3 %
8	Don't know	8	1.8 %
-9 (M)	-	5	1.1 %

Mean: 1.57Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 445 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1I IMPORTANCE OF RESPOND QUICKLY TO EMERGING CRIME PROBLEMS

Location: 50-51(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q1I: IMF	PORTANCE OF RESPOND QUICKLY TO EMERGING CRIME PR	OBLEMS	
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	270	60.0 %
2	Somewhat important	137	30.4 %
3	Not at all important	26	5.8 %
8	Don't know	12	2.7 %
-9 (M)	-	5	1.1 %

Mean: 1.61Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 1.22

Based upon 445 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1J IMPORTANCE OF HOLD OFFICERS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CRIMES IN THEIR BEATS

Location: 52-53(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q1J: IMPORTANCE OF HOLD OFFICERS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CRIMES IN THEIR BEATS			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	49	10.9 %
2	Somewhat important	170	37.8 %
3	Not at all important	194	43.1 %
8	Don't know	32	7.1 %
-9 (M)	-	5	1.1 %

Mean: 2.76Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.60

Based upon 445 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1K IMPORTANCE FOLLOW UP TO ASSESS WHETHER SOLUTIONS WERE SUCCESSFUL

Location: 54-55(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q1K: IMPORTANCE FOLLOW UP TO ASSESS WHETHER SOLUTIONS WERE SUCCESSFUL			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	141	31.3 %
2	Somewhat important	207	46.0 %
3	Not at all important	66	14.7 %
8	Don't know	27	6.0 %
-9 (M)	-	9	2.0 %

Mean: 2.20Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 1.62

Based upon 441 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1L IMPORTANCE OF MAKE OFFICERS AND EQUIPMENT AVAILABLE TO DIFFERENT PRECINCTS AS NEEDED

Location: 56-57(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q1L: IMPORTANCE OF MAKE OFFICERS AND EQUIPMENT AVAILABLE TO DIFFERENT PRECINCTS AS NEEDED

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	240	53.3 %
2	Somewhat important	95	21.1 %
3	Not at all important	93	20.7 %
8	Don't know	16	3.6 %
-9 (M)	-	6	1.3 %

Mean: 1.89Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 1.43

Based upon 444 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1M IMPORTANCE OF ENCOURAGE OFFICERS TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THEIR BEAT

Location: 58-59(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q1M: IMPORTANCE OF ENCOURAGE OFFICERS TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THEIR BEAT			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	118	26.2 %
2	Somewhat important	207	46.0 %
3	Not at all important	104	23.1 %
8	Don't know	16	3.6 %
-9 (M)	-	5	1.1 %

Mean: 2.18Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 1.33

Based upon 445 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q1N IMPORTANCE OF RESOLVE DISPUTES AMONG DIFFERENT SEGMENTS OF THE COMMUNITY

Location: 60-61(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q1N: IMPORTANCE OF RESOLVE DISPUTES AMONG DIFFERENT SEGMENTS OF THE COMMUNITY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	113	25.1 %
2	Somewhat important	196	43.6 %
3	Not at all important	106	23.6 %
8	Don't know	30	6.7 %
-9 (M)	-	5	1.1 %

Mean: 2.39Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.67

Based upon 445 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q10 IMPORTANCE OF CREATE AND MAINTAIN OPEN LINES OF COMMUNICATION WITH THE COMMUNITY

Location: 62-63(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q10: IMPORTANCE OF CREATE AND MAINTAIN OPEN LINES OF COMMUNICATION WITH THE COMMUNITY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very important	183	40.7 %
2	Somewhat important	171	38.0 %
3	Not at all important	72	16.0 %
8	Don't know	20	4.4 %
-9 (M)	-	4	0.9 %

Mean: 2.02Median: 2.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.48

Based upon 446 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2A EFFECTIVENESS OF REDUCE COMPLAINTS AGAINST OFFICERS

Location: 64-65(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q2A: EF	FECTIVENESS OF REDUCE COMPLAINTS AGAINST OFFICER	RS	
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	165	36.7 %
2	Stayed about the same	129	28.7 %
3	Decreased	85	18.9 %
8	Don't know	64	14.2 %
-9 (M)	-	7	1.6 %

Mean: 2.69Median: 2.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.30

Based upon 443 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2B EFFECTIVENESS OF REDUCE VIOLENT CRIME IN CITY

