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NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES METHOD COMPARISON PROJECT, 1982 (ICPSR 8233)

SUMMARY: This study is part of a time-series collection of national surveys fielded continuously since 1952. The election studies are designed to present data on Americans’ social backgrounds, enduring political predispositions, social and political values, perceptions and evaluations of groups and candidates, opinions on questions of public policy, and participation in political life. In early 1982, the National Election Studies obtained additional support from the National Science Foundation for a systematic study comparing survey data collected through traditional methods (household sampling and personal interviewing) with data collected by random-digit dial (RDD) telephone sampling using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI). This study took place in conjunction with the previously funded 1982 National Election Study of congressional elections. The broad purposes of the data collection were to permit the NES staff and user community to assess overall differences between the two data collection techniques in sample and measurement quality, and to carry out a series of additional methodological experiments concerned with question format, computer-assisted procedures, and survey organization. Telephone respondents were administered a somewhat abbreviated version of the in-person interview schedules, adapted for use over the telephone where appropriate. All interviews were conducted in the three months following the 1982 elections. In this collection, data from the Methods Comparison Project have been merged with AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1982: POST-ELECTION SURVEY FILE (ICPSR 9042), in order to facilitate the work of those who wish to make comparisons of telephone and personal interview methods. The inclusion of personal interview data in the present dataset is not intended for substantive analytic use. An extensive introduction to the documentation provides essential information and cautionary notes for potential analysts.

NOTE: (1) The SAS transport file was created using the SAS CPORT procedure. (2) The codebook is provided by ICPSR as a Portable Document Format (PDF) file. The PDF file format was developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated and can be accessed using PDF reader software, such as the Adobe Acrobat Reader. Information on how to obtain a copy of the Acrobat Reader is provided on the ICPSR Web site.
EXTENT OF COLLECTION: 1 data file + machine-readable documentation (PDF) + SAS data definition statements + SPSS data definition statements
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In early 1982, the National Election Studies obtained additional support from the National Science Foundation for a systematic comparison of survey data collected through traditional methods (household sampling and personal interviewing) and random digit dial (RDD) telephone sampling with computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI). As proposed, the study was to take place in conjunction with the already established 1982 study of congressional elections. The broad purposes of the data to be collected were to permit the NES staff and user community to assess overall differences between the two data collection techniques in sample and measurement quality, and to carry out a series of additional methodological experiments concerned with question format, computer-assisted procedures, and survey organization.

The resulting study, called the NES 1982 Method Comparison Project (MCP), consisted of 998 complete or partial telephone interviews and 1418 personal interviews, all of which were conducted in the three months following the 1982 elections. Because of parallel work in the development of computer-assisted telephone interviewing at Berkeley and Michigan, the telephone sample was randomly allocated to two different interviewing sites (or organizations), one operated by the University of Michigan's Survey Research Center (SRC) and one by UC Berkeley's Program in Computer-Assisted Survey Methods (CSM).

The rest of this introduction provides essential information and cautionary notes for potential analysts of the combined data set described in the MCP codebook. This version of the MCP data is the first release of the 1982 NES telephone sample, and includes 1) all completed interviews and all partial interviews in which minimal content requirements were met at the time of administration, and 2) all coder-modified variables (e.g., open-ended responses, questionnaire checkpoints, etc.). The personal interview component of the MCP data set has been released by the ICPSR in a different format and with different documentation (American National Election Study, 1982: Post-Election Survey File, ICPSR 9042). The inclusion of personal interview data in the present data set is not intended for substantive analytic use for reasons that will be detailed below. As with other NES studies, this experimental data set is released to the NES community at the same time that it becomes available for analysis by the NES staff. Further information about the study and its preliminary results (based on an initial, and incomplete, version of the data) can be found in a report which was presented to the HIS Board and the National Science Foundation. That report appears as Appendix A to this codebook, and the full (annotated) instrument for the study follows this introduction. The NES also maintains a set of method comparison tabulations on all common variables, which may be obtained from the NES staff at the cost of reproduction.

Experimental Aspects of the Method Comparison Data Set. In principle, the design for the NES 1982 Method Comparison Project will eventually make it possible to pool the data from the two sources (i.e., from personal and telephone interviews). This pooling can be done now for methodological purposes only. Ultimately, when weight variables are produced, it will be possible to combine personal and telephone data for substantive analyses which call for a larger national sample than the traditional (personal interview) design can provide. However, in discussions concerning telephone-based methods within the NES Board, no decisions have yet been
made concerning long-term plans for combining data collected using these two different methods--so that the interviews from one method can be used to complement (and/or offset the limitations of) the other method. Before making any such decisions, methodological research is needed in several areas, most of which will rest on one or more of the experimental components in the 1982 MCP design. In the interim, users are cautioned against pooling the telephone and personal interview data for substantive analyses.

In particular, the MCP data set incorporates explicit experiments (in which respondents were randomly assigned to alternative data collection treatments) in each of the following areas:

1. Mode of collection (i.e., personal or telephone)
2. Type of organization and CATI system used (i.e., SRC or CSM)
3. Method used to collect data on 7-point issue scales (see below)
4. Method used to identify congressional districts (see below)

Each of these MCP experiments is discussed briefly below, and instructions are given for the use of filter variables to restrict particular kinds of methodological analyses to the appropriate subsample. In each of these experimental areas, users are advised that the enclosed codebook describes only the initial release of an unusually complex data set, and that subsequent releases will include weight variables and reports on differences between samples which may substantially influence the interpretation of previously obtained results.

In addition, the current release contains some inconsistencies between the codebook and data, all of which should be resolved before a second release. Unlike the creation of weight variables, however, these inconsistencies should not substantially effect analytic results. (See Processing Information section in this introduction for a description of the data processing applied to this study).
As suggested above, the primary purpose of the Method Comparison Project was to permit comparison of the combined Michigan and Berkeley telephone sample with the simultaneously administered personal interview sample. The goal was to estimate the degree to which the sample and measurement quality of telephone-based data departs from the standard provided by the lengthy NES time series. Insofar as differences are slight or non-existent, the lower cost of telephone interviews might lead the NES Board to adopt a mixed mode (or dual frame) design in future studies, in which the time series of personal interview surveys would be continued but a larger proportion of the cases (and/or a larger overall sample) could be produced through telephone methods. If, on the other hand, method differences are substantial, such designs would be less attractive for extending the time series. Telephone methods may of course be used exclusively in other contexts, such as in reinterviews with "face-to-face" respondents or in studies which call for samples in specific states or very short data collection periods. But future NES decisions on such all-telephone designs will be based on sample- and measurement-related comparisons from the 1982 MCP data set.

In conducting analyses which combine the two types of data, users should be warned that the present data set does not include a weight variable which corrects for the (known) demographic differences in the telephone and personal interview samples—as described in the Preliminary MCP Report to the NES Board. Subsequent releases of the MCP data will include at least one such variable, based on consultation between the NES staff and the SRC Sampling Section. In the interim, method comparisons may produce results which are difficult to interpret—for differences which may be caused by measurement differences between face-to-face contact (with visual displays) and telephone interviewing may also be due to compositional differences between the two samples, or to some combination of non-coverage (for non-telephone households) and to differential non-response due to data collection mode. To be sure, not all sample- or response-related differences can be removed by reweighting the telephone cases to match the personal interview sample in (known) socio-economic characteristics. But some of the initial (unweighted) differences will almost certainly be reduced—if they do not disappear altogether—when such a weight variable is imposed.

This same cautionary note applies to substantive analyses not centered on methodological issues where the telephone interviews are simply pooled with the personal interviews to produce a larger national sample. In this initial release, the telephone interviews have not been weighted to make the two samples equally representative of the eligible electorate.

In addition, many analyses involving questions about contact with congressional candidates or congressional districts should be restricted to two of the three samples involved—because of differences between the Michigan and Berkeley approaches to identifying congressional districts. If you plan on analyses involving questions about congressional candidates, see the instructions and cautionary notes in the section below on "Identifying Congressional Districts."
Since the preparation of the 1979 NES pilot survey, several analysts have suggested that our measures of respondents' positions (and perceptions of candidate positions) on public policy issues might be improved by replacing the traditional (single) 7-point scale item by a sequence of two questions. In such a plan, the first question would be a simple trichotomy which captures the broad direction of the respondent's preferences (or perceptions), and the second item would be one of three (different) follow-up questions which locate the respondent in a final seven category classification. This "branching" technique, in which the second question depends upon the respondent's answer to the first question, is of course a natural format for questions administered through Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI), since the computer programs involved control the more numerous skip instructions involved.

Regardless of the technical support provided by a CATI system, the methodological question remains: Can a sequence of simpler questions using the branching format produce "better" data than the traditional 7-point scale?

To address this question, the 1982 Method Comparison Project utilized the random assignment of each case in either the Berkeley or Michigan sample to carry out an experiment in which three of the six questions which use the 7-point scale were administered using a close approximation to the traditional 7-point scale (where spoken explanations replaced the visual display presented to respondents in face-to-face interviews) and three questions were administered using the branching format. In particular, respondents with telephone numbers that were randomly assigned to the Michigan and Berkeley samples were handled in the following fashion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAR.</th>
<th>MICHIGAN FORMAT</th>
<th>BERKELEY FORMAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liberal/Conservative</td>
<td>7-point scale</td>
<td>branching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC0396</td>
<td>MC0471</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aid to Minorities*</td>
<td>7-point scale</td>
<td>branching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC0418</td>
<td>MC0547</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Services</td>
<td>7-point scale</td>
<td>branching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC0446</td>
<td>MC0600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Spending</td>
<td>branching</td>
<td>7-point scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC0507</td>
<td>MC0410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs/Standard of Living*</td>
<td>branching</td>
<td>7-point scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC0553</td>
<td>MC0428</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of Women*</td>
<td>branching</td>
<td>7-point scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC0594</td>
<td>MC0438</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Because of the shorter length of the telephone interview, placements based on the respondent's perception of candidate and party positions were not requested for three of these issues (status of women, government guaranteed jobs, and aid to minorities), so that placement-related data analyses based on this methodological experiment must be limited to the remaining three scales (ideology, jobs, and government services). But all six scales can be compared in terms of the respondent's own position.
In preparing this data set and codebook, the NES staff has reconstructed 7-point scale variables for each of these six "branching" scales but has deliberately refrained from assigning them the same record of location of complete items administered in a 7-point format. This decision was made for two reasons. First, analysts who intend simply to compare telephone interviews with personal interviews should not use the data produced by the branching format. When both formats are used to compare personal with telephone interviews, differences due to mode of collection (i.e., to differential non-response, respondent motivation, or the absence of visual displays) could be confounded by differences due to item format. Second, analysts who wish to compare the two measurement formats should familiarize themselves with the details of the two procedures involved, before proceeding with their analyses. The two formats are fundamentally different in their definition of "missing data," in addition to any differences in the apparent central tendency or variability of substantive answers. Researchers conducting such analyses should make their own decisions concerning the best way to construct variables which combine the answers from the two formats.

Differences Between Survey Organizations and CATI Systems. As emphasized above, the 1982 MCP data were collected through a random assignment of telephone numbers to either the Michigan or Berkeley CATI facility. The consequence of this assignment is to combine several kinds of "house" differences into a single experimental variable--for the two organizations involved differed in the type of organization, in the software used for computer-assisted interviewing and coding, and in the detailed procedures used for training and supervising the interviewers and coders. This "bundle" of differences deserves a brief comment, even though relatively few consistent differences have so far been found between the two samples. First, the 1982 NES experiment utilized two quite different kinds of organizations to collect a single national sample. The University of Michigan's Survey Research Center's telephone interviewing facility is an established production unit, and has been responsible for national survey work on a continuing basis for over five years. In contrast, the Computer-Assisted Survey Methods Program (CSM) on the Berkeley campus is a research and development group, which concentrates on the development and dissemination of basic systems for computer-assisted data collection and analysis. As a result, each CSM-affiliated study has required the recruitment of a new staff of supervisors, interviewers, and coders, rather than relying on an established or continuing staff. On the other hand, the 1982 NES CATI project was the fourth CATI-based data collection for the CSM group, while it was the first large-scale application of the CATI system developed at Michigan's Survey Research Center. As a result, the two organizations brought different kinds of prior experience to the 1982 project. These differences were instructive in identifying areas where new technical procedures are needed, but they also contributed to several delays in producing a coordinated data set as a final product.

Because of the above differences, and despite those aspects of interviewing that were standardized by the CATI systems, the two organizations involved used slightly different procedures in the recruiting and training of interviewers, in the instructions given to interviewers for probing and recording answers to open ended questions, and in the way in which coders handled exceptional or difficult cases. These latter differences are (presumably) responsible for all apparent "house effects" between the two (random) halves of the national telephone sample. In a subsequent release, documentation in the codebook may include references to specific variables on which Michigan and Berkeley projects differed in interviewing or coding. Very
few annotations of this sort appear in the current version. Given the size of the two samples, "house" differences in most cases are small or insignificant. NES analysts are encouraged to join the staff in identifying those areas (if any) where organization-related survey practices appear to have made a difference.

Alternative Techniques for Identifying Congressional Districts. The problem of congressional district classification is a formidable task in studies where respondents are sampled through random digit dialing (RDD) instead of a technique using a prior list of addresses, as has been the case in conventional designs. No other aspect of the 1982 MCP design received as much attention--or was as difficult to implement--as the assignment of respondents to specific congressional districts in circumstances where information available before the telephone interview could only assign that telephone number to one of two or three possible districts. The CATI system was equipped with full information about the (multiple) districts involved, for each sampled telephone cluster, but the interview itself carried the burden of making an appropriate choice.

Throughout the 1982 design period, several computer-assisted approaches to district identification were discussed and pretested. During the late stages of preparation for the two CATI instruments used in the 1982 study, the Berkeley instrument diverged from the Michigan approach, so that two of these techniques were used in final data collection, with respondents randomly assigned to one or the other "treatment," depending upon whether they were included in the Berkeley or Michigan sample. This experimental manipulation was not part of the original design, but it permits a direct comparison of the two approaches to district identification.

These two alternative approaches are:

1. Restrict all questions about congressional candidates to those respondents who can recall or recognize the name of at least one of the candidates for Congress in the district(s) judged to correspond to the territory covered by the respondent's telephone exchange. That is, do not attempt to classify or assign respondents to a congressional districts if they fail to recall or recognize (on the NES thermometers) the names of any candidate in any of the districts in which they might reside. Then automatically assign respondents to the district for which they recall or recognize at least one of the candidates' names in only one district, and only ask the respondent to choose between alternative local districts when recall or recognition answers are in conflict. This is the approach used on the Michigan sample. (See pages 106-107 of the interview schedule for a schematic description of Michigan CATI district assignment procedures.)

   OR

2. Attempt to assign a congressional district for all telephone respondents, including those who say they do not recognize the names of any candidates in any of the districts they might reside in. Thus, ask each respondent to identify their congressional district unless they have already implicitly done so in unaided recall of candidate names--i.e., do not use the fact that candidates are "recognized" in only one district to automatically assign that respondent to the district in which those candidates ran. This is the approach used for the Berkeley sample.
(For a more complete description of the ways in which Michigan and Berkeley assigned respondents to congressional districts, see questions D2 and D3 in the codebook.)
Analysts interested in comparing CATI data to personal interviews for detailed questions about congressional candidates (Section E; Section J. congressional candidate issue placements) should discard: a) all the Berkeley-based telephone interviews and b) those personal interviews where the respondent did not have a phone, and c) personal and telephone interviews for respondents who failed to recall or recognize any of the congressional candidates involved for their district of residence. To select that particular subsample, a special filter variable (V950) has been constructed. If, on the other hand, the analyst wants to document further the consequences of the above two approaches to congressional district classification, all the personal interviews must of course be omitted (using the sample selection filter, VMC0003).

Voting behavior and choice in the 1982 races were obtained for all the telephone respondents. If the congressional district had not been assigned in the course of the telephone interview, the voting choice questions were asked in open-ended fashion. If the district had been assigned, telephone respondents were read the names of the House candidates running in that assigned district, and asked to state whom they voted for. This procedure paralleled the situation for personal interview respondents who were presented a Ballot Card for their district of residence. Therefore, analyses on turnout and vote choice in the 1982 races need not be limited to the subsample described above in connection with detailed questions about congressional candidates.
This integrated data set contains both complete and partial interviews. Strict requirements were established for the inclusion of partial interviews in this file. The following criteria were used to define minimal requirements for a partial interview in the telephone sample:

1. Sections A-D had to be completed and the respondent had to have at least started Section E (Congressional battery);

2. In addition, in order for the telephone interview to be accepted, the following questions had to have been answered:
   a. FO1-FO1 D/E (party ID)
   b. LO1-LO9b (vote questions)
   c. Y01 (birthdate)
   d. Y03-Y03E (education)
   e. Y64B (city where R lives)
   f. Y67 B/C (street and cross-street)
   g. Z2-Z3 (race and ethnicity)

The CATI data were reordered and recoded where necessary to fit the format and numerical coding schemes used in the personal interview. In addition, all data were checked for wild codes and inconsistencies in contingent variables (except for the open-ended questions). Most of the errors were corrected by this operation. Because of the nature of the telephone interviewing process and the way in which congressional districts were identified, an independent operation was performed by the NES staff to identify the true congressional district and its type of congressional race, and the true county in which the interview was conducted (VMC0009, VMC0952 and VMC0016, respectively) based on geographic information provided by the respondent (city of residence, names of own street and nearest cross-street). The actual locale was verified by matching the respondent's address to area maps.

Several filter variables were created for the MCP data set. VMC0003 allows the user to distinguish among the various components of the study: personal interview, Michigan CATI and Berkeley CATI. VMC0017 verifies whether the congressional district assigned during the CATI interview administration matches the congressional district subsequently identified by the NES staff by nap lockups of respondent addresses. A final filter, VMC0950, was created to facilitate any analyses dealing with the congressional district candidate data. This filter variable selects those cases from the Michigan CATI sample which should be used in comparing telephone and personal interviews on congressional candidate questions. Specifically, this filter variable removes the Berkeley CATI cases and those personal interviews in which no congressional candidates were recognized. Construction of VMC0950 is described in NES Working Paper No. 1 listed on page 11.
1. Each variable specifies whether the question was asked in the Personal, Telephone, or both portions of the study. Variables are further distinguished according to whether they are responses or are built i.e., combined by the Direct Data Entry/CATI system from several individual responses. The mode of interviewing, and whether a variable is built or not, are distinguished as follows:

- TP = asked in Telephone and Personal
- P = asked in Personal only
- T = asked in Telephone only
- (TP) = built for Telephone and Personal
- T(P) = asked in Telephone and built for Personal
- (T)P = asked in Personal and built for Telephone

2. Sometimes different INAP patterns were used for the Telephone and the Personal. In such cases the INAP will contain the phrase (for TEL only) or (for PERS only).

3. Different coding schemes were sometimes used for a question or different question formats. In such questions the following headings were used:

   TELEPHONE INTERVIEW
   PERSONAL INTERVIEW

   a. If only a portion of the code differed, however, that portion of the code is headed by Coded for TELEPHONE ONLY

   b. Questions not appearing in either the Telephone or the Personal are designated in the INAP code as: OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW or OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

4. (TEL) was used to indicate a question skipped due to a partial interview or a possible flaw in the CATI program specifications.

5. In the J Section for the branching and the seven point scales, the variables asked in the Michigan portion and those asked in the Berkeley portion are designated as such in the boxes in the following manner:

   ISR CATI
   HALF SAMPLE
   VMC0404-VMC0408

   BERKELEY CATI
   HALF-SAMPLE
   VMC0412-VMC0417

   a. The J Section also carries two variables names—one gives the dictionary variable name and the other (in brackets) the CATI name:

   VMC0471 J01A-BR (J1a)
6. Notes will also appear with some variables, referencing inconsistent data patterns that occurred because of flaws in the CATI specification program. These inconsistencies or flawed skips were left in the data to record the methodological problems that can arise with CATI.

7. A Note Section (NOTES A-F) is included which was created for the earlier 1982 Personal Interview release. These Notes have not been updated to include all districts in the Telephone Interviews for this release (see Notes B, D, and F). Nota A refers to a procedure used in the Personal interviews which was done by computer for the Telephone Interviews; the Candidate Number Master Code attached refers to both Telephone and Personal Interviews.

>> CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>==============</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>VAR 480026    NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>COLUMNS 61   - 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NUMERIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MD=0 OR GE 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Q. 17. (IF R DID NOT VOTE) WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE) TO VOTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>...........................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>82       1. YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>149      2. NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0        8. DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9        9. NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>422      0. INAP., R VOTED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "Bla.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").
Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.

Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16
Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16
Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.
NOTE ON VARIABLE NAMING:

The variable name references used in the NES Study codebook do not include the "V" prefix found in all variable names used within the released SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

For example, "VAR 920001" and "VAR VERSION" in the codebook refers to V920001 and VVERSION in the study data definition files.

VARIABLE 'NUMBERING':

'Numbered' variables in NES timeseries datasets and in Pilot datasets (except the 1998 Pilot) comply with the following format:

2-digit year prefix + 4 digits + [opt] 1-char suffix.

Examples: 1978 Post variable V780002; 1983 Pilot variable V832101. Note that for datasets including data from multiple studies, the 2-digit year prefix corresponds to the appropriate study year to which the variable is associated; for example, the 1983 Pilot dataset also includes 1982 timeseries variables, for which the 2-digit prefix is 82.

Non-time-series studies other than Pilots use 2-character mnemonic prefixes, for example variable VPS0048 in the 1988-1990-1992 Pooled Senate Study dataset.

SPECIAL NOTE ON COLUMN LOCATIONS:

Some numeric variables use coding schemes that allow for code values having a varying number of digits. In such instances, the number of columns corresponding to the variable in the data file (.dat file) and in the column specifications will be the width of the maximum value occurring in the actual data, rather than the maximum width allowable by the coding scheme. For example, if codes 01-12 are allowed for a numeric variable but all values in the data are less than 10, then the number of columns corresponding to the variable within the ASCII data file will be 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAR VERSION</th>
<th>NAME-NES VERSION NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COLUMNS 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMERIC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO MISSING DATA CODES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NES VERSION NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>..................</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR DSETNO    NAME-NES DATASET NUMBER
COLUMNS 3    - 8
CHARACTER
NO MISSING DATA CODES

NES DATASET NUMBER

...............  

This is dataset 1982.S

VAR MC0001 TP CASE ID (BUILT, Not entered by Coder)
02001 - 03418 Personal Interview
00002 - 00832 ISR Telephone Interview
50000 - 61111 Berkeley Telephone Interview

VAR MC0002 TP Coder ID
001. 002. 003. 004. 005. 006. 007. 008. 009. 010. 210.

VAR MC0003 TP Type of Sample and Survey Administration Mode
1. Personal interview - ISR area probability sample
2. Telephone interview - ISR RDD half-sample
3. Telephone interview - Berkeley RDD half-sample

VAR MC0004 T  Telephone Cluster Identification Number
A sequential ID number in the range 1-111 which identifies in
unique fashion each of the clusters (100-number data bank) in the
telephone sample.

(See next page for codes)
000. INAP, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0005 T  Number of congressional districts estimated to be
represented in the geographic area covered by the telephone
exchange.
1. One congressional district possible
2. Two congressional districts possible
3. Three congressional districts possible
0. INAP, Washington, D.C. telephone cluster; OMITTED FROM
PERSONAL INTERVIEW
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clstr ID</th>
<th>SE ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Cluster ID</th>
<th>SE ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Franconia, NH</td>
<td>056</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>St. Louis, MO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mount Holly, VT</td>
<td>057</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>Omaha, NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Millbury, MA</td>
<td>058</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>Waunee, NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Harvard, MA</td>
<td>059</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>No. Attleboro, MA</td>
<td>060</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>Halls Lake, WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Billerica, MA</td>
<td>061</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>Longview, WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>New Bedford, MA</td>
<td>062</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>Phoenix, OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Newport, RI</td>
<td>063</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Hicksville, NY</td>
<td>064</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>Fremont-Newark, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Wantagh, NY</td>
<td>065</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>San Jose, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Bronx, NY</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Queens, NY</td>
<td>067</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>Redondo, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Queens, NY</td>
<td>068</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>Garden, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Brooklyn, NY</td>
<td>069</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>Sunland-Tujunga, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Poughkeepsie, NY</td>
<td>070</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>El Centro, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Mooers, NY</td>
<td>071</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>La Mesa, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>017</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Fredonia, NY</td>
<td>072</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>El Cajon, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>018</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>073</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>Riverside, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Unadilla, NY</td>
<td>074</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>Mesa, AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Somerville, NJ</td>
<td>075</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>Roswell, NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>021</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Haddon Hts NJ</td>
<td>076</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>Midland, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>022</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Collegeville, PA</td>
<td>077</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Culver, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>023</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Newtown, PA</td>
<td>078</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>Coupland, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Levittown, PA</td>
<td>079</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>Kennard, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>025</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Philadelphia, PA</td>
<td>080</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>Lewisville, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>026</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Philadelphia, PA</td>
<td>081</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>McAlester, OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>027</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Bloomsburg, PA</td>
<td>082</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>Little Rock, AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>028</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Olyphant, PA</td>
<td>083</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>De Ridder, LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>029</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Millersburg, PA</td>
<td>084</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>Soso, MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Shade Gap, PA</td>
<td>085</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>Memphis, MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>032</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Yukon, PA</td>
<td>086</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>Auburn, AL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>033</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Richfield, OH</td>
<td>088</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>Gainesville, GA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>034</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Wooster, OH</td>
<td>089</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>Greenwood, SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>035</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Akron, OH</td>
<td>090</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>Newton, NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>036</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Westerville, OH</td>
<td>091</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>Charlotte, NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>037</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Newtonsville, LH</td>
<td>092</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>Knoxville, TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>038</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>093</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>Greeneville, TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>039</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Whiting, IN</td>
<td>094</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>Mt. Pleasant, TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>040</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>Mt Carmel, IL</td>
<td>095</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>Spring City, TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>Mahomet, IL</td>
<td>096</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>Kingston, TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>Pawnee, IL</td>
<td>097</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>New Martinsville, WV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>043</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Piatt, IL</td>
<td>098</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>Randallstown, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>044</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Chicago, IL</td>
<td>099</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>045</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>Wheaton, IL</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>046</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>Wilmette, IL</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>Manassas, VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>047</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>Winneconne, WI</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>Wytheville, VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>Milwaukee, WI</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>Pensacola, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>049</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>St. Paul, MN</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>De Land, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>Rochester, MN</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>Destin, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>051</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>Kenyon, MN</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>Haines City, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>Cedar Rapids, IA</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>Miami, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>Stanton, IA</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>Ft. Lauderdale, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>054</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>Ravenwood, MO</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>Fort Pierce, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>Hannibal, MO</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Apopka, FL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR MC0006 TP State (ICPSR) (first two digits, entered in V6)

4101. Alabama
6104. Arizona
4205. Arkansas
7106. California
6208. Colorado
0109. Connecticut
5511. District of Columbia (Washington, D.C.)
4312. Florida
4413. Georgia
2117. Illinois
2218. Indiana
3119. Iowa
5121. Kentucky
4522. Louisiana
0223. Maine
5224. Maryland
0325. Massachusetts
2326. Michigan
3327. Minnesota
4628. Mississippi
3429. Missouri
3531. Nebraska
0433. New Hampshire
1234. New Jersey
6635. New Mexico
1336. New York
4737. North Carolina
2439. Ohio
5340. Oklahoma
7241. Oregon
1442. Pennsylvania
0544. Rhode Island
4845. South Carolina
3746. South Dakota
5447. Tennessee
4948. Texas
6749. Utah
0650. Vermont
4051. Virginia
7353. Washington
5654. West Virginia
2555. Wisconsin

9999. NA

VAR MC0007 TP State (PIPS) (second two digits, entered in V7)

4101. Alabama
6104. Arizona
4205. Arkansas
7106. California
6208. Colorado
0109. Connecticut
5511. District of Columbia (Washington, D.C.)
4312. Florida
4413. Georgia
2117. Illinois
2218. Indiana
3119. Iowa
5121. Kentucky
4522. Louisiana
0223. Maine
5224. Maryland
0325. Massachusetts
2326. Michigan
3327. Minnesota
4628. Mississippi
3429. Missouri
5331. Nebraska
0433. New Hampshire
1234. New Jersey
6635. New Mexico
1336. New York
4737. North Carolina
2439. Ohio
5340. Oklahoma
7241. Oregon
1442. Pennsylvania
0544. Rhode Island
4845. South Carolina
3746. South Dakota
5447. Tennessee
4948. Texas
6749. Utah
0650. Vermont
4051. Virginia
7353. Washington
5654. West Virginia
2555. Wisconsin
9999. NA

-------------------------------
VAR MC0008 TP State and Congressional District Code (ICPSR)--used in interview
First two digits are state (ICPSR code); last two digits are
actual 1982 congressional district number

5599 = Washington, D.C.  XX98 = at-large district
XX00 = congressional district never assigned (TEL only)

Personal Interview: Code four-digit number pre-coded on
computerized label on facesheet of interview schedule
Telephone Interview: The state and congressional district (CD)
reported here corresponds to the CD assigned to the respondent in
the course of the telephone interview. This same district is
referenced in the battery of questions about congressional
candidates (Section E, Section J).
XX00 = CD assignment was not possible on the basis of information provided by the telephone respondent—all questions about congressional candidates skipped during administration of interview.

==============================
VAR MC0009 TP State and Congressional District Code (ICPSR)—verified
First two digits are state (ICPSR code); last two digits are actual 1982 congressional district number

5599 = Washington, D.C. XX98 = at-large district

Personal Interview: Code four-digit number pre-coded on computerized label on facesheet of interview schedule

Telephone Interview: The state and congressional district (CD) reported here corresponds to the CD in which the telephone respondent actually resides. This was determined by checking telephone respondents' addresses on congressional district maps after the interview was conducted. This CD will match the CD assigned in V8 only if the telephone respondent correctly identified his/her district of residence in the course of the interview.

==============================
VAR MC0010 TP Type of Congressional Race (House of Representatives) for District identified in V8

INCUMBENT CANDIDATE(S)

12. Democratic incumbent running --Republican challenger
13. *** --Other challenger*
14. *** --Unopposed
19. *** --Republican and Other candidates
21. Republican incumbent running --Democratic challenger
23. *** --Other challenger
24. *** --Unopposed
29. *** --Democratic and Other candidates
31. Other incumbent running --Democratic challenger
32. *** --Republican challenger
34. *** --Unopposed
40. Democratic and Republican incumbents running—no other candidates
49. Democratic and Republican incumbents running—other candidates
51. No Incumbent Rep. running --Democratic candidate unopposed
52. *** --Republican candidate unopposed
53. *** --Other candidate unopposed
55. *** --Democratic and Republican candidates
56. *** --Republican and Other candidates
57. *** --Dem. and Other candidates
59. *** --Dem. and Repub. and Other candidates
99. District of Columbia

*Other-Third Party(s) or Independent

00. TEL: DISTRICT UNASSIGNED (R FAILED RECALL & RECOGNITION TESTS)
The following list matches the congressional districts with the appropriate type of race for that district as described in the above code - V10:

12 - PERSONAL:
AR01, AR04, AZ02, CA04, CA05, CA08, CA09, CA11, CA23,
CA24, CA28, CA32, CA38, CT 02, FL18, FL19, GA04, GA09,
IA05, IL01, IL03, IL09, IL21, IL22, IN10, KY03, MA02,
MA07, MA08, MA09, MD01, MD02, MD07, MD08, MI07, MI15,
MI16, MN04, MN05, MO03, MO09, MS04, NC01, NJ01, NJ06,
NJ11, NJ14, NY17, OH11, OH20, OH21, OK01, OK02, OR04,
PA01, PA14, PA18, PA22, TX18, WA02, WA07

TELEPHONE:
AZ02, CA04, CA09, CA10, CA13, CA24, CA31, CA36, FL01,
FL04, FL18, GA09, IA05, IL08, IL09, IL11, MA07, MA10,
MD02, MD03, MD07, MN04, MO03, MO09, MS01, NJ01, NY07,
OH01, OH12, OH13, OH14, OK03, OR04, PA01, PA12, PA20,
RI01, TN03, TX14, TX23, WA03, WA07

13 - PERSONAL:
NY06, NY08, NY16, NY18, PA02

TELEPHONE:
NY08, NY18, PA02

14 - PERSONAL:
AL03, CA25, FL10, GA02, KY01, LA05, LA07, MA03, MI01,
MI14, MS03, OH03, TX17

TELEPHONE:
AL03, CA03, FL10, FL17, LA04, LA07, MA03, MA05, MS03,
SC03, TN06, TX02, TX10, TX20, WI04

19 - PERSONAL:
GA05, NY10, NY20, NY28

TELEPHONE:
GA05, NY10, NY28, NY32, NY33, NY34

21 - PERSONAL:
AL02, AR02, AZ03, AZ04, CA02, CA14, CA17, CA21, CA33,
CA39, CA41, CA42, CA45, CT04, CO04, FL05, IA03, IL04,
IL06, IL12, IL13, IL14, IL15, IL18, KY04, MI04, MI18,
MN06, MO08, NJ04, NJ07, NJ12, NJ13, OH04, OH08, OH09,
PA03, PA05, PA11, PA16, SC02, TX07, TX08, UT02, VA03,
VA08, VA10, WI06, WV03, WA01

TELEPHONE:
AR02, CA21, CA22, CA41, CA42, CA45, FL05, FL15, IA02,
IL06, IL10, IL13, IL14, IL15, IL18, IL19, IL20, MN01,
MN06, MO06, NC09, NE02, NH02, NJ07, NY12, NY13, NM02,
NY21, NY26, OH02, OH06, OH16, PA04, PA05, PA08, PA09,
PA10, PA11, PA13, RI02, TN01, TX21, VA07, VA08, VA09,
VT08, WA01, WI06
24- PERSONAL
MA01, NC10, NE03, TX22, WI09

TELEPHONE:
NC10, NE03, TN02

29- PERSONAL:
NY03, NY04, NY15, NY27

TELEPHONE:
NY03, NY04, NY05

40- PERSONAL:
SD98

TELEPHONE:
MA04

49- PERSONAL:
NY14

55- PERSONAL:
AZ01, CA06, CA12, CA26, CA27, CA34, CA44, FL13, FL16,
IL05, IN01, IN06, ME01, MI17, OH07, OH19, PA17, TN04,
WA08

TELEPHONE:
AZ01, CA26, CA27, CA37, CA44, FL12, FL16, IL17, OR02,
PA17, PA21, TN04, TX26, WA08, WI05, WV01

56- PERSONAL:
UT03

59- PERSONAL:
CA43, NY11, NY12, NY25

TELEPHONE:
NY11, NY12, NY25

99- PERSONAL:
D.C.

TELEPHONE:
D.C.