Location: 66-67(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q2B: EF	FECTIVENESS OF REDUCE VIOLENT CRIME IN	CITY	
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	121	26.9 %
2	Stayed about the same	178	39.6 %
3	Decreased	118	26.2 %
8	Don't know	25	5.6 %
-9 (M)	-	8	1.8 %

Mean: 2.33Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 1.57

Based upon 442 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2C EFFECTIVENESS OF IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN CITY

Location: 68-69(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q2C: EF	FECTIVENESS OF IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN CITY		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	153	34.0 %
2	Stayed about the same	204	45.3 %
3	Decreased	51	11.3 %
8	Don't know	35	7.8 %
-9 (M)	-	7	1.6 %

Mean: 2.24Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.80

Based upon 443 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2D EFFECTIVENESS OF ARREST PEOPLE COMMITTING MISDEMEANOR OFFENSES

Location: 70-71(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q2D: EF	Q2D: EFFECTIVENESS OF ARREST PEOPLE COMMITTING MISDEMEANOR OFFENSES				
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%		
1	Increased	186	41.3 %		
2	Stayed about the same	186	41.3 %		
3	Decreased	29	6.4 %		
8	Don't know	40	8.9 %		
-9 (M)	-	9	2.0 %		

Mean: 2.19Median: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.93

Based upon 441 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2E EFFECTIVENESS OF HOLD INSPECTORS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CRIMES IN THEIR PRECINTS

Location: 72-73(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q2E: EFFECTIVENESS OF HOLD INSPECTORS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CRIMES IN THEIR PRECINTS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	216	48.0 %
2	Stayed about the same	127	28.2 %
3	Decreased	36	8.0 %
8	Don't know	61	13.6 %
-9 (M)	-	10	2.2 %

Mean: 2.42Median: 2.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.32

Based upon 440 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2F EFFECTIVENESS OF PROVIDE TIMELY AND ACCURATE CRIME DATA

Location: 74-75(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q2F: EF	FECTIVENESS OF PROVIDE TIMELY AND ACCURATE CRIME	DATA	
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	209	46.4 %
2	Stayed about the same	155	34.4 %
3	Decreased	32	7.1 %
8	Don't know	47	10.4 %
-9 (M)	-	7	1.6 %

Mean: 2.24Median: 2.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 2.08

Based upon 443 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2G EFFECTIVENESS OF RESPOND QUICKLY TO CALLS FOR SERVICE

Location: 76-77(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q2G: EF	FECTIVENESS OF RESPOND QUICKLY TO CALLS FOR SERV	ICE	
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	158	35.1 %
2	Stayed about the same	197	43.8 %
3	Decreased	60	13.3 %
8	Don't know	27	6.0 %
-9 (M)	-	8	1.8 %

Mean: 2.14Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.64

Based upon 442 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2H EFFECTIVENESS OF IDENTIFY CRIME PATTERNS AND CHOOSE APPROPRIATE TACTICS

Location: 78-79(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q2H: EFFECTIVENESS OF IDENTIFY CRIME PATTERNS AND CHOOSE APPROPRIATE TACTICS			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	192	42.7 %
2	Stayed about the same	183	40.7 %
3	Decreased	28	6.2 %
8	Don't know	39	8.7 %
-9 (M)	-	8	1.8 %

Mean: 2.16Median: 2.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 1.91

Based upon 442 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2I EFFECTIVENESS OF RESPOND QUICKLY TO EMERGING CRIME PROBLEMS

Location: 80-81(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q2I: EFF	ECTIVENESS OF RESPOND QUICKLY TO EMERGING CRIME	PROBLEMS	
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	186	41.3 %
2	Stayed about the same	191	42.4 %
3	Decreased	30	6.7 %
8	Don't know	33	7.3 %
-9 (M)	-	10	2.2 %

Mean: 2.10Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.79

Based upon 440 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2J EFFECTIVENESS OF HOLD OFFICERS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CRIMES IN THEIR BEATS

Location: 82-83(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q2J: EF	Q2J: EFFECTIVENESS OF HOLD OFFICERS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CRIMES IN THEIR BEATS			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%	
1	Increased	158	35.1 %	
2	Stayed about the same	185	41.1 %	
3	Decreased	35	7.8 %	
8	Don't know	63	14.0 %	
-9 (M)	-	9	2.0 %	

Mean: 2.58Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 2.29

Based upon 441 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2K EFFECTIVENESS FOLLOW UP TO ASSESS WHETHER SOLUTIONS WERE SUCCESSFUL