----------------------------------------
VAR MC0011 TP Type of Senate Race corresponding to State of Interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incumbent</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Democratic incumbent running</td>
<td>-- Republican challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. **</td>
<td>-- Other challenger*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. **</td>
<td>-- Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. **</td>
<td>-- Republican and Other candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Republican incumbent running</td>
<td>-- Democratic challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. **</td>
<td>-- Other challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. **</td>
<td>-- Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. **</td>
<td>-- Democratic and Other candidates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
31. Other incumbent running -- Democratic challenger
32. "" -- Republican challenger
34. "" -- Unopposed
51. Democratic incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
52. "" -- Republican candidate unopposed
53. "" -- Other candidate unopposed
55. "" -- Democratic and Republican candidates
56. "" -- Republican and Other candidates
57. "" -- Democratic and Other candidates
59. "" -- Dem. And Repub. And Other candidates

61. Republican incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
62. "" -- Republican candidate unopposed
63. "" -- Other candidate unopposed
65. "" -- Democratic and Republican candidates
66. "" -- Republican and Other candidates
67. "" -- Democratic and Other candidates
69. "" -- Dem and Repub. and Other candidates

71. Other incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
72. "" -- Republican candidate unopposed
73. "" -- Other candidate unopposed
75. "" -- Democratic and Republican candidates
76. "" -- Republican and Other candidates
77. "" -- Democratic and Other candidates
79. "" -- Dem. and Repub. and Other candidates

81. Democratic incumbents--no race in state
82. Republican incumbents--no race in state

84. Republican and Independent incumbents--no race in state
85. Democratic and Republican incumbents--no race in state

99. District of Columbia

NOTE:
The following list matches the states with their appropriate type of race as described in the above code - V11:

12- PERSONAL: AZ, FL, MA, MD, ME, MI, MS, NE, NY, OH, TN, TX, WA, WV, WI
TELEPHONE: AZ, FL, MA, MD, MS, NE, NY, OH, TN, TX, WA, WV, WI

21- PERSONAL: CT, IN, MN, MO, PA, UT
TELEPHONE: IN, MN, MO, NM, PA, RI, VT

55- PERSONAL: NJ
TELEPHONE: NJ

65- PERSONAL: CA
TELEPHONE:

75- PERSONAL: VA
TELEPHONE: VA
81- PERSONAL: AR, KY, LA
TELEPHONE: AR, LA

82- PERSONAL: IA, NC, OR, SD
TELEPHONE: IA, NH, NC, OR

85- PERSONAL: AL, CO, GA, IL, OK, SC
TELEPHONE: AL, GA, IL, OK, SC

99- PERSONAL: D.C.
TELEPHONE: D.C.

=================================
VAR MC0012 TP     Party of Senator Not Facing Election in States holding
Senate race in 1982

1. Democrat
2. Republican
3. Independent
4. Other
9. District of Columbia
O. Inap, no Senate race in state; coded 81-82, 84-85 in 11

=================================
VAR MC0013 TP Type of Governor Race for State of Interview

Use same code as for V11 EXCEPT:

81. Democratic incumbent--no race in state
82. Republican incumbent--no race in state
83. Other incumbent--no race in state
99. District of Columbia

The following list matches, the states with their appropriate
type of race as described in the above code - V13:

12 - PERSONAL: AZ, CO, CT, FL, MD, ME, OK, SC
TELEPHONE: AZ, FL, NH, MD, OK, RI, SC

21 - PERSONAL: AR, IL, NE, OR, PA, SD, TN, TX
TELEPHONE: AR, IL, NE, OR, PA, TN, TX, VT

55 - PERSONAL: AL, CA, GA, MA, NY
TELEPHONE: AL, CA, GA, MA, NM, NY

65 - PERSONAL: IA, MI, MN, OH, WI
TELEPHONE: IA, MN, OH, WI

81 - PERSONAL: KY, MS, NJ, NC, UT, WV
TELEPHONE: MS, NJ, NC, WV

82 - PERSONAL: IN, LA, MO, VA, WA
TELEPHONE: IN, LA, MO, VA, WA

99 - PERSONAL: D.C.
TELEPHONE: D.C.
VAR MC0014 TP Date of Interview

Code actual MONTH AND DAY (1102-1130, 1201-1231, 0101-0131)

1102. November 2

0131. January 31

9999. NA

VAR MC0015 TP Household Composition - Adult (18 years and older)

composition of Primary Family Unit

This variable included only those household members who were 18 or older, whether or not they were eligible to participate in the study on the basis of nationality.

10. One adult male head
11. One adult male head plus one other non-relative
12. One adult male head plus two or more other non-relatives

20. One adult female head
21. One adult female head plus one other non-relative
22. One adult female head plus two or more other non-relatives

30. One married couple
40. One married couple plus
50. One married couple plus
51. One married couple plus
52. One married couple plus

60. An unmarried male head
70. An unmarried male head plus two or more other relatives

80. An unmarried female head plus one other relative
90. An unmarried female head plus two or more other relatives

99. NA

VAR MC0016 TP State and County Code (FIPS)--verified

The first two digits of this variable contain the FIPS state code; the last three digits contain the county code. See FIPS State and County Code

VAR MC0017 T Congressional District Verification Status

1. The CD to which the respondent was assigned during the course of the interview corresponds to their actual CD of residence
2. The respondent was not assigned to a CD during the course of the interview but CD could be determined through map checks.

3. The CD to which the respondent was assigned during the interview does not correspond to their actual CD of residence.

4. Insufficient information to locate respondent's CD on maps, including both respondents who were and were not assigned to a CD during the course of the interview.

0. OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-------------------------------
VAR MC0018 TP Respondent located in SMSA
1. SMSA
2. Not SMSA

-------------------------------
VAR MC0019 T Sampling Error Cluster ID
The 3-digit sampling error code contains information for computing sampling errors. Users who wish to compute sampling errors for this study should contact ICPSR for further information needed to compute sampling errors.

Actual number is coded. (See p. la for codes)
000. INAP, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-------------------------------
VAR MC0020 T Interview Status
1. Complete interview
5. Minimum partial interview -- interviews completed with required minimum (see introduction for requirements)
0. INAP, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-------------------------------
VAR MC0021 T In this interview I will be talking with you about the recent elections, as well as a number of other topics. Before we begin I should tell you this interview is completely voluntary and confidential. If we should come to any question which you don't want to answer, just let me know and we'll go on to the next question. First, I need to know the name of the COUNTY you live in. Can you spell that name for me, please?

ACTUAL NAME OF COUNTY ENTERED (ALPHA)

REF - IF R REFUSES TO ANSWER
DK - IF R DOESN'T KNOW
0. INAP, (0 for 30 characters) OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-------------------------------
VAR MC0022 TP Respondent's county of residence (numeric)
FIPS State and County Code

The first 2 digits of this variable contain the PIPS state code; the last 3 digits contain the county code.

(For CATI respondents the response to is coded here)

Coded for TELEPHONE only:

99997. Name R gave does not correspond to a county in R's state of residence
99998. DK
99999. NA

==============================

VAR MC0023 TP In this interview I will be talking with you about the recent elections, as well as a number of other things. First, I have some questions about the political campaigns which took place this election year.

A1. Some people don't pay much attention to campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in following the political campaigns this year?

1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED

8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL) QUESTION NOT ASKED, BUT APPROPRIATE

==============================

VAR MC0024 TP A2. Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================

VAR MC0025 TP How about magazines--did you read about the campaign in any magazines?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)
VAR MC0026 TP     A4. Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0027 TP     A5. And, finally, did you read about the campaign in any newspapers?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0028 TP     A6. Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress is handling its job?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
7. R Volunteers: Pro-Con; both approve and disapprove
   (personal interview only)

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0029 TP DUMMY VARIABLE (O)

=================================
VAR MC0030 P (See V28)

A6a. Do you approve strongly or not strongly?
A6b. Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY APPROVE
2. NOT STRONGLY APPROVE
4. NOT STRONGLY DISAPPROVE
5. STRONGLY DISAPPROVE

8. DK (to either A6a or A6b)
9. NA (to either A6a or A6b)
0. Inap, 7-9 in 28; OMITTED FROM TEL INT

=================================
VAR MC0031 TP A7. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan is handling his job as President?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
7. R Volunteers: Pro-Con; both and approve and disapprove (personal interview only)

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0032 TP DUMMY VARIABLE (0)

==============================
VAR MC0033 P (See V31)

A7a. Do you approve strongly or not strongly?
    A7b. Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY APPROVE
2. NOT STRONGLY APPROVE
4. NOT STRONGLY DISAPPROVE
5. STRONGLY DISAPPROVE

8. DK (to either A7a or A7b)
9. NA (to either A7a or A7b)
0. Inap, 7-9 in 31; OMITTED FROM TEL INT

==============================
VAR MC0034 TP A8. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan is handling relations with the Soviet Union?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0035 P A9. In your own mind, do you think of yourself as a supporter of one of the political parties, or not?

1. YES, SUPPORTER
5. NO, NOT SUPPORTER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, OMITTED FROM TEL INT
VAR MC0036 P A9a. Which political party do you support?

1. REPUBLICAN
2. DEMOCRATIC
3. OTHER

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 8-9 or 0 in 35; OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0037 P A9b. Do you ever think of yourself as closer to one of the two major political parties, or not?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 8-9 or 0 in 35; OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0038 P A9c. Which party do you feel closer to?

1. REPUBLICAN
2. DEMOCRATIC
3. OTHER

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 8-9 or 0 in 35; 5, 8-9 in 37; OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0039 P A10. Do you ever think of yourself as a political independent, or not?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0040 P B1. I'd like to ask you what you think are the good and bad points about the two national parties. Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

1. YES
5. NO
6. NO, DK--qualified; R volunteers: "I don't pay attention to parties; I look more to the man/candidate, than the party"; "I look more for the qualified man"
7. NO, DK--qualified; R volunteers: "The parties are the same"; "There is no difference between the parties"
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, OMITTED FROM TEL INT

==============================
VAR MC0041  (See V40)

Bla. What is that? Blb. Anything else?
Code 5 mentions.  [Mention 1]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

  9998. DK (V41 only)
  9999. NA (V41 only)

  0000. Inap, no other mention; o, 5-9 in 40; 9998-9999 in 41

==============================
VAR MC0042 (See V41)

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
[Mention 2]
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

  9998. DK (V41 only)
  9999. NA (V41 only)

  0000. Inap, no other mention; o, 5-9 in 40; 9998-9999 in 41

==============================
VAR MC0043  (See V41)

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
[Mention 3]
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

  9998. DK (V41 only)
  9999. NA (V41 only)

  0000. Inap, no other mention; o, 5-9 in 40; 9998-9999 in 41

==============================
VAR MC0044  (See V41)

Code numbers pre-coded in margin [Mention 4]
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

  9998. DK (V41 only)
  9999. NA (V41 only)

  0000. Inap, no other mention; o, 5-9 in 40; 9998-9999 in 41

==============================
VAR MC0045  (See V41)

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
[Mention 5]
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V41 only)
9999. NA (V41 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; o, 5-9 in 40; 9998-9999 in 41

---------------------------
VAR MC0046 P B2. Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

1. YES
5. NO
6. NO, DK--qualified; R volunteers: "I don't pay attention to parties; I look more to the man/candidate, than the party"; "I look more for the qualified man"
7. NO, DK--qualified; R volunteers: "The parties are the same" "There is no difference between the parties"
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap; OMITTED FROM TEL INT

---------------------------
VAR MC0047 (See V46)

B2a. What is that? B2b. Anything else?
Code 5 mentions.
[Mention 1]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V47 only)
9999. NA (V47 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; O. 5-9 in 46; 9998-9999 in 47

---------------------------
VAR MC0048 (See V47)

[Mention 2]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V47 only)
9999. NA (V47 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; O. 5-9 in 46; 9998-9999 in 47

---------------------------
VAR MC0049 (See V47)

[Mention 3]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:
9998. DK (V47 only)
9999. NA (V47 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; O. 5-9 in 46; 9998-9999 in 47

-----------------------------
VAR MC0050   (See V47)

[Mention 4]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V47 only)
9999. NA (V47 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; O. 5-9 in 46; 9998-9999 in 47

-----------------------------
VAR MC0051   (See V47)

[Mention 5]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V47 only)
9999. NA (V47 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; O. 5-9 in 46; 9998-9999 in 47

-----------------------------
VAR MC0052 P B3. Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?

1. YES
5. NO

6. NO, DK--qualified; R volunteers: "I don't pay attention to parties; I look more to the man/candidate, than the party"; "I look more for the qualified man"
7. NO, DK--qualified; R volunteers: "The parties are the same"; "There is no difference between the parties"

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, OMITTED FROM TEL INT

-----------------------------
VAR MC0053   (See V52)

B3a. What is that? B3b. Anything else?
Code 5 mentions.
[Mention 1]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V53 only)
9999. NA (V53 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; O. 5-9 in 52; 9998-9999 in 53

==============================================

VAR MC0054  (See V53)

[Mention 2]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V53 only)
9999. NA (V53 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; O. 5-9 in 52; 9998-9999 in 53

==============================================

VAR MC0055  (See V53)

[Mention 3]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V53 only)
9999. NA (V53 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; O. 5-9 in 52; 9998-9999 in 53

==============================================

VAR MC0056  (See V53)

[Mention 4]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V53 only)
9999. NA (V53 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; O. 5-9 in 52; 9998-9999 in 53

==============================================

VAR MC0057  (See V53)

[Mention 5]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:
9998. DK (V53 only)
9999. NA (V53 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; O. 5-9 in 52; 9998-9999 in 53

=================================
VAR MC0058 P B4. Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

1. YES
5. NO
6. NO, DK--qualified; R volunteers: "I don't pay attention to parties; I look more to the man/candidate, than the party"; "I look more for the qualified man"
7. NO, DK--qualified; R volunteers: "The parties are the same"; "There is no difference between the parties"
8. DK
9. NA

O. Inap, OMITTED FROM TEL INT

=================================
VAR MC0059  (See V58)

B4a. What is that? B4b. Anything else?
Code 5 mentions.
[Mention 1]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V59 only)
9999. NA (V59 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; 0, 5-9 in 58; 9998-9999 in 59

=================================
VAR MC0060  (See V59)

[Mention 2]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V59 only)
9999. NA (V59 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; 0, 5-9 in 58; 9998-9999 in 59

=================================
VAR MC0061 (See V59)

[Mention 3]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:
9998. DK (V59 only)
9999. NA (V59 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 0, 5-9 in 58; 9998-9999 in 59

-----------------------------
VAR MC0062   (See V59)

[Mention 4]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V59 only)
9999. NA (V59 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 0, 5-9 in 58; 9998-9999 in 59

-----------------------------
VAR MC0063    (See V59)

[Mention 5]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V59 only)
9999. NA (V59 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 0, 5-9 in 58; 9998-9999 in 59

-----------------------------
VAR MC0064 TP     C1. As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington were chosen in this last election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally cared about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives came out: Did you care very much, pretty much, not very much, or not at all?

1. VERY MUCH
2. PRETTY MUCH
4. NOT VERY MUCH
5. NOT AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0065 TP     C2. Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress--that is, for the House of Representatives-- that ran in your district this November?
(For LA05 and LA07 where the Representatives were elected in the primary)

Do you happen to remember the name of the candidate for Congress--that is the House of Representatives in Washington--that was elected in this district?

1. YES
5. NO; DON'T KNOW

9. NA; District of Columbia
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0066 (#1) T(P) (See V65)

C2aa. Who were they?
TELEPHONE INTERVIEW:

CLUSTER CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT #1
  01. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
  02. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
  03. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

71. OTHER CANDIDATE FOR CD #1

CLUSTER CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT #2
  04. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
  05. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
  06. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

72. OTHER CANDIDATE FOR CD #2

CLUSTER CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT #3
  07. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
  08. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
  09. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

73. OTHER CANDIDATE FOR CD #3

97. Name given not in HOUSE Candidate List for any of the possible congressional districts in cluster

98. Don't know candidate name but know party (second & third mentions)

99. Refused

00. Inap, 5 or 9 in V65; no further mentions (V70 and V740nly) (TEL)

PERSONAL INTERVIEW

  01. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE (31, 33, 35 in V67, 71, 75)
  02. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE (32, 34, 36 in V67, 71, 75)
  03. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE (37, 39, 80 in V67, 71, 75)
97. Name given not in Candidate List for that race (97 in V67, 71, 75)
98. DON'T KNOW name but know party (98 in V67, 71, 75)
99. NA (99 in V67, 71, 75)
00. Inap, NO MENTION; 0 in 71 or 75; 5 or 9 in 65; (TEL)

======================================
VAR MC0067  (#1)(T)P
C2a. Who were they?

Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name
Use Candidate Number Code (31-37, 39) EXCEPT:
80. Minor party candidate; minor independent candidate
97. Name given not on Candidate List for this race
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, NO MENTION (V71 and V75 only); 5 or 9 in 65; 98-99 in V66 (for TEL only); (TEL)

======================================
VAR MC0068  (#1) TP C2b. (ASK FOR EACH NAME IN C2a) What was (NAME's) party?

1. DEMOCRATIC
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER PARTY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA; Refused
0. Inap, NO MENTION (V72 and V76 only); 5 or 9 in V65; 98-99 in V67

======================================
VAR MC0069  (#1) (TP)
C2x. Respondent's knowledge of the names and parties of candidates running in the Congressional race for R's district identified in V8.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given, but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) - any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) - DK or NA for party mention, no candidate name (NA) - DK for party mention
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap; R remembers no names; no second or third mention; (TEL)
C2aa. Who were they?

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW:

CLUSTER CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT #1
  01. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
  02. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
  03. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

  71. OTHER CANDIDATE FOR CD #1

CLUSTER CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT #2
  04. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
  05. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
  06. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

  72. OTHER CANDIDATE FOR CD #2

CLUSTER CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT #3
  07. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
  08. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
  09. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

  73. OTHER CANDIDATE FOR CD #3

97. Name given not in HOUSE Candidate List for any of the possible congressional districts in cluster

98. Don't know candidate name but know party (second & third mentions)

99. Refused

00. Inap, 5 or 9 in V65; no further mentions (V70 and V740nly) (TEL)

PERSONAL INTERVIEW

01. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE (31, 33, 35 in V67, 71, 75)
02. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE (32, 34, 36 in V67, 71, 75)
03. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE (37, 39, 80 in V67, 71, 75)

97. Name given not in Candidate List for that race (97 in V67, 71, 75)

98. DON'T KNOW name but know party (98 in V67, 71, 75)

99. NA (99 in V67, 71, 75)

00. Inap, NO MENTION; O in 71 or 75; 5 or 9 in 65; (TEL)
VAR MC0071  (#2)  
C2a. Who were they?  

Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name  
Use Candidate Number Code (31-37, 39) EXCEPT:  

80. Minor party candidate; minor independent candidate  
97. Name given not on Candidate List for this race  
98. DK  
99. NA  
00. Inap, NO MENTION (V71 and V75 only); 5 or 9 in 65; 98-99 in V66 (for TEL only); (TEL)  

==============================
VAR MC0072  (#2) TP C2b. (ASK FOR EACH NAME IN C2a) What was (NAME's) party?  

1. DEMOCRATIC  
5. REPUBLICAN  
7. OTHER PARTY  
8. DON'T KNOW  
9. NA; Refused  
0. Inap, NO MENTION (V72 and V76 only); 5 or 9 in V65; 98-99 in V67  

==============================
VAR MC0073  (#2) (TP) (See V69)

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name  
2. Valid candidate name given, but incorrect party associated with name  
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name  
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name  
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name  
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) - any party mention  
7. No candidate name given (DK) - DK or NA for party mention, no candidate name (NA) - DK for party mention  
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention  
0. Inap; R remembers no names; no second or third mention; (TEL)  

==============================
VAR MC0074  (#3) (See V65)

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW:  

CLUSTER CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT #1  
01. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE  
02. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE  
03. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE  
71. OTHER CANDIDATE FOR CD #1
CLUSTER CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT #2
  04. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
  05. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
  06. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

  72. OTHER CANDIDATE FOR CD #2

CLUSTER CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT #3
  07. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
  08. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
  09. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

  73. OTHER CANDIDATE FOR CD #3

  97. Name given not in HOUSE Candidate List for any of the
      possible congressional districts in cluster

  98. Don't know candidate name but know party (second & third
      mentions)

  99. Refused

  00. Inap, 5 or 9 in V65; no further mentions ( V70 and
      V740nly) (TEL)

PERSONAL INTERVIEW

  01. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE (31, 33, 35 in V67, 71, 75)
  02. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE (32, 34, 36 in V67, 71, 75)
  03. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE (37, 39, 80 in V67, 71, 75)

  97. Name given not in Candidate List for that race (97 in
      V67, 71, 75)
  98. DON'T KNOW name but know party (98 in V67, 71, 75)
  99. NA (99 in V67, 71, 75)

  00. Inap, NO MENTION; O in 71 or 75; 5 or 9 in 65; (TEL)

===============================================
VAR MC0075   (#3)
  C2a. Who were they?

  Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name
  Use Candidate Number Code (31-37, 39) EXCEPT:

  80. Minor party candidate; minor independent candidate
  97. Name given not on Candidate List for this race

  98. DK
  99. NA

  00. Inap, NO MENTION (V71 and V75 only); 5 or 9 in 65; 98-99
      in V66 (for TEL only); (TEL)

===============================================
VAR MC0076  (#3) TP C2b. (ASK FOR EACH NAME IN C2a) What was (NAME's) party?

1. DEMOCRATIC
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER PARTY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA; Refused
0. Inap, NO MENTION (V72 and V76 only); 5 or 9 in V65; 98-99 in V67

-----------------------------
VAR MC0077  (#3) (TP) (See V69)

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given, but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) - any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) - DK or NA for party mention, no candidate name (NA) - DK for party mention
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap; R remembers no names; no second or third mention; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0078 P C3. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

2. NO U.S. SENATE RACE (NO NAME FROM #11-#16 ON CANDIDATE LIST) (81-82, 84-85 in 11)
9. District of Columbia; Inap, SENATE SERIES OMITTED FROM TEL INT

-----------------------------
VAR MC0079 P C4. And how about the candidates who ran in this state for the (United States) Senate in Washington? Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were?

1. YES
5. NO; DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 2 or 9 in 78; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0080  (#1) TP DUMMY VARIABLE (0/0/0)

-----------------------------
C4a. Who were they?

Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name
Use Candidate Number code (11-16, 20) EXCEPT:

80. Minor party candidate; minor independent candidate
97. Name given not on Candidate List for this race

98. DK
99. NA

00. Inap, NO MENTION (V85 and V89 only); 2 or 9 in 78; 5 or 9 in 79; (TEL)

==============================================
C4b. (ASK FOR EACH NAME IN C4a) What was (NAME's) party?

1. DEMOCRATIC
5. REPUBLICAN

7. OTHER PARTY
8. DON'T KNOW

9. NA
0. Inap, NO MENTION (V86 and V90 only); 2 or 9 in 78; 5 or 9 in 79; (TEL)

==============================================
C4x. Respondent's knowledge of the names and parties of candidates running in the Senate race for R's State of current residence.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given, but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) - any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) - DK or NA for party mention, no candidate name (NA) - DK for party mention
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, R remembers no names; no second or third mention, 2 or 9 in V78; (TEL)

==============================================
C5. (ASK FOR EACH NAME IN C4a) How did (NAME) vote?

1. VOTED FOR
5. VOTED AGAINST

7. ABSTAINED
8. DON'T KNOW

9. NA
0. Inap, NO MENTION (V85 and V89 only); 2 or 9 in 78; 5 or 9 in 79; (TEL)

==============================================
C5c. (ASK FOR EACH NAME IN C4a) What do you know about (NAME)?
VAR MC0085  (#2) P (See V81)

Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name
Use Candidate Number code (11-16, 20) EXCEPT:

80. Minor party candidate; minor independent candidate
97. Name given not on Candidate List for this race

98. DK
99. NA

00. Inap, NO MENTION (V85 and V89 only); 2 or 9 in 78; 5 or 9 in 79; (TEL)

=====================================

VAR MC0086  (#2) P  C4b. (ASK FOR EACH NAME IN C4a) What was (NAME's) party?

1. DEMOCRATIC
5. REPUBLICAN

7. OTHER PARTY
8. DON'T KNOW

9. NA
0. Inap, NO MENTION (V86 and V90 only); 2 or 9 in 78; 5 or 9 in 79; (TEL)

=====================================

VAR MC0087  (#2)   (See V83)

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given, but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for part associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) - any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) - DK or NA for party mention, no candidate name (NA) - DK for party mention
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, R remembers no names; no second or third mention, 2 or 9 in V78; (TEL)

=====================================

VAR MC0088  (#3) TP DUMMY VARIABLE (0/0/0)

=====================================

VAR MC0089 (#3) P (See V81)

Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name
Use Candidate Number code (11-16, 20) EXCEPT:

80. Minor party candidate; minor independent candidate
97. Name given not on Candidate List for this race
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, NO MENTION (V85 and V89 only); 2 or 9 in 78; 5 or 9 in 79; (TEL)

====================================================================
VAR MC0090   (#3) P  C4b. (ASK FOR EACH NAME IN C4a) What was (NAME's) party?
1. DEMOCRATIC
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER PARTY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, NO MENTION (V86 and V90 only); 2 or 9 in 78; 5 or 9 in 79; (TEL)

====================================================================
VAR MC0091   (#3) (See V83)
1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given, but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) - any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) - DK or NA for party mention, no candidate name (NA) - DK for party mention
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, R remembers no names; no second or third mention, 2 or 9 in V78; (TEL)

====================================================================
VAR MC0092 TP     C5. I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using this feeling thermometer. You may use any number from 0 to 100 for rating. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel too favorable toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one. If you do recognize the name, but don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person, you would rate the person at the 50 degree mark.
Our first person is Jimmy Carter. How would you rate him using the thermometer?

a. JIMMY CARTER

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

990. (TEL)

888. Inap, no party candidate; no race of this type in state; NO 2ND OR 3RD DISTRICT IN TELEPHONE CLUSTER (V108-V113); ITEM OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW (V95-V101; V119-V127)

===================================
VAR MC0093 TP     (See V 92)

C5b. Ronald Reagan

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

990. (TEL)

888. Inap, no party candidate; no race of this type in state; NO 2ND OR 3RD DISTRICT IN TELEPHONE CLUSTER (V108-V113); ITEM OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW (V95-V101; V119-V127)

===================================
VAR MC0094 TP     (See V92)

C5c. Ted Kennedy

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

990. (TEL)

888. Inap, no party candidate; no race of this type in state; NO 2ND OR 3RD DISTRICT IN TELEPHONE CLUSTER (V108-V113); ITEM OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW (V95-V101; V119-V127)
VAR MC0095 P  (See V92)

C5d. John Anderson

   Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

   997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

   998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

   999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

   990. (TEL)

   888. Inap, OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0096 P  C5e. NAME #11, 13 or 15 (Democratic U.S. Senate candidate -
State with Senate race in 1982)

   Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

   997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

   998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

   999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

   990. (TEL)

   888. Inap, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 62-63, 66, 72-73, 76,

   81-82, 84-85, 99 in 11 (No Senate race or no Democratic

   candidate in Senate race); OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0097 P  C5f. NAME #12, 14 or 16 (Republican U.S. Senate candidate -
State with Senate race in 1982)

   Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

   997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

   998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

   999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

   990. (TEL)

   888. Inap, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 61, 63, 67, 71, 73,

   77, 81-82, 84-85, 99 in 11 (No Senate race or no

   Republican candidate in Senate race); OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0098 P  C5g. NAME #20 (Independent/Third Party U.S. Senate
candidate- State with Senate race in 1982)

   Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

   997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)
990. (TEL)

888. Inap, 12, 14, 21, 24, 51-52, 55, 61-62, 65, 71-72, 75, 81-82, 84-85, 99 in 11 (No Senate race or no Independent/Third Party candidate in Senate race); OMITTED FROM TEL INT

-----------------------------
VAR MC0099 P C5h. NAME #17 (Incumbent U.S. Senator #1 - State with no Senate race in 1982)

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)
990. (TEL)


-----------------------------
VAR MC0100 P C5j. NAME #18 (Incumbent U.S. Senator #2 - State with no Senate race in 1982)

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)
990. (TEL)


-----------------------------
VAR MC0101 P C5k. NAME #19 (incumbent U.S. Senator, Term Not Up - State with Senate race in 1982)

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)
990. (TEL)
VAR MC0102 TP C5m. NAME #31, 33 or 35 (Democratic U.S. House candidate)
Var# V102-V104: The candidates referenced are those corresponding
to the personal interview sample area, and to the district
(V8) assigned to the telephone respondent, if any assigned.

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

888. Inap, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; (No Democratic U.S. House candidate)

990. Congressional district never assigned (V10=00) (R failed both recall & recognition tests)

------------------------------------------

VAR MC0103 TP C5n. NAME #32, 34 or 36 (Republican US House candidate)

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)


990. Congressional District never assigned (V10=00)

------------------------------------------

VAR MC0104 TP C5p. NAME #37 or 39 (Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate)

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

888. Inap, 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10 (No Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate)

990. Congressional District never assigned (V10=00)

------------------------------------------

VAR MC0105 T C5q. NAME #31, 33 or 35 (Democratic U.S. House candidate)--CD #1 in telephone cluster. (PROBE FOR "I DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: When you say "Don't know" do you
mean that you don't know who the person is, or do you have something else in mind?)

777. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

888. No Democratic U.S. House candidate in this district.

990. District assigned in recall test

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. REFUSED

====================================================================
VAR MC0106 T  C5r. NAME #32, 34 or 36 (Republican U.S. House candidate)--CD #1 in telephone cluster. (PROBE FOR "I DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: When you say "don't know" do you mean that you don't know who the person is, or do you have something else in mind?)

777. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

888. No Republican U.S. House candidate in this district.

990. District assigned in recall test

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. REFUSED

====================================================================
VAR MC0107 T  C5s. NAME #37 or 39 (Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate)--CD #1 in telephone cluster. (PROBE FOR "I DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: When you say "don't know" do you mean that you don't know who the person is, or do you have something else in mind?)

777. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

888. No Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate in this district

990. District assigned in recall test

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. REFUSED

====================================================================
VAR MC0108 T  C5t. NAME #31, 33 or 35 (Democratic U.S. House candidate)--CD #2 in telephone cluster. (PROBE FOR "I DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: When you say "don't know" do you mean that you don't know who the person is, or do you have something else in mind?)

777. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW
888. No Democratic U.S. House candidate in this district; no second district in telephone cluster (V5=1); District of Columbia Telephone interview

990. District assigned in recall test

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. REFUSED

-----------------------------------------------

VAR MC0109 T C5u. NAME #32, 34 or 36 (Republican U.S. House candidate)-- CD #2 in telephone cluster. (PROBE FOR "I DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: When you say "don't know" do you mean that you don't know who the person is, or do you have something else in mind?)

777. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

888. No Republican U.S. House candidate in this district; no second district in telephone cluster (V5=1); District of Columbia Telephone interview

990. District assigned in recall test

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. REFUSED

-----------------------------------------------

VAR MC0110 T C5v. NAME #37 or 39 (Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate)-- CD #2 in telephone cluster. (PROBE FOR "I DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: When you say "don't know" do you mean that you don't know who the person is, or do you have something else in mind?)

777. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

888. No Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate in this district; no second district in telephone cluster (V5=1); District of Columbia Telephone interview

990. District assigned in recall test

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. REFUSED

-----------------------------------------------

VAR MC0111 T C5w. NAME #31, 33 or 35 (Democratic U.S. House candidate)-- CD #3 in telephone cluster. (PROBE FOR "I DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: When you say "don't know" do you mean that you don't know who the person is, or do you have something else in mind?)

777. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW
888. No Democratic U.S. House candidate in this district; no third district in telephone cluster (V5=1-2); District of Columbia Telephone interview

990. District assigned in recall test

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. REFUSED

---------------------------------------------

VAR MC0112 T  C5x. NAME #32, 34 or 36 (Republican U.S. House candidate)-- CD #3 in telephone cluster. (PROBE FOR "I DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: When you say "don't know" do you mean that you don't know who the Person is, or do you have something else in mind?)

777. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

888. No Republican U.S. House candidate in this district; no third district in telephone cluster (V5=1-2); District of Columbia Telephone interview

990. District assigned in recall test

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. REFUSED

---------------------------------------------

VAR MC0113 T  C5y. NAME #37 or 39 (Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate)-- CD #3 in telephone cluster. (PROBE FOR "I DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: When you say "don't know" do you mean that you don't know who the person is, or do you have something else in mind?)

777. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

888. No Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate in this district; no third district in telephone cluster (V5=1-2); District of Columbia Telephone interview

990. District assigned in recall test

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. REFUSED

---------------------------------------------

VAR MC0114 TP  DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

---------------------------------------------

VAR MC0115 TP  DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

---------------------------------------------

VAR MC0116 TP  DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0
 VAR MC0117 TP (See V92)

C5aa. George Bush

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

990. (TEL)

888. Inap, no party candidate; no race of this type in state; NO 2ND OR 3RD DISTRICT IN TELEPHONE CLUSTER (V108-V113); ITEM OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW (V95-V101; V119-V127)

==============================

 VAR MC0118 TP (See V92)

C5bb. Walter "Fritz" Mondale

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

990. (TEL)

888. Inap, no party candidate; no race of this type in state; NO 2ND OR 3RD DISTRICT IN TELEPHONE CLUSTER (V108-V113); ITEM OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW (V95-V101; V119-V127)

==============================

 VAR MC0119 P (See v92)

C5cc. Richard Nixon

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

997. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

999. NA; refused; (left blank in personal interview)

990. (TEL)

888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT

==============================
VAR MC0120 P  And, still using the thermometer, how would you rate the following:

C5dd. the Democratic Party

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT (V120-V127
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. NA; refused; left blank

==================================
VAR MC0121 P (See 120)

C5ee. the Republican Party

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT (V120-V127
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. NA; refused; left blank

==================================
VAR MC0122 P (See V120)

C5ff. people who call themselves political independents

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT (V120-V127
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. NA; refused; left blank

==================================
VAR MC0123 p (See V120)

C5gg. political parties, in general

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT (V120-V127
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. NA; refused; left blank

==================================
VAR MC0124 p (See V120)

C5hh. liberals

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT (V120-V127
998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
999. NA; refused; left blank

==================================
VAR MC0125 p (See V120)

C5jj. conservatives

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

  888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT (V120-V127
  998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
  999. NA; refused; left blank

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=

VAR MC0126 p (See V120)

C5kk. whites

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

  888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT (V120-V127
  998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
  999. NA; refused; left blank

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=

VAR MC0127 P (See V120)

C5mm. blacks

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

  888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT (V120-V127
  998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
  999. NA; refused; left blank

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=

VAR MC0128 TP (See V120)

C5nn. Democrats

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

  888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT (V120-V127
  998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
  999. NA; refused; left blank

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=

VAR MC0129 TP (See V120)

C5PP. Republicans

Code actual number (000-100) EXCEPT:

  888. INAP, OMITTED FROM TEL INT (V120-V127
  998. DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE
  999. NA; refused; left blank

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=

VAR MC0130 TP DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0
VAR MC0131 T     D2. People with your telephone exchange are in one of several possible congressional districts. So that I can go ahead with the questions I have, I need to determine the congressional district in which you live.
1. Do you live in the district where [name of Democratic candidate] (and) [name of Republican candidate] ran?;  
2. Do you live in the district where [name of Democratic candidate] (and) [name of Republican candidate] ran?; or  
3. Do you live in the district where [name of Democratic candidate] (and) [name of Republican candidate] ran?

READ TO R ALL DISTRICTS WHERE CANDIDATE NAMES ARE DISPLAYED; ENTER CODE VALUE CORRESPONDING TO DISTRICT CHOSEN

8. DON'T KNOW
9. District of Columbia
0. INAP, CD assigned (assigned at C02AA - Recall series or assigned at C05Q-C05Y - Recognition series); CD not assigned (R failed recall and recognition tests) (ISR CATI only); only one congressional district possible -- CD assigned (BERKELEY CATI only); OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0132 T     D3. Summary Variable: WHEN CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT (CD) ASSIGNMENT TOOK PLACE FOR TELEPHONE RESPONDENT--ISR CATI

1. CD ASSIGNED AT C02AA (RECALL SERIES)  
2. CD ASSIGNED AT C05Q-C05Y (RECOGNITION SERIES)  
3. CD ASSIGNED AT D02 (EXPlicit VERIFICATION)  
4. CD NEVER ASSIGNED -- "DON'T KNOW" TO D02  
5. CD NEVER ASSIGNED -- R FAILED RECALL & RECOGNITION TESTS

9. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA RESPONDENTS
0. INAP, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

D3. Summary Variable: BERKELEY CATI

1. Respondent was automatically assigned to a district irrespective of his/her response to recall series whenever only one congressional district was possible for their telephone exchange; CD ASSIGNED AT C02AA (RECALL SERIES)  
3. CD ASSIGNED AT D02 (EXPlicit VERIFICATION)  
4. CD NEVER ASSIGNED -- "DON'T KNOW" TO D02  
9. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA RESPONDENTS
0. INAP, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0133 TP    DUMMY VARIABLES PADDED WITH 0

VAR MC0134 TP    DUMMY VARIABLES PADDED WITH 0

VAR MC0135 TP    DUMMY VARIABLES PADDED WITH 0
Next I would like to ask you some questions about the candidate(s) who ran in your district for the U.S. House of Representatives.

E1. Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME # 31, 33 or 35) the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

(For NY18 where the same candidate had the support of both major parties) Was there anything in particular that you liked about Robert Garcia who ran as the Democratic and Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

(For LA05 and LA07 where the representatives were elected in the primary)

Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME), who was the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

1. YES
5. NO

3. (TEL) R VOlUNTEERS: This person did not run in my district
7. R VOlUNTEERS: "I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS PERSON."

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10 (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); (TEL)

Ela. What was that? (Anything else?)
Code 4 mentions
[Mention 1]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V138 only)
9999. NA (V138 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); 5, 7-9 in 137; 9998-9999 in 138; (TEL)
VAR MC0139 (See V138)

[Mention 2]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V138 only)
9999. NA (V138 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); 5, 7-9 in 137; 9998-9999 in 138; (TEL)

VAR MC0140 (See V138)

[Mention 3]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V138 only)
9999. NA (V138 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); 5, 7-9 in 137; 9998-9999 in 138; (TEL)

VAR MC0141 (See V138)

[Mention 4]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin

Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V138 only)
9999. NA (V138 only)

0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); 5, 7-9 in 137; 9998-9999 in 138; (TEL)

VAR MC0142 TP E2. Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME # 31, 33 or 35)?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); 7 in 137; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0143 TP E2a. What was that? (Anything else?)
Code 4 mentions
[Mention 1]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V143 only)
9999. NA (V143 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); 7 in 137; 5, 8-9 in 142; 9998-9999 in 143; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0144 (See V143)
[Mention 2]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V143 only)
9999. NA (V143 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); 7 in 137; 5, 8-9 in 142; 9998-9999 in 143; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0145 (See V143)
[Mention 3]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V143 only)
9999. NA (V143 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); 7 in 137; 5, 8-9 in 142; 9998-9999 in 143; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0146 (See V143)
[Mention 4]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V143 only)
9999. NA (V143 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); 7 in 137; 5, 8-9 in 142; 9998-9999 in 143; (TEL)

===================== VAR MC0147 TP E3. Was there anything in particular that you liked about (NAME if 32, 34 or 36) the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

1. YES
5. NO
3. (TEL) R VOLUNTEERS: This person did not run in my district
7. R VOLUNTEERS: "I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS PERSON."
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; (TEL) (No Republican U.S. House candidate)

================================= VAR MC0148 TP E3a. (See V147)

What was that? (Anything else?)
Code 4 mentions
[Mention 1]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V148 only)
9999. NA (V148 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; (No Republican U.S. House candidate ); 5, 7-9 in 147; 9998-9999 in 148; (TEL)

================================= VAR MC0149 (See V147)

[Mention 2]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V148 only)
9999. NA (V148 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; (No Republican U.S. House candidate ); 5, 7-9 in 147; 9998-9999 in 148; (TEL)

================================= VAR MC0150 (See V147)

[Mention 3]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:
9998. DK (V148 only)
9999. NA (V148 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 5, 7-9 in 147; 9998-9999 in 148; (TEL)

======================
VAR MC0151   (See V147)
[Mention 4]

Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V148 only)
9999. NA (V148 only)
0000. Inap, no other mention; 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 5, 7-9 in 147; 9998-9999 in 148; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0152 TP    E4. Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about (NAME # 32, 34 or 36)?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
O. Inap, 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10 (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 7 in 147; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0153 TP (See V152)

E4a. What was that? (Anything else?)
Code 4 mentions
[Mention 1]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V153 only)
9999. NA (V153 only)
0000. Inap, 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 7 in 147; 5, 8-9 in 152; 9998-9999 in 153; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0154 TP (See V153)
[Mention 2]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V153 only)
9999. NA (V153 only)
0000. Inap, 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 7 in 147; 5, 8-9 in 152; 9998-9999 in 153; (TEL)

===================================
VAR MC0155 TP (See V153)

[Mention 3]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V153 only)
9999. NA (V153 only)
0000. Inap, 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 7 in 147; 5, 8-9 in 152; 9998-9999 in 153; (TEL)

===================================
VAR MC0156 TP (See V153)

[Mention 4]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin
Use Party-Candidate Master Code EXCEPT:

9998. DK (V153 only)
9999. NA (V153 only)
0000. Inap, 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 7 in 147; 5, 8-9 in 152; 9998-9999 in 153; (TEL)

===================================
VAR MC0157 (T)P E5. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

1. DISTRICT IN WHICH TWO (MAJOR PARTY) HOUSE CANDIDATES RAN (CANDIDATE LIST INCLUDES ONE NAME #31, 33 OR 35 AND ONE NAME #32, 34 OR 36) (12, 19, 21, 29, 40, 49, 55, 59 IN 10)

2. DISTRICT IN WHICH ONLY ONE (MAJOR PARTY) HOUSE CANDIDATE RAN (CANDIDATE LIST INCLUDES EITHER NAME #31, 33 OR 35 OR NAME #32, 34, OR 36, BUT NOT BOTH) (13, 14, 23, 24, 31, 32, 51, 52, 56, 57 IN 10)

9. District of Columbia or no major party candidate running in District (34, 53, 99 in 10)

0. District never assigned (00 in V10); (TEL)

===================================
VAR MC0158 TP E6. Do you happen to know if (NAME #31, 32, 33, 34, 35 OR 36) was already in the U.S House of Representativest before the election?

1. YES, CANDIDATE WAS
3. NO, CANDIDATE WAS NOT
5. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1 or 9 in 157; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0159 (TP) E6aa. Candidate NAMED

1. Democratic candidate (31, 33, 35 in 160)
2. Republican candidate (32, 34, 36 in 160)
8. DK (98 in 160)
9. NA (99 in 160)
0. Inap, O. 1 or 9 in 157; 3, 5 or 9 in 158; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0160 (TP) E6a. (See V159)

Which candidate was that?

(This question was not asked of respondents in unopposed districts; it was post-edited according to the response in E6.)

Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name
Use Candidate Number Code (31-36) EXCEPT:

98. DK
99. NA

00. Inap, O. 1 or 9 in 157; 3, 5 or 9 in 158; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0161 TP
IF TWO HOUSE CANDIDATES RAN IN THE DISTRICT:
E7. Do you happen to know if either of these candidates, (NAME #31, 33 or 35) or (NAME #32, 34 or 36) was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

1. YES, ONE CANDIDATE WAS
2. YES, BOTH CANDIDATES WERE
3. NEITHER CANDIDATE WAS
5. DON'T KNOW

9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 2 or 9 in 157; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0162 T(P) (See V161)

E7aa. Candidate NAMED

1. Democratic candidate (31, 33, 35 in 163)
2. Republican candidate (32, 34, 36 in 163)

4. Both Candidates (2 in 161)
8. DK (98 in 163)
9. NA (99 in 163)
0. Inap, 0, 2 or 9 in 157; 3, 5 or 9 in 161; (TEL)

===============================================================================
VAR MC0163 (T)P (See V162)

E7a. Which candidate was that?

Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name
Use Candidate Number Code (31-36) EXCEPT' :

90. Both Candidates (2 in 161)
98. DK
99. NA

00. Inap, 0, 2 or 9 in 157; 3, 5 or 9 in 161; (TEL)

===============================================================================
VAR MC0164 (T)P E8. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

1. DISTRICT IN WHICH NO HOUSE INCUMBENT RAN (CANDIDATE LIST INCLUDES #31 OR #32, OR BOTH)
2. DISTRICT IN WHICH TWO HOUSE INCUMBENTS RAN (CANDIDATE LIST INCLUDES #33 AND #34)
3. DISTRICT IN WHICH ONLY ONE HOUSE INCUMBENT RAN (CANDIDATE LIST INCLUDES #33 OR #34, BUT NOT BOTH)
9. District of Columbia; no major party candidate running in district (34, 53, 99 in 10)
0. District never assigned (00 in V10)

===============================================================================
VAR MC0165 (T)P E9. There are many ways in which U.S. Representatives can have contact with the people from their districts. On this page are some of these ways. Think of (NAME #33 or 34) who has been a U.S. Representative in Washington. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?

1. YES
5. NO; NONE AT ALL
9. NA
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164 (TEL)

===============================================================================
VAR MC0166 TP (See V165)

E9a. Which ones?
aa. MET HIM/HER PERSONALLY

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 165 (for PERS. only); (TEL)
E9ab. ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE HE/SHE SPOKE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 165 (for PERS. only); (TEL)

E9ac. TALKED TO A MEMBER OF HIS/HER STAFF OR SOMEONE IN HIS/HER OFFICE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 165 (for PERS. only); (TEL)

E9ad. RECEIVED SOMETHING IN THE MAIL FROM HIM/HER

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 165 (for PERS. only); (TEL)

E9ae. READ ABOUT HIM/HER IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 165 (for PERS. only); (TEL)

E9af. HEARD HIM/HER ON THE RADIO

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 165 (for PERS. only); (TEL)
VAR MC0172 TP (See V166)

E9ag. SAW HIM/HER ON TV

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 165 (for PERS. only); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0173 TP (See V166)

E9ah. OTHER CONTACTS NOT INCLUDED IN a THROUGH g ABOVE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 165; OMITTED FROM TEL INT; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0174 TP E10. Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends, or people at work, who have had some contact with (NAME #33 or 34)?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164 (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0175 (T)P E11. How about (NAME #35 or 36) who also ran for the U.S. House of Representatives from your district in the last election. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?

1. YES
5. NO, NONE AT ALL
9. NA
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 13-14, 23-24, 34 in 10 (Incumbent ran unopposed by major party candidate); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0176 TP (See V175)

E11a. Which ones?

a. MET HIM/HER PERSONALLY

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 13-14, 23-24, 34 in 10 (Incumbent ran unopposed);
  0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 175 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0177 TP (See V176)

Ellab. ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE HE/SHE SPOKE

  1. Marked
  5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 13-14, 23-24, 34 in 10 (Incumbent ran unopposed);
  0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 175 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0178 TP (See V176)

Ellac. TALKED TO A MEMBER OF HIS/HER STAFF OR SOMEONE IN HIS/HER OFFICE

  1. Marked
  5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 13-14, 23-24, 34 in 10 (Incumbent ran unopposed);
  0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 175 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0179 TP (See V176)

Ellad. RECEIVED SOMETHING IN THE MAIL FROM HIM/HER

  1. Marked
  5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 13-14, 23-24, 34 in 10 (Incumbent ran unopposed);
  0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 175 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0180 TP (See V176)

Ellae. READ ABOUT HIM/HER IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE

  1. Marked
  5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 13-14, 23-24, 34 in 10 (Incumbent ran unopposed);
  0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 175 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0181 TP (See V176)

Ellaf. HEARD HIM/HER ON THE RADIO

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 13-14, 23-24, 34 in 10 (Incumbent ran unopposed); 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 175 (for PERS only); (TEL)

VAR MC0182 TP (See V176)

Ellag. SAW HIM/HER ON TV

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 13-14, 23-24, 34 in 10 (Incumbent ran unopposed); 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 175 (for PERS only); (TEL)

VAR MC0183 P (See V176)

Ellah. OTHER CONTACTS NOT INCLUDED IN a THROUGH g ABOVE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 175; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW; (TEL)

VAR MC0184 TP

El12. Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends, or people at work, who have had some contact with (NAME #35 or 36)?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 13-14, 23-24, 34 in 10 (Incumbent ran unopposed); 0-2 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

VAR MC0185 TP

El13. Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted Representative (NAME #33 or 34) or anyone in (his/her) office?

1. YES
5. NO
E13a. Was it to:
   a1. Express an opinion?
       1. Marked
       5. Not Marked
       9. NA to entire question
       0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

E13a2. To seek information?
       1. Marked
       5. Not Marked
       9. NA to entire question
       0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

E13a3. To seek help on a problem you had?
       1. Marked
       5. Not Marked
       9. NA to entire question
       0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

VAR MC0189 TP E13b. Did you get a response from your Representative or (his/her) office?
       1. YES
       5. NO
       8. DON'T KNOW
       9. NA
       0. Inap, O -2 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 185; (TEL)

VAR MC0190 TP E13c. How satisfied were you with the response: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied?
1. VERY SATISFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
4. NOT VERY SATISFIED
5. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 185; 5, 8-9 in 189; (TEL)

==================================================================
VAR MC0191 TP    E14. Do you know anyone else who has contacted
Representative (NAME #33 or 34) or anyone in (his/her)
office?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164;(TEL)

==================================================================
VAR MC0192 TP    (See V191)

E14a. Did this (person/group) get a response?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164;(TEL)

==================================================================
VAR MC0193 TP    (See V191)

E14b. Was this (person/group) satisfied with the response?

1. YES; SATISFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED

4. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
5. NO; NOT SATISFIED

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 191; 5, 8-9 in 192; (TEL)

==================================================================
VAR MC0194 TP    E15. If you had (another/a) problem that
Representative (NAME #33 or 34) could do something
about, do you think (he/she) would be very helpful,
somewhat helpful, or not very helpful to you?
1. VERY HELPFUL
2. SOMEWHAT HELPFUL
3. NOT VERY HELPFUL
7. DEPENDS
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

O. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0195 P     E16. Do you happen to remember anything special that
Representative (NAME #33 or 34) has done for (his/her) district or for the people in (his/her) district while
(he/she) has been in Congress?

1. YES
5. NO
9. NA

O. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 16; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-----------------------------
VAR MC0196 TP    E17. Now we would like your opinion about the way
(Name #33 or 34) has voted on bills that have come up
in the U.S. House of Representatives in Washington.
Would you say that you have generally agreed or
generally disagreed with the way (he/she) has voted on
bills, have you agreed sometimes but disagreed others,
or haven't you thought much about this?

1. AGREED
3. AGREED SOMETIMES; DISAGREED SOMETIMES
5. DISAGREED
8. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS; DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0197 TP    E18. Last year, shortly after taking office, Ronald
Reagan asked Congress to approve a new economic
program with major tax and budget revisions. Do you
happen to remember whether (NAME #33 or 34) supported
or opposed Reagan's economic proposals last year?

1. SUPPORTED
5. OPPOSED
8. DON'T KNOW; DON'T REMEMBER
9. NA
0. INAP, 0-2 or 9 in 164; (TEL)
VAR MC0198 T    E18A/B. Does (NAME #33 or 34) continue to (support/oppose) Reagan's economic program, or has (he/she) changed positions since last year?

See V199 for a recoded version combining V197 and V198.

1. CONTINUE TO (SUPPORT/OPPOSE)
2. CHANGED POSITIONS

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 8 or 9 in 197; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

====================================

VAR MC0199 (T)P E18a. Does (he/she) continue to support Reagan's economic program, or has (he/she) changed positions since last year?

E18b. Does (he/she) continue to oppose Reagan's economic program, or has (he/she) changed positions since last year?

1. CONTINUE TO SUPPORT
2. CHANGED POSITIONS (from being opposed to supporting)
4. CHANGED POSITIONS (from supporting to being opposed)
5. CONTINUE TO OPPOSE
7. Supports--DK if still supports (DK to QE18a)
8. Opposes--DK if still opposes (DK to QE18b)
9. NA to either E18a or E18b
0. INAP, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 8-9 in 197; (TEL)

====================================

VAR MC0200 P    E19. Was there any (other) bill in particular that you remember how (he/she) voted on in the last couple of years?

1. YES
5. NO; DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 8 or 9 in 197; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

====================================

VAR MC0201 P    E19a. Did you agree or disagree with the way (he/she) voted on that bill?

1. AGREE
5. DISAGREE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 200; 8 or 9 in 197; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW
In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative (NAME #33 or 34) has been handling (his/her) job?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0-2 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

There are many ways in which U.S. Representatives can have contact with the people from their districts. On this page are some of the ways. Think of (NAME #33) who has been a U.S. Representative in Washington. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?

1. YES
5. NO; NONE AT ALL
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0,1,3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

Which ones?

a. MET HIM/HER PERSONALLY

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1,3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 203 (for PERS only); (TEL)

ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE HE/SHE SPOKE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1,3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 203 (for PERS only); (TEL)

TALKED TO A MEMBER OF HIS/HER STAFF OR SOMEONE IN HIS/HER OFFICE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1,3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 203 (for PERS only); (TEL)
E21ad. RECEIVED SOMETHING IN THE MAIL FROM HIM/HER

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1,3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 203 (for PERS only); (TEL)

E21ae. READ ABOUT HIM/HER IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1,3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 203 (for PERS only); (TEL)

E21af. HEARD HIM/HER ON THE RADIO

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1,3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 203 (for PERS only); (TEL)

E21ag. SAW HIM/HER ON TV

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1,3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 203 (for PERS only); (TEL)

E21ah. OTHER CONTACTS NOT INCLUDED IN a THROUGH g ABOVE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. INAP., 1,3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 203; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

E22. Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends, or people at work who have had some contact with (NAME #33)?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, O. 1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

============================================
VAR MC0213 (T)P E23. Think of (NAME # 34) who has also been a U.S. Representative in Washington. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?
1. YES
5. NO; NONE AT ALL
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

============================================
VAR MC0214 TP (See V213)

E23a. Which ones?
 a. MET HIM/HER PERSONALLY

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 213 (for PERS only); (TEL)

============================================
VAR MC0215 TP (See V214)

E23ab. ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE HE/SHE SPOKE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 213 (for PERS only); (TEL)

============================================
VAR MC0216 TP (See V214)

E23ac. TALKED TO A MEMBER OF HIS/HER STAFF OR SOMEONE IN HIS/HER OFFICE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 213 (for PERS only); (TEL)

============================================
VAR MC0217 TP (See V214)

E23ad. RECEIVED SOMETHING IN THE MAIL FROM HIM/HER
1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 213 (for PERS only); (TEL)

VAR MC0218 TP (See V214)

E23ae. READ ABOUT HIM/HER IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 213 (for PERS only); (TEL)

VAR MC0219 TP (See V214)

E23af. HEARD HIM/HER ON THE RADIO

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 213 (for PERS only); (TEL)

VAR MC0220 TP (See V214)

E23ag. SAh HIM/HER ON TV

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 213 (for PERS only); (TEL)

VAR MC0221 P (See V214)

E23ah. OTHER CONTACTS NOT INCLUDED IN a THROUGH g ABOVE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. INAP., 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 213; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0222 TP   E24. Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends, or people at work, who have had some contact with (NAME #34)?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

O. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)
VAR MC0223 TP E25. Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted Representative (NAME #33) or anyone in (his/her) office?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

VAR MC0224 TP (See V223)

E25a. Was it to:
   a1. express an opinion?

   1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 223; (TEL)

VAR MC0225 TP (See V224)

E24a2. To seek information?

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 223; (TEL)

VAR MC0226 TP (See V224)

E25a3. To seek help on a problem you had?

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 223; (TEL)

VAR MC0227 TP E25b. Did you get a response from your Representative or (his/her) office?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 223; (TEL)
VAR MC0228 TP E25c. How satisfied were you with the response: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied?

1. VERY SATISFIED  
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED  
4. NOT VERY SATISFIED  
5. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED  
8. DON'T KNOW  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 223; 5, 8-9 in 227; (TEL)

VAR MC0229 TP E26. Do you know anyone else who has contacted Representative (NAME #33) or anyone in (his/her) office?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DON'T KNOW  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

VAR MC0230 TP (See V229)

E26a. Did this (person/group) get a response?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DON'T KNOW  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 229; (TEL)

VAR MC0231 TP E26b. (See V229)

Was this (person/group) satisfied with the response?

1. YES; SATISFIED  
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED  
4. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED  
5. NO; NOT SATISFIED  
8. DON'T KNOW  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 229; 5, 8-9 in 230; (TEL)

VAR MC0232 TP E27. If you had (another/a) problem that Representative (NAME #33) could do something about, do you think (he/she) would be very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not very helpful to you?
1. VERY HELPFUL
2. SOMEWHAT HELPFUL
3. NOT VERY HELPFUL

7. DEPENDS

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

================================================================================
VAR MC0233 P     E28. Do you happen to remember anything special that
Representative (NAME #33) has done for (his/her) district or for the people in (his/her) district while
(he/she) has been in Congress?

1. YES
5. NO

9. NA
0. INAP, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

================================================================================
VAR MC0234 TP    E29. Now we would like your opinion about the way
(NAME #33) has voted on bills that have come up in the
U.S. House of Representatives in Washington. Would you
say that you have generally agreed or generally
disagreed with the way (he/she) has voted on bills,
have you agreed sometimes but disagreed others, or
haven't you thought much about this?

1. AGREED
3. AGREED SOMETIMES; DISAGREED SOMETIMES
5. DISAGREED

8. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS; DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, O. 1, 3 or 9 in 164;(TEL)

================================================================================
VAR MC0235 TP    E30. Last year, shortly after taking office, Ronald
Reagan asked Congress to approve a new economic
program with major tax and budget revisions. Do you
happen to remember whether (NAME #33) supported or
opposed Reagan's economic proposals last year?

1. SUPPORTED
5. OPPOSED

8. DON'T KNOW; DON'T REMEMBER
9. NA
0. INAP, 0 1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

================================================================================
VAR MC0236 T  (See V235)

E30A/B. Does (NAME #3) continue to (support/oppose) Reagan's economic program, or has (he/she) changed positions since last year?

See V237 for a recoded version combining V235 and V236.

1. CONTINUE TO (SUPPORT/OPPOSE)
2. CHANGED POSITIONS
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 8 or 9 in 235: OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0237 (T)P (See V2350

E30a. Does (he/she) continue to support Reagan's economic program, or has (he/she) changed positions since last year?

E30b. Does (he/she) continue to oppose Reagan's economic program, or has (he/she) changed positions since last year?

1. CONTINUE TO SUPPORT
2. CHANGED POSITIONS (from being opposed to supporting)
4. CHANGED POSITIONS (from supporting to being opposed)
5. CONTINUE TO OPPOSE
7. Supported--DK if still supports (DK to Q30a)
8. Opposed--DK if still opposes (DK to Q30b)
9. NA to either Q30a or Q30b
0. INAP, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 8-9 in 235; (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0238 P  E31. Was there any (other) bill in particular that you remember how (he/she) voted on in the last couple of years?

1. YES
5. NO; DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 8 or 9 in 235; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==================================
VAR MC0239 P  (See V238)

E31a. Did you agree or disagree with the way (he/she) voted on that bill?

1. AGREE
5. DISAGREE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 238; 8 or 9 in 235; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW
VAR MC0240 TP   E32. In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative (NAME #33) has been handling (his/her) job?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

VAR MC0241 TP   E33. Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted Representative (NAME #34) or anyone in (his/her) office?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0,1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

VAR MC0242 TP   (See V241)

E33a. Was it to:
1. express an opinion?

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 241; (TEL)

VAR MC0243 TP   (See V242)

E33a2. Seek information?

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 241; (TEL)

VAR MC0244 TP   (See V242)

E33a3. Seek help on a problem you had?

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 241; (TEL)
VAR MC0245 TP E33b. Did you get a response from your Representative or (his/her) office?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 241; (TEL)

VAR MC0246 TP E33c. How satisfied were you with the response: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied

1. VERY SATISFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
4. NOT VERY SATISFIED
5. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 241; 5, 8-9 in 245; (TEL)

VAR MC0247 TP E34. Do you know anyone else who has contacted Representative (NAME #34) or anyone in (his/her) office?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

VAR MC0248 TP (See V247)

E34a. Did this (person/group) get a response?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 247; (TEL)
VAR MC0249 TP  (See V247)

E34b. Was this (person/group) satisfied with the response?

1. YES; SATISFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
4. NO; NOT SATISFIED
5. DON'T KNOW
6. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 5, 8-9 in 247; 5, 8-9 in 248; (TEL)

======================================
VAR MC0250 TP  E35. If you had (another/a) problem that Representative (NAME #34) could do something about, do you think (he/she) would be very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not very helpful to you?

1. VERY HELPFUL
2. SOMEWHAT HELPFUL
3. NOT VERY HELPFUL
4. DEPENDS
5. DON'T KNOW
6. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

======================================
VAR MC0251 P  E36. Do you happen to remember anything special that Representative (NAME #34) has done for (his/her) district or for the people in (his/her) district while (he/she) has been in Congress?

1. YES
2. NO
5. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

======================================
VAR MC0252 TP  E37. Now we would like your opinion about the way (NAME #34) has voted on bills that have come up in the U.S. House of Representatives in Washington. Would you say that you have generally agreed or generally disagreed with the way (he/she) has voted on bills, have you agreed sometimes but disagreed others, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. AGREED
3. AGREED SOMETIMES; DISAGREED SOMETIMES
5. DISAGREED
8. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS; DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)
Last year, shortly after taking office, Ronald Reagan asked Congress to approve a new economic program with major tax and budget revisions. Do you happen to remember whether (NAME #34) supported or opposed Reagan's economic proposals last year?

1. SUPPORTED
2. CONTINUE TO SUPPORT
3. CONTINUE TO OPPOSE
4. CHANGED POSITIONS (from supporting to being opposed)
5. CHANGED POSITIONS (from being opposed to supporting)
6. DON'T KNOW
7. Supported--DK if still supports (DK to QE38a)
8. Opposed--DK if still opposes (DK to QE38b)
9. NA
0. INAP, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 8-9 in 253; (TEL)

Does (NAME #34) continue to support Reagan's economic program, or has (he/she) changed positions since last year?

See V255 for a recoded version combining V253 and V254.

1. CONTINUE TO SUPPORT
2. CONTINUE TO (SUPPORT/OPPose)
3. CONTINUE TO OPPOSE
4. CHANGED POSITIONS
5. CHANGED POSITIONS
6. DON'T KNOW
7. Opposed--DK if still opposes
8. Supported--DK if still supports
9. NA
0. INAP, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 8 or 9 in 253 (for TEL only); OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

Was there any (other) bill in particular that you remember how (he/she) voted on in the last couple of years?

1. YES
2. NO; DK
3. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 8 or 9 in 253; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW
E39a. Did you agree or disagree with the way (he/she) voted on that bill?

1. AGREE
5. DISAGREE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; 8 or 9 in 253; 5 or 9 in 256; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

E40. In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative (NAME #34) has been handling (his/her) job?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 1, 3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

E41. There are many ways in which congressional candidates can have contact with the people from their district. Think about (NAME #31) who ran for the U.S. House of Representatives from this district in the last election. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?

1. YES
5. NO; NONE AT ALL
9. NA
0. Inap, 32, 34, 52-53, 56 in 10 (No Democratic US House Candidate), 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; TEL

E41a. Which ones?

a. MET HIM/HER PERSONALLY

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 32, 34, 52-53, 56 in 10 (No Democratic U.S. House candidate), 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 259 (for PERS only); (TEL)
VAR MC0261 TP   (See V260)

E41ab. ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE HE/SHE SPOKE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 32, 34, 52-53, 56 in 10 (No Democratic U.S. House candidate), 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 259 (for PERS only); (TEL)

============================================================

VAR MC0262 TP   (See V260)

E41ac. TALKED TO A MEMBER OF HIS/HER STAFF OR SOMEONE IN HIS/HER OFFICE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 32, 34, 52-53, 56 in 10 (No Democratic U.S. House candidate), 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 259 (for PERS only); (TEL)

============================================================

VAR MC0263 TP   (See V260)

E41ad. RECEIVED SOMETHING IN THE MAIL FROM HIM/HER

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 32, 34, 52-53, 56 in 10 (No Democratic U.S. House candidate), 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 259 (for PERS only); (TEL)

============================================================

VAR MC0264 TP   (See V260)

E41ae. READ ABOUT HIM/HER IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 32, 34, 52-53, 56 in 10 (No Democratic U.S. House candidate), 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 259 (for PERS only); (TEL)

============================================================
VAR MC0265 TP  (See V260)

E41af. HEARD HIM/HER ON THE RADIO

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 32, 34, 52-53, 56 in 10 (No Democratic U.S. House candidate), 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 259 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0266 TP  (See V260)

E41ag. SAW HIM/HER ON TV

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 32, 34, 52-53, 56 in 10 (No Democratic U.S. House candidate), 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 259 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0267 P  (See V260)

E41ah. OTHER CONTACTS NOT INCLUDED IN a THROUGH g ABOVE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP., 32, 34, 52-53, 56 in 10; 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 259; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================================
VAR MC0268 TP  E42. Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends, or people at work, who have had some contact with (NAME #31)?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 32, 34,52-53, 56 in 10 (No Democratic U.S. House candidate); 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0269 (T)P  E43. And how about (NAME # 32) who (also) ran for the U.S. House of Representatives from this district in the last election. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?
1. YES
5. NO; NONE AT ALL
9. NA
0. Inap, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57 in 10 (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0270 TP (See V269)

E43a. Which ones?
a. MET HIM/HER PERSONALLY

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57 in 10 (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 269 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0271 TP (See V270)

E43ab. ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE HE/SHE SPOKE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57 in 10 (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 269 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0272 TP (See V270)

E43ac. TALKED TO A MEMBER OF HIS/HER STAFF OR SOMEONE IN HIS/HER OFFICE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57 in 10 (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 269 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0273 TP (See V270)

E43ad RECEIVED SOMETHING IN THE MAIL FROM HIM/HER

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. Inap, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57 in 10 (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 269 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0274 TP (See V270)

E43ae. READ ABOUT HIM/HER IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question

0. Inap, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57 in 10 (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 269 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0275 TP (See V270)

E43af. HEARD HIM/HER ON THE RADIO

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question

0. Inap, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57 in 10 (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 269 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0276 TP (See V170)

E43ag. SAW HIM/HER ON TV

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question

0. Inap, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57 in 10 (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 269 (for PERS only); (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0277 TP (See V270)

E43ag. OTHER CONTACTS NOT INCLUDED IN a THROUGH g ABOVE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question

0. Inap, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57 in 10; 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; 5 or 9 in 269; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================================
VAR MC0278 TP E44. Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends, or people at work, who have had some contact with (NAME #32)?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57 in 10 (No Republican U.S. House candidate); 0, 2-3 or 9 in 164; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0279 TP Fl. Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an independent, or what?

1. REPUBLICAN
2. INDEPENDENT
3. NO PREFERENCE
4. OTHER PARTY
5. DEMOCRAT
8. DK (See Supervisor before using)
9. NA (See Supervisor before using)
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0280 TP Fla. Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?
Flb. Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

1. STRONG
5. NOT VERY STRONG
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 2-4, 8-9 in 279; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0281 TP Flc. Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

1. CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN
3. NEITHER
5. CLOSER TO DEMOCRATIC
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 5, 8-9 in 279; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0282 TP
 Fld. Do you think of yourself as much closer to the Republican Party than to the Democratic Party, or only a little closer?
 Fle. Do you think of yourself as much closer to the Democratic Party than to the Republican Party, or only a little closer?

1. MUCH CLOSER
5. A LITTLE CLOSER
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 5, 8-9 in 279; 3, 8-9 in 281; (TEL)

================================================================================
VAR MC0283 (TP) F1x. SUMMARY: R's PARTY ID

0. Strong Democrat (510)
1. Weak Democrat (550, 580, 590)
2. Independent--Democrat (205, 305, 405)
3. Independent--Independent (203, 208, 209; 303, 308, 309)
4. Independent--Republican (201, 301, 401)
5. Weak Republican (150, 180, 190)
6. Strong Republican (110)
7. Other--Minor party, refused to say (403, 408, 409)
8. Apolitical (303, 308, 309 and little or no interest in politics)

9. NA (800, 900); (TEL)

================================================================================
VAR MC0284 TP
 F2. Now, in 1980 you remember that Ronald Reagan ran on the Republican ticket against Jimmy Carter for the Democrats and John Anderson as an independent candidate. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

1. YES, DID VOTE
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE
7. DON'T REMEMBER IF VOTED

9. NA
0. (TEL)

================================================================================
VAR MC0285 TP (See V284)
 F2a. Which one did you vote for?

1. REAGAN
2. CARTER
3. ANDERSON
5. OTHER PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE
7. VOTED BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 7 or 9 in 284; (TEL)
VAR MC0286 TP  F3. Some people seem to follow what's going on in
government and public affairs most of the time,
whether there's an election going on or not. Others
aren't that interested. Would you say you follow
what's going on in government and public affairs most
of the time, some of the time, only now and then, or
hardly at all?

1. MOST OF THE TIME
2. SOME OF THE TIME
3. ONLY NOW AND THEN
4. HARDLY AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0287 TP       289 TP  
F4. As you well know, the government faces many serious
problems in this country and in other parts of the world.
What do you personally feel are the most important problems
the government in Washington should try to take care of?
(Anything else?)

Code 3 mentions.

[Mention 1]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin. Use Important Problems Master Code EXCEPT: 

998. DK (V287 only)
999. NA (V287 only)

000. Inap, no other mention; 998-999 in 287; (TEL)

VAR MC0288 TP   (See V287)

[Mention 2]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin. Use Important Problems Master Code EXCEPT:

998. DK (V287 only)
999. NA (V287 only)

000. Inap, no other mention; 998-999 in 287; (TEL)

VAR MC0289 TP   (See V287)

[Mention 3]
Code numbers pre-coded in margin. Use Important Problems Master Code EXCEPT:

998. DK (V287 only)
999. NA (V287 only)

000. Inap, no other mention; 998-999 in 287; (TEL)
VAR MC0290 T F5. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT (TELEPHONE INTERVIEW ONLY)
0. NO PROBLEMS MENTIONED
1. ONE PROBLEM MENTIONED
2. MORE THAN ONE PROBLEM MENTIONED
9. (TEL); OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0291 (T)P F5. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT (PERSONAL AND TELEPHONE INTERVIEWS)
1. R HAS NOT MENTIONED ANY PROBLEMS
2. R HAS MENTIONED ONE PROBLEM
3. R HAS MENTIONED MORE THAN ONE PROBLEM
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0292 TP F5a. Of those you've mentioned, which would you say is the single most important problem the government in Washington should try to take care of?