Location: 84-85(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q2K: EFFECTIVENESS FOLLOW UP TO ASSESS WHETHER SOLUTIONS WERE SUCCESSFUL			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	91	20.2 %
2	Stayed about the same	211	46.9 %
3	Decreased	57	12.7 %
8	Don't know	78	17.3 %
-9 (M)	-	13	2.9 %

Mean: 2.99Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.41

Based upon 437 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2L EFFECTIVENESS OF MAKE OFFICERS AND EQUIPMENT AVAILABLE TO DIFFERENT PRECINCTS AS NEEDED

Location: 86-87(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q2L: EFFECTIVENESS OF MAKE OFFICERS AND EQUIPMENT AVAILABLE TO DIFFERENT PRECINCTS AS NEEDED

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	64	14.2 %
2	Stayed about the same	157	34.9 %
3	Decreased	164	36.4 %
8	Don't know	54	12.0 %
-9 (M)	-	11	2.4 %

Mean: 2.97Median: 2.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.01

Based upon 439 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2M EFFECTIVENESS OF ENCOURAGE OFFICERS TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THEIR BEAT

Location: 88-89(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q2M: EFFECTIVENESS OF ENCOURAGE OFFICERS TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THEIR BEAT

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	105	23.3 %
2	Stayed about the same	220	48.9 %
3	Decreased	58	12.9 %
8	Don't know	54	12.0 %
-9 (M)	-	13	2.9 %

Mean: 2.63Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.10

Based upon 437 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2N EFFECTIVENESS OF RESOLVE DISPUTES AMONG DIFFERENT SEGMENTS OF THE COMMUNITY

Location: 90-91(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M):

-9

Q2N: EFFECTIVENESS OF RESOLVE DISPUTES AMONG DIFFERENT SEGMENTS OF THE COMMUNITY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	62	13.8 %
2	Stayed about the same	239	53.1 %
3	Decreased	62	13.8 %
8	Don't know	76	16.9 %
-9 (M)	-	11	2.4 %

Mean: 3.04Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.33

Based upon 439 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q2O EFFECTIVENESS OF CREATE AND MAINTAIN OPEN LINES OF COMMUNICATION WITH THE COMMUNITY

Location: 92-93(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q2O: EFFECTIVENESS OF CREATE AND MAINTAIN OPEN LINES OF COMMUNICATION WITH THE COMMUNITY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increased	81	18.0 %
2	Stayed about the same	236	52.4 %
3	Decreased	54	12.0 %
8	Don't know	69	15.3 %
-9 (M)	-	10	2.2 %

Mean: 2.88Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.28

Based upon 440 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q3A RANK OF IDENTIFYING A DEVELOPING CRIME PATTERN

Location: 94-95(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q3A: RANK OF IDENTIFYING A DEVELOPING CRIME PATTERN Value Unweighted % Frequency 0 2 0.4 % 1 33 7.3 % 2 10.0 % 45 3 39 8.7 % 8.9 % 4 40 5 60 13.3 % 6 50 11.1 % 7 36 8.0 % 8 31 6.9 % 9 21 4.7 % 10.4 % 10 47 -9 (M) 46 10.2 %

Mean: 5.34Median: 5.00Mode: 5.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 10.00

Standard Deviation: 2.78

Based upon 404 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q3B RANK OF RAPID RESPONSE TO 911 CALLS

Location: 96-97(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q3B: RANK OF RAPID RESPONSE TO 911	CALLS	
Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	3	0.7 %
1	58	12.9 %
2	31	6.9 %
3	32	7.1 %
4	41	9.1 %
5	45	10.0 %
6	36	8.0 %
7	26	5.8 %
8	35	7.8 %
9	28	6.2 %

Q3B: RANK OF RAPID RESPONSE TO 911 CALLS		
Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	69	15.3 %
-9 (M)	46	10.2 %

Mean: 5.51Median: 5.00Mode: 10.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 10.00

• Standard Deviation: 3.14

Based upon 404 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q₃C

RANK OF ASSISTING CRIME VICTIMS IN OBTAINING SERVICES AND COOPERATING IN PROSECUTIONS

Location: 98-99(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q3C: RANK OF ASSISTING CRIME VICTIMS IN OBTAINING SERVICES AND COOPERATING IN PROSECUTIONS

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	2	0.4 %
1	22	4.9 %
2	24	5.3 %
3	30	6.7 %
4	36	8.0 %
5	46	10.2 %
6	38	8.4 %
7	43	9.6 %
8	55	12.2 %
9	50	11.1 %
10	55	12.2 %
-9 (M)	49	10.9 %

Mean: 6.24Median: 7.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 10.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.75

Based upon 401 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q3D

RANK OF ON-SIGHT MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS OF PERSONS KNOWN TO THE POLICE

Location: 100-101(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q3D: RANK OF ON-SIGHT MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS OF PERSONS KNOWN TO THE POLICE Unweighted Value % Frequency 0 2 0.4 % 22 4.9 % 1 2 32 7.1 % 3 50 11.1 % 4 49 10.9 % 5 61 13.6 % 6 44 9.8 % 7 42 9.3 % 8 29 6.4 % 9 31 6.9 % 10 43 9.6 %

45

10.0 %

Mean: 5.52Median: 5.00Mode: 5.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 10.00

-9 (M)

Standard Deviation: 2.67

Based upon 405 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q3E RANK OF WORKING WITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO SOLVE LOCAL CRIME OR DISORDER PROBLEMS

Location: 102-103(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q3E: RANK OF WORKING WITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO SOLVE LOCAL CRIME OR DISORDER PROBLEMS

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	2	0.4 %
1	26	5.8 %
2	27	6.0 %
3	31	6.9 %
4	35	7.8 %
5	49	10.9 %
6	42	9.3 %

Q3E: RANK OF WORKING WITH COMMU	NITY MEMBERS TO SOLVE LOCAL CRIME OR
DISORDER PROBLEMS	

Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	51	11.3 %
8	58	12.9 %
9	40	8.9 %
10	37	8.2 %
11	1	0.2 %
-9 (M)	51	11.3 %

Mean: 5.94Median: 6.00Mode: 8.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 11.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.69

Based upon 399 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q3F RANK OF RECOVERING A WEAPON

Location: 104-105(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q3F: RANK OF RECOVERING A WEAPON		
Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	2	0.4 %
1	116	25.8 %
2	75	16.7 %
3	34	7.6 %
4	25	5.6 %
5	27	6.0 %
6	20	4.4 %
7	24	5.3 %
8	28	6.2 %
9	15	3.3 %
10	40	8.9 %
-9 (M)	44	9.8 %

Mean: 4.06Median: 3.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 10.00

• Standard Deviation: 3.14

Based upon 406 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q3G RANK OF WRITING ACCURATE CRIME REPORTS

Location: 106-107(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q3G: RANK OF WRITING ACCURATE	CRIME REPORTS	
Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	2	0.4 %
1	29	6.4 %
2	26	5.8 %
3	46	10.2 %
4	42	9.3 %
5	60	13.3 %
6	35	7.8 %
7	49	10.9 %
8	37	8.2 %
9	36	8.0 %
10	42	9.3 %
-9 (M)	46	10.2 %

Mean: 5.64Median: 5.00Mode: 5.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 10.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.73

Based upon 404 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q3H RANK OF WORKING TO PROVIDE LEGITIMATE ACTIVITIES FOR POTENTIAL OFFENDERS

Location: 108-109(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q3H: RANK OF WORKING TO PROVIDE LEGITIMATE ACTIVITIES FOR POTENTIAL OFFENDERS			
Value	Unweighted Frequency	%	
0	3	0.7 %	
1	17	3.8 %	
2	15	3.3 %	
3	22	4.9 %	
4	21	4.7 %	

- Study 25481 -

Q3H: RANK OF WORKING TO PROVIDE LEGITIMATE ACTIVITIES FOR POTENTIAL OFFENDERS		
Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	29	6.4 %
6	19	4.2 %
7	29	6.4 %
8	46	10.2 %
9	53	11.8 %
10	143	31.8 %
-9 (M)	53	11.8 %

Mean: 7.39Median: 8.00Mode: 10.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 10.00

Standard Deviation: 2.88

Based upon 397 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q3I RANK OF MAKING A LARGE DRUG BUST

Location: 110-111(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q3I: RANK OF MAKING A LARGE DRUG	BUST	
Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	2	0.4 %
1	72	16.0 %
2	90	20.0 %
3	33	7.3 %
4	23	5.1 %
5	38	8.4 %
6	15	3.3 %
7	28	6.2 %
8	29	6.4 %
9	32	7.1 %
10	43	9.6 %
11	1	0.2 %
-9 (M)	44	9.8 %