Code number pre-coded in margin. Use Important Problems Master Code EXCEPT:

000. Inap, 1 or 9 in 291; (TEL)

VAR MC0293 TP F6. Which political party do you think would be most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem-- the Republicans, the Democrats, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

1. REPUBLICANS
3. NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE
5. DEMOCRATS

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 291; (TEL)

VAR MC0294 (T)P F7. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT
1. DISTRICT IN WHICH TWO (MAJOR PARTY) HOUSE CANDIDATES RAN (CANDIDATE LIST INCLUDES ONE NAME #31, 33, OR 35 AND ONE NAME #32, 34, OR 36)
2. DISTRICT IN WHICH ONLY ONE (MAJOR PARTY) HOUSE CANDIDATE RAN (CANDIDATE LIST INCLUDES EITHER NAME #31, 33, OR 35 OR NAME #32, 34, OR 36, BUT NOT BOTH)

9. District of Columbia or no major party candidate ran in the district (34, 53, 99 in 10)

0. District never assigned (00 in V10)

==========================================
VAR MC0295 TP    F8. Is there any difference between the two House candidates, (NAME #31, 33 or 35) and (NAME #32, 34, or 36), in what they would do about this problem?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 291; 0, 2 or 9 in 294; (TEL)

==========================================
VAR MC0296 T(P) (See v295)

F8aa. CANDIDATE NAMED

1. Democratic candidate (31, 33, 35 in V297)
2. Republican candidate (32, 34, 36 in V297)

8. DK (98 in V297)
9. NA (99 in V297)
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 291; G. 2 or 9 in 294; 5, 8-9 in 295; (TEL)

==========================================
VAR MC0297 (T)P (See V296)

F8a. Who would do a better job?

Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name. Use Candidate Number Code (31-36) EXCEPT:

98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, 1 or 9 in 291, 0,2 or 9 in 294 5, 8-9 in 295; (TEL)

==========================================
VAR MC0298 TP    F9. Do you think the United States should freeze the production of nuclear weapons on its own, do so only if the Soviet Union agrees to a nuclear freeze as well, or do you think the United States should not freeze the production of nuclear weapons at all?

1. U.S. FREEZE ON ITS OWN
2. U.S. FREEZE ONLY IF SOVIET UNION AGREES AS WELL
3. U.S. NOT FREEZE AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
VAR MC0299  P  F10. And how likely is it that the United States and the Soviet Union will reach an agreement in the near future to freeze the production of nuclear weapons? In your opinion, is the agreement very likely, somewhat likely, or not likely at all?

1. VERY LIKELY
3. SOMEWHAT LIKELY
5. NOT VERY LIKELY
8. DON'T KNOW; NOT SURE
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0300  TP  F11. How about the chances of our country getting into a conventional war, one in which neither side uses nuclear weapons? Are you pretty worried about this country getting into such a war at the present time, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

1. NOT WORRIED
3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
5. PRETTY WORRIED
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0301  TP  F12. Looking ahead, do you think the problem of keeping out of war would be handled better in the next four years by the Republicans, or by the Democrats, or about the same by both?

1. BETTER BY DEMOCRATS
3. SAME BY BOTH
5. BETTER BY REPUBLICANS
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0302  P  F13. This country is faced with many problems, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think the federal government is spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. First, "the space exploration program": Is the government spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on "the space exploration program?"
1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-----------------------------
VAR MC0303 P (See V302)

F13b. Improving and protecting the environment (Are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on improving and protecting the environment?)

1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-----------------------------
VAR MC0304 P (See V302)

F13c. Improving and protecting the nation's health

1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-----------------------------
VAR MC0305 P (See V302)

F13d. Solving the problems of the big cities

1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-----------------------------
VAR MC0306 P (See V302)

F13e. Halting the rising crime rate

1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0307 P (See V302)

F13f. Dealing with drug addiction
1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0308 P (See V302)

F13g. Improving the nation's education system
1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0309 P (See V302)

F13h. Improving the conditions of blacks
1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0310 P (See V302)

F13j. The military, armaments and defense
1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW
VAR MC0311 P (See V302)

F13k. Foreign aid

  1. TOO LITTLE
  2. ABOUT RIGHT
  3. TOO MUCH

  8. DON'T KNOW
  9. NA
  0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0312 P (See V302)

F13m. Welfare

  1. TOO LITTLE
  2. ABOUT RIGHT
  3. TOO MUCH

  8. DON'T KNOW
  9. NA
  0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0313 P (See V302)

F13n. Social Security

  1. TOO LITTLE
  2. ABOUT RIGHT
  3. TOO MUCH

  8. DON'T KNOW
  9. NA
  0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0314 P (See V302)

F13p. Food Stamps

  1. TOO LITTLE
  2. ABOUT RIGHT
  3. TOO MUCH

  8. DON'T KNOW
  9. NA
  0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW
VAR MC0315 P (See V302)

F13q. Government-guaranteed student loans

1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

============================================================================

VAR MC0316 P (See V302)

F13r. Unemployment Compensation

1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

============================================================================

VAR MC0317 P (See V302)

F13s. Aid to the handicapped

1. TOO LITTLE
2. ABOUT RIGHT
3. TOO MUCH

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

============================================================================

VAR MC0318 TP

G1. Now I'd like to ask you a few questions about the economy. We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here) are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago?

1. BETTER NOW
3. SAME
5. WORSE NOW

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

============================================================================
VAR MC0319 TP    G2. Now looking ahead--do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here) will be better off financially, or worse off, or just about the same as now?

1. BETTER YEAR FROM NOW
3. SAME
5. WORSE YEAR FROM NOW

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=====================================================================

VAR MC0320 TP    G3. What about the economy? Would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

1. GOTTEN BETTER
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
5. GOTTEN WORSE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=====================================================================

VAR MC0321 P     G3a. Would you say the economy has gotten much better or somewhat better?
G3b. Would you say the economy has gotten much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH BETTER
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
4. SOMEWHAT WORSE
5. MUCH WORSE

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 8-9 in 320; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

=====================================================================

VAR MC0322 TP    G4. What about the next 12 months or so? Do you expect the economy to get better, get worse, or stay about the same?

1. GET BETTER
3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME
5. GET WORSE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)
VAR MC0323 P G5. There has been a lot of talk lately about the manner in which the government should cope with the current economic situation. Here is a list of some suggested policies for the government in Washington.

G5-1. Which one of these policies would you like to see the government choose as first priority?

1. Checked A (REDUCE THE BUDGET DEFICIT)
2. Checked B (LOWER FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)
3. Checked C (REDUCE SPENDING ON GOVERNMENT SERVICES)
4. Checked D (REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING)
5. Checked E (INCREASE FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TEL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0324 P G5-2. (Which would you like to see the government choose as second priority?)

1. Checked A (REDUCE THE BUDGET DEFICIT)
2. Checked B (LOWER FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)
3. Checked C (REDUCE SPENDING ON GOVERNMENT SERVICES)
4. Checked D (REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING)
5. Checked E (INCREASE FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TEL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0325 P (See V323)

G5-3. Third priority?

1. Checked A (REDUCE THE BUDGET DEFICIT)
2. Checked B (LOWER FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)
3. Checked C (REDUCE SPENDING ON GOVERNMENT SERVICES)
4. Checked D (REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING)
5. Checked E (INCREASE FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TEL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0326 P G5a. Using the same list, which one of these policies do you think Ronald Reagan would choose as the government's first priority?

G5a-1. FIRST PRIORITY

1. Checked A (REDUCE THE BUDGET DEFICIT)
2. Checked B (LOWER FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)
3. Checked C (REDUCE SPENDING ON GOVERNMENT SERVICES)
4. Checked D (REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING)
5. Checked E (INCREASE FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-----------------------------------
VAR MC0327 P G5a2. (Which do you think Ronald Reagan would choose as the government's) second priority?

1. Checked A (REDUCE THE BUDGET DEFICIT)
2. Checked B (LOWER FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)
3. Checked C (REDUCE SPENDING ON GOVERNMENT SERVICES)
4. Checked D (REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING)
5. Checked E (INCREASE FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-----------------------------------
VAR MC0328 P (See V326)

G5a3. Third priority?

1. Checked A (REDUCE THE BUDGET DEFICIT)
2. Checked B (LOWER FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)
3. Checked C (REDUCE SPENDING ON GOVERNMENT SERVICES)
4. Checked D (REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING)
5. Checked E (INCREASE FEDERAL INCOME TAXES)

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP., OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-----------------------------------
VAR MC0329 TP G6. In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan is handling inflation?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
7. REAGAN HAS NO CONTROL; NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS PROBLEM

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

-----------------------------------
VAR MC0330 TP G7. Do you think inflation would be handled better by the Democrats, by the Republicans, or about the same by both?

1. BETTER BY DEMOCRATS
3. SAME BY BOTH
5. BETTER BY REPUBLICANS
VAR MC0331 TP   G8. In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan is handling unemployment?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
7. REAGAN HAS NO CONTROL; NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS PROBLEM

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0332 TP   G9. Do you think the problems of unemployment would be handled better the Democrats, by the Republicans, or about the same by both?

1. BETTER BY DEMOCRATS
3. SAME BY BOTH
5. BETTER BY REPUBLICANS

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0333 TP   G10. In the last year or so, who has had the most say about the government's handling of the economy--Congress, the President, or about the same for both?

1. CONGRESS
3. SAME FOR BOTH
5. THE PRESIDENT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0334 TP   G11. Ronald Reagan's economic program has included budget cuts and changes in taxes. Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt by Ronald Reagan's economic program?

1. HELPED
3. MADE NO DIFFERENCE
5. HURT
7. (TEL) R VOLUNTEERS: BOTH HELPED AND HURT
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)
VAR MC0335 TP    G12. Whatever its effect on you, do you think the economic program has helped or hurt the country's economy so far?

1. HELPED
3. TOO EARLY TO TELL
5. HURT
7. (TEL) R VOLUNTEERS: BOTH HELPED & HURT
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

====================================

VAR MC0336 TP    G13. How about over the long run? In the long run do you feel the nation's economic situation will be better or worse because of Ronald Reagan's economic policies?

1. BETTER
5. WORSE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

====================================

VAR MC0337 TP    G14a. Do you (or any family member living with you) currently receive payments of any kind from Social Security?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

====================================

VAR MC0338 TP    G14b. How about food stamps? Are you (or any family member living with you) currently receiving food stamps?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

====================================

VAR MC0339 TP    G14c. And Medicaid or Medicare?

1. YES
5. NO
VAR MC0340 TP G14d. Unemployment Compensation?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0341 TP G14e. Aid to Families with Dependent Children?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0342 TP G14f. Government student loans for the current academic year?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0343 TP G14g. Aid to the handicapped?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0344 TP G14h. Veterans' benefits?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)
VAR MC0345 TP G14j. Retirement pensions for federal government employees?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

====================================
VAR MC0346 TP G15. During the last year or so, did you (or any family member living with you) lose or experience reductions in any of the benefits I just mentioned?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

====================================
VAR MC0347 T (See V346)

G15A. Which benefits were those? (Any others?)

Code up to five mentions.
[Mention 1]

Answers also coded in V352-V360 for comparability with personal interview format.

01. SOCIAL SECURITY
02. FOOD STAMPS
03. MEDICAID/MEDICARE
04. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
05. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
06. STUDENT LOANS
07. AID TO HANDICAPPED
08. VA BENEFITS
09. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION
98. DK
99. NA
00. INAP, 5, 8 or 9 in 346; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

====================================
VAR MC0348 T (See V347)

[Mention 2]

01. SOCIAL SECURITY
02. FOOD STAMPS
03. MEDICAID/MEDICARE
04. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
05. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
06. STUDENT LOANS
07. AID TO HANDICAPPED
08. VA BENEFITS
09. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION

98. DK
99. NA

00. INAP, 5, 8 or 9 in 346; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0349 T    (See V347)

[Mention 1]
01. SOCIAL SECURITY
02. FOOD STAMPS
03. MEDICAID/MEDICARE
04. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
05. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
06. STUDENT LOANS
07. AID TO HANDICAPPED
08. VA BENEFITS
09. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION

98. DK
99. NA

00. INAP, 5, 8 or 9 in 346; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0350 T    (See V347)

[Mention 1]
01. SOCIAL SECURITY
02. FOOD STAMPS
03. MEDICAID/MEDICARE
04. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
05. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
06. STUDENT LOANS
07. AID TO HANDICAPPED
08. VA BENEFITS
09. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION

98. DK
99. NA

00. INAP, 5, 8 or 9 in 346; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0351 T    (See V347)

[Mention 1]
01. SOCIAL SECURITY
02. FOOD STAMPS
03. MEDICAID/MEDICARE
04. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
05. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
06. STUDENT LOANS
07. AID TO HANDICAPPED
08. VA BENEFITS
09. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION

98. DK
99. NA

00. INAP, 5, 8 or 9 in 346; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

====================================
VAR MC0352 (T)P (See V346)

G15a. Which benefits were those? (Any others?)
A. SOCIAL SECURITY

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 346; (TEL)

====================================
VAR MC0353 (T)P (See V352)

G15aB. FOOD STAMPS

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 346; (TEL)

====================================
VAR MC0354 (T)P (See V352)

G15aC. MEDICAID/MEDICARE

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 346; (TEL)

====================================
VAR MC0355 (T)P (See V352)

G15aD. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION

1. Marked
5. Not Marked
9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 346; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0356 (T)P (See V352)

G15aE. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 346; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0357 (T)P (See V352)

G15aF. STUDENT LOANS

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 346; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0358 (T)P (See V352)

G15aG. AID TO HANDICAPPED

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 346; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0359 (T)P (See V352)

G15aH. VA BENEFITS

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 346; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0360 (T)P (See V352)

G15aJ. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION

1. Marked
5. Not Marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 346; (TEL)
VAR MC0361 (T)P G16. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

1. NO "YES" RESPONSES IN G14 ON FACING PAGE
2. ONE "YES" RESPONSE TO G14
3. MORE THAN ONE "YES" RESPONSE IN G14
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0362 TP G17ab. You mentioned that you are currently receiving (READ ITEM(S) MARKED "YES" IN G14a). In the next year or so, do you think you (or any family member living here with you) might lose or experience reductions for any of these benefits you are currently receiving?

1. YES
3. NOT SURE; DON'T KNOW
5. NO
9. NA
0. INAP, 1 in 361; (TEL)

VAR MC0363 T (See V362)

G17A. Which benefits do you have in mind? (Any others)?
Code up to five mentions. [Mention 1]

Answers also coded in V368-V376 for comparability with personal interview format.

01. SOCIAL SECURITY
02. FOOD STAMPS
03. MEDICAID/MEDICARE
04. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
05. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
06. STUDENT LOANS
07. AID TO HANDICAPPED
08. VA BENEFITS
09. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION

98. DK
99. NA

00. INAP (for ISR CATI only) 0-1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362; 2 in 361 and 1-3 in 362; (for BERK CATI only) 0-2 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362; PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)
VAR MC0364    (See V363)

[Mention 2]

01. SOCIAL SECURITY
02. FOOD STAMPS
03. MEDICAID/MEDICARE
04. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
05. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
06. STUDENT LOANS
07. AID TO HANDICAPPED
08. VA BENEFITS
09. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION

98. DK
99. NA

00. INAP (for ISR CATI only) 0-1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362; 2 in 361 and 1-3 in 362; (for BERK CATI only) 0-2 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362; PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

==============================

VAR MC0365    (See V363)

[Mention 3]

01. SOCIAL SECURITY
02. FOOD STAMPS
03. MEDICAID/MEDICARE
04. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
05. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
06. STUDENT LOANS
07. AID TO HANDICAPPED
08. VA BENEFITS
09. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION

98. DK
99. NA

00. INAP (for ISR CATI only) 0-1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362; 2 in 361 and 1-3 in 362; (for BERK CATI only) 0-2 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362; PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

==============================

VAR MC0366    (See V363)

[Mention 4]

01. SOCIAL SECURITY
02. FOOD STAMPS
03. MEDICAID/MEDICARE
04. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
05. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
06. STUDENT LOANS
07. AID TO HANDICAPPED
08. VA BENEFITS
09. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION
98. DK
99. NA

00. INAP (for ISR CATI only) 0-1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362; 2 in 361 and 1-3 in 362; (for BERK CATI only) 0-2 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362; PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

----------------------------------------
VAR MC0367 (See V363)

[Mention 5]

01. SOCIAL SECURITY
02. FOOD STAMPS
03. MEDICAID/MEDICARE
04. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
05. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN
06. STUDENT LOANS
07. AID TO HANDICAPPED
08. VA BENEFITS
09. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION

98. DK
99. NA

00. INAP (for ISR CATI only) 0-1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362; 2 in 361 and 1-3 in 362; (for BERK CATI only) 0-2 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362; PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

----------------------------------------
VAR MC0368 (T)P (See V362)

G17c. Which benefits do you have in mind? (Any others?)
A. SOCIAL SECURITY

   1. Marked
   5. Not marked

   9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 0, 1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362

----------------------------------------
VAR MC0369 (T)P (See V368)

G17cB. FOOD STAMPS

   1. Marked
   5. Not marked

   9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 0, 1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362

----------------------------------------
VAR MC0370 (T)P (See V368)

G17cC. MEDICAID/MEDICARE

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 0, 1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362

==============================

VAR MC0371 (T)P (See V368)

G17cD. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 0, 1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362

==============================

VAR MC0372 (T)P (See V368)

G17cE. AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 0, 1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362

==============================

VAR MC0373 (T)P (See V368)

G17cF. STUDENT LOANS

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 0, 1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362

==============================

VAR MC0374 (T)P (See V368)

G17cG. AID TO HANDICAPPED

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 0, 1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362

==============================
VAR MC0375 (T)P (See V368)

G17cH. VA BENEFITS

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 0, 1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362

=================================
VAR MC0376 (T)P (See V368)

G17cJ. GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA to entire question
0. INAP, 0, 1 in 361; 5 or 9 in 362

=================================
VAR MC0377 TP    G18. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan is handling changes in federal taxes?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0378 TP    G19. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan is handling the problem of high interest rates?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
7. REAGAN HAS NO CONTROL; NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS PROBLEM
8. DON'T KNOW,
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0379 TP    G20. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan is handling national defense?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people use to describe political figures. For each, please tell me whether the word or phrase describes Ronald Reagan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all.

Think about Ronald Reagan. The first word on our list is "moral." In your opinion does the word "moral" describe Reagan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? You can just tell me the number of your choice.

a. MORAL

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

Hlb. dishonest

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

Hlc. weak

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

Hid. knowledgeable

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0384 TP (See V380)

Hle. power-hungry

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0385 TP (See V380)

Hlf. inspiring

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0386 TP (See V380)

Hlg. reckless

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0387 TP (See V380)

And, how well do you think the following phrases describe Ronald Reagan as president?

Hlh. he provides strong leadership

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0388 TP (See V380)

H1i. he develops good relations with other countries

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0389 TP

H2. Now we would like to know something about the
   feelings you have toward Ronald Reagan. I want you to
tell me whether something about Reagan, or something
he has done has made you have certain feelings like
"anger" or "pride," or others I will mention.

Think about Ronald Reagan. Now, has Reagan--because of the
kind of person he is, or because of something he has done--
ever made you feel:
a. angry

1. YES; HAVE FELT
5. NO; NEVER FELT; DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0390 TP (See V389)

H2b. hopeful

1. YES; HAVE FELT
5. NO; NEVER FELT; DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0391 TP (See V389)

H2c. afraid of him

1. YES; HAVE FELT
5. NO; NEVER FELT; DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0392 TP (See V389)

H2d. proud

1. YES; HAVE FELT
5. NO; NEVER FELT; DK

9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0393 TP (See V389)

H2e. disgusted

1. YES; HAVE FELT
5. NO; NEVER FELT; DK

9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0394 TP (See V389)

H2f. sympathetic toward him

1. YES; HAVE FELT
5. NO; NEVER FELT; DK

9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0395 TP (See V389)

H2g. uneasy

1. YES; HAVE FELT
5. NO; NEVER FELT; DK

9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0396 TP

J1. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

a. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK; HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0397 TP (See V396)

Jlb. Where would you place Ronald Reagan?

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 396; 3 in 3; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0398 P (See V396)

Jlc. (Where would you place) NAME #11, 13, or 15; (Democratic US candidate- state with Senate race in 1982)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 62-63, 66, 72-73, 76, 81-82, 84-85, 99 in 11; 0, 8-9 in 396, 3 in 3; (No Senate race or no Democratic candidate); OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0399 P  (See V396)

J1d. (Where would you place) NAME #12, 14, or 16 (Republican US Senate
candidate-state with Senate race in 1982)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 61, 63, 67, 71, 73, 77, 81-82, 84-85, 99 in 11; 0. 8-9 in 396, 3 in 3; (No Senate
race or no Republican candidate); OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE
INTERVIEW

============================================= VAR MC0400 P  (See V396)

J1e. (Where would you place) NAME #20 (Independent/ Third Party U.S.
Senate candidate - state with Senate race in 1982)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 12, 14, 21, 24, 51-52, 55, 61-62, 65, 71-72, 75, 81-82, 84-85, 99 in 11; 0, 8-9 in 396, 3 in 3 (No Senate
race or no Independent/Third Party candidate); OMITTED FROM
TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

============================================= VAR MC0401 P  (See V396)

J1f. (Where would you place) NAME #17 (Incumbent U.S. Senator # 1 -
state with no Senate race in 1982)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 12-14, 19, 21, 23-24, 29, 31-32, 34, 51-53, 55-57, 59, 61-63, 65-67, 69, 71-73, 75-77, 79, 99 in 11; 0, 8-9 in 396, 3 in 3 (State with Senate race); OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

===============================================
VAR MC0402 P (See V396)

J1g. (Where would you place) NAME # 18 (Incumbent U.S. Senator # 2 – state with no Senate race in 1982)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 12-14, 19, 21, 23-24, 29, 31-32, 34, 51-53, 55-57, 59, 61-63, 65-67, 69, 71-73, 75-77, 79, 99 in 11; 0, 8-9 in 396, 3 in 3 (State with Senate race); OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

===============================================
VAR MC0403 P (See V396)

J1h. (Where would you place) NAME # 19 (Incumbent U.S. Senator, Term not up – state with Senate race in 1982)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 81-82, 84-85, 99 in 11; 0, 8-9 in 396, 3 in 3 (State with no Senate race in 1982); OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

===============================================
VAR MC0404 TP (See V396)

J1j. (Where would you place) NAME # 31, 33, or 35 (Democratic U.S. House candidate)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 0, 8-9 in 396; 3 in 3; (TEL); (No Democratic candidate)

-------------------------------
VAR MC0405 TP (See V396)  
Jlk. (Where would YOU place) NAME # 32, 34, or 36 (Republican U.S. House candidate)
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 0, 8-9 in 396; 3 in 3; (TEL) (No Republican candidate)

-------------------------------
VAR MC0406 TP (See V396)  
Jlm. (Where would you place) NAME # 37 or 39 (Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate)
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 0, 8-9 in 396; 3 in 3 (TEL) (No Independent/Third Party candidate)

-------------------------------
VAR MC0407 TP (See V396)  
Jln. (Where would you place) the Republican Party?
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. NA

O. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 396, 3 in 3; (TEL)

======================================
VAR MC0408 TP         (See V396)

J1p. (Where would you place) the Democratic Party?

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 396, 3 in 3; (TEL)

======================================
VAR MC0409 TP    J2. If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

1. LIBERAL
3. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. CONSERVATIVE
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1-7 in 396; 3 in 3; (TEL)

======================================
VAR MC0410 TP    J3. Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of the scale at point number 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

a. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DON'T KNOW; HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
9. NA
0. (TEL); 2 in 3

==================================================================
VAR MC0411 TP (See V410)

J3b. Where would you place Ronald Reagan on this scale?

1. GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 410; 2 in 3; (TEL)

==================================================================
VAR MC0412 TP J3c. (See V410)

(Where would you place) the Democratic party?

1. GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 410; 2 in 3; (TEL)

==================================================================
VAR MC0413 TP J3d. (See V410)

(Where would you place) the Republican Party?

1. GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 410 2 in 3; (TEL)

==================================================================
VAR MC0414 TP J3e. (See V410)

(Where would you place) NAME # 31, 33, or 35
(Democratic U.S. House candidate)

1. GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 or 10; 0, 8-9 in 410; 2 in 3; (No Democratic candidate); (TEL)

==============================

VAR MC0415 TP J3f. (See V410)

(Where would you place) NAME # 32, 34, or 36
(Republican U.S. House candidate)

1. GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in 410; 2 in 3; (No Republican candidate); (TEL)

==============================

VAR MC0416 TP J3G. (See V41)

(Where would you place) NAME # 37 or 39 (Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate)

1. GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in 410, 2 in 3; (No Independent/Third Party candidate); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0417 TP J3z. (See V410)

Where would you place what the federal government is doing at the present time?

1. GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 410; 2 in 3; (TEL)

===========================================
VAR MC0418 TP J4. Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks and other minority groups. (Suppose these people are at one end of the scale at point number 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between (at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6).

a. Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP MINORITY GROUPS
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. MINORITY GROUPS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES
8. DON'T KNOW; HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
9. NA
0. (TEL); 3 in 3
8. DON'T KNOW; HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
9. NA
0. (TEL); 3 IN 3

===========================================
VAR MC0419 P (See V418)

J4b. Where would you place Ronald Reagan?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP MINORITY GROUPS
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. MINORITY, GROUPS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 418; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW (V419-V427)

VAR MC0420 P (See V418)
J4c. (Where would you place) the Democratic Party?
1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP MINORITY GROUPS
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. MINORITY, GROUPS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 418; 3 in 3

VAR MC0421 P (See V418)
J4d. (Where would you place) the Republican Party?
1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP MINORITY GROUPS
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. MINORITY, GROUPS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 418; 3 in 3

VAR MC0422 P (See V418)
J4e. (Where would you place) NAME # 31, 33, or 35 (Democratic U.S. House candidate)
1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP MINORITY GROUPS
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. MINORITY, GROUPS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in 418;
   3 in 3; (No Democratic candidate)

==============================
VAR MC0423 P     (See V 418)

   J4f. (Where would you place) NAME # 32, 34, or 36 (Republican
       U.S. House candidate)

   1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP MINORITY GROUPS
   2.
   3.
   4.
   5.
   6.
   7. MINORITY, GROUPS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in 418;
   3 in 3; (No Republican candidate)

==============================
VAR MC0424 P     (See V418)

   J4g. (Where would you place) NAME # 37 or 39 (Independent/
       Third Party U. S. House candidate)

   1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP MINORITY GROUPS
   2.
   3.
   4.
   5.
   6.
   7. MINORITY, GROUPS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in
   418; 3 in 3, (No Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate)

==============================
VAR MC0425 P     (See V418)

   J4h. (Where would you place) most Liberals?

   1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP MINORITY GROUPS
   2.
   3.
   4.
   5.
   6.
   7. MINORITY, GROUPS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES

8. DON'T KNOW
J4j. (Where would you place) most Conservatives?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP MINORITY GROUPS
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. MINORITY, GROUPS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 418, 3 in 3

J4Z. Where would you place what the federal government is doing at the present time?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP MINORITY GROUPS
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. MINORITY, GROUPS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 418; 3 in 3

Jj. Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his own.

a. Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVERNMENT LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DON'T KNOW (PERSONAL INTERVIEW)
9. NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
J5b. Where would you place Ronald Reagan?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVERNMENT LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 428; 2 in 3; (TEL)

J5c. (Where would you place) the Democratic Party?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVERNMENT LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V428; 2 in 3; (TEL)

J5d. (Where would you place) the Republican Party?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVERNMENT LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V428; 2 in 3; (TEL)
VAR MC0432 TP  (See V428)

J5e. (Where would you place) NAME # 31, 33, or 35  
(Democratic U.S. House candidate)

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GOVERNMENT LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in V428; 2 in 3; (No Democratic candidate); (TEL)

=================================

VAR MC0433 TP  (See V428)

J5f. (Where would you place) NAME # 32, 34, 36 (Republican U.S.
House candidate)

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GOVERNMENT LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in V428; 2 in 3; (No Republican candidate) (TEL)

=================================

VAR MC0434 TP  (See V428)

J5g. (Where would you place) NAME # 37 or 39 (Independent/Third
Party U.S. House candidate)

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GOVERNMENT LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in V428, 2 in 3, (No Independent/Third Party candidates (TEL)
VAR MC0435 P  (See V428)

J5h. (Where would you place) most Liberals?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  
7. GOVERNMENT LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V428; 2 in 3; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0436 P  (See V428)

J5j. (Where would you place) most Conservatives?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  
7. GOVERNMENT LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V428; 2 in 3; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0437 TP  (See V428)

J5z. Where would you place what the federal government is doing at the present time?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO A JOB AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  
7. GOVERNMENT LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V428; 2 in 3; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0438 TP  J6. Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business,
industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home.

a. Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?

1. MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL ROLE
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. WOMEN'S PLACE IN HOME

8. DON'T KNOW (PERSONAL INTERVIEW)
9. NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
8. DK; HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (TELEPHONE INTERVIEW)
9. NA
0. (TEL); 2 in 3

J6b. Where would you place Ronald Reagan?

1. MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL ROLE
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. WOMEN'S PLACE IN HOME

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 438; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW (V439-V445)

J6c. (Where would you place) the Democratic Party?

1. MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL ROLE
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. WOMEN'S PLACE IN HOME

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V438 2 in 3
VAR MC0441 P (See V438)

J6d. (Where would you place) the Republican Party?

1. MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL ROLE
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. WOMEN'S PLACE IN HOME
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V438 2 in 3

==============================================
VAR MC0442 P (See V438)

J6e. (Where would you place) NAME # 31, 33, or 35 (Democratic U.S. House candidate)

1. MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL ROLE
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. WOMEN'S PLACE IN HOME
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in V438, 2 in 3; (No Democratic candidate)

==============================================
VAR MC0443 P (See V438)

J6f. (Where would you place) NAME # 32, 34, or 36 (Republican U.S. House candidate)

1. MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL ROLE
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. WOMEN'S PLACE IN HOME
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in V438, 2 in 3; (No Republican candidate)

==============================================
VAR MC0444 P (See V438)

J6g. (Where would you place) NAME #37 or 39 (Independent/Third Party U.S. House candidate)

1. MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL ROLE
2.
3.
4.
5.
6. WOMEN’S PLACE IN HOME
7. DON'T KNOW
8. NA
0. Inap, 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 0, 8-9 in V438; 2 in 3; (No Independent/Third Party candidate)

==============================

VAR MC0445 P (See V438)

J6z. Where would you place what the federal government is doing at the present time?

1. MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL ROLE
2.
3.
4.
5.
6. WOMEN’S PLACE IN HOME
7. DON'T KNOW
8. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V438; 2 in 3

==============================

VAR MC0446 TP J7. Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education, in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

J7a. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING A LOT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING A LOT
7. DON'T KNOW (PERSONAL INTERVIEW)
J7b. Where would you place Ronald Reagan?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING A LOT

2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING A LOT

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 446; 3 in 3; (TEL)

J7c. (Where would you place) the Democratic Party?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING A LOT

2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING A LOT

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V446 3 in 3; (TEL)

J7d. (Where would you place) the Republican Party?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING A LOT

2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING A LOT
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V446 3 in 3; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0450 TP (See V446)

J7e. (Where would you place) NAME # 31, 33, or 35
(Democratic U.S. House candidate)

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE
SPENDING A LOT

2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES; INCREASE
SPENDING A LOT

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102;
0, 8-9 in 446; 3 in 3; (No Democratic candidate); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0451 TP (See V446)

J7f. (Where would you place) NAME # 32, 34, or 36 (Republican
U.S. House candidate)

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE
SPENDING A LOT

2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES; INCREASE
SPENDING A LOT

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 00, 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in
103; 0, 8-9 in 446; 3 in 3; (No Republican candidate); (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0452 TP (See V446)

J7g. (Where would you place) NAME # 37 or 39 (Independent/Third
Party U.S. House candidate)

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE
SPENDING A LOT

2.
3.
4.
5.  
6.  
7. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING A LOT  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 00, 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 0, 8-9 in 446; 3 in 3; (No Independent/Third Party candidate); (TEL)  

=================================  
VAR MC0453 P J7h. (See V446)  

(Where would you place) Congress?  
1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING A LOT  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  
7. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING A LOT  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V446, OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW  

=================================  
VAR MC0454 TP J7z. (See V446)  

J7z. Where would you place what the federal government is doing at the present time?  
1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING A LOT  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  
7. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING A LOT  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in V446 3 in 3; (TEL)  

=================================  
VAR MC0455 TP J8. Federal income taxes have been reduced on two occasions over the last year or so. In your case, how much of a difference have these tax cuts made in the amount of money you have left over to spend after taxes: a great deal of difference, some difference, or barely any?
1. A GREAT DEAL OF DIFFERENCE 
3. SOME DIFFERENCE 
5. BARELY ANY 
7. R DOES NOT PAY FEDERAL INCOME TAXES 

8. DK 
9. NA 
0. (TEL) 

========================================
VAR MC0456 TP  J9. The proposed Equal Rights Amendment to the Constitution was defeated this year because not enough states ratified it. Are you disappointed that the ERA didn't pass, are you pleased, or don't you have any feelings about this? 

1. PLEASED 
3. DON'T HAVE FEELINGS 
5. DISAPPOINTED 

8. DON'T KNOW 
9. NA 
0. (TEL) 

========================================
VAR MC0457 T  (See V456) 

J9A/B. Are you very (pleased/disappointed) or somewhat (pleased/disappointed)? 

See V458 for a recoded version combining V456 and V457 

1. VERY (PLEASSED/DISAPPOINTED) 
2. SOMEWHAT (PLEASSED/DISAPPOINTED) 

8. DK 
9. NA 
0. INAP, 3, 8-9 in 456; PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL) 

========================================
VAR MC0458 (T)P (See V456) 

J9a. Are you very disappointed or somewhat disappointed? 
J9b. Are you very pleased or somewhat pleased? 

1. VERY PLEASED 
2. SOMEWHAT PLEASED 
3. DON'T HAVE FEELINGS (coded 3 in 456 TEL and PERS) 
4. SOMEWHAT DISAPPOINTED 
5. VERY DISAPPOINTED 

8. DK 
9. NA 
0. INAP, 8-9 in 456; (TEL) 

========================================
VAR MC0459 TP    J10. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan is handling nuclear arms control?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0460 TP    J11. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan is handling the protection of the environment?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0461 TP    J12. Do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan is handling the balancing of the national budget?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0462 P    J13. Over the years, the government in Washington has taken on more and more responsibility for handling social and economic problems. In the future, should the government in Washington continue to have primary responsibility for the handling of social and economic problems, or should state and local governments be given most of that responsibility?

1. THE GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON CONTINUE TO HAVE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY

5. STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS BE GIVEN MOST OF THAT RESPONSIBILITY

8. DK
9. NA
0. OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================================
VAR MC0463 TP    J14. Let's talk about the relationship between government and business. Do you feel the government in Washington regulates and controls too little of what goes on in business and industry, is there too much
regulation, about the right amount, or don't you have an opinion on this?

1. TOO LITTLE
3. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
5. TOO MUCH
7. NO OPINION

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0464 TP J15. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Over the years, the government in Washington has gotten involved in handling and deciding issues which are not the federal government's business.

1. DISAGREE
5. AGREE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0465 TP J15a. Has the federal government gotten involved in many such issues or just a few of them?

1. A FEW
5. MANY

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 8-9 in 464; (TEL)

VAR MC0466 P J16. There is a disagreement over what to do about trade and business relations with the Soviet Union. Some people argue that the United States and other Western nations should do more trading and business with the Soviet Union. Others feel that Western nations should cut back on their economic ties with the Soviet Union. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL IN TRADE AND BUSINESS WITH THE SOVIET UNION
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. CUT BACK A GREAT DEAL ON TRADE AND BUSINESS WITH THE SOVIET UNION
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================================
VAR MC0467 TP    J17. There has been some discussion about abortion
during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this
table best agrees with your view? You can just tell me
the number of the opinion you choose.

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE,
   INCEST OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN
   RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER
   THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN
   ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.

7. OTHER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0468 TP DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0469 TP DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0470 TP DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0471 T J01A-BR
   (Jla) We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and
   conservatives. When it comes to POLITICAL VIEWS that people
   hold, do you think of yourself as liberal, conservative, or
   moderate-- or haven't you thought much about this?

1. LIBERAL
3. CONSERVATIVE
5. MODERATE
8. DON'T KNOW, HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 1 or 2 in 3; (TEL); OMITTED FROM PERSONAL
   INTERVIEW

==============================================
VAR MC0472 T J01A-#1 (alib)
   Would you say you are...

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY LIBERAL?
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 3, 5, 8-9 in 471; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0473 T J01A-#7 (acon)
Would you say you are...

1. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE?

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 1, 5, 8-9 in 471; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0474 J01A-#4 (amod)
Do you tend to be slightly more LIBERAL, or slightly more CONSERVATIVE?

1. SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
3. INSISTS ON "MODERATE" (VOLUNTEERED)
5. SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 1, 3, 8-9 in 471; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0475 T J01A-SC  SUMMARY: LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--SELF-PLACEMENT

BUILT FROM V471-V474

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. MODERATELY LIBERAL
3. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
4. MODERATE - INSISTS ON 'MODERATE'
5. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE
6. MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V472/V473/V474)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V472/V473/V474)
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0476 T J01B-BR (J1b)

Would you say Ronald Reagan is liberal, conservative, or moderate-- or haven't you thought much about this?

1. LIBERAL
2. MODERATE
3. CONSERVATIVE
8. DON'T KNOW, HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 47; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0477 T J01B-#1 (b11b)
Is Ronald Reagan...

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY LIBERAL?

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 2, 3, 8-9 in 476; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0478 T J01B (bcon)
Is Ronald Reagan...

1. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE?

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 2, 8-9 in 476; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0479 T J01B-#4 (bmod)
Is Ronald Reagan slightly more LIBERAL or slightly more CONSERVATIVE?

1. SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
3. INSISTS ON "MODERATE" (VOLUNTEERED)
5. SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 3, 8-9 in 476; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0480 T J01B-SC

SUMMARY: LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE - RONALD REAGAN

BUILT FROM V471, V476-V479

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. MODERATELY LIBERAL
3. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
4. MODERATE - INSISTS ON 'MODERATE'
5. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE
6. MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
Would you say (Democratic U.S. House candidate) is liberal, conservative, or moderate - or haven't you thought much about this?

1. LIBERAL
2. MODERATE
3. CONSERVATIVE

8. DK; HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 23, 24, 32, 34, 52, 53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

Is (Democratic U.S. House candidate)...

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY LIBERAL?
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 2, 3, 8-9 in 481; 23, 24, 32, 34, 52, 53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

Is (Democratic U.S. House candidate)...

1. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE?
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 2, 8-9 in 481; 23, 24, 32, 34, 52, 53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

Is (Democratic U.S. House candidate) slightly more LIBERAL or slightly more CONSERVATIVE?

1. SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
3. INSISTS ON "MODERATE" (VOLUNTEERED)
5. SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 3, 8-9 in 481; 23, 24, 32, 34, 52, 53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147, 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0485 T J01J-SC

SUMMARY: LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE - DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE - BUILT FROM V471, V481-V484

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. MODERATELY LIBERAL
3. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
4. MODERATE - INSISTS ON MODERATE'
5. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE
6. MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V482/V483/V484)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V482/V483/V484)
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; coded 8 or 9 in 481; 23, 24, 32, 34, 52, 53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0486 T J01K-BR (J1k)

Would you say (Republican U.S. House candidate) is liberal, conservative, or moderate - or haven't you thought much about this?

1. LIBERAL
2. MODERATE
3. CONSERVATIVE
8. DON'T KNOW, HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 13, 14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0487 T J01K-#1 (k1ib)

Is (Republican U.S. House candidate)...

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY LIBERAL?
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 2, 3, 8-9 in 486, 13, 14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5 (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0488 T J01K-#7 (kcon)

Is (Republican U.S. House candidate)...

1. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE?

8. DON'T KNOW
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 2, 8-9 in 486; 13, 14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0489 T J01K-#4 (kmod) -

Is (Republican U.S. House candidate) slightly more LIBERAL or slightly more CONSERVATIVE?

1. SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
3. INSISTS ON "MODERATE" (VOLUNTEERED)
5. SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 3, 8-9 in 486; 13, 14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0490 T J01K-SC

SUMMARY: LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE - REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE. BUILT FROM V471, V486-V489

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. MODERATELY LIBERAL
3. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
4. MODERATE - INSISTS ON 'MODERATE'
5. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE
6. MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE (1,3,or5/3/0/1/0)
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V487/V488/V489)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V487/V488/V489)
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; coded 8 or 9 in 486; 13, 14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0491 T J01M-BR (J1m)

Would you say (Third Party U.S. House Candidate) is liberal, conservative, or moderate – or haven't you thought much about this?

1. LIBERAL
2. MODERATE
3. CONSERVATIVE
8. DK; HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0492 T J01M-#1 (m1ib)

Is (Third Party U.S. House candidate)...

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY LIBERAL?

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 2, 3, 8-9 in 491; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0493 T J01M-#7 (mcon)

Is (Third Party U.S. House candidate)

1. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE?

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 2, 8-9 in 491; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0494 T J01M-#4 (mmod)

Is (Third Party U.S. House candidate) slightly more LIBERAL or slightly more CONSERVATIVE?

1. SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
3. INSISTS ON "MODERATE" (VOLUNTEERED)
5. SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 3, 8-9 in 491; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0495 T J01M-SC

SUMMARY: LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE - THIRD PARTY U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE - BUILT FROM V471, V491-V494

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. MODERATELY LIBERAL
3. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
4. MODERATE - INSISTS ON 'MODERATE'
5. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE
6. MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V492/V493/V494)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V492/V493/V494)
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; coded 8 or 9 in 491; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (ALL)

====================================
VAR MC0496 T J01N-BR (J1N)

Would you say the Republican Party is liberal, conservative, or moderate - or haven't you thought much about this?

1. LIBERAL
2. MODERATE
3. CONSERVATIVE
8. DON'T KNOW, HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0. 8-9 in 471; (TEL)

====================================
VAR MC0497 T J01N-#1 (nlib)

Is the Republican Party...

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY LIBERAL?

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 2, 3, 8-9 in 496; (TEL)

====================================
VAR MC0498 T J01N-#7 (ncon)

Is the Republican Party...

1. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE?

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 2, 8-9 in 696; (TEL)

====================================
VAR MC0499 T J01N-#4 (nmod)

Is the Republican Party slightly more LIBERAL or slightly more CONSERVATIVE?

1. SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
3. INSISTS ON "MODERATE" (VOLUNTEERED)
5. SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 3, 8-9 in 496; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0500 T J01N-SC

SUMMARY: LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE - THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
BUILT FROM V471, V496-V499

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. MODERATELY LIBERAL
3. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
4. MODERATE - INSISTS ON 'MODERATE'
5. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE
6. MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; coded 8 or 9 in 496; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0501 T J01P-BR (J1P)

Would you say the Democratic Party is liberal, conservative, or moderate-- or haven't you thought much about this?

1. LIBERAL
2. MODERATE
3. CONSERVATIVE

8. DON'T KNOW, HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0502 T J01P-#1 (plib)

Is the Democratic Party...

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY LIBERAL?
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 2, 3, 8-9 in 501; (TEL)

============================================
VAR MC0503 T J01P-#7 (pcon)

Is the Democratic Party...
1. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, OR
5. JUST MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE?
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; 1, 2, 8-9 in 501; (TEL)

============================================
VAR MC0504 T J01P-#4 (pmod)

Is the Democratic Party slightly more LIBERAL or slightly more CONSERVATIVE?
1. SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
3. INSISTS ON "MODERATE" (VOLUNTEERED)
5. SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 71; 1, 3, 8-9 in 501; (TEL)

============================================
VAR MC0505 T J01P-SC

SUMMARY: LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE - DEMOCRATIC PARTY
BUILT FROM V471 V501-V504

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. MODERATELY LIBERAL
3. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE LIBERAL
4. MODERATE - INSISTS ON 'MODERATE'
5. MODERATE - SLIGHTLY MORE CONSERVATIVE
6. MODERATELY CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V502/V503/V504)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V502/V503/V504)
0. INAP, coded 0, 8-9 in 471; coded 8 or 9 in 501; (TEL)

============================================
VAR MC0506 T J02-0 (J2)

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
1. LIBERAL
3. MODERATE, MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. CONSERVATIVE
7. RESPONDENT REFUSES TO CHOOSE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 1, 3, 5 in 471; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

VAR MC0507 T J03A-OP (J03A-1)

Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Do you have an opinion on this issue, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. YES, HAVE OPINION
5. NO OPINION
8. DON'T KNOW; HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 1 or 3 in 3; (TEL); OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0508 T J03A-BR (J03A-2)

Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending; increase defense spending or is your position somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; (TEL)

VAR MC0509 T J03A-#1 (J03A-3)

Should the government decrease defense spending to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 3, 5, 8-9 in 508; (TEL)
VAR MC0510 T J03A-#7 (J03A-4)

Should the government increase defense spending to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 3, 8-9 in 508; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0511 T J03A-#4 (J03A-5)

Which comes closest to your position--that government decrease defense spending, or that government increase defense spending?

1. GOVERNMENT DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. GOVERNMENT INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 5, 8-9 in 508; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0512 T J03A-SC

SUMMARY: DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE - SELF PLACEMENT
BUILT FROM V507-V511

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARDS DECREASE
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARDS INCREASE
6. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
7. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V509/V510)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V509/V510/V511)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; coded 8 or 9 in 508; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0513 T J03B-BR (J03B-1)

Does RONALD REAGAN feel government should decrease defense spending; increase defense spending; or do you think his position is somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP; coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0514 T J03B-#1 (J03B-2) (See V513)

Does he want defense spending decreased to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 3, 5, 8-9 in 513; (TEL)

======================================

VAR MC0515 T J03B-#7 (J03B-3) (See V513)

Does he want defense spending increased to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW

======================================

VAR MC0516 T J03B-#4 (J03B-4)

Which do you think comes closest to Reagan's position: that government decrease defense spending, or that government increase defense spending?

1. GOVERNMENT DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. GOVERNMENT INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 5, 8-9 in 513, (TEL)

======================================

VAR MC0517 T J03B-SC

SUMMARY: DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE - RONALD REAGAN
BUILT FROM V507, V513-516

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD DECREASE
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
7. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V514/V515)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V514/V515/V516)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; coded 8 or 9 in 513; (TEL)

======================================
VAR MC0518 T J03C-BR (J03C-1)

Does the DEMOCRATIC PARTY feel government should decrease
defense spending; increase defense spending; or do you think
their position is somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD DECREASE SPENDING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; (TEL)

=================================

VAR MC0519 T J03C-#1 (J03C-2)

Do they want defense spending decreased to a great extent or
only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 3, 5, 8-9 in 518; (TEL)

=================================

VAR MC0520 T J03C-#7 (J03C-3)

Do they want defense spending increased to a great extent or
only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 3, 8-9 in 518; (TEL)

=================================

VAR MC0521 T J03C-#4 (J03C-4)

Which do you think comes closest to the Democratic Party's
position: that government decrease defense spending, or that
government increase defense spending?

1. GOVERNMENT DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. GOVERNMENT INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING

9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 5, 8-9 in 518; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0522 T J03C-SC

SUMMARY: DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE - THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
BUILT FROM V507, V518-521

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD DECREASE
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
7. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V519/V52C)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V519/V520/V521)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; coded 8 or 9 in 518; (TEL)

=====================================
VAR MC0523 T J03D-BR (J03D-1)

How about the REPUBLICAN PARTY? Do they feel government should decrease defense spending; increase defense spending, or do you think their position is somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; (TEL)

=====================================
VAR MC0524 T J03D-#1 (J03D-2)

Do they want defense spending decreased to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; (TEL)

=====================================
VAR MC0525 T J03D-#7 (J03D-3)

Do they want defense spending increased to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; (TEL)
VAR MC0526 T J03D-#4 (J03D-4)

Which do you think comes closest to the Republican Party's position: that government decrease defense spending, or that government increase defense spending?

1. GOVERNMENT DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. GOVERNMENT INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 5, 8-9 in 523; (TEL)

VAR MC0527 T J03D-SC

SUMMARY: DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE - THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
BUILT FROM V507, V523-526

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD DECREASE
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
7. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V524/V525)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V524/V525/V526)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; coded 8 or 9 in 523; (TEL)

VAR MC0528 T J03E-BR (J03E-1)

Does (Democratic U.S. House candidate) feel government should decrease defense spending; increase defense spending; or do you think (Democratic U.S. House candidate)'s position is somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

VAR MC0529 T J03E-#1 (J03E-2)

Does (Democratic U.S. House candidate) want defense spending decreased to a great extent or only to some extent?
1. TO GREAT EXTENT  
2. TO SOME EXTENT  
8. DON'T KNOW  
9. NA  
0. INAP, coded, 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 3, 5, 8-9 in 528; 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)  

-----------------------------  
VAR MC0530 T J03E-#7 (J03E-3)  

Does (Democratic U.S. House candidate) want defense spending increased to a great extent or only to some extent?  
6. TO SOME EXTENT  
7. TO GREAT EXTENT  
8. DON'T KNOW  
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 3, 8-9 in 528; 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)  

-----------------------------  
VAR MC0531 T J03E-#4 (J03E-4)  

Which do you think comes closest to (Democratic U.S. House candidate)'s position: that government decrease defense spending, or that government increase defense spending?  
1. GOVERNMENT DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE  
5. GOVERNMENT INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  
9. NA  
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 5, 8-9 in 528; 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)  

-----------------------------  
VAR MC0532 T J03E-SC  

SUMMARY: DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE  
BUILT FROM V507 V528-531  
1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT  
2. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT  
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD DECREASE  
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE  
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE  
6. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT  
7. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT  
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V529/V530)  
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V529/V530/V531)  
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; coded 8 or 9 in 528; 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)
And what about (Republican U.S. House candidate)? Does (Republican U.S. House candidate) feel government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is (Republican U.S. House candidate)'s position somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING

3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN

5. GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING

8. DON'T KNOW

9. NA

0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 (TEL)

Does (Republican U.S. House candidate) want defense spending decreased to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT

2. TO SOME EXTENT

8. DON'T KNOW

9. NA

0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 3, 5, 8-9 in 533; 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 (TEL)

Does (Republican U.S. House candidate) want defense spending increased to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT

7. TO GREAT EXTENT

8. DON'T KNOW

9. NA

0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 3, 8-9 in 533; 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 (TEL)
VAR MC0536 T J03F-#4 (J03F-4)

Which do you think comes closest to (Republican U.S. House candidate)'s position: that government decrease defense spending, or that government increase defense spending?

1. GOVERNMENT DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. GOVERNMENT INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 5, 8-9 in 533; 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132

=================================

VAR MC0537 T J03F-SC

SUMMARY: DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE
BUILT FROM V507, V533-536

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD DECREASE
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
7. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V534/V535)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V534/V535/V536)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; coded 8 or 9 in 533; 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

=================================

VAR MC0538 T J03G-BR (J03G-1)

And (Third Party U.S. House candidate)? Does (Third Party U.S. House candidate) feel that government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or do you think (Third Party U.S. House candidate)'s position is somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)
VAR MC0539 T J03G-#1 (J03G-2)

Does (Third Party U.S. House candidate) want defense spending decreased to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 3, 5, 8-9 in V538; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

VAR MC0540 T J03G-#7 (J03G-3)

Does (Third Party U.S. House candidate) want defense spending increased to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 3, 8-9 in V538; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 (TEL)

VAR MC0541 T J03G-#4 (J03G-4)

Which do you think comes closest to (Third Party U.S. House candidate)’s position: that government decrease defense spending, or that government increase defense spending?

1. GOVERNMENT DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. GOVERNMENT INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 5, 8-9 in V538; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

VAR MC0542 T J03G-SC

SUMMARY: DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE - THIRD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE
BUILT FROM V507 V538-V541

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD DECREASE
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
7. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V53g/V540)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V539/V540/V541)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; coded 8 or 9 in 538; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

=========================================
VAR MC0543 T J03Z-BR (J03Z-1)

And last in this spending series, what is the federal government doing at the present time? Is it decreasing defense spending, increasing defense spending, or letting defense spending go unchanged?

1. DECREASING DEFENSE SPENDING
3. LETTING DEFENSE SPENDING GO UNCHANGED
5. INCREASING DEFENSE SPENDING
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

=========================================
VAR MC0544 T J03Z-#1 (J03Z-2)

Would that be decreasing to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 3, 5, 8-9 in 543; (TEL)

=========================================
VAR MC0545 T J03Z-#7 (J03z-3)

Would that be increasing to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; 1, 3, 8-9 in 543; (TEL)

=========================================
VAR MC0546 T J03Z-SC

SUMMARY: DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE - CURRENT FEDERAL GOVERNMENT POLICY -- BUILT FROM V507 V543-545
1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
4. LETTING DEFENSE SPENDING GO UNCHANGED
6. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO SOME EXTENT
7. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V544/V545)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V544/V545)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 507; coded 8 or 9 in 543; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0547 T J04A-OP (J4a)

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of Blacks and other minority groups. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves. Do you have an opinion on this issue, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION
5. NO, NO OPINION, OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT ABOUT IT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 1 or 2 in 3; (TEL); OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0548 T J04A-BR (J4b)

Do you feel that government should help improve the position of minorities, that minorities should help themselves, or is your position somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP
3. MINORITIES SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES
5. SOME POSITION IN-BETWEEN
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA

==============================
VAR MC0549 T J04A-#1 (J4c)

Should the government help minorities...

1. TO A GREAT EXTENT, OR
5. ONLY TO SOME EXTENT?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 547; 3, 5, 8-9 in 548; (TEL)

==============================
Should the government leave minorities alone to help themselves...

1. TO A GREAT EXTENT, OR
5. ONLY TO SOME EXTENT?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 547; 1, 5, 8-9 in 548; (TEL)

Which comes closest to your position - government should help minorities, or minorities should help themselves?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP
3. MINORITIES SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES
5. SOME OTHER OPINION (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 547; 1, 3, 8-9 in 548; (TEL)

SUMMARY: MINORITY GROUPS SCALE - SELF-PLACEMENT
BUILT FROM V547-V551

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP GROUPS - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP GROUPS - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANING TOWARD GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/"SOME OTHER POSITION"
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANING TOWARD LEAVE MINORITIES ALONE
6. LEAVE MINORITIES ALONE TO HELP THEMSELVES - TO SOME EXTENT
7. LEAVE MINORITIES ALONE TO HELP THEMSELVES - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V549/V550/V551)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V549/V550/V551)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 547; coded 8-9 in 548; (TEL)

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think that the government should just let each person get ahead on his own. Do you have an opinion on this issue, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. YES, HAVE OPINION
5. NO OPINION
8. DON'T KNOW; HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
9. NA
0. INAP; coded 1 or 3 in 3; (TEL); OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0554 T J05A-BR (J05A-2)

Do you feel the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living for everyone, do you feel the government should let people get ahead on their own, or is your position somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING FOR EVERYONE
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN-BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0555 T J05A-#1 (J05A-3)

Should the government see to this to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 3, 5, 8-9 in 554; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0556 T J05A-#7 (J05A-4)

Should the government leave people on their own to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 3, 8-9 in 554; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0557 T J05A-#4 (J05A-5)

Which comes closest to your position--government see to jobs and a good standard of living for everyone, or government let people get ahead on their own?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING FOR EVERYONE
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 5, 8-9 in 554; (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0558 T J05A-SC

SUMMARY: JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - SELF PLACEMENT
BUILT FROM V553-V557

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING -
   TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING -
   TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND
   GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
6. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO SOME EXTENT
7. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V555/V556)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V555/V556/V557)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; coded 8 or 9 in 554; (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0559 T J05B-BR (J05B-1)

Does RONALD REAGAN favor government seeing to jobs and a
good standard of living; government letting people get ahead
on their own or do you think his position is somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0560 T J05B-#1 (J05B-1) (See V559)

Does he favor government seeing to this to a great extent or
only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 3, 5, 8-9 in 559; (TEL)
VAR MC0561 T J05B-#7 (J05B-3) (See V559)

Does he favor government leaving people on their own to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 3, 5, 8-9 in 559; (TEL)

VAR MC0562 T J05B-#4 (J05B-4)

Which do you think comes closest to Reagan's position: government see to jobs and a good standard of living, or government let people get ahead on their own?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
2. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
3. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
4. NA
9. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 5, 8-9 in 559; (TEL)

SUMMARY: JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - RONALD REAGAN
BUILT FROM V553, V559-562

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
6. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO SOME EXTENT
7. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V560/V561)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V560/V561/V562)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; coded 8 or 9 in 559; (TEL)

VAR MC0564 T J05C-BR (J05C-1)

Does the DEMOCRATIC PARTY favor government seeing to jobs and a good standard of living, government letting people get...
 ahead on their own, or do you think their position is somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; coded 8 or 9 in 559; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0565  T  J05C-#1 (J05C-2)  (See V564)

Do they favor government seeing to this to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 3, 5, 8-9 in 564; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0566  T  J05C-#7 (J05C-3)

Do they favor government leaving people on their own to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 3, 8-9 in 564; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0567  T  J05C-#4 (J05C-4)

Which do you think comes closest to the Democratic Party's position: government see to jobs and a good standard of living, or government let people get ahead on their own?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE

5. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN

9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 5, 8-9 in 564; (TEL)
VAR MC0568   T  J05C-SC

SUMMARY: JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY

BUILT FROM V553, V564-567

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
6. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO SOME EXTENT
7. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V565/V566)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V565/V566/V567)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; coded 8 or 9 in 564; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0569 T   J05D-BR (J05D-1)

How about the REPUBLICAN PARTY? Do they favor government seeing to jobs and a good standard of living, government letting people get ahead on their own, or do you think their position is somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0570  T  J05D-#l (J05D-2)

Do they favor government seeing to this to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 3, 5, 8-9 in 569; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0571 T  J05D-#7 (J05D-3)

Do they favor government leaving people on their own to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. **DON'T KNOW**

9. **NA**

0. **INAP**, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 3, 8-9 in 569; (TEL)

===============================================
**VAR MC0572** T J05D-#4 (J05D-4)

Which do you think comes closest to the Republican Party's position: government see to jobs and a good standard of living, or government let people get ahead on their own?

1. **GOVERNMENT SEE TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING**

3. **NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE**

5. **GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN**

9. **NA**

0. **INAP**, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 5, 8-9 in 569; (TEL)

===============================================
**VAR MC0573** J05D-SC

**SUMMARY:** JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-- BUILT FROM V553, V569-572

1. **GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO A GREAT EXTENT**

2. **GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO SOME EXTENT**

3. **IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING**

4. **IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE**

5. **IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN**

6. **GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO SOME EXTENT**

7. **GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO A GREAT EXTENT**

8. **INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V570/V571)**

9. **INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V570/V571/V572)**

0. **INAP**, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; coded 8 or 9 in 569; (TEL)

===============================================
**VAR MC0574** J05E-BR (J05E-1)

**T** Does (Democratic U.S. House candidate) favor government seeing to jobs and a good standard of living, government letting people get ahead on their own, or do you think (Democratic U.S. House candidate)'s position is somewhere in between?

1. **GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING**

3. **POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN**

5. **GOVERNMENT LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN**

8. **DK**

9. **NA**

0. **INAP**, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)
VAR MC0575 J05E-#l (J05E-2)

T Does (Democratic U.S. House candidate) favor government seeing to this to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 3, 5, 8-9 in 574; 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

VAR MC0576 J05E-#7 (J05E-3)

T Does (Democratic U.S. House candidate) favor government leaving people on their own to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 3, 8-9 in 74; 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

VAR MC0577 J05E-#4 (J05E-4)

T Which do you think comes closest to (Democratic U.S. House candidate)'s position: government see to jobs and a good standard of living, or government let people get ahead on their own?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 5, 8-9 in 74; 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

SUMMARY: JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE-- BUILT FROM V553, V574-577

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
6. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO SOME EXTENT
7. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V575/V576)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V575/V576/V577)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; coded 8 or 9 in 574; 23-24, 32, 34, 52-53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

=========================================
VAR MC0579 J05F-BR (J05F-1)

T And what about (Republican U.S. House candidate)? Does he/she favor government seeing to jobs and a good standard of living, government letting people get ahead on their own, or do you think (Republican U.S. House candidate)'s position is somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

=========================================
VAR MC0580 J05F-#1 (J05F-2)

T Does (Republican U.S. House candidate) favor government seeing to this to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 3, 5, 8-9 in 579; 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

=========================================
VAR MC0581 J05F-47 (J05F-3)

T Does (Republican U.S. House candidate) favor government leaving people on their own to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 3, 8-9 in 579; 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)
VAR MC0582 J-5F-#4 (J05F-4)

Which do you think comes closest to (Republican U.S. House candidate)'s position: government see to jobs and a good standard of livings or government let people get ahead on their own?

1. GOVERNMENT SEE TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 5, 8-9 in 579; 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

VAR MC0583 T J05F-SC SUMMARY: JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE

BUILT FROM V553, V579-582

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
6. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO SOME EXTENT
7. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V580/V581)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V580/V581/V582)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; coded 8 or 9 in 579; 13-14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

VAR MC0584 J05G-BR (J05G-1)

T And (Third Party U.S. House candidate)? Does (Third Party U.S. House candidate) favor government seeing to jobs and a good standard of living, government letting people get ahead on their own, or is (Third Party U.S. House candidate)'s position somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. GOVERNMENT LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)
VAR MC0585 J05G-1 (J05G-2)

T  Does (Third Party U.S. House candidate) favor government seeing to this to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 3, 5, 8-9 in 584; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

VAR MC0586 J05G-7 (J05G-3)

T  Does (Third Party U.S. House candidate) favor government leaving people on their own to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 3, 8-9 in 584; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

VAR MC0587 J05G-4 (J05G-4)

T  Which do you think comes closest to (Third Party U.S. House candidate)'s position: government see to jobs and a good standard of living, or government let people get ahead on their own?

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 5, 8-9 in 584; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)

VAR MC0588 T J05G-SC

SUMMARY: JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - THIRD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE-- BUILT FROM V553, V584-587

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
And, what is the federal government doing at the present time? Is it seeing to jobs and a good standard of living for everyone, letting people get ahead on their own, or something in between?

1. SEEING TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING FOR EVERYONE
2. IN-BETWEEN
3. SOMETHING IN-BETWEEN
4. LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
5. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V585/V586)
6. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V585/V586/V587)
7. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; coded 8 or 9 in 584; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132; (TEL)
VAR MC0592 J05Z-#4 (J05Z-4)

T Which do you think comes closest to what the government is doing now: seeing to jobs and a good standard of living, or letting people get ahead on their own?

1. SEEING TO JOBS AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; 1, 5, 8-9 in 589; (TEL)

VAR MC0593 T J05Z-SC

SUMMARY: JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - CURRENT FEDERAL GOVERNMENT POLICY -- BUILT FROM V553, V589-592

1. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD GOVERNMENT SEEING TO JOBS AND GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD LETTING PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN
6. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO SOME EXTENT
7. GOVERNMENT LET PEOPLE GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCING SEQUENCE (DK to V590/V591)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCING SEQUENCE (NA to V590/V591/V592)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 553; coded 8 or 9 in 589; (TEL)

VAR MC0594 J06A-OP (J06A-1)

T Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home. Do you have an opinion on this issue, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. YES, HAVE OPINION
5. NO OPINION
8. DON'T KNOW; HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 1 or 3 in 3; (TEL); OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0595 J06A-BR (J06A-2)

T Do you feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government; do you feel that
women's place is in the home; or is your position somewhere in between?

1. WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLE WITH MEN
3. POSITION SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
5. WOMEN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 594; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0596 J06A-#1 (J06A-3)

T    To what extent do women belong with men in running business, industry and government: to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. TO GREAT EXTENT
2. TO SOME EXTENT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 594; 3, 5, 8-9 in 595; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0597 J06A-#7 (J06A-4)

V    T To what extent is home the place for women: to a great extent or only to some extent?

6. TO SOME EXTENT
7. TO GREAT EXTENT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 594; 1, 3, 8-9 in 595; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0598 J06A-#4 (J06A-5)

T    Which comes closest to your opinion-- that women's role should be equal to that of men, or that women's place is in the home?

1. WOMEN'S ROLE SHOULD BE EQUAL
3. NEITHER/R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. WOMEN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 594; 1, 5, 8-9 in 595; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0599 T J06A-SC

SUMMARY: WOMEN'S ROLE - SELF PLACEMENT

BUILT FROM V594-598

1. WOMEN SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL ROLE WITH MEN - TO A GREAT EXTENT
2. WOMEN SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL ROLE WITH MEN - TO SOME EXTENT
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD EQUAL ROLE
4. IN-BETWEEN - NEITHER/REFUSES TO CHOOSE
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD WOMEN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
6. WOMEN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME - TO SOME EXTENT
7. WOMEN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME - TO A GREAT EXTENT
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V596/V597)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V596/V597/V598)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 594; coded 8 or 9 in 595; (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0600 J07A-OP (J7a)

T The next question is about government spending and
government services. Some people think the government should
provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and
education, in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it
is important for the government to provide more services
even if it means an increase in spending.
Do you have an opinion on this topic or haven't you thought
much about it?

1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION
5. NO, NO OPINION, OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT ABOUT IT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 1 or 2 in 3; (TEL); OMITTED FROM PERSONAL
INTERVIEW

==============================================
VAR MC0601 J07A-BR (J7al)

T Do you think the government should provide fewer services
and spend less, provide more services and spend more, or is
your position somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE FEWER SERVICES AND SPEND LESS
3. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SERVICES AND SPEND MORE
5. A POSITION SOMEWHERE IN-BETWEEN
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0602 J07A-#1 (J7a2) (See V601)

T Would you prefer...

1. A MODERATE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES, OR
5. A LARGE REDUCTION?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 3, 5, 8-9 in 601; (TEL)
VAR MC0603 J07A-#7 (J7a3)  (See V601)

T Would you prefer...

1. A MODERATE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES, OR
5. A LARGE INCREASE?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 5, 8-9 in 601; (TEL)

==================================

VAR MC0604 J07A-#4 (J7a4)

T Which comes closest to your opinion - government should provide fewer services and spend less, or government should provide more services and spend more?

1. FEWER SERVICES
3. MORE SERVICES
5. SOME OTHER POSITION (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 3, 8-9 in 601; (TEL)

==================================

VAR MC0605 T J07A-SC

SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT SERVICES/GOVERNMENT SPENDING SCALE - SELF-PLACEMENT -- BUILT FROM V600-V604

1. A LARGE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
2. A MODERATE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD REDUCTION
4. IN-BETWEEN - "SOME OTHER POSITION"
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. A MODERATE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
7. A LARGE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V602/V603/V604)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V602/V603/V604)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; coded 8 or 9 in 601; (TEL)

==================================

VAR MC0606 J07B-BR (J7b)

T Does Reagan believe that government should provide fewer services and spend less, provide more services and spend more, or is his position somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE FEWER SERVICES
3. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SERVICES
5. A POSITION SOMEWHERE IN-BETWEEN
8. DK; NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; (TEL)

==================================
Would Reagan prefer...

1. A MODERATE REDUCTION, OR
5. A LARGE REDUCTION?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 3, 5, 8-9 in 606; (TEL)

Would Reagan prefer...

1. A MODERATE INCREASE, OR
5. A LARGE INCREASE?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 5, 8-9 in 606; (TEL)

Which comes closest to Reagan's position - government should provide fewer services, or the government should provide more services?

1. FEWER SERVICES
3. MORE SERVICES
5. SOME OTHER POSITION (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 3, 8-9 in 606; (TEL)

SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT SERVICES/GOVERNMENT SPENDING SCALE - RONALD REAGAN -- BUILT FROM V600, V606-V609

1. A LARGE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
2. A MODERATE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD REDUCTION
4. IN-BETWEEN - "SOME OTHER POSITION"
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. A MODERATE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
7. A LARGE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V607/V608/V609)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V607/V608/V609)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; coded 8 or 9 in 606; (TEL)

Do you think the Democratic Party believes the government should provide fewer services and spend less, provide more
services and spend more, or is its position somewhere in-between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE FEWER SERVICES  
3. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SERVICES  
5. SOMEWHERE IN-BETWEEN  
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN  
9. NA  
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; (TEL)

=============================================  
VAR MC0612 J07C-#1 (J7c2)  
T Would the Democratic Party prefer  
1. A MODERATE REDUCTION, OR  
5. A LARGE REDUCTION?  
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN  
9. NA  
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 3, 5, 8-9 in 611; (TEL)

=============================================  
VAR MC0613 J07C-#7 (J7c3)  
T Would the Democratic Party prefer...  
1. A MODERATE INCREASE, OR  
5. A LARGE INCREASE?  
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN  
9. NA  
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 5, 8-9 in 611; (TEL)

=============================================  
VAR MC0614 J07C-#4 (J7c4)  
T Which comes closest to the Democratic Party's position - the government should provide fewer services, or the government should provide more services?

1. FEWER SERVICES  
3. MORE SERVICES  
5. SOME OTHER POSITION (SPECIFY)  
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN  
9. NA  
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 3, 8-9 in 611; (TEL)

=============================================  
VAR MC0615 J07C-SC  
T SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT SERVICES/GOVERNMENT SPENDING SCALE - DEMOCRATIC PARTY -- BUILT FROM V600, V611-V614  
1. A LARGE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES  
2. A MODERATE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES  
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD REDUCTION  
4. IN-BETWEEN - "SOME OTHER POSITION"  
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. A MODERATE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
7. A LARGE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V612/V613/V614)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V612/V613/V614)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; coded 8 or 9 in V611; (TEL)

VAR MC0616 J07D-BR (J7d)

T  What about the Republicans? Do they think that the government should provide fewer services and spend less, provide more services and spend more, or is their position somewhere in-between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE FEWER SERVICES
3. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SERVICES
5. SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; (TEL)

VAR MC0617 J07D-#1 (J7d2)

T  Would the Republican Party prefer...

1. A MODERATE REDUCTION, OR
5. A LARGE REDUCTION?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 3, 5, 8-9 in 616 ; (TEL)

VAR MC0618 J07D-#7 (J7d3)

T  Would the Republican Party prefer...

1. A MODERATE INCREASE, OR
5. A LARGE INCREASE?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 5, 8-9 in 616; (TEL)

VAR MC0619 J07D-#4 (J7d4)

T  Which comes closest to the Republican Party's position - the government should provide fewer services, or the government should provide more services?