Mean: 4.63Median: 4.00Mode: 2.00

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 11.00

• Standard Deviation: 3.19

Based upon 406 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q3J RANK OF OVERALL REDUCTION OF CRIME IN YOUR BEAT

Location: 112-113(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q3J: RANK OF OVERALL REDUCTION O	F CRIME IN YOUR BEAT	
Value	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	2	0.4 %
1	69	15.3 %
2	45	10.0 %
3	60	13.3 %
4	49	10.9 %
5	29	6.4 %
6	35	7.8 %
7	16	3.6 %
8	24	5.3 %
9	26	5.8 %
10	46	10.2 %
-9 (M)	49	10.9 %

Mean: 4.71Median: 4.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 10.00

• Standard Deviation: 3.04

Based upon 401 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q4 HAVE YOU ATTENDED A C MEETING

Location: 114-115(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Q4: HAV	E YOU ATTENDED A C MEETING		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	209	46.4 %
2	No	230	51.1 %
-9 (M)	-	11	2.4 %

Mean: 1.52Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Based upon 439 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

• Standard Deviation: 0.50

Q5_1 OBSERVED MEETING ONLY, DID NOT PARTICIPATE

Location: 116-117(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9,8

Q5_1: O	BSERVED MEETING ONLY, DID NOT PARTICIPATE		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, checked	163	36.2 %
2	No, not checked	50	11.1 %
-9 (M)	-	9	2.0 %
8 (M)	Skipped, have not attended a meeting	228	50.7 %

Mean: 1.23Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Standard Deviation: 0.42

Based upon 213 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q5_2 ASSISTED SOMEONE ELSE MAKING A PRESENTATION BUT DID NOT SPEAK

Location: 118-119(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9,8

Q5_2: ASSISTED SOMEONE ELSE MAKING A PRESENTATION BUT DID NOT SPEAK			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, checked	10	2.2 %
2	No, not checked	199	44.2 %
-9 (M)	-	9	2.0 %
8 (M)	Skipped, have not attended a meeting	232	51.6 %

Mean: 1.95Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

· Standard Deviation: 0.21

Based upon 209 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q5_3 ANSWERED QUESTIONS

Location: 120-121(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9,8

Q5_3: ANSWERED QUESTIONS				
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%	
1	Yes, checked	35	7.8 %	
2	No, not checked	174	38.7 %	
-9 (M)	-	9	2.0 %	
8 (M)	Skipped, have not attended a meeting	232	51.6 %	

Mean: 1.83Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Standard Deviation: 0.37

Based upon 209 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q5_4 OFFERED AN OPINION OR INFORMATION

Location: 122-123(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9,8

Q5_4: OFFERED AN OPINION OR INFORMATION				
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%	
1	Yes, checked	24	5.3 %	
2	No, not checked	185	41.1 %	
-9 (M)	-	9	2.0 %	
8 (M)	Skipped, have not attended a meeting	232	51.6 %	

Mean: 1.89Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Standard Deviation: 0.32

Based upon 209 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q5_5 MADE A SHORT PRESENTATION (LESS THAN 2 MINUTES)

Location: 124-125(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9,8

Q5_5: M/	ADE A SHORT PRESENTATION (LESS THAN 2 MINUTES)		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, checked	9	2.0 %
2	No, not checked	200	44.4 %
-9 (M)	-	9	2.0 %
8 (M)	Skipped, have not attended a meeting	232	51.6 %

Mean: 1.96Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Standard Deviation: 0.20

Based upon 209 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q5_6 MADE A LONG PRESENTATION (2 OR MORE MINUTES)

Location: 126-127(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9,8

Q5_6: M	ADE A LONG PRESENTATION (2 OR MORE MINUTES)		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, checked	8	1.8 %
2	No, not checked	201	44.7 %
-9 (M)	-	9	2.0 %
8 (M)	Skipped, have not attended a meeting	232	51.6 %

Mean: 1.96Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.19

Based upon 209 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q6 HOW OFTEN DOES YOUR SUPERVISOR DISCUSS WHAT HAS HAPPENED AT THE C MEETINGS

Location: 128-129(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q6: HOW OFTEN DOES YOUR SUPERVISOR DISCUSS WHAT HAS HAPPENED AT THE C MEETINGS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Every week	141	31.3 %
2	About once a month	101	22.4 %
3	Every few months	52	11.6 %
4	Never	136	30.2 %
-9 (M)	-	20	4.4 %