1. FEWER SERVICES
3. MORE SERVICES
5. SOME OTHER POSITION (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 3, 8-9 in 616; (TEL)
VAR MC0620 J07D-SC

T    SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT SERVICES/GOVERNMENT SPENDING SCALE - REPUBLICAN PARTY -- BUILT FROM V600, V616-V619

1. A LARGE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
2. A MODERATE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD REDUCTION
4. IN-BETWEEN - "SOME OTHER POSITION"
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. A MODERATE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
7. A LARGE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V617/V618/V619)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V617/V618/V619)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; coded 8 or 9 in 616; (TEL)

VAR MC0621 J07E-BR (J7e)

T    Would you say (Democratic U.S. House candidate) believes that the government should provide fewer services and spend less, provide more services and spend more, or is (Democratic U.S. House candidate)'s position somewhere in-between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE FEWER SERVICES
3. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SERVICES
5. SOMEWHERE IN-BETWEEN
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 23, 24, 32, 34, 52, 53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

VAR MC0622 J07E-#1 (J7e2)

T    Would (Democratic U.S. House candidate) prefer...

1. A MODERATE REDUCTION, OR
5. A LARGE REDUCTION?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 3, 5, 8-9 in 621; 23, 24, 32, 34, 52, 53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

VAR MC0623 J07E-#7 (J7e3)

T    Would (Democratic U.S. House candidate) prefer...

1. A MODERATE INCREASE, OR
5. A LARGE INCREASE?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 5, 8-9 in 621; 23, 24, 32, 34, 52, 53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0624 J07E-#4 (J7e4)

T Which comes closest to (Democratic U.S. House candidate)'s position - the government should provide fewer services, or the government should provide more services?

1. FEWER SERVICES
3. MORE SERVICES
5. SOME OTHER POSITION (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA

0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 3, 8-9 in 621; 23, 24, 32, 34, 52, 53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0625 J07E-SC

T SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT SERVICES/GOVERNMENT SPENDING SCALE - DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE -- BUILT FROM V600, V621-624

1. A LARGE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
2. A MODERATE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD REDUCTION
4. IN-BETWEEN - "SOME OTHER POSITION"
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. A MODERATE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
7. A LARGE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V622/V623/V624)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V622/V623/V624)

0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 000; coded 8 or 9 in 621; 23, 24, 32, 34, 52, 53, 56, 99 in 10; 997 in 102; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0626 J07F-BR (J7f)

T What about (Republican U.S. House candidate)? Does (Republican U.S. House candidate) believe the government should provide fewer services and spend less, should provide more services and spend more, or is (Republican U.S. House candidate)'s position somewhere in-between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE FEWER SERVICES
3. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SERVICES
5. SOMEWHERE IN-BETWEEN
8. DK; NOT CERTAIN
9. NA

0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600 ; 13, 14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)
VAR MC0627 J07F-#1 (J7f2)

T Would (Republican U.S. House candidate) prefer...

1. A MODERATE REDUCTION, OR
5. A LARGE REDUCTION?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 3, 5, 8-9 in 626; 13, 14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99 in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137, 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

VAR MC0628 J07F-#7 (J7f3)

T Would (Republican U.S. House candidate) prefer...

1. A MODERATE INCREASE, OR
5. A LARGE INCREASE?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 5, 8-9 in 526; 13, 14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99, in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

VAR MC0629 J07F-#4 (J7f4)

T Which comes closest to (Republican U.S. House candidate)'s position - the government should provide fewer services, or the government should provide more services?

1. FEWER SERVICES
3. MORE SERVICES
5. SOME OTHER POSITION (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 3, 8-9 in 626; 13, 14, 31, 34, 51, 53, 57, 99, in 10; 997 in 103; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

VAR MC0630 J07F-SC

T SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT SERVICES/GOVERNMENT SPENDING SCALE - REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE - BUILT FROM V600, V626-V629

1. A LARGE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
2. A MODERATE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD REDUCTION
4. IN-BETWEEN - "SOME OTHER POSITION"
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. A MODERATE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
7. A LARGE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V627/V628/V629)
VAR MC0631 J07G-BR (J7g)

T Would you say (Third Party U.S. House candidate) believes the government should provide fewer services and spend less, provide more services and spend more, or is (Third Party U.S. House candidate)'s position somewhere in-between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE FEWER SERVICES
3. GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROVIDE MORE SERVICES
5. SOMEWHERE IN-BETWEEN
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA

0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

VAR MC0632 J07G-#1 (J7g2)

T Would (Third Party US House candidate) prefer...

1. A MODERATE REDUCTION, OR
5. A LARGE REDUCTION?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA

0. INAP, 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 3, 5, 8-9 in 631; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

VAR MC0633 J07G-#7 (J7g3)

T Would (Third Party U.S. House candidate) prefer...

1. A MODERATE INCREASE, OR
5. A LARGE INCREASE?
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA

0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 5, 8-9 in 631; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

VAR MC0634 J07G-#4 (J7g4)

T Which comes closest to (Third Party U.S. House candidate)'s position - the government should provide fewer services, or the government should provide more services?

1. FEWER SERVICES
3. MORE SERVICES
5. SOME OTHER POSITION (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 3, 8-9 in 631; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5; (TEL)

=======================================
VAR MC0635 J07G-SC

T  SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT SERVICES/GOVERNMENT SPENDING SCALE -
THIRD PARTY U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE -- BUILT FROM 600, 631-634

1. A LARGE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
2. A MODERATE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
3. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD REDUCTION
4. IN-BETWEEN - "SOME OTHER POSITION"
5. IN-BETWEEN - LEANS TOWARD INCREASE
6. A MODERATE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
7. A LARGE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V632/V633/V634)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V632/V633/V634)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; coded 8 or 9 in 631; 12, 14, 21, 24, 40, 51-52, 55, 99 in 10; 997 in 104; 3 in 137; 3 in 147; 4-5, 9 in 132 and 2-3 in 5, (TEL)

=======================================
VAR MC0636 J07Z-BR (J7Z)

T  And what is the federal government doing at the present time? Is the government reducing services and spending less, increasing services and spending more, or is it letting things go unchanged?

1. GOVERNMENT REDUCING SERVICES
3. GOVERNMENT INCREASING SERVICES
5. GOVERNMENT LETTING THINGS GO UNCHANGED
8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; (TEL)

=======================================
VAR MC0637 J07Z-#1 (J7z2)

T  Is what the federal government is doing at the present time leading to...

1. A MODERATE REDUCTION, OR
5. A LARGE REDUCTION?
8. DK; NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 3, 5, 8-9 in 636; (TEL)
VAR MC0638 J07Z-#7 (J7z3)

T    Is what the federal government is doing at the present time leading to...

1. A MODERATE INCREASE, OR
5. A LARGE INCREASE?

8. DON'T KNOW, NOT CERTAIN
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; 1, 5, 8-9 in 636; (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0639 J07Z-SC

T    SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT SERVICES/GOVERNMENT SPENDING SCALE - THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S CURRENT POSITION -- BUILT FROM 600, 636-638

1. A LARGE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
2. A MODERATE REDUCTION IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
4. IN-BETWEEN - "SOME OTHER POSITION"
6. A MODERATE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
7. A LARGE INCREASE IN SPENDING AND SERVICES
8. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (DK to V637/V638)
9. INCOMPLETE BRANCHING SEQUENCE (NA to V637/V638)
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 600; coded 8 or 9 in 636; (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0640 TP    DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0641 TP    DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0642 TP    DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0643 TP    DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0644 TP    DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0645 TP    DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0646 TP    DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0647 TP    DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0648 TP    DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

==============================================
VAR MC0649 TP    DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0
VAR MC0650 TP  DUMMY VARIABLE PADDED WITH 0

VAR MC0651 TP  K1. As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0652 TP  (See v651)

K1a. Which party was that?

1. REPUBLICAN
3. BOTH
5. DEMOCRATIC
7. OTHER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 8-9 in 651; (TEL)

VAR MC0653 TP  K2. Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone else supporting a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives call you up or come around and talk to you?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA; DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0654 TP  K2a-1. CANDIDATE NAMED (first mention)

1. Democratic candidate (31, 33, 35 in 656, 657)
2. Republican candidate (32, 34, 36 in 656, 657)
3. Other candidate (37, 39, 80 in 656, 657)
5. Don't know name, only name of party mentioned (95 in 656, 657)
7. Name given not on candidate list for this race (97 in 656, 657)
8. DK (98 in 656, 657)
9. NA (99 in 656, 657)
0. Inap, 5, 8-9 in 653; no further mention in 657; (TEL)
VAR MC0655 P (T) K2aa-2. CANDIDATE NAMED (2nd mention)

BUILT from V657 - PERSONAL INTERVIEW

================================

VAR MC0656 (T)P K2a. Which candidate did they support?
BUILT from V654-V655 - TELEPHONE INTERVIEW:
Code 2 mentions [Mention 1]

Use Candidate Number Code (31-37, 39) EXCEPT:
  80. Minor party candidate; independent candidate
  95. Name of party only mentioned
  97. Name given not on Candidate List for this race
  98. DK
  99. NA
  00. Inap, 5, 8-9 in 653; no further mention; (TEL)

================================

VAR MC0657 (T)P (See V656)

[Mention 2]

Use Candidate Number Code (31-37, 39) EXCEPT:
  80. Minor party candidate; independent candidate
  95. Name of party only mentioned
  97. Name given not on Candidate List for this race
  98. DK
  99. NA
  00. Inap, 5, 8-9 in 653; no further mention; (TEL)

================================

VAR MC0658 P K3. Did you get any mail asking you to contribute money to a political campaign this year?

  1. YES
  5. NO
  8. DON'T KNOW
  9. NA
  0. OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

================================

VAR MC0659 P (See V658)

K3a. Did you make a contribution?

  1. YES
  5. NO
  8. DK
  9. NA
  0. Inap, 0, 5, 8-9 in 658; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

================================

VAR MC0660 TP K4. We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any
people and try to show them why they should vote for
one of the parties or candidates?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0661 TP    K5. Did you go to any political meetings, rallies,
fund-raising dinners, or things like that?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0662 TP    K6. Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties
or candidates?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0663 TP    K7. Did you wear a campaign button or put a campaign
sticker on your car?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0664 TP    K8. Do you belong to any political clubs or
organizations?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)
VAR MC0665 TP  K9. Now, a few questions about giving money during this last election campaign. First, when you filed your Federal income tax return did you use the one-dollar check-off option to make a political contribution this year?

1. YES
5. NO
7. DID NOT FILE FEDERAL INCOME TAX RETURN

8. DON'T KNOW; can't remember
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================================================================
VAR MC0666 (T)P K10. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

1. INTERVIEW TAKEN IN STATE WHICH HAS A CHECK-OFF OPTION ON ITS INCOME TAX FORM (IA, KY, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, ND, NC, OK, RI, UT, WI)
2. INTERVIEW TAKEN IN ANY OTHER STATE

==============================================================================
VAR MC0667 TP  K10a. And on your state tax return; did you make a political contribution by checking off that item on your state income tax return?

1. YES
5. NO
7. DID NOT FILE STATE INCOME TAX RETURN

8. DON'T KNOW; can't remember
9. NA
0. Inap, 2 in 666; (TEL)

==============================================================================
VAR MC0668 TP  K11. What about other political contributions. Did you give any money this year to a candidate running for public office?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================================================================
VAR MC0669 TP  (See V668)

K11a. What office was that candidate running for?
Code 4 mentions [Mention 1]

02. U.S. Senate
03. U.S. House of Representatives
04. Governor
05. State Legislator
06. Other state elective office (include state judicial; Supreme/Appelate Court)
07. County elective office (include county judicial; Circuit Court)
08. Municipal/township elective office (include municipal judicial; District Court)
09. OTHER
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0670 TP       (See V668)

K11b. What party did this candidate belong to?
Code four mentions [Mention 1]

1. Republican
5. Democrat
7. Other
8. Non-partisan; independent
9. NA; DK
0. Inap; no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0671 T     K11c. Did you give money to another candidate?
Code 3 mentions [Mention 1]

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
0. INAP, no further mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0672 TP    (See V669)

[Mention 2]

02. U.S. Senate
03. U.S. House of Representatives
04. Governor
05. State Legislator
06. Other state elective office (include state judicial; Supreme/Appelate Court)
07. County elective office (include county judicial; Circuit Court)
08. Municipal/township elective office (include municipal judicial; District Court)
09. OTHER
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0673 TP  (See V670)

[Mention 2]

1. Republican
5. Democrat
7. Other

8. Non-partisan; independent
9. NA; DK
0. Inap; no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; (TEL)

VAR MC0674 T  (See V671)

[Mention 2]

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
0. INAP, no further mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

VAR MC0675 TP (See V669)

[Mention 3]

02. U.S. Senate
03. U.S. House of Representatives
04. Governor
05. State Legislator
06. Other state elective office (include state judicial; Supreme/Appelate Court)
07. County elective office (include county judicial; Circuit Court)
08. Municipal/township elective office (include municipal judicial; District Court)
09. OTHER
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; (TEL)

VAR MC0676 TP  (See V670)

[Mention 3]

1. Republican
5. Democrat
7. Other

8. Non-partisan; independent
9. NA; DK
0. Inap; no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; (TEL)
VAR MC0678 TP (See V669)

[Mention 4]

02. U.S. Senate
03. U.S. House of Representatives
04. Governor
05. State Legislator
06. Other state elective office (include state judicial; Supreme/Appelate Court)
07. County elective office (include county judicial; Circuit Court)
08. Municipal/township elective office (include municipal judicial; District Court)
09. OTHER
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; (TEL)

VAR MC0679 TP   (See V670)

[Mention 4]

1. Republican
5. Democrat
7. Other

8. Non-partisan; independent
9. NA; DK
0. Inap; no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; (TEL)

VAR MC0677 T     (See V671)

[Mention 3]

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
0. INAP, no further mention; 5, 8-9 in 668; PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

VAR MC0680 TP    K12. Apart from contributions to specific candidates, how about contributions to any of the political parties? Did you give money to a political party during this election year?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)
K12a. Which party was that?  
Code three mentions [Mention 1]

1. Republican  
5. Democrat  
7. Other  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. INAP, no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 680; (TEL)

K12c. Did you give money to any other political party during this election year?  
Code two mentions. [Mention 1]

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DON'T KNOW  
9. NA  
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 680; no further mention; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

K12a. Which party was that?  
Code three mentions [Mention 2]

1. Republican  
5. Democrat  
7. Other  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. INAP, no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 680; (TEL)

K12a. Which party was that?  
Code three mentions [Mention 2]

1. LOCAL  
2. STATE  
3. NATIONAL  
4. LOCAL & STATE  
5. LOCAL & NATIONAL  
6. STATE & NATIONAL  
7. LOCAL, STATE & NATIONAL  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. INAP, no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 680; (TEL)
VAR MC0686 T (See V683)

[Mention 2]

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, 5, 8-9 in 680; no further mention; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

VAR MC0687 TP (See V681)

[Mention 3]

1. Republican
5. Democrat
7. Other
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, no other mention; 5, 8-9 in 680; (TEL)

VAR MC0689 TP K13. Now, what about political action groups such as groups sponsored by a union or a business, or issue groups like the National Rifle Association or the National Organization for Women. Did you give money this election year to a political action group or any other group that supported or opposed particular candidates in this election?

1. YES, has contributed to at least one election fund
5. NO
7. R VOLUNTEERS: Have only paid union/association dues and have not contributed to any other election funds.
8. DON'T KNOW Contributions to Citizen
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0690 T (See V689)

K13AA. Did you contribute to any others?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. INAP, 5, 7-9 in 689; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)
K13a. What kind of organization or issue group was that? Can you give me the name of the group? Did you contribute to any others? (Which ones?)
Code three mentions [Mention 1]

POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE SPONSORED BY:

10. Corporations and other business groups - AMOCO PAC, Civic Involvement Program (G.M. Corp), DART PAC (Dart Ind,), Non-partisan Political Support Committee (G.E. Corp), Real Estate PAC (RPAC), etc.
11. Professional Organizations and associations - American Medical Association PAC, Nursing Association PAC, Attorneys Congressional Campaign Trust, National Educational Association PAC (FACE), etc.
12. Trade Unions - AFL-CIO COPE, Machinists Non-Partisan Political League, Transportation Political Education League, VAW Community Action Program (CAP)
13. Trade Associations */at-large membership
14. Farm Co-operatives - Comm. for Thorough Agricultural Political Education (TAPE), Agricultural and Dairy Educational Political Trust, etc.
15. Ideological/At-large Political groups - Citizens for the Republic, Comm. for the Survival of a Free Congress, Council for a Liveable World, National Committee for an Effective Congress, National Conservative PAC, etc.
18. Religion-centered organizations - Moral Majority, Christian Voice, etc., groups concerned with separation of church and state.
19. Other political action committees

ISSUE GROUPS:

21. Pro-Abortion, Pro-Choice
22. Anti-Abortion, Pro-Life (Right to Life)
23. Abortion - NA whether pro or anti
24. Pro - ERA, Pro-Women's Rights (NOW)
25. Anti - ERA
26. ERA, Women's Rights - NA whether pro or anti
27. prayers in school - pro
28. prayers in school - anti
29. prayers in school - NA whether pro or anti
30. Nuclear weapons, plants - pro
31. Nuclear - anti
32. Nuclear - NA whether pro or anti
33. ethnic, minority issues - pro (NAACP)
34. ethnic, minority issues - anti
35. ethnic - NA whether pro or anti
36. gun control - pro
37. gun control - anti (N.R.A.)
38. gun control - NA whether pro or anti
39. civil liberties - pro (ACLU)
40. civil liberties - anti
41. civil liberties - NA whether pro or anti
42. Ecology, conservation - pro
43. Ecology, conservation - anti
44. Ecology, conservation - NA whether pro or anti
49. Other issue groups
98. DK (V691 only)
99. NA (V691 only)
00. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 689; no further mention

=================================
VAR MC0692 TP   (See V691)

[Mention 2]

POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE SPONSORED BY:

10. Corporations and other business groups - AMOCO PAC, Civic Involvement Program (G.M. Corp), DART PAC (Dart Ind), Non-partisan Political Support Committee (G.E. Corp), Real Estate PAC (RPAC), etc.
11. Professional Organizations and associations - American Medical Association PAC, Nursing Association PAC, Attorneys Congressional Campaign Trust, National Educational Association PAC (PACE), etc.
12. Trade Unions - AFL-CIO COPE, Machinists Non-Partisan Political League, Transportation Political Education League, VAW Community Action Program (CAP)
13. Trade Associations */at-large membership
14. Farm Co-operatives - Comm. for Thorough Agricultural Political Education (TAPE), Agricultural and Dairy Educational Political Trust, etc.
15. Ideological/At-large Political groups - Citizens for the Republic, Comm. for the Survival of a Free Congress, Council for a Liveable World, National Committee for an Effective Congress, National Conservative PAC, etc.
18. Religion-centered organizations - Moral Majority, Christian Voice, etc., groups concerned with separation of church and state.
19. Other other political action committees

ISSUE GROUPS:

21. Pro-Abortion, Pro-Choice
22. Anti-Abortion, Pro-Life (Right to Life)
23. Abortion - NA whether pro or anti
24. Pro - ERA, Pro-Women's Rights (NOW)
25. Anti - ERA
26. ERA, Women's Rights - NA whether pro or anti
27. prayers in school - pro
28. prayers in school - anti
29. prayers in school - NA whether pro or anti
30. Nuclear weapons, plants - pro
31. Nuclear - anti
32. Nuclear - NA whether pro or anti
33. ethnic, minority issues - pro (NAACP)
34. ethnic, minority issues - anti
35. ethnic - NA whether pro or anti
36. gun control - pro
37. gun control - anti (N.R.A.)
38. gun control - NA whether pro or anti
39. civil liberties - pro (ACLU)
40. civil liberties - anti
41. civil liberties - NA whether pro or anti
42. Ecology, conservation - pro
43. Ecology, conservation - anti
44. Ecology, conservation - NA whether pro or anti
49. Other issue groups
98. DK (V691 only)
99. NA (V691 only)
00. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 689; no further mention

=================================================
VAR MC0693 TP       (See V691)

[Mention 3]

POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE SPONSORED BY:

10. Corporations and other business groups - AMOCO PAC, Civic Involvement Program (G.M. Corp), DART PAC (Dart Ind,), Non-partisan Political Support Committee (G.E. Corp), Real Estate PAC (RPAC), etc.
11. Professional Organizations and associations - American Medical Association PAC, Nursing Association PAC, Attorneys Congressional Campaign Trust, National Educational Association PAC (PACE), etc.
12. Trade Unions - AFL-CIO COPE, Machinists Non-Partisan Political League, Transportation Political Education League, VAW Community Action Program (CAP)
13. Trade Associations */at-large membership
14. Farm Co-operatives - Comm. for Thorough Agricultural Political Education (TAPE), Agricultural and Dairy Educational Political Trust, etc.
15. Ideological/At-large Political groups - Citizens for the Republic, Comm. for the Survival of a Free Congress,
Council for a Liveable World, National Committee for an Effective Congress, National Conservative PAC, etc.
18. Religion-centered organizations - Moral Majority, Christian Voice, etc., groups concerned with separation of church and state.
19. Other political action committees

ISSUE GROUPS:

21. Pro-Abortion, Pro-Choice
22. Anti-Abortion, Pro-Life (Right to Life)
23. Abortion - NA whether pro or anti

24. Pro - ERA, Pro-Women's Rights (NOW)
25. Anti - ERA
26. ERA, Women's Rights - NA whether pro or anti

27. prayers in school - pro
28. prayers in school - anti
29. prayers in school - NA whether pro or anti

30. Nuclear weapons, plants - pro
31. Nuclear - anti
32. Nuclear - NA whether pro or anti

33. ethnic, minority issues - pro (NAACP)
34. ethnic, minority issues - anti
35. ethnic - NA whether pro or anti

36. gun control - pro
37. gun control - anti (N.R.A.)
38. gun control - NA whether pro or anti

39. civil liberties - pro (ACLU)
40. civil liberties - anti
41. civil liberties - NA whether pro or anti

42. Ecology, conservation - pro
43. Ecology, conservation - anti
44. Ecology, conservation - NA whether pro or anti

49. Other issue groups

98. DK (V691 only)
99. NA (V691 only)

00. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 689; no further mention

==============================================
VAR MC0694 TP  LI. In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because (they weren't old enough) they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you-- did you vote in the elections this November?
1. YES, DID VOTE---
5. NO, DID NOT VOTE
6. R refuses to say whether voted
7. NOT OLD ENOUGH (see supervisor before using)
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0695 TP L2. Were you registered in this election as being a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or what?

1. DEMOCRAT
2. INDEPENDENT
3. REPUBLICAN
4. OTHER PARTY

R VOLUNTEERS:

5. VOTERS NOT REQUIRED TO DECLARE PARTY
6. VOTERS NOT REQUIRED TO REGISTER
7. I AM NOT REGISTERED
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694

=================================
VAR MC0696 TP L3. Are you registered to vote in the state of (STATE OF INTERVIEW)?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 6-7 in 695 (for PERS only); 6-9 in 695 (for TEL only)

=================================
VAR MC0697 TP L3a. Is your voter registration address different from your current address?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 6-7 in 695 (for PERS only); 6-9 in 695 (for TEL only); 5, 8-9 in 696

=================================
VAR MC0698 TP L4. Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
L11. (R's Registered Outside State of Interview) How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

1. YES
5. NO (personal & telephone: including GA04 & GA05 respondents who didn't vote in special November 30th congressional election, but voted November 2nd)
6. R refused to say whether voted
7. R VOLUNTEERS: VOTED FOR CANDIDATE WHOSE NAME IS NOT ON BALLOT CARD
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA; District of Columbia; Louisiana
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694

============================================
VAR MC0699 (T(P) L4aa/L11aa. CANDIDATE NAMED - U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1. Democratic candidate (31, 33, 35, 81, 83, 85, 91 in V700)
2. Republican candidate (32, 34, 36, 82, 84, 86, 92 in V700)
3. Other candidate (37, 39, 80, 87, 89 in V700)
7. Name given not on candidate list for this race (97 in 700)

8. DK (98 in 700)
9. NA (99 in 700)
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 5-6, 8-9 in 698; District of Columbia; Louisiana

============================================
VAR MC0700 (T) L4a/L11a. Who did you vote for?
Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name. Use Candidate Number Code (31-37, 39) EXCEPT:

80. Minor party candidate; minor independent candidate

Districts with No Running Incumbents:

81. Dem. Candidate
82. Rep. Candidate

Districts with Running Incumbents:

83. Dem. Incumbent
84. Rep. Incumbent
85. Dem. Candidate
86. Rep. Candidate
87. Liberal or Conservative Candidate
*89. Independent Candidate (Applicable for both types of districts)

91. Democrat - No Name Given
92. Republican - No Name Given

97. Name given not on Candidate list for this race
98. DK; refused to name candidate
99. NA

00. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 5-6, 8-9 in 698; District of Columbia; Louisiana

==================================================================
VAR MC0701 (T)P  L5. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

1. U.S. SENATE RACE IN THIS STATE (CANDIDATE LIST INCLUDES AT LEAST ONE NAME FROM #11 - #16) (AZ, CA, CT, FL, IN, MA, ME, MD, MI, MN, MS, MO, NE, NJ, NM, NY, OH, PA, RI, TN, TX, UT, VA, VT, WA, WV, WI)
2. NO U.S. SENATE RACE (NO NAME FROM #11 - #16 ON CANDIDATE LIST) (AL, AR, CO, GA, IL, IA, KY, LA, NC, NH, OK, OR, SC, SD)
9. NA (R Registered Outside State of Interview); District of Columbia

==================================================================
VAR MC0702 TP    L6/L12. How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

1. YES
5. NO (personal & telephone: including GA04 & GA05 respondents who voted in November 30th special congressional elections, but didn't vote November 2nd)
6. R refused to say whether voted
7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO SENATE RACE IN STATE R VOTED (QL12 only)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 2 in 701; District of Columbia; (TEL)

==================================================================
VAR MC0703 (T)P  L6aa/L12aa. CANDIDATE NAMED - U.S. SENATE

1. Democratic candidate (11, 13, 15, 81, 83, 85, 91 in V704)
2. Republican candidate (12, 14, 16, 82, 84, 86, 92 in V704)
3. Other candidate (20, 80, 87, 89 in V704)
7. Name given not on candidate list for this race (97 in V704)
8. DK (98 in V704)
9. NA (99 in V704)
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 2 in 701; 5-9 in 702; District of Columbia; (TEL)

==================================================================
VAR MC0704 (T)P  L6a/L12a. Who did you vote for?
Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name. Use Candidate Number Code (11-16, 20) EXCEPT:

80. Minor Party candidate; minor independent candidate
Districts with No Running Incumbents:
81. Dem. Candidate
82. Rep. Candidate

Districts with Running Incumbents:
83. Dem. Incumbent
84. Rep. Incumbent
85. Dem. Candidate
86. Rep. Candidate
87. Liberal or Conservative Candidate
*89. Independent Candidate (Applicable for both types of districts)
91. Democrat - No Name Given
92. Republican - No Name Given
97. Name given not on Candidate list for this race
98. DK; refused to name candidate
99. NA
00. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694, 2 in 701; 5-9 in 702; District of Columbia; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0705 (T)P L7. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT
1. GOVERNOR'S RACE IN THIS STATE (CANDIDATE LIST INCLUDES AT LEAST ONE NAME FROM #51 - $56) (AL, AZ, AR, CA, CO, CT, FL, GA, IL, IA, MA, ME, MD, MI, MS', NE, NH, NM, NY, OH, OK, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX, VT, WI)
2. NO GOVERNOR'S RACE (NO NAME FROM #51-56 OFF CANDIDATE LIST) (IN, KY, LA, MS, MO, NJ, NC, UT, VA, WA, WV)
9. NA (R Registered Outside State of Interview); District of Columbia

=================================
VAR MC0706 TP L8/L13. How about the election for Governor--did you vote for a candidate for Governor?
1. YES
5. NO (personal & telephone: including GA04 & GA05 respondents who voted in November 30th special congressional elections, but didn't vote November 2nd)
6. R refused to say whether voted
7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO GOVERNOR'S RACE IN STATE R VOTED (QL13 only)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 2 in 705; District of Columbia; (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0707 T(P) L8aa/L13aa. CANDIDATE NAMED - GOVERNOR
1. Democratic candidate (51, 53, 55, 81, 83, 85, 91 in V708)
2. Republican candidate (52, 54, 56, 82, 84, 86, 92 in V708)
3. Other candidate (58, 80, 87, 89 in V708)
7. Name given not on candidate list for this race (97 in V708)

8. DK (98 in V708)
9. NA (99 in V708)
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 2 in 705; 5-9 in 706; District of Columbia; (TEL)

========================================
VAR MC0708 (T) P L8a/13a. Who did you vote for?
Code Candidate Number pre-coded in box to right of name. Use Candidate Number Code (51-56, 58) EXCEPT:

80. Minor party candidate; minor independent candidate

Districts with No Running Incumbents:

81. Dem. Candidate
82. Rep. Candidate

Districts with Running Incumbents:

83. Dem. Incumbent
84. Rep. Incumbent
85. Dem. Candidate
86. Rep. Candidate
87. Liberal or Conservative Candidate
*89. Independent Candidate (Applicable for both types of districts)
91. Democrat - No Name Given
92. Republican - No Name Given
97. Name given not on Candidate list for this race

98. DK; refused to name candidate
99. NA

00. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 2 in 705; 5-9 in 706; District of Columbia; (TEL)

========================================
VAR MC0709 TP L9/L14. How about the elections for the other state and local offices--did you vote a straight ticket, or did you vote for candidates from different parties?

1. STRAIGHT TICKET
5. DIFFERENT PARTIES

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA (personal & telephone: including GA04 & GA05 respondents who voted in special November 30th congressional elections, but didn't vote November 2nd)
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; (TEL)

========================================
VAR MC0710 TP  (See V709)

L9a/L14a. Which party?

1. REPUBLICAN
5. DEMOCRATIC
7. OTHER

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 5, 8-9 in 709; (TEL)

-------------------------------
VAR MC0711 TP  L9b/L14b. Did you vote mostly Republican, mostly Democrat, or about half and half?

1. MOSTLY REPUBLICAN
3. ABOUT HALF&HALF, REPUBLICAN-DEMOCRAT
5. MOSTLY DEMOCRATIC
7. Other

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 7-9 in 694; 1, 8-9 in 709; (TEL)

-------------------------------
VAR MC0712 TP  L15. (R's Who Did Not Vote): Were you registered to vote in this election?

1. YES
5. NO
6. R VOLUNTEERS: VOTERS NOT REQUIRED TO REGISTER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 6-9 in 694; (TEL)

-------------------------------
VAR MC0713 TP  L15a. Were you registered in this election as being a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or what?

1. DEMOCRAT
2. INDEPENDENT
3. REPUBLICAN
4. OTHER PARTY

R VOLUNTEERS:

5. VOTERS NOT REQUIRED TO DECLARE PARTY
6. VOTERS NOT REQUIRED TO REGISTER
7. I AM NOT REGISTERED

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 6 - 9 in 694; 5-6, 8-9 in 712; (TEL)

-------------------------------
VAR MC0714 TP L15b. Are you registered to vote in the state of (STATE OF INTERVIEW)?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 6-9 in 694; 5-6, 8-9 in 712; 6-7 in 713; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0715 TP L15c. Is your voter registration address different from your current address?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 6-9 in 694; 5-6, 8-9 in 712; 6-7 in 713; 5, 8-9 in 714; (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0716 TP DUMMY VARIABLES PADDED WITH O

==============================
VAR MC0721 TP M1. Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the House of Representatives in Washington before the elections (this/last) month? (IF NECESSARY: Which one?)

1. REPUBLICANS
5. DEMOCRATS
7. Other (comments)

8. NO, DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0722 TP M2. Do you happen to know which party elected the most members to the House of Representatives in the elections (this/last) month? (IF NECESSARY: Which one?)

1. REPUBLICANS
5. DEMOCRATS
7. Other (comments)

8. NO, DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==============================
VAR MC0723 TP M3. Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate before the elections (this/last) month? (IF NECESSARY: Which one?)
1. REPUBLICANS
5. DEMOCRATS
7. Other (comments)

8. NO, DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

---------------------------
VAR MC0724 TP    M4. Do you happen to know which party elected the most members to the U.S. Senate in the elections (this/last) month? (IF NECESSARY: Which one?)

1. REPUBLICANS
5. DEMOCRATS
7. Other (comments)

8. NO, DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. (TEL)

---------------------------
VAR MC0725 P     M5. People have different ideas about the government in Washington. These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

Over the years, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what the people think when it decides what to do-- a good deal, some, or not much?

1. A GOOD DEAL
3. SOME
5. NOT MUCH

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

---------------------------
VAR MC0726 P     M6. Do you think that people in the government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it?

1. NOT VERY MUCH
3. SOME
5. A LOT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

---------------------------
VAR MC0727 P     M7. How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right--just about always, most of the time, or only some of the time?
1. ALWAYS
3. MOST OF THE TIME
5. SOME OF THE TIME
7. NONE OF THE TIME

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0728 P     M8. Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?

1. FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL
5. FEW BIG INTERESTS
7. Other, Depends; Both boxes checked; Refused to choose

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0729 P     M9. I'm going to read a couple of things people sometimes tell us when we interview them. As I read please tell me whether you agree or disagree. People like me don't have any say about what the government does.

1. AGREE
5. DISAGREE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0730 P     M10. I don't think public officials care much what people like me think.

1. AGREE
5. DISAGREE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0731 TP    Y1. What is the month and year of your birth?
Yla. MONTH

01. January
02. February
03. March
04. April
05. May
06. June
07. July
08. August
09. September
10. October
11. November
12. December

99. NA; DK
00. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0732 TP Y1b. YEAR

Code actual YEAR EXCEPT:

9999. NA; DK
0000. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0733 TP Y1x. SUMMARY: R's age in years

Month and year of R's birth subtracted from month and year of interview

18-98 = actual age
99 = 99 years old or older
00 = NA; 9999 or 0000 in 732

=================================
VAR MC0734 TP Y2. Are you married now and living with your (husband/wife) -- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married?

1. MARRIED AND LIVING WITH SPOUSE (OR SPOUSE IN SERVICE)
2. NEVER MARRIED
3. DIVORCED
4. SEPARATED
5. WIDOWED
7. Common law marriage; "living together"

9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0735 TP Y3. What is the highest grade of school or year of college you completed?