Mean: 2.43Median: 2.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

· Standard Deviation: 1.24

Based upon 430 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q7 HOW MUCH HAS C CHANGED YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES

Location: 130-131(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted	%
		Frequency	
1	A great deal	113	25.1 %
2	Somewhat	106	23.6 %
3	A little bit	65	14.4 %
4	Not at all	88	19.6 %
8	Don't know	58	12.9 %
-9 (M)	-	20	4.4 %

Mean: 3.11Median: 2.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.21

Based upon 430 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q8A PLEASE DESCRIBE ANY CHANGES IN YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES

Location: 132-331(width: 200; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q8B PLEASE DESCRIBE ANY CHANGES IN YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES (CONT.)

Location: 332-386(width: 55; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q8CODED CODES FOR OPEN ENDED RESPONSES TO Q8

Location: 387-388(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q8CODED: CODES FOR OPEN ENDED RESPONSES TO Q8			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Effective response to problems	13	2.9 %
2	More available information that is utilized and emphasized	34	7.6 %
3	Officer accountability	29	6.4 %
4	Focus of police work, more arrests	17	3.8 %
5	Community related responsibilities	3	0.7 %
6	More officer responsibilities	23	5.1 %
7	Negative consequences	28	6.2 %
8	No real lasting change, just a name	5	1.1 %
-9 (M)	-	298	66.2 %

Mean: 4.11Median: 3.50Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Standard Deviation: 2.16

Based upon 152 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q9A YOUR VIEW OF C HAS MADE SUPERVISORS PLACE TOO MUCH EMPHASIS ON STATISTICS

Location: 389-390(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q9A: YOUR VIEW OF C HAS MADE SUPERVISORS PLACE TOO MUCH EMPHASIS ON STATISTICS Value Label Unweighted Frequency

Value	Label	Frequency	70
1	Strongly agree	237	52.7 %
2	Agree	133	29.6 %

Q9A: YOUR VIEW OF C HAS MADE SUPERVISORS PLACE TOO MUCH EMPHASIS ON
STATISTICS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Disagree	32	7.1 %
4	Strongly disagree	6	1.3 %
5	No opinion	24	5.3 %
-9 (M)	-	18	4.0 %

Mean: 1.72Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 432 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q9B YOUR VIEW OF C HAS MADE IT POSSIBLE FOR OFFICERS TO GET CREDIT FOR DOING QUALITY WORK

Location: 391-392(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q9B: YOUR VIEW OF C HAS MADE IT POSSIBLE FOR OFFICERS TO GET CREDIT FOR DOING QUALITY WORK

QUALIT	T WORK		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	18	4.0 %
2	Agree	90	20.0 %
3	Disagree	176	39.1 %
4	Strongly disagree	106	23.6 %
5	No opinion	43	9.6 %
-9 (M)	-	17	3.8 %

Mean: 3.15
Median: 3.00
Mode: 3.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.00

Based upon 433 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q9C YOUR VIEW OF C HAS KEPT SUPERVISORS FROM SPENDING ENOUGH TIME ON THE STREET

Location: 393-394(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M):

-9

Q9C: YOUR VIEW OF C HAS KEPT SUPERVISORS FROM SPENDING ENOUGH TIME ON THE STREET

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	73	16.2 %
2	Agree	108	24.0 %
3	Disagree	150	33.3 %
4	Strongly disagree	22	4.9 %
5	No opinion	80	17.8 %
-9 (M)	-	17	3.8 %

Mean: 2.83Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 433 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q9D

YOUR VIEW OF C HAS MADE ME MORE AWARE OF WHAT GOES ON IN OTHER PARTS OF THE DEPARTMENT

Location: 395-396(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q9D: YOUR VIEW OF C HAS MADE ME MORE AWARE OF WHAT GOES ON IN OTHER PARTS OF THE DEPARTMENT

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	23	5.1 %
2	Agree	143	31.8 %
3	Disagree	136	30.2 %
4	Strongly disagree	80	17.8 %
5	No opinion	50	11.1 %
-9 (M)	=	18	4.0 %

Mean: 2.98Median: 3.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.09

Based upon 432 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q9E YOUR VIEW OF C HAS INCREASED TEAMWORK BETWEEN MY UNIT AND SPECIALIST UNITS IN THE DEPARTMENT