Code actual grade marked (00-17) EXCEPT:

00. NONE, no grades completed
...
12. Twelve grades completed; Y3a answered "yes"
13. Thirteen years completed; One year of college completed
...
17. Seventeen or more years completed; Five or more years of college completed (17+)
VAR MC0736 TP    Y3a. Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 13-17, 99 in 735; (TEL)

VAR MC0737 TP    Y3d. Have you had any other schooling?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 13-17, 99 in 735; (TEL)

VAR MC0738 TP    Y3b. Do you have a college degree?
1. YES
5. NO; Associate degree only
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 00-12, 98-99 in 735; (TEL)

VAR MC0739 TP    Y3c. What is the highest degree that you have earned?
1. Bachelor's degree: BS, BA, AB, AB IN TH, B ARCH, B CH E, BCL, BCS, BE, B ED, BFA, BJ, B LIT, BSA, BSC, BSED, BSES, BS IN CE, BS IN CHE, BS IN ED, BS IN LS, JCB, LITB, SB, STB, ED
2. Master's degree: MS, MSC, MA MAT, MBA, BDS, MED, MFA, EDM, LIM, MPH, MPA, MS IN LS, MSW, MUSM, SM, STM, MMUS, MFS, MSLs
3. PHD, LITD, SCD, DPA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
4. LLB, JD
5. MD, DDS, DVM, VS, DSC, DO (DOCTOR OF OSTEOPATHY)
6. JDC, STD, THD
7. Honorary degree LLD, DD, LHD
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 00-12, 98-99 in 735; 5, 8-9 in 738; (TEL)
VAR MC0740 (TP) Y3x-R. SUMMARY: R's education

Code number pre-coded in margin. Code highest level attained

01. 8 grades or less, no further schooling
02. 8 grades or less, plus non academic-training
03. 9-11 grades, no further schooling
04. 9-11 grades, plus non-academic training
05. High school diploma, no further schooling
06. High school diploma, plus non-academic training
07. Some college; part of a year to 3 years or more, but no
   degree (include "16" or "17+" if no degree), miscellaneous
   academic courses taken for credit at a junior/community
   college or a four-year college, but no degree
08. Junior or community college level degrees(AA degrees)
09. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree
10. Advanced degree, including LLB

98. DK
99. NA

00. (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0741 P Y3f. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

1. R IS FAMILY HEAD; NO SPOUSE IN FAMILY
2. R IS FAMILY HEAD; LIVING WITH SPOUSE
3. R IS NOT FAMILY HEAD
9. NA; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================================
VAR MC0742 P Y3g. And what is the highest grade of school or year
of college that (the head of the family/your
husband/your wife) completed?

Code actual grade marked (00-17) EXCEPT:

00. NONE: no grades completed
.. .. ..
12. Twelve grades completed; Y3 answered "yes"

13. Thirteen years completed; One year of college completed
.. .. ..
17. Seventeen or more years completed; Five or more years of
   college completed (17+)

98. DK
99. NA

88. Inap, 1 or 9 in 741

==============================================
VAR MC0743 P     (See V742)

Y3h. Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 741; 13-17, 99 in 742

KERNEL MC0744 P     (See V752)

Y3k. Has (he/she) had any other schooling?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 741; 13-17, 99 in 742

KERNEL MC0745 P     (See V752)

Y3i. Does (he/she) have a college degree?

1. YES
5. NO; Associate degree only
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 741; 00-12, 98-99 in 742

KERNEL MC0746 P     (See V752)

Y33. What is the highest degree that (he/she) has earned?

1. Bachelor's degree: BS, BA, AB, AB IX IN, B ARCH, B CH E, BCL, BCS, BE, B ED, BFA, BJ, B LIT, BSA, BSC, BSED, BSES, BS IN CE, BS IN CHE, BS IN ED, BS IN LS, JCB, LITB, SB, STB, ED
2. Master's degree: MS, MSC, MA MAT, MBA, BDS, MED, MFA, EDM, LIM, MPH, MPA, MS IN LS, MSW, MUSE, SM, STM, MMUS, MFS, MSLS
3. PHD, LIID, SCD, DrA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
4. LLB, JD
5. MD, DDS, DVM, VS, DSC, DO (DOCTOR OF OSTEOPATHY)
6. JDC, STD, THD
7. Honorary degree LLD, DO, LED
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 741; 00-12. 98-99 in 742;
VAR MC0747 (P) Y3x-S. SUMMARY: Head/Spouse Education
Code number pre-coded in margin. Code highest level attained

01. 8 grades or less, no further schooling
02. 8 grades or less, plus non academic-training

03. 9-11 grades, no further schooling
04. 9-11 grades, plus non-academic training

05. High school diploma, no further schooling
06. High school diploma, plus non-academic training

07. Some college; part of a year to 3 years or more, but no
degree (include "16" or "17+" if no degree), miscellaneous
academic courses taken for credit at a junior/community
college or a four-year college, but no degree

08. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees)
09. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree

10. Advanced degree, including LLB

98. DK
99. NA

00. Inap, 1 or 9 in 741

==============================
VAR MC0748 TP Y4. We'd like to know if you are working now, or are
you unemployed, retired, (a housewife), (a student),
or what?
Code number pre coded in margin

10. Working Now
15. R volunteers: Working now and retired - currently
working 20 hours or more a week
17. R volunteers: Working now and housewife - currently
working 20 hours or more a week
18. R volunteers: Working now and student - currently
working 20 hours or more a week
20. Temporarily laid off
40. Unemployed
50. Retired - no other occupation
51. R volunteers: Retired and working now - currently
working less than 20 hours a week
60. Permanently disabled
70. Housewife - no other occupation
71. R volunteers: Housewife and working now - currently
working less than 20 hours a week
80. Student - no other occupation
81. R volunteers: Student and working now - currently
working less than 20 hours a week
99. NA
00. (TEL)

(Y5-Y27a)
Y5. What is your main occupation? (What sort of work do you do?) (IF NOT CLEAR: Tell me a little more about what you do.)
Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Occupation Code EXCEPT:
000. Inap, 40, 5Q, 60, 70, 80, 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

Y5a. What kind of (business/industry) is that?
Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Industry Code EXCEPT:
000. Inap, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

Y6. Do you work for someone else, yourself, or what?
1. SOMEONE ELSE
2. BOTH SOMEONE ELSE AND SELF
3. SELF ONLY
9. NA
0. Inap, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 or 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

Y6a. Are you employed by a business or company in the private sector, or do you work for the federal government, or for a state or local government? (IF NECESSARY: Which level of government is that: federal, state or local?)
1. PRIVATE
2. FEDERAL
3. STATE
4. LOCAL
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP; 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 or 99 in 748; 3 or 9 in 753; 00 in 748 (TEL)

Y6b. Does the company that employs you do business mostly with the federal government?
Y6c. Do you do business mostly with the federal government?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 or 99 in 748; 9 in 753; 2-4, 8-9 in 754; 00 in 748 (TEL)

---------------------------------------------
VAR MC0756 TP  Y6d. Has business with the federal government increased or decreased in the last year or so?
1. DECREASED
3. STAYED THE SAME
5. INCREASED
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 or 99 in 748; 9 in 753; 2-4, 8-9 in 754; 00 in 748 (TEL)

---------------------------------------------
VAR MC0757 TP  Y7. About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

Code actual number of HOURS (01-96) EXCEPT:

97. Ninety-seven hours or more
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 or 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

---------------------------------------------
VAR MC0758 P  (See V757)

Y7a. Is that more hours than you want to work, fewer hours than you want to work, or generally about right?
1. FEWER
3. ABOUT RIGHT
5. MORE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 or 99 in 748; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

---------------------------------------------
VAR MC0759 TP  Y8. How worried are you about losing your job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?
1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DON'T KNOW; REFUSED
9. NA
0. Inap, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 or 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

===============================================
VAR MC0760 TP Y9. (IF R IS WORKING NOW): Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last twelve months?
1. YES
5. NO
9. NA
0. Inap, 20, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 or 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

===============================================
VAR MC0761 TP Y9a. (IF R IS WORKING NOW): During the last twelve months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or taken a cut in pay at any time for reasons other than illness or personal choice?
1. YES
5. NO
9. NA
0. Inap, 20, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 or 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

===============================================
VAR MC0762 TP Y10. Have you ever done any work for pay?
1. YES
5. NO
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

===============================================
VAR MC0763 TP Y11. Have you had a job in the past twelve months?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 762; 00 in 748 (TEL)

===============================================
VAR MC0764 TP Y11a. What kind of work did you do on your last regular job? (What was your occupation?)

Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Occupation Code EXCEPT:

000. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 762; 00 in 748 (TEL)
VAR MC0765 TP DUMMY VARIABLE (000/000)

VAR MC0766 TP DUMMY VARIABLE (000/000)

VAR MC0767 TP    Y11b. What kind of (business/industry) was that?

    Code number pre coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Industry
    Code EXCEPT:

    000. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81 or 99
        in 748; 5 or 9 in 762; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0768 TP    Y11c. About how many hours did you work on your job in
the average week?

    Code actual number of HOURS (01-96) EXCEPT:

    97. Ninety-seven hours or more
    98. DK
    99. NA

    00. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81 or 99
        in 748; 5 or 9 in 762; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0769 TP    Y12. Did you work for someone else, were you
self-employed, or what?

    1. SOMEONE ELSE
    2. BOTH SOMEONE ELSE AND SELF
    3. SELF ONLY
    9. NA

    0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81 or 99
        in 748; 5 or 9 in 762; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0770 TP    Y12a. Were you employed by a business or company in the private
sector, or did you work for the federal government, or for a
state or local government? (IF NECESSARY: Which level of
government was that: federal, state or local?)

    1. PRIVATE
    2. FEDERAL
    3. STATE
    4. LOCAL

    8. DK
    9. NA

    0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in
        748; 5 or 9 in 762; 3 or 9 in 769; 00 in 748 (TEL)
VAR MC0771 TP  Y12b. Did the company that employed you do business mostly with the federal government?
Y12c. Did you do business mostly with the federal government?
   1. YES
   5. NO
   8. DK
   9. NA
   0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 762; 9 in 769; 2-4, 8-9 in 770; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0772 TP  Y12d. Had business with the federal government increased or decreased in the last year or so?
   1. DECREASED
   3. STAYED THE SAME
   5. INCREASED
   8. DK
   9. NA
   0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 5 or 9 in 762; 9 in 769; 2-4, 8-9 in 770; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0773 TP  Y13. Are you looking for work at the present time?
   1. YES
   5. NO
   9. NA
   0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0774 TP  Y13a. How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?
   1. A LOT
   3. SOMEWHAT
   5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
   8. DON'T KNOW; REFUSED
   9. NA
   0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 773; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0775 TP  Y14. (If retired) When did you retire?
   Code actual YEAR EXCEPT:
VAR MC0776 TP    Y15. (If perm. disabled) Have you ever done any work for pay?
1. YES
5. NO
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0777 TP    Y16. What kind of work did you do when you worked?
(What was your main occupation?)
Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Occupation Code EXCEPT:
000. Inap, 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 776; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0778 TP DUMMY VARIABLE (000/000)

VAR MC0779 TP DUMMY VARIABLE (000/000)

VAR MC0780 TP    Y16a. What kind of (business/industry) was that?
Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Industry Code EXCEPT:
000. Inap, 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 776; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0781 TP    Y17. Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?
1. SOMEONE ELSE
2. BOTH SOMEONE ELSE AND SELF
3. SELF ONLY
9. NA
0. Inap, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 776; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0782 TP    Y17a. Were you employed by a business or company in the private sector, or did you work for the federal government, or for a state or local government? (IF
NECESSARY: Which level of government was that: federal, state or local?)

1. PRIVATE  
2. FEDERAL  
3. STATE  
4. LOCAL  

8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 776; 3 or 9 in 781; 00 in 748 (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0783 TP Y17b. Did the company that employed you do business mostly with the federal government?  
Y17c. Did you do business mostly with the federal government?  

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 776; 9 in 781; 2-4, 8-9 in 782; 00 in 748 (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0784 TP Y18. During the last twelve months did you do any work for pay?  

1. YES  
5. NO  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 776; 00 in 748 (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0785 TP Y18a. About how many hours a week did you work in the average week when you were working?  

Code actual number of HOURS (01-96) EXCEPT:

97. Ninety-seven hours or more
98. DK
99. NA

00. Inap, 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 776; 5 or 9 in 784; 00 in 748 (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0786 TP Y19. Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?  

1. YES (Present occupation coded in WORKING NOW series)  
3. NO
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 5 or 9 in 776; 00 in 748 (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0787 TP Y20. Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 51, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 786; 00 in 748 (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0788 TP Y20a. How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future: a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DON'T KNOW; REFUSED
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 51, 70-71, 80-81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 786; 5 or 9 in 787; 00 in 748 (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0789 TP Y21. Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

1. YES (Present occupation coded in WORKING NOW series)
5. NO
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 20, 40, 50-51, 60 or 99 in 748; 00 in 748 (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0790 TP Y22. In the last twelve months, did you do any work for pay?

1. YES
5. NO
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 789; 00 in 748 (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0791 TP Y23. What kind of work did you do? (What was your main occupation?)

Code numbers pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Occupation Code EXCEPT:

000. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 789; 5 or 9 in 790; 00 in 748 (TEL)
VAR MC0792 TP DUMMY VARIABLE (000/000)

VAR MC0793 TP DUMMY VARIABLE (000/000)

VAR MC0794 TP Y23a. What kind of (business/industry) was that?

Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Industry Code EXCEPT:

000. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 789; 5 or 9 in 790; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0795 TP Y94. Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
2. BOTH SOMEONE ELSE AND SELF
3. SELF ONLY
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 789; 5 or 9 in 790; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0796 TP Y24a. Were you employed by a business or company in the private sector, or did you work for the federal government, or for a state or local government? (IF NECESSARY: Which level of government was that: federal, state or local?)

1. PRIVATE
2. FEDERAL
3. STATE
4. LOCAL
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 789; 5 or 9 in 790; 3 or 9 in 795; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0797 TP Y24b. Did the company that employed you do business mostly with the federal government?

Y24c. Did you do business mostly with the federal government?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 789; 5 or 9 in 790; 9 in 795; 2-4, 8-9 in 796; 00 in 748 (TEL)
VAR MC0798 TP Y24d. Had business with the federal government increased or decreased in the last year or so?

1. DECREASED
3. STAYED THE SAME
5. INCREASED
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 789; 5 or 9 in 790; 9 in 795; 2-4, 8-9 in 796; 5, 8-9 in 797; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0799 TP Y25. About how many hours a week did you work in the average week when you were working?

Code actual number of HOURS (01-96) EXCEPT:

97. Ninety-seven hours or more
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 789; 5 or 9 in 790; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0800 TP Y26. Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 789; 00 in 748 (TEL)

VAR MC0801 TP Y26a. How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DON'T KNOW; REFUSED
9. NA
0. Inap, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 99 in 748; 1 or 9 in 789; 5 or 9 in 800; 00 in 748 (TEL)
VAR MC0802 (TP) Y26X-R. SUMMARY: R's working status

1. R working now or is retired/housewife/student working 20 hours or more per week (10, 15, 17-18 in 748)
2. R temporarily laid off (20 in 748)
4. R unemployed (40 in 748)
5. R retired and not working 20 hours or more per week (50-51 in 748)
6. R permanently disabled (60 in 748)
7. R housewife not working 20 hours or more per week (70-71 in 748)
8. R student not working 20 hours or more per week (80-81 in 748)
9. R NA to V748 (99 in 748)
0. (TEL) 00 in 748

==============================
VAR MC0803 P Y27. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

1. R IS FAMILY HEAD; NO SPOUSE IN FAMILY
2. R IS FAMILY HEAD, LIVING WITH SPOUSE
3. R IS NOT FAMILY HEAD
9. NA; HEAD/SPOUSE SERIES OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0804 P Y27a. We'd also like to know about (the head of the family/your husband/your wife)... Is (he/she) working now, unemployed, retired, (a housewife), (a student), or what?

10. Working Now
15. R volunteers: Working now and retired - currently working 20 hours or more a week
17. R volunteers: Working now and housewife - currently working 20 hours or more a week
18. R volunteers: Working now and student - currently working 20 hours or more a week
20. Temporarily laid off
40. Unemployed
50. Retired - no other occupation
51. R volunteers: Retired and working now - currently working less than 20 hours a week
60. Permanently disabled
70. Housewife - no other occupation
71. R volunteers: Housewife and working now - currently working less than 20 hours a week
80. Student - no other occupation
81. R volunteers: Student and working now - currently working less than 20 hours a week
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803

==============================
VAR MC0805 P Y28. What is (his/her) main occupation? (What sort of work does (he/she) do?) (IF NOT CLEAR: Tell me a little more about what (he/she) does?)
Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Occupation Code EXCEPT:

000. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 98-99 in 8

==============================================
VAR MC0806 P DUMMY VARIABLES (000/000)

==============================================
VAR MC0807 P DUMMY VARIABLES (000/000)

==============================================
VAR MC0808 P (See V805)
  Y28a. What kind of (business/industry) is that?

Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Industry Code EXCEPT:

000. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 98-99 in 804

==============================================
VAR MC0809 P (See V805)

  Y29. Does (he/she) work for someone else, is (he/she) self-employed or what?

  1. SOMEONE ELSE
  2. BOTH SOMEONE ELSE AND SELF
  3. SELF ONLY
  8. DK
  9. NA
  0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 98-99 in 804

==============================================
VAR MC0810 P  Y29a. Is (he/she) employed by a business or company in the private sector, or does (he/she) work for the federal government, or for a state or local government? (IF NECESSARY: Which level of government is that: federal, state or local?

  1. PRIVATE
  2. FEDERAL
  3. STATE
  4. LOCAL
  8. DK
  9. NA
  0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 98-99 in 804; 3, 8-9 in 809

==============================================
VAR MC0811 P  Y29b. Does the company that employs (him/her) do business mostly with the federal government?

  Y29c. Does (he/she) do business mostly with the federal government?
1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 98-99 in 804; 8-9 in 809; 2-4, 8-9 in 810

==============================================
VAR MC0812 P     Y29d. Has business with the federal government increased or decreased in the last year or so?

1. DECREASED
3. STAYED THE SAME
5. INCREASED

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 98-99 in 804; 8-9 in 809; 2-4, 8-9 in 810; 5, 8-9 in 811

==============================================
VAR MC0813 P     Y30. About how many hours does (he/she) work on (his/her) job in the average week?

Code actual number of HOURS (01-96J EXCEPT:

97. Ninety-seven hours or more
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 98-99 in 804

==============================================
VAR MC0814 P     Y30a. Is that more hours than (he/she) wants to work, fewer hours than (he/she) wants to work, or generally about right?

1. FEWER
3. ABOUT RIGHT
5. MORE

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 98-99 in 804

==============================================
VAR MC0815 P     Y31. How worried is (he/she) about losing (his/her) job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW; REFUSED
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 98-99 in 804

======================================
VAR MC0816 P Y32. (IF HEAD/SPOUSE IS WORKING NOW): Was (he/she) out of work or laid off at any time during the last twelve months?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 20, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 98-99 in 804

======================================
VAR MC0817 P Y32a. (IF HEAD/SPOUSE IS WORKING NOW): Has (he/she) had a reduction in (his/her) hours or take a cut in pay at any time during the last twelve months?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 20, 40, 50, 60, 70, bO, 98-99 in 804

======================================
VAR MC0818 P Y33. Has (he/she) ever done any work for pay?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804

======================================
VAR MC0819 P Y34. Has (he/she) had a job in the past twelve months?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 818

======================================
VAR MC0820 P Y34a. What kind of work did (he/she) do on (his/her) last regular job? (What was (his/her) main occupation)

Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Occupation Code EXCEPT:

000. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 818
VAR MC0821 P DUMMY VARIABLES (OOO/O00)

VAR MC0822 P DUMMY VARIABLES (OOO/O00)

VAR MC0823 P V34b. What kind of (business/industry) was that?
   Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Industry Code EXCEPT:
   000. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 818

VAR MC0824 P Y34c. About how many hours did (he/she) work on (his/her) job in the average week?
   Code actual number of HOURS (01-96) EXCEPT:
   97. Ninety-seven hours or more
   98. DK
   99. NA
   00. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 818

VAR MC0825 P Y35. Did (he/she) work for someone else, was (he/she) self-employed or what?
   1. SOMEONE ELSE
   2. BOTH SOMEONE ELSE AND SELF
   3. SELF
   8. DK
   9. NA
   0. Inap; 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71; 80-81; 98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 818

VAR MC0826 P Y35a. Was (he/she) employed by a business or company in the private sector, or did (he/she) work for the federal government, or for a state or local government? (IF NECESSARY: Which level of government was that: federal, state or local?)
   1. PRIVATE
   2. FEDERAL
   3. STATE
   4. LOCAL
   8. DK
   9. NA
VAR MC0827 P     Y35b. Did the company that employed (him/her) do business mostly with the federal government?
Y35c. Did (he/she) do business mostly with the federal government?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 818; 3, 8-9 in 825

VAR MC0828 P     Y35d. Had business with the federal government increased or decreased in the last year or so?

1. DECREASED
3. STAYED THE SAME
5. INCREASED

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 818; 8-9 in 825; 2-4, 8-9 in 826

VAR MC0829 P     Y36. Is (he/she) looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804

VAR MC0830 P     Y36a. How worried is (he/she) about not being able to find a job in the future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW; REFUSED
9. NA

0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 50-51, 60, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 829
VAR MC0831 P   RETIRED OR PERMANENTLY DISABLED:

   Y37. (IF RETIRED) When did (he/she) retire?

   Code actual YEAR EXCEPT:
       9998. DK
       9999. NA
       0000. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 60, 70-71,
       80-81, 98-99 in 804

====================================================================
VAR MC0832 P     Y38. (IF PERM. DISABLED) Has (he/she) ever done any
work for pay?

           1. YES
           5. NO
          8. DK
          9. NA
          0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 70-71,
          80-81, 98-99 in 804

====================================================================
VAR MC0833 P     Y39. What kind of work did (he/she) do when (he/she)
worked? (What was (his/her) main occupation?)

   Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census
   Occupation Code EXCEPT:
       000. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81,
       98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 832

====================================================================
VAR MC0834 P DUMMY VARIABLES (000/000)

====================================================================
VAR MC0835 P DUMMY VARIABLES (000/000)

====================================================================
VAR MC0836 P     Y39a. What kind of (business/industry) was that?

   Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Industry
   Code EXCEPT:
       000. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81,
       98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 832

====================================================================
VAR MC0837 P     Y40. Did (he/she) work for someone else, was (he/she)
self-employed or what?

           1. SOMEONE ELSE
           2. BOTH SOMEONE ELSE AND SELF
           3. SELF ONLY
VAR MC0838 P Y40a. Was (he/she) employed by a business or company in the private sector, or did (he/she) work for the federal government, or for a state or local government? (IF NECESSARY: Which level of government was that: federal, state or local?)

1. PRIVATE
2. FEDERAL
3. STATE
4. LOCAL

8. DK
9. NA

VAR MC0839 P Y40b. Did the company that employed (him/her) do business mostly with the federal government?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

VAR MC0840 P Y41. During the last twelve months did (he/she) do any work for pay?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

VAR MC0841 P Y41a. About how many hours a week did (he/she) work in the average week when (he/she) was working?

Code actual number of HOURS (01-96) EXCEPT:

97. Ninety-seven hours or more
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 832; 5, 8-9 in 840

==============================================
VAR MC0842 P Y42. Is (he/she) doing any work for pay at the present time?

1. YES (Present occupation coded in WORKING NOW series)
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 17-18, 20, 40, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 5, 8-9 in 832

==============================================
VAR MC0843 P Y43. Is (he/she) looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 51, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 842

==============================================
VAR MC0844 P Y43a. How worried is (he/she) about not being able to find a job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW; REFUSED
9. NA

0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 51, 70-71, 80-81, 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 842; 5, 8-9 in 843

==============================================
VAR MC0845 P HOUSEWIFE OR STUDENTS:

Y44. Is (he/she) doing any work for pay at the present time?

1. YES (Present occupation coded in WORKING NOW series)
5. NO

0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 98-99 in 804

==============================================
VAR MC0846 P Y45. In the last twelve months, did (he/she) do any work for pay?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71
or 81, 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 845

-----------------------------
VAR MC0847 P     Y46. What kind of work did (he/she) do? (What was
(his/her) main occupation?

Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census
Occupation Code EXCEPT:

000. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60,
71 or 81, 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 845; 5, 8-9 in 846

-----------------------------
VAR MC0848 P DUMMY VARIABLE (000/000)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0849 P DUMMY VARIABLE (000/000)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0850 P Y46a. What kind of (business/industry) was that?

Code number pre-coded in margin. Use 3 digit 1970 Census Industry
Code EXCEPT:

000. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60,
71 or 81, 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 845; 5, 8-9 in 846

-----------------------------
VAR MC0851 P     Y47. (Does/did) (he/she) work for someone else, was
(his/her) self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
2. BOTH SOMEONE ELSE AND SELF
3. SELF ONLY
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803, 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60,
71, 81 or 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 845; 5, 8-9 in 846

-----------------------------
VAR MC0852 P     Y47a. Was (he/she) employed by a business or company
in the private sector, or did (he/she) work for the
federal government, or for a state or local
government? (IF NECESSARY: Which level of government
was that: federal, state or local?)

1. PRIVATE
2. FEDERAL
3. STATE
4. LOCAL
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 845; 5, 8-9 in 846; 3, 8-9 in 851

=================================================================

VAR MC0853 P     Y47b. Did the company that employed (him/her) do business mostly with the federal government?
Y47c. Did (he/she) do business mostly with the federal government?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 845; 5, 8-9 in 846; 8-9 in 851; 2-4, 8-9 in 852

=================================================================

VAR MC0854 P     Y47d. Had business with the federal government increased or decreased in the last year or so?

1. DECREASED
3. STAYED THE SAME
5. INCREASED
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 845; 5, 8-9 in 846; 8-9 in 851; 2-4, 8-9 in 852; 5, 8-9 in 853

=================================================================

VAR MC0855 P     Y48. About how many hours a week did (he/she) work in the average week when (he/she) was working?

Code actual number of hours (01-96) EXCEPT:

97. Ninety-seven hours or more
98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 845; 5, 8-9 in 846

=================================================================

VAR MC0856 P     Y49. Is (he/she) looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 845
VAR MC0857 P Y49a. How worried is (he/she) about not being able to find a job--a lot, somewhat or not much at all?

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DON'T KNOW; REFUSED
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 803; 10, 15, 17-18, 20, 40, 50-51, 60, 71, 81 or 98-99 in 804; 1, 8-9 in 845; 5, 8-9 in 856

VAR MC0858 (P) Y49X-H/S. SUMMARY: Head's/Spouse's working status

1. Head/Spouse working now or is retired/housewife/student working 20 hours or more per week (10, 15, 17-18 in 804)
2. Head/Spouse temporarily laid off (20 in 804)
4. Head/Spouse unemployed (40 in 804)
5. Head/Spouse retired and not working 20 hours or more per week (50 or 51 in 804)
6. Head/Spouse permanently disabled (60 in 804)
7. Head/Spouse housewife not working 20 hours or more per week (70-71 in 804)
8. Head/Spouse student not working 20 hours or more per week (80-81 in 804)
9. NA, DK to V804 (98, 99 in 804)
0. No Head/Spouse; 1 or 9 in 803 (00 in 804)

VAR MC0859 TP Y50. Does anyone in this household belong to a labor union?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

VAR MC0860 -862 T (See V859)

Y50aa. Who is it that belongs? (TELEPHONE INTERVIEW)

1. RESPONDENT
2. SPOUSE
3. SOMEONE ELSE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 0, 5, 8 or 9 in 859; NO FURTHER MENTIONS; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW
VAR MC0863 P  (See V859)

Y50a. Who is it that belongs? (PERSONAL INTERVIEW)

11. A  R (WHO IS HEAD) only
12. B  Wife of R (R IS HEAD) only
13. C  R (WHO IS NOT HEAD) only
14. D  Head of Household (R IS NOT HEAD) only
15. E  Someone Else in Household only
21. A,B  R (WHO IS HEAD) and Wife of R
22. A,E  R (WHO IS HEAD) and Someone Else
23. B,E  Wife of R (R IS HEAD) and Someone Else
24. C,D  R (WHO IS NOT HEAD) and Head
25. C,E  R (WHO IS NOT HEAD) and Someone Else
26. D,E  Head of Household (R IS NOT HEAD) and Someone Else
31. A,B,E  R (WHO IS HEAD), Wife of R and Someone Else
32. C,D,E  R (WHO IS NOT HEAD), Head of Household and Someone Else
99. NA
00. Inap, 5, 8-9 in 859; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==================================
VAR MC0864 (T)P  Y51. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

1. R ONLY FAMILY MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER
2. R LIVES WITH OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS AGE 14 OR OLDER
9. NA
0. (TEL)

==================================
VAR MC0865 T  Y52a. To get some idea of people's financial situation, we need to know the general range of incomes of all the families and individuals we talk to. Think of the income before taxes of all members of your family living with you in 1981, and include as income: pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Was the total family income from all sources, including your own, $20,000 or more in 1981 before taxes?
(IF UNCERTAIN: What would be your best guess?)

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0 in 864; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

==================================
VAR MC0866 T  (See V865)

Y52b. Was it $25,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 865; 1, 8-9 in 869; 1, 8-9 in 867; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0867 T (See V865)

Y52c. Was it $30,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 865; 1, 8-9 in 869; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0868 T (See V865)

Y52d. Was it $35,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 865; 1, 8-9 in 869; 5, 8-9 in 867; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0869 T (See V865)

Y52e. Was it $40,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO [GO TO Y52c-V867]

8. DK
9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 865; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

VAR MC0870 T (See V865)

Y52f. Was it $50,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 865; coded 5, 8-9 in 869;
OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW
VAR MC0871 T  (See V865)

Y52g. Was it $5,000 or above?

  1. YES
  5. NO
  8. DK
  9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 1, 8-9 in 865; coded 1, 8-9 in 872;
OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-----------------------------
VAR MC0872 T  (See V865)

Y52h. Was it $10,000 or above?

  1. YES
  5. NO
  8. DK
  9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 1, 8-9 in 865; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-----------------------------
VAR MC0873 T  (See V865)

Y52i. Was it $15,000 or above?

  1. YES
  5. NO
  8. DK
  9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 1, 8-9 in 865; coded 5, 8-9 in 872;
OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-----------------------------
VAR MC0874 P  Y52. Please look at this page and tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 1981 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. (IF UNCERTAIN: What would be your best guess?)

01. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $2,000
02. B. $2,000 - $2,999
03. C. $3,000 - $3,999
04. D. $4,000 - $4,999
05. E. $5,000 - $5,999
06. F. $6,000 - $6,999
07. G. $7,000 - $7,999
08. H. $8,000 - $8,999
09. J. $9,000 - $9,999
10. K. $10,000 - $10,999
11. M. $11,000 - $11,999
12. N. $12,000 - $12,999
13. P. $13,000 - $13,999
14. Q. $14,000 - $14,999
15. R. $15,000 - $16,999
16. S. $17,000 - $19,999
17. T. $20,000 - $22,999
18. U. $23,000 - $24,999
19. V. $25,000 - $29,999
20. W. $30,000 - $34,999
21. X. $35,000 - $49,999
22. Z. $50,000 - AND OVER

88. DON'T KNOW
98. R REFUSED TO ANSWER
99. NA
00. Inap, 9 in 864; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-------------------------------
VAR MC0875 (TP) Y52x. Summary of Family Income
01. Less than $5,000 (01-04)
02. $5,000 - 9,999 (05-09)
03. $10,000 - 14,999 (10-14)
04. $15,000 - 19,999 (15-16)
05. $20,000 - 24,999 (17-18)
06. $25,000 - 29,999 (19)
07. $30,000 - 34,999 (20)
08. $35,000 - 49,999 (21)
10. $50,000 AND OVER (22)

98. TELEPHONE: Incomplete sequence DK or NA to 866-873
99. NA; DK; refused: PERSONAL: 88, 98-99 in 874; TELEPHONE: 8 or 9 in 865
00. INAP, PERSONAL: 9 in 864; TELEPHONE: 0 in 864

-------------------------------
VAR MC0876 T Y53a. To get some idea of people's financial situation, we need to know the general range of incomes of all the individuals we talk to. Think of the income you had in 1981 before taxes, and include as income: salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest and all other income. Was your income from all sources $20,000 or more in 1981 before taxes?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0 or 2 in 864; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-------------------------------
VAR MC0877 T (see V876)

Y53b. Was it $25,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 876; 1, 8-9 in 880; 1, 8-9 in 878; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-------------------------------
VAR MC0878 T (See V876)

Y53c. Was it $30,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 876; 1, 8-9 in 880; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-------------------------------
VAR MC0879 T (See V876)

Y53d. Was it $35,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 876; 1, 8-9 in 880; 5, 8-9 in 878; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-------------------------------
VAR MC0880 T (See V876)

Y53e. Was it $40,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 876; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

-------------------------------
VAR MC0881 T (See V876)

Y53f. Was it $50,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 5, 8-9 in 876; coded 5, 8-9 in 880;
OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0882 T (See V876)

Y53g. Was it $5,000 or above?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 1, 8-9 in 876; coded 1, 8-9 in 883;
OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0883 T (See V876)

Y53h. Was it $10,000 or more?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA, REFUSED
0. INAP, coded 0, 1, 8-9 in 876; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL
INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0884 T (See V876)

Y53i. Was it $15,000 or more?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, coded 0, 1, 8-9 in 876; coded 5, 8 or 9 in 883;
OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0885 P Y52a. Now we are interested in the income that you
yourself received in 1981 not including any of the
income received by (your spouse and) the rest of your
family. Please look at this page and tell me the
income group that includes the income you yourself had
in 1981 before taxes.

Y53. Please look at this page and tell me the letter of the
income group that includes the income you had in 1981 before
taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions,
dividends, interest, and all other income.
Use same code as for 874 EXCEPT:

98. R REFUSED TO ANSWER
99. NA

00. Inap, 98 in 874 and 2 in 864; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

VAR MC0886 (TP) Y53x. Summary of R's Income

01. Less than $5,000 (01-04)
02. $5,000 - 9,999 (05-09)
03. $10,000 - 14,999 (10-14)
04. $15,000 - 19,999 (15-16)
05. $20,000 - 24,999 (17-18)
06. $25,000 - 29,999 (19)
07. $30,000 - 34,999 (20)
08. $35,000 - 49,999 (21)
10. $50,000 AND OVER (22)

98. TELEPHONE: Incomplete sequence DK or NA to 877-884
99. NA; DK; refused: PERSONAL: 98-99 in 885; TELEPHONE: 0 in 864, 8 or 9 in 876

00. INAP, PERSONAL: 98 in 874; TELEPHONE: 0 or 2 in 864

VAR MC0887 P Y54. There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or to the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?

1. YES
5. NO
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0888 P (See V887)

Y54a. Which one?

Y54b. Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?