Location: 397-398(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q9E: YOUR VIEW OF C HAS INCREASED TEAMWORK BETWEEN MY UNIT AND SPECIALIST UNITS IN THE DEPARTMENT

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	10	2.2 %
2	Agree	72	16.0 %
3	Disagree	166	36.9 %
4	Strongly disagree	129	28.7 %
5	No opinion	55	12.2 %
-9 (M)	-	18	4.0 %

Mean: 3.34Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Standard Deviation: 0.98

Based upon 432 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q9F YOUR VIEW OF C WILL BE AN IMPORTANT FEATURE OF THE DEPARTMENT'S ORGANIZATION IN 5 YEARS

Location: 399-400(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q9F: YOUR VIEW OF C WILL BE AN IMPORTANT FEATURE OF THE DEPARTMENT'S ORGANIZATION IN 5 YEARS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	34	7.6 %
2	Agree	126	28.0 %
3	Disagree	95	21.1 %
4	Strongly disagree	75	16.7 %
5	No opinion	103	22.9 %
-9 (M)	-	17	3.8 %

Mean: 3.20Median: 3.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00

Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.30

Based upon 433 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q10 OVERALL, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT'S PERFORMANCE IN SERVING THE PUBLIC

Location: 401-402(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q10: OVERALL, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT'S PERFORMANCE IN SERVING THE PUBLIC

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Highly beneficial	37	8.2 %
2	Beneficial	179	39.8 %
3	No effect	103	22.9 %
4	Detrimental	31	6.9 %
5	Highly detrimental	22	4.9 %
8	Don't know	56	12.4 %
-9 (M)	-	22	4.9 %

Mean: 3.24Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.07

Based upon 428 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q11A PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR VIEW OF THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT'S PERFORMANCE IN SERVING THE PUBLIC

Location: 403-602(width: 200; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q11B PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR VIEW OF THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT'S

PERFORMANCE IN SERVING THE PUBLIC (CONT.)

Location: 603-645(width: 43; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q11CODED CODES FOR OPEN ENDED RESPONSES TO Q11

Location: 646-647(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q11CODED: CODES FOR OPEN ENDED RESPONSES TO Q11			
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Reduce crime by focusing on minor crimes	14	3.1 %
2	Creation of specialty units to address specified problems	2	0.4 %
3	Response time to 911 CFS has suffered an increase	11	2.4 %
4	Less officers on the street	2	0.4 %
5	Problems are prioritized thru use of data, stats, and maps	23	5.1 %
6	No real change, it is all a numbers game	22	4.9 %
7	Increased citizen complaints and decreased relations with cz	13	2.9 %
8	Decreased complaints and increased communication with ctzns	15	3.3 %
9	Community is empowered and knowledgeable	7	1.6 %
10	Other negative consequences	36	8.0 %
11	Other positive consequences	32	7.1 %
-9 (M)	-	273	60.7 %

Mean: 7.30
Median: 8.00
Mode: 10.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 11.00
Standard Deviation: 3.13

Based upon 177 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q12

OVERALL, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT AS A GOOD PLACE TO WORK AS A POLICE OFFICER

Location: 648-649(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q12: OVERALL, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT AS A GOOD PLACE TO WORK AS A POLICE OFFICER

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Highly beneficial	17	3.8 %
2	Beneficial	116	25.8 %
3	No effect	131	29.1 %
4	Detrimental	63	14.0 %
5	Highly detrimental	38	8.4 %
8	Don't know	60	13.3 %
-9 (M)	-	25	5.6 %

Mean: 3.68Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.00

Based upon 425 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q13A PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR VIEW OF THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT AS

A GOOD PLACE TO WORK AS A POLICE OFFICER

Location: 650-849(width: 200; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q13B PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR VIEW OF THE IMPACT OF C ON THE DEPARTMENT AS

A GOOD PLACE TO WORK AS A POLICE OFFICER (CONT.)

Location: 850-904(width: 55; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q13CODED CODES FOR OPEN ENDED RESPONSES TO Q13

Location: 905-906(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q13COE	DED: CODES FOR OPEN ENDED RESPONSES TO Q13		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Nothing new, same job, progs come and go w/ no real change	25	5.6 %
2	Too much attention on stats	15	3.3 %
3	911 not an emphasis and citizens complain, causes tension	6	1.3 %
4	More dangerous, do more w/less, lack support and sup, stress	5	1.1 %
5	Hold officers accountable but some things aren't their fault	5	1.1 %
6	More information, better focus	12	2.7 %
7	Belittles and degrades officers and supervisors, low morale	21	4.7 %
8	Info doesn't trickle down to officer level	7	1.6 %
9	Other negative consequences	27	6.0 %
10	Other positive consequences	17	3.8 %
-9 (M)	-	310	68.9 %

Mean: 5.76
Median: 7.00
Mode: 9.00
Minimum: 1.00
Maximum: 10.00
Standard Deviation: 3.28

Based upon 140 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q14 HOW MANY YEARS HAVE YOU WORKED FOR THE CITY IN A SWORN POSITION

Location: 907-908(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q14: HO	Q14: HOW MANY YEARS HAVE YOU WORKED FOR THE CITY IN A SWORN POSITION		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Fewer than 3 years	51	11.3 %
2	3-5 years	125	27.8 %
3	6-10 years	134	29.8 %
4	11-20 years	95	21.1 %
5	More than 20 years	16	3.6 %
-9 (M)	-	29	6.4 %

Mean: 2.76Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Standard Deviation: 1.05

Based upon 421 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q15 WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT RANK

Location: 909-910(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q15: WF	Q15: WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT RANK		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Police officer	378	84.0 %
2	Rank higher than police officer	53	11.8 %
-9 (M)	-	19	4.2 %

Mean: 1.12Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Standard Deviation: 0.33

Based upon 431 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q16_FROM WHAT HOURS DO YOU WORK, FROM

Location: 911-915(width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q16_TO WHAT HOURS DO YOU WORK, TO

Location: 916-920(width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q17 HOW OLD ARE YOU

Location: 921-922(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q17: HO	W OLD ARE YOU		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Under 21	1	0.2 %
2	21-25	25	5.6 %
3	26-29	84	18.7 %
4	30-39	213	47.3 %
5	40-49	70	15.6 %
6	50-59	11	2.4 %
7	60+	1	0.2 %
-9 (M)	-	45	10.0 %

Mean: 3.90Median: 4.00Mode: 4.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.88

Based upon 405 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q18 WHAT IS YOUR HIGHEST LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION

Location: 923-924(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q18: WF	HAT IS YOUR HIGHEST LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCA	ATION	
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Some High School	4	0.9 %
2	High School Graduate or GED	62	13.8 %
3	Some College/AA Degree	192	42.7 %
4	Bachelors Degree	107	23.8 %
5	Some Graduate School/Law School	19	4.2 %
6	Masters Degree/JD/LLB	22	4.9 %

Q18: WH	IAT IS YOUR HIGHEST LEVEL OF FORMAL EDUCATION		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	PhD	2	0.4 %
-9 (M)	-	42	9.3 %

Mean: 3.37Median: 3.00Mode: 3.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.04

Based upon 408 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q19 ARE YOU MALE OR FEMALE

Location: 925-926(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q19: AR	RE YOU MALE OR FEMALE		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	351	78.0 %
2	Female	49	10.9 %
-9 (M)	-	50	11.1 %

Mean: 1.12Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.33

Based upon 400 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q20 WHAT IS YOUR RACIAL GROUP

Location: 927-928(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q20: WH	HAT IS YOUR RACIAL GROUP		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White	231	51.3 %
2	African American	72	16.0 %
3	American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0.7 %
4	Asian American or Pacific Islander	4	0.9 %

Q20: WH	Q20: WHAT IS YOUR RACIAL GROUP		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Other	79	17.6 %
-9 (M)	-	61	13.6 %

Mean: 2.04Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.57

Based upon 389 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

Q21 ARE YOU HISPANIC OR NON-HISPANIC

Location: 929-930(width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Q21: AR	E YOU HISPANIC OR NON-HISPANIC		
Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Hispanic	73	16.2 %
2	Non-Hispanic	284	63.1 %
-9 (M)	-	93	20.7 %

Mean: 1.80Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.40

Based upon 357 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

COMMENTSA ANT OTHER COMMENTS WRITTEN ON THE SURV	IENTSA	ANY OTHER COMMENTS WRITTEN ON THE SURVEY
--	--------	--

Location: 931-1130(width: 200; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

COMMENTSB ANY OTHER COMMENTS WRITTEN ON THE SURVEY (CONT.)

Location: 1131-1170(width: 40; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.

COMM2 ANY OTHER COMMENTS WRITTEN ON THE SURVEY

Location: 1171-1253(width: 83; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Based upon 450 valid cases out of 450 total cases.