1. MIDDLE CLASS
2. WORKING CLASS
5. Lower class; poor
6. Upper class
7. OTHER
8. Refuses to accept idea of class
9. NA, DK
0. OMITTED FROM TEL INT
### Social Class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Lower class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Average working</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Working - NA average or upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Upper working</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Average middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Middle class - NA average or upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Upper middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Upper class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Refuses to accept idea of class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA, DK; other; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Religious Preference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Protestant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Catholic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jewish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Something else</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Relationships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CLOSE to middle class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CLOSE to working class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NOT CLOSER to middle class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NOT CLOSER to working class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Miscellaneous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Inap, 5-9 in 888; OMITTED FROM TEL INT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROTESTANT, GENERAL:

100. Protestant, no denomination given
101. Non-denominational (Protestant) church
102. Community church (no denominational basis)
109. OTHER protestant (not listed below)

PROTESTANT, REFORMATION ERA:

110. Presbyterian
111. Lutheran (except Missouri Synod - see 141 or AME - see 121)
112. Congregational
113. Evangelical and Reformed
114. Reformed, Dutch Reformed, or Christian Reformed
115. United Church of Christ (not Church of Christ - see 136)
116. Episcopalian, Anglican, Church of England

PROTESTANT, PIETISTIC:

120. Methodist (except Free Methodist - see 132)
121. African Methodist Episcopal; AME
122. United Brethren; Evangelical Brethren
123. Baptist (except 138, 140) or NA type
124. Disciples of Christ
125. 'Christian'
126. Mennonite; Amish
127. Church of the Brethren

PROTESTANT, NEO-FUNDAMENTALIST:

130. United Missionary; Protestant Missionary
131. Church of God; Holiness
132. Nazarene; Free Methodist
133. Church of God in Christ
134. Plymouth Brethren
135. Pentecostal; Assembly of God
136. Church of Christ
137. Salvation Army
138. Primitive, Free Will, Missionary Fundamentalist, or Gospel Baptist
139. Seventh Day Adventist
140. Southern Baptist
141. Missouri Synod Lutheran
149. OTHER Fundamentalists

NON-TRADITIONAL CHRISTIAN:

150. Christian Scientist
151. Spiritualist
152. Mormon, Latter Day Saints, LDS
153. Unitarian; Universalist
154. Jehovah's Witness
155. Quaker
156. Unity
CATHOLIC:
   200. Roman Catholic

JEWISH:
   300. Jewish

GREEK RITE CATHOLIC:
   700. Greek Rite Catholic

EASTERN ORTHODOX:
   710. Greek Orthodox
   711. Russian Orthodox
   712. Roumanian Orthodox
   713. Serbian Orthodox
   719. OTHER Orthodox

NON-CHRISTIANS, OTHER THAN JEWISH:
   720. Muslim; Mohammedan
   721. Buddhist
   722. Hindu
   723. Bahai
   729. OTHER non-Judeo-Christian religions
   728. Agnostics, Atheists
   790. OTHER religions incl. religious/ethical cults
   996. Refused

   998. DK, NONE; NO PREFERENCE
   999. NA

000. Inap, OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-----------------------------
VAR MC0893 T(P) Y55. Is your religious preference Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

   1. PROTESTANT
   2. ROMAN CATHOLIC
   3. JEWISH
   4. Non-traditional Christians
   5. Eastern Orthodox
   6. Non-Christians; other than Jewish
   7. Other religions/ethical cults
   8. Greek Rite Catholic
   9. None; No Preference; Atheist; DK
   0. NA; (TEL)

-----------------------------
VAR MC0894 TP Y56. Would you say you go to (church/synagogue) every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?
1. EVERY WEEK
2. ALMOST EVERY WEEK
3. ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH
4. A FEW TIMES A YEAR
5. NEVER

8. DK
9. NA
0. (TEL)

-------------------------------
VAR MC0895 TP Y57. In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group? Code 2 mentions. [Mention 1]
USE NATIONALITY CODE EXCEPT:

96. (TEL)
97. Other; combinations not elsewhere codable

98. DK (V895 only)
99. NA; "American" (V895 only)

00. NONE; Nothing; no further mentions; Inap, 00, 98-99 in 895

-------------------------------
VAR MC0896 TP Y57   (See V895)

[Mention 2]
USE NATIONALITY CODE EXCEPT:

96. (TEL)
97. Other; combinations not elsewhere codable

98. DK (V895 only)
99. NA; "American" (V895 only)

00. NONE; Nothing; no further mentions; Inap, 00, 98-99 in 895

-------------------------------
VAR MC0897 (T)P Y57a. INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

1. ONE GROUP MENTIONED IN Y57
2. MORE THAN ONE GROUP MENTIONED IN Y57
9. (TEL)
0. Inap, 00, 98-99 in 895

-------------------------------
VAR MC0898 TP Y57b. (IF MORE THAN ONE GROUP NAMED IN Y57) With which of these groups do you most closely identify?

USE NATIONALITY CODE EXCEPT:

90. NONE; NEITHER
97. Other; combinations not elsewhere codable
99. NA

00. Inap, 00, 98-99 in 895 ; (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0899 TP    Y57c. How strongly do you feel a part of (R'S ETHNIC
GROUP FROM Y57 OR Y57b)? Would you say you identify
very strongly, somewhat strongly, or not strongly?

1. VERY STRONGLY
3. SOMEWHAT STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 00, 98-99 in 895; 90 in 898; (TEL)

==============================================
VAR MC0900 P     Y58. Were both your parents born in this country?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA; OMITTED FROM TEL INI

==============================================
VAR MC0901 P     Y58a. Which country was your father born in?

USE STATE AND COUNTRY CODE EXCEPT:

997. Other (combinations) not codable elsewhere

998. DK
999. NA

000. Inap, 1, 8-9 in 900

==============================================
VAR MC0902 P     Y58b. Which country was your mother born in?

Use same code as for V901 EXCEPT:

000. Inap, 1, 8-9 in 900

==============================================
VAR MC0903 P     Y58c. Do you remember which country your family came
from originally on your father's side?

Use same code as for V901 EXCEPT:

998. DK, "No"
000. Inap, 5 or 9 in 900

==============================================
VAR MC0904 P 

Y58d. Do you remember which country your family came from originally on your mother's side?

Use same code as for V901 EXCEPT:

998. DK, No'
000. Inap, 5 or 9 in 900

============================================

VAR MC0905 P 

Y59. (ASK IF MORE THAN ONE FOREIGN COUNTRY MENTIONED IN Y58) Aside from being American, which country of those you just mentioned do you think of your family as mainly coming from?

USE STATE AND COUNTRY CODE EXCEPT:

997. Other (combinations) not codable elsewhere; all equally
998. DK
999. NA; None; Nothing; American
000. Inap, ONLY ONE FOREIGN COUNTRY MENTIONED; 9 in 900 (i.e., US & 1 FOREIGN COUNTRY IN 901-904 should be coded 000 here)

============================================

VAR MC0906 P 

Y60. What kind of work did your father (OR FATHER SUBSTITUTE) do for a living while you were growing up? (IF NECESSARY, PROBE FOR TYPE OF WORK.)

Code number pre-coded in margin. USE 2 DIGIT CPS OCCUPATION CODE EXCEPT:

98. DK
99. NA
00. Inap, Father wasn't living; not raised by Father; no parallel information for paternal surrogate; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INT

============================================

VAR MC0907 P 

Y61. Other than being a housewife, did your mother (OR MOTHER SUBSTITUTE) have a job while you were growing up?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, Mother wasn't living; not raised by mother; no parallel information for maternal surrogate; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INT

============================================

VAR MC0908 P 

(See V907)

Y61a. What kind of work did she do?
Code number pre-coded in margin. USE 2 DIGIT CPS OCCUPATION CODE EXCEPT:

98. DK
99. NA

00. Inap, 0, 5, 8-9 in 907; OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0909 P Y62. Where were you born? (IF UNITED STATES) Which state?

USE STATE AND COUNTRY CODE

998. DK
999. NA

000. OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INT

VAR MC0910 P Y63. Where was it that you grew up? (IF UNITED STATES)
Which state or states?

USE STATE AND COUNTRY CODE

998. DK
999. NA

000. OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0911 P Y64. Looking at this list, please tell me, were you
brought up mostly in the country, in a town, in a
small city, or in a large city?

1. ON A FARM
2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
4. IN A MEDIUM SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)
5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 - 500,000)
6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY

9. NA
0. OMITTED FROM TEL INT

VAR MC0912 P Y64a. Where did you live when you were about 14 years
old? City and State--Numeric Code

USE CITY CODE EXCEPT:

0001.
...
...
5101.
9996. Foreign Country given -- coded in alphabetic variable
9997. City not on list -- coded in alphabetic variable

9998. DK
9999. NA

0000. OMITTED FROM TEL INT

=================================================================================
VAR MC0913 P Y64a-a. City and Foreign Country - Alphabetic Code
Code actual name of city or foreign country given
Use no more than 30 spaces. Leave unused spaces as blanks. If
name is NA, code * in the :irst space and leave the following
spaces blank.

Entire field blank = Inap; 0000-5101, 9998-9999 in 912

=================================================================================
VAR MC0914 P Y64a-b. State -- Alphabetic Code
AL Alabama
AK Alaska
AZ Arizona
AR Arkansas
CA California
CO Colorado
CT Connecticut
DE Delaware
DC District of Columbia
FL Florida
GA Georgia
HI Hawaii
ID Idaho
IL Illinois
IN Indiana
IA Iowa
KS Kansas
KY Kentucky
LA Louisiana
ME Maine
MD Maryland
MA Massachusetts
MI Michigan
MN Minnesota
MS Mississippi
MO Missouri
MT Montana
NE Nebraska
NV Nevada
NH New Hampshire
NJ New Jersey
NM New Mexico
NY New York
NC North Carolina
ND North Dakota
OH Ohio
OK Oklahoma
OR Oregon
PA Pennsylvania
RI Rhode Island
SC South Carolina
SD South Dakota
TN Tennessee
TX Texas
UT Utah
VT Vermont
VA Virginia
WA Washington
WV West Virginia
WI Wisconsin
WY Wyoming
FC Foreign Country

If name is NA, code * in first space and leave second space blank
If Foreign Country has been coded in V913, code FC in V914
Entire Field Blank = Inap, 0000-5101, 9998-9999 in 912

======================================================================
VAR MC0915 T  Y64b. What is the name of the city or town you live in? (Alpha) IF R LIVES IN RURAL AREA OR ON FARM, ASK FOR NEAREST CITY OR TOWN AND ENTER AS NEAR'

Code actual name of city
0. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

======================================================================
VAR MC0916 T  Y64b. What is the name of the city or town you live in? (Numeric)

Use Cite Code EXCEPT:

  9997. City not on list, see response in 915
  9998. DK
  9999. NA

0000. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

======================================================================
VAR MC0917 T  Y67b. Telephone exchanges and congressional districts have different boundaries. The information we are about to request will help us determine whether you live in the same congressional district as other study participants in your area.

What is the name of the street where you live? How do you spell that? IF R DOES NOT SPECIFY, ASK: Is that Avenue, Street, Lane, Court, Drive, Boulevard, or what?

IF R CAN'T SPECIFY THEN ENTER NAME WITH VERIFIED SPELLING
0. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

======================================================================
VAR MC0918 T     Y67c. And what is the name of the street that meets (street named in Y67b) at the intersection nearest to your home?
   IF R DOES NOT SPECIFY ASK: Is that Avenue, Street, Lane, Court, Drive, Boulevard, or what?
   IF R CAN'T SPECIFY THEN ENTER NAME WITH VERIFIED SPELLING
   IF R RELUCTANT TO GIVE INFORMATION, JUSTIFY AS FOLLOWS:

   Telephone exchanges and congressional districts have different boundaries. Information about your place of residence will help us determine whether you live in the same congressional district as other study participants in your area.
   0. Inap, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW; (TEL)

===============================================
VAR MC0919 (T)P  Y65. How long have you lived here in (CITY, TOWN, COUNTRY)?

   Code actual number of YEARS (01-89) EXCEPT:

   00. Less than six months
   01. 6-12 months; 1 year
   02. 13-24 months; 2 years
   ...
   ...
   ...
   89. 89 or more years

   90. ALL OF LIFE (regardless of number of years)
   95. (TEL)
   98. DK
   99. NA

===============================================
VAR MC0920 T     Y65aa. (IF LESS THAN 2 YEARS) How many months have you lived there?

   ACTUAL NUMBER OF MONTHS (01-23) CODED.

   98. DK
   99. NA

   00. INAP, 3-89, 90, 95, 98, 99 in 919; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

===============================================
VAR MC0921 P     Y65a. Where did you live before you moved here?

   City and State -- Numeric Code

   USE CITY CODE EXCEPT:

   0001.
   ...
   ...
   ...
   5101.
9996. Foreign country given -- coded in alphabetic variable
9997. City not on list -- coded in alphabetic variable
9998. DK
9999. NA
0000. INAP, 90 in 919; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

============================================================================
VAR MC0922 P Y65aa. City and Foreign Country - Alphabetic Code

Code actual name of city or foreign country given. Use same code as for V913 EXCEPT:

Entire Field blank = Inap, 90 in 919; 0000-5101, 9998-9999 in 921

============================================================================
VAR MC0923 P Y65ab. State -- Alphabetic Code

Use same code as for V914 EXCEPT:
Entire Field blank = Inap, 90 in 919; 0000-5101, 9998-9999 in 921

============================================================================
VAR MC0924 (T) P Y66. How long have you lived in this (house/apartment)?
Code actual number of YEARS (01-89). Use same code for V919 EXCEPT:

00. Less than six months
90. ALL OF LIFE (regardless of number of years)
95. (TEL)
98. DK
99. NA

============================================================================
VAR MC0925 T Y66a. (IF LESS THAN 2 YEARS) How many months have you lived in your present home?

ACTUAL NUMBER OF MONTHS (01-23) CODED.

98. DK
99. NA
00. INAP, 3-89, 90, 95, 98, 99 in 924; OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

============================================================================
VAR MC0926 P Y67. (Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or what?

1. OWN
2. RENT
3. Occupancy part of financial arrangement with employer or owner
7. Other

8. DK
9. NA
0. OMITTED FROM TEL INT
Y68a. How many telephones, counting extensions, do you have in your home?

1. ONE
2. TWO
3. THREE
4. FOUR
5. FIVE
6. SIX OR MORE

8. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE
9. REFUSED
0. INAP, OMITTED FROM PERSONAL INTERVIEW

Y68b. Do (both/all) the telephones have the same number?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE
9. REFUSED
0. INAP, 0, 1, 8-9 in 927

Y68c. How many different numbers are there?

2-25. ACTUAL NUMBER ENTERED

98. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE
99. REFUSED

00. INAP, O. 1, 8-9 in 927; 1, 8, 9 in 928

Y68d. Are any of the numbers for business use only?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, 0, 1, 8-9 in 927; 1, 8, 9 in 928

Y68e. How many are used only for business?
1-24. ACTUAL NUMBER ENTERED
98. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE
99. REFUSED
00. INAP, 0, 1, 8-9 in 927; 1, 8, 9 in 928; 5 in 930

=================================
VAR MC0932 TP Z1. Respondent's sex is:

1. MALE
2. FEMALE
9. NA
0. (TEL)

=================================
VAR MC0933 TP Z2. PERSONAL INTERVIEW:

Respondent's race is:

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW:

Would you mind telling me your race? Are you white, black, American Indian or Alaskan native, Asian or Pacific Islander?

1. WHITE
2. BLACK
3. AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKAN NATIVE
4. ASIAN OR PACIFIC ISLANDER
7. OTHER
9. NA
0. (TEL)

This question was asked of respondents in the telephone study. In the face-to-face interview, the interviewer coded race on the basis of personal observation.

=================================
VAR MC0934 TP RACIAL/ETHNIC IDENTIFICATION

PERSONAL INTERVIEW:

Z3. Is R of Hispanic Origin?

1. YES, MEXICAN-AMERICAN, CHICANO
2. YES, PUERTO RICAN
3. YES, CUBAN
4. YES, OTHER HISPANIC
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
In the personal interview, this question was answered by the interviewer from personal observation.

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW:

Z3. And is your ethnic origin Hispanic, that is are you Mexican-American or Chicano, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or some other Hispanic origin?

1. YES, MEXICAN-AMERICAN/CHICANO
2. YES, PUERTO RICAN
3. YES, CUBAN
4. YES, OTHER HISPANIC
5. NO
0. (TEL)

In the telephone interview, respondents were asked Q.Z3 with the wording documented above.

=================================
VAR MC0935 TP Z4. Relationship of R to head:

1. R IS HEAD
2. R IS WIFE
4. Son of Head
5. Daughter of Head
6. Father of Head
7. Mother of Head
8. Other relative
9. NA; (TEL)
0. No relation to Head

=================================
VAR MC0936 P Z5. Other persons present at interview were: (CHECK MORE THAN ONE BOX IF NECESSARY)

00. NONE (only box checked)
01. CHILDREN UNDER 6 ONLY
02. OLDER CHILDREN ONLY
03. Children under 6 and older children
04. SPOUSE ONLY
05. " and children under 6
06. " and older children
07. " and children under 6 and older children
08. OTHER RELATIVES ONLY
09. " and children under 6
10. " and older children
11. " and children under 6 and older children
12. " and spouse
13. " and spouse and children under 6
14. " and spouse and older children
15. " and spouse and children under 6 and older children
16. OTHER ADULTS ONLY
17. " and children under 6
18. " and older children
19. " and children under 6 and older children
20. "" and spouse
21. "" and spouse and children under 6
22. "" and spouse and older children
23. "" and spouse and children under 6 and older children
24. "" and other relatives
25. "" and other relatives and children under 6
26. "" and other relatives and older children
27. "" and other relatives and children under 6 and older children
28. "" and other relatives and spouse
29. "" and other relatives and spouse and children under 6
30. Other adults and other relatives and spouse and children under 6 and older children (all boxes checked in interview schedule)

99. NA; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0937 TP Z6. Respondent's cooperation was:

1. VERY GOOD
2. GOOD
3. FAIR
4. POOR
5. VERY POOR

9. NA

==============================
VAR MC0938 TP Z7. Respondent's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

9. NA

==============================
VAR MC0939 P Z8. Rate R's apparent intelligence:

1. VERY HIGH
2. ABOVE AVERAGE
3. AVERAGE
4. BELOW AVERAGE
5. VERY LOW

9. NA; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

==============================
VAR MC0940 TP Z9. How suspicious did R seem to be about the study', before the interview?

1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
3. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
5. VERY SUSPICIOUS
9. NA

-----------------------------
VAR MC0941 TP Z10. Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. VERY HIGH
2. ABOVE AVERAGE
3. AVERAGE
4. BELOW AVERAGE
5. VERY LOW
9. NA

-----------------------------
VAR MC0942 TP Z11. How sincere did R seem to be in (his/her) answers?

1. COMPLETELY SINCERE
2. USUALLY SINCERE
3. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE
9. NA

-----------------------------
VAR MC0943 P Z13. Do you feel R reported income honestly?

1. YES, THINK R REPORTED HONESTLY
3. R REFUSED INCOME QUESTIONS
5. NO, THINK R REPORTED DISHONESTLY
9. NA; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

-----------------------------
VAR MC0944 P Z13a. If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is: (BEFORE TAXES)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A. NONE OR LESS THAN $2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B. $2,000 - $2,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C. $3,000 - $3,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>D. $4,000 - $4,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>E. $5,000 - $5,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F. $6,000 - $6,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>G. $7,000 - $7,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>H. $8,000 - $8,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>J. $9,000 - $9,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>K. $10,000 - $10,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M. $11,000 - $11,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>N. $12,000 - $12,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>P. $13,000 - $13,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Q. $14,000 - $14,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>R. $15,000 - $16,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>S. $17,000 - $19,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>T. $20,000 - $22,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>U. $23,000 - $24,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>V. $25,000 - $29,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>W. $30,000 - $34,999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
21. X $35,000 - $49,999
22. Z. $50,000 AND OVER
99. NA
00. Inap, 1 or 9 in 943

============
VAR MC0945 TP Z14. Was this interview conducted in English?
1. YES
5. NO
9. NA
0. (TEL)

============
VAR MC0946 P Z14a. In what language was the interview conducted?
1. Spanish
2. French
7. Other
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 or 9 in 945; OMITTED FROM TEL INT

============
VAR MC0947 P Z15. TYPE OF STRUCTURE IN WHICH RESPONDENT LIVES:
1. MOBILE HOME (TRAILER) IN MOBILE HOME (TRAILER) PARK
2. MOBILE HOME (TRAILER) IN OTHER LOCATION
3. BUILDING WITH NO OTHER HU'S (SINGLE FAMILY HOME)
4. BUILDING WITH OTHER HU'S
7. OTHER
9. NA; OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

============
VAR MC0948 P (See V947)

Z15b. About how many units?
Code actual number (1-7) EXCEPT:
7. 7 or more units
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1-3, 7, 9 in 947

============
VAR MC0949 P (See v947)

Z15c. (IF NOT MOBILE HOME OR TRAILER) How many floors are there in this building?
Code actual number of floors (1-7) EXCEPT:

7. 7 or more floors
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1-2, 9 in 947

================================
VAR MC0950 TP FILTER: RECALL AND RECOGNITION OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES -- PERSONAL AND ISR: CATI

This filter variable was constructed to equate the Personal Interview for respondents who had telephones with the ISR: CATI Interview regarding correct recall and correct recognition of the congressional candidate(s) in the district of interview. The user is advised to use this variable in analyses of variables referencing congressional district candidates. (See introduction for further description) If there was not sufficient information to verify respondent's self-assigned district (coded 4 in V17) the assumption was made for this variable that the respondent had correctly assigned themselves and they are coded here according to their self-assignment procedure.

1. Respondent recalled congressional candidate(s) in CD of residence at C02AA (Recall series)
2. Respondent recognized congressional candidates in CD of residence C05M, C05N, C05P (Recognition series) for Personal; C05Q-C05Y (Recognition series) or D02 (Explicit verification) for ISR: CATI
3. Respondent failed to recall or recognize congressional candidate in CD of residence.
9. District of Columbia interview
0. Personal respondent without telephone; Berkeley: CATI respondent (3 in V3)

NOTE: This variable refers only to whether or not the respondent recalled or recognized their congressional candidate(s). Since CATI respondents sometimes volunteered conflicting information in the recall series, candidate recall did not always result in district assignment. For information on how respondents were assigned to a CD see V132.

================================
VAR MC0951 P R1. Was R's phone number obtained in recontact section?

1. Yes
5. No, phone number refused
7. No, no phone in housing unit
9. NA
0. INAP, OMITTED FROM TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

================================
VAR MC0952 TP Type of Congressional Race (House of Representatives) for District identified in V9
Democratic incumbent running:

12. Republican challenger
13. Other challenger
14. Unopposed
19. Republican and other candidates

Republican incumbent running:

21. Democratic challenger
23. Other challenger
24. Unopposed
29. Democratic and other candidates

Other incumbent running:

31. Democratic challenger
32. Republican challenger
34. Unopposed
40. Democratic and Republican incumbents running-- no other candidates
49. Democratic and Republican incumbents running-- other candidates

No Incumbent Representative running:

51. Democratic candidate unopposed
52. Republican candidate unopposed
53. Other candidate unopposed
55. Democratic and Republican candidates
56. Republican and Other candidates
57. Democratic and Other candidates
59. Dem and Repub. and Other candidates

99. District of Columbia
00. Inap, Insufficient information to determine CD in which R resides

==============================================
VAR MC0953       ZIP CD
==============================================
VAR MC0954       Are 2 CDs possible?
1. Yes
5. No
==============================================
VAR MC0955       ZIP CD
==============================================
VAR MC0956       ZIP CD
==============================================
VAR MC0957       ZIP CD
==============================================
VAR MC0958 ZIP CD

VAR MC0959 ZIP CD

VAR MC0960 ZIP CD

VAR MC0961 ZIP CD

VAR MC1000 ZIP CD
>> ABOUT THE EXPRESSIONS IN THE 1982 QUESTIONNAIRE (NAME Y X, Y. OR Z)

The 1982 Election Study questionnaire made provisions to have interviewers fill in district/state candidate names in blank slots like the one depicted above. A comprehensive list of HOUSE, SENATE and GOVERNOR candidate and incumbent names was prepared for each of the 173 districts in the sample and the interviewers used the lists to pre-edit names where appropriate depending on the district of interview. These candidate lists are reproduced in the green pages section of this documentation.

The (NAME #) expression will generally list more than one candidate number. For any given district, however, one of two possibilities will hold: 1) there will be one and only one name in the district candidate list qualifying for inclusion on the basis of the numbers listed in the expression; or 2) there will be no number in the district candidate list matching any of the numbers in the expression. An instance of no matching numbers arises for a question about the candidate challenging a district incumbent when, in fact, the incumbent is running unopposed. Interviewers were instructed to mark "NO INFO" those questions involving unmatched candidate numbers in the (NAME #) expression.

In the candidate list, each candidate or incumbent is assigned a number or code. Numbers beginning with 1 (11-19) are for the Senate, numbers beginning with 3 (31-39) are for the House of Representatives, and numbers beginning with 5 (51-58) are for governors. In addition, the code or number assigned to a name has an intrinsic meaning--it denotes the functional role of that person in the context of the 1982 general elections. For example, candidate number 33 is used for a Democratic House incumbent seeking re-election and playing, therefore, the dual role of House incumbent and Democratic candidate for the House of Representatives in the 1982 elections. The precise meaning of each candidate number used in the study is given below. Needless to say, you will need to understand the scheme that was used in order to work effectively with the questions involving (NAME #) expressions.

Virtually all states redefined congressional district boundaries (redistricted) during 1981 or 1982 in accordance with new 1980 Census population estimates. In the candidate list, the term 'incumbent' denotes a House candidate who was a member of Congress prior to the 1982 general elections. Unlike 1978 and 1980, the incumbent's district in 1982 may consist of a fairly different geographic area than the area covered by the district prior to the boundary changes; therefore, although the 'incumbent' House candidate served in Congress as of the 1982 elections, depending on changes in district boundaries, he or she may or may not have been the survey respondent's district incumbent before 1982.
1. RE: Massachusetts: Edward Kennedy's name is referenced twice in the feeling thermometer for respondents in Massachusetts. Respondents in this state were asked to rate Kennedy only once, at the first location (CAC). The response was then post-edited into the second reference (C5e).

2. RE: Liberal, Conservative, and Independent Candidates The Congressional districts listed below had an independent or minor party candidate running in 1982, in addition to a Democratic and/or Republican candidate.

These third party candidates were included in the description of the Congressional races. The Type of House Race (V10) was coded 13, 19, 23, 29, 49, 56, 57 or 59, as appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CA 43</th>
<th>NY 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA 05</td>
<td>NY 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY 03</td>
<td>NY 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY 04</td>
<td>NY 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY 06</td>
<td>NY 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY 03</td>
<td>NY 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY 04</td>
<td>NY 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY 06</td>
<td>NY 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The minor party candidates were referenced in the following questions only:

- C5p
- J4g
- J7g
- Jlm
- J5g
- L4
- J3g
- J6g

3. RE: New York Congressional Districts: Liberal and Conservative candidates running in New York have been included in all New York districts. In cases where the Democratic and Republican candidates also had the support of the Liberal and Conservative parties, respectively, they are assigned the candidate number appropriate for Democratic or Republican candidates, and treated throughout the questionnaire only as Democratic or Republican candidates.
Since there were no races for the U.S. Senate or the U.S. House of Representatives in the District of Columbia, certain questions in the interview schedule were inappropriate for respondents living in that area. Interviewers in the District of Columbia were instructed to omit questions about the House and Senate races. The list of questions omitted for DC respondents includes:

- C2, C2a, C2b
- C4, C4a, C4b
- C5e-C5p
- E1-E44
- F8, F8a
- Jlc-Jlm
- J3e-J3g
- J4e-J4g
- J5e-J5g
- J6e-J6g
- J7e-J7g
- K2, K2a, K10a
- L4, L4a
- L6, L6a
- L8, L8a
- K10 = 2

Several checkpoint questions were also inappropriate for District of Columbia interviews and were coded as follows:

- C3, E5, E8, F7, L5, L7 = 9
- K10 = 2
According to Louisiana election laws any candidate receiving over fifty per cent of the primary vote is elected to office and does not appear on the ballot in the November general election. In both Congressional Districts, 05 and 07, the candidates were so elected. These races were coded 14, identifying them as districts with incumbents running unopposed (see V.10). The candidate number assigned was 33 - Democratic Incumbent (see Note A).

The vote section, Q L4-L8, was omitted for these districts. Respondents were asked L1-L3 and L9 since they did have the opportunity to vote on other state matters in November. Alterations were also made to the question wording in C2 and E1 (see specific questions for changes).
Congressional districts 04 and 05 in Georgia were late in finalizing a redistricting plan and, as a result, their congressional primary was held November 2, followed by a special House election held November 30. Interviewing in Georgia 04 and 05 was delayed until December 1, after the special House election. Residents of these two Georgia districts did go to the polls November 2 to vote for all other offices and issues on Georgia's 1982 ballot.

To ascertain the voting behavior of respondents in GA04 and 05, question L1 was administered, and if the respondent reported not voting November 2, he/she was asked an additional question about whether they voted in the special November 30th election. (See L1 for question text.) This additional question was not coded separately, but respondents who reported voting in the special House election were recoded to a "1. Yes, did vote" answer in L1. Thus, L1 captures respondent turnout in either the November 2 or the November 30 election for GA04 and GADS respondents.
In the congressional districts listed below, only one major party candidate ran. (Some of these districts may have had minor party opposition.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AL03</th>
<th>MA03</th>
<th>NY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA25</td>
<td>MI01</td>
<td>OH03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL10</td>
<td>MI14</td>
<td>PA02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL17</td>
<td>MS03</td>
<td>TX17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA02</td>
<td>NC10</td>
<td>TX22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL01</td>
<td>NE03</td>
<td>UT03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL08</td>
<td>NJ13</td>
<td>WI09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY01</td>
<td>NY06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA01</td>
<td>NY16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LA0S (See Note D)
*LA07
For States With No Incumbent Senator Running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Candidate Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Democratic Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Republican Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Other Senate Incumbent whose term is not up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Independent Candidate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For States With Running Incumbent Senator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Candidate Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Democratic Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Republican Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Democratic Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Republican Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Other Senate Incumbent whose term is not up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Independent Candidate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For States With No Senate Race

- 17. (1st) Incumbent
- 18. (2nd) Incumbent

For Districts With No Incumbent Representative Running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Candidate Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Democratic Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Republican Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Liberal or Conservative Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Independent Candidate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Districts With Running Incumbent Representative(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Candidate Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Democratic Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Republican Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Democratic Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Republican Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Independent Candidate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For States With No Incumbent Governor Running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Candidate Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51.</td>
<td>Democratic Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.</td>
<td>Republican Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>Independent Candidate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For States With Running Incumbent Governor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Candidate Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53.</td>
<td>Democratic Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.</td>
<td>Republican Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.</td>
<td>Democratic Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.</td>
<td>Republican Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>Independent Candidate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For States With No Governor Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Candidate Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59.</td>
<td>Incumbent Governor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Used in the Vote Section (L) only for respondents who voted outside of district/state of interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For States/Districts With No Incumbents Running</th>
<th>For States/Districts With Running Incumbents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81. Democratic Candidate</td>
<td>83. Democratic Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82. Republican Candidate</td>
<td>84. Republican Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85. Democratic Candidate</td>
<td>86. Republican Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87. Liberal or Conservative Candidate</td>
<td>87. Liberal or Conservative Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89. Independent Candidate</td>
<td>89. Independent Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91. Democrat - No Name Given</td>
<td>91. Democrat - No Name Given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92. Republican - No Name Given</td>
<td>92. Republican - No Name Given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 1982 Method Comparison Study Party Candidate Master Code

### Party Only -- People Within Party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0001</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0002</td>
<td>Kennedy, John; JFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003</td>
<td>Kennedy, Robert; RFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0004</td>
<td>Kennedy, Edward &quot;Ted&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0005</td>
<td>Kennedy, NA which</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006</td>
<td>Truman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0007</td>
<td>Roosevelt; &quot;FDR&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0008</td>
<td>McGovern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0009</td>
<td>Carter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0010</td>
<td>Mondale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0011</td>
<td>McCarthy, Eugene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0012</td>
<td>Humphrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0013</td>
<td>Muskie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0015</td>
<td>Wallace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0031</td>
<td>Eisenhower; Ike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0032</td>
<td>Nixon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0034</td>
<td>Rockefeller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0035</td>
<td>Reagan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0036</td>
<td>Ford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0037</td>
<td>Connally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0039</td>
<td>Kissinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0040</td>
<td>McCarthy, Joseph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0051</td>
<td>Other national party figures (Senators, Congressmen, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0052</td>
<td>Local party figures (city, state, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0053</td>
<td>Good/Young/Experienced leaders; like whole ticket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0054</td>
<td>Bad/Old/Inexperienced leaders; dislike whole ticket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0097</td>
<td>Other people -- within -- party reasons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Party Only -- Party Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0101</td>
<td>Traditional Democratic voter: always been a Democrat; just a Democrat; never been a Republican; just couldn't vote Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0102</td>
<td>Traditional Republican voter: always been a Republican; just a Republican; never been a Democrat; just couldn't vote Democratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0111</td>
<td>Positive, personal, affective terms applied to party -- good/nice people, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0112</td>
<td>Negative, personal, affective terms applied to party -- bad/lazy people, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0121</td>
<td>Can trust them; they keep their promises; you know where they stand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0122</td>
<td>Can't trust them; they break their promises; you don't know where they stand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0131</td>
<td>Party is well-organized, sticks together, is united; members are disciplined; votes party line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0132</td>
<td>Party is poorly-organized/really two parties/divided/factionalized; members not disciplined; doesn't vote party line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0133</td>
<td>Party is (more) representative/good cross-section of the country; encompasses a wider variety of views/people; is more at the center of the country's views</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Party is (less) representative/bad cross-section of the country; encompasses more restricted views; is less at the center of the country's views

Reference to party's most recent National Convention; party's process/method of selecting presidential/vice-presidential candidates

Performance of local branch of party; how they've done in this state/county/town

Reference to the predominant faction that R sees as being in control of the party (NA which faction); "I don't like the people running it"

Reference to Northerners/Liberals (as in control) of Democratic Party

Reference to Southerners/Conservatives (as in control) of Democratic Party

Reference to Easterners/Liberals/Moderates (as in control) of Republican Party

Reference to Midwesterners/Westerners/Southerners/Conservatives (as in control) of Republican Party

Can't win; doesn't have a chance

Can win; party can't be beat

Too big a party; there are too many of them; party is too powerful

Too small a party; there are not enough of them; party is too weak

Listens (more) to people; takes (more) into consideration the needs and wants of people; understands (better) the people/the majority of the people

Doesn't listen to/understand the needs and wants of the people/the majority of the people

Campaign tactics, uses too much money in campaigns, slings mud

Other party-characteristic reasons

CANDIDATE ONLY -- EXPERIENCE, ABILITY

General reference to him as "a good/bad man or a good/bad guy"; R has heard good/bad things about him; qualifications; general ability; reference to his "personality"

Experienced (NA what kind) (see 0217, 0218 0220 for specific kinds of experience; if in foreign policy see 1100's)

Inexperienced

Dependable/Trustworthy/Reliable; a man you can trust with the responsibilities of government ("trust" in the capability sense; rather than the honesty sense)

Undependable/Untrustworthy/Unreliable; a man you can't trust with the responsibilities of government

A military man; a good military/war record

Not a military man; bad military/war record; no military/war record

His record in public service; how well he's performed in previous offices; voting record in Congress

Has government experience/political experience/seniority/incumbency

Lacks government experience/political experience

A statesman; has diplomatic experience

Not a statesman; lacks diplomatic experience

"He has done a good job so far"; He has brought us through hard times"; has gotten things done; has some good ideas; trying to do right things

Hasn't done anything; hasn't produced any results (general); has not been able to get programs off the ground

Has fulfilled/kept (campaign) promises

Has not fulfilled/kept (campaign) promises

Other candidate experience/ability reasons
CANDIDATE ONLY -- CANDIDATE LEADERSHIP QUALITIES

0301    Dignified/has dignity
0302    Undignified/lacks dignity
0303    Strong/decisive/self-confident/aggressive; will end all this indecision
0304    Weak/indecisive lacks self-confidence/vacillating
0305    Inspiring; a man you can follow; "a leader"
0306    Uninspiring; not a man you can follow; not a leader
0307    People have confidence in him
0308    People don't have confidence in him
0309    Good at communicating with blacks, young people, other "problem" groups
0310    Bad at communicating with blacks, young people, other "problem" groups (if communicate in general - see 0441, 0442)
0311    Knows how to handle people (at personal level)
0312    Doesn't know how to handle people (at personal level)
0313    A politician/political person; (too) much in politics; a good politician; part of Washington crowd; politically motivated; just wants to be reelected
0314    Not a Politician; not in politics; above politics; a bad politician
0315    Independent; no one runs him; his own boss
0316    Not independent; run by others; not his own man/boss
0317    Humble; knows his limitations; doesn't pretend to know all the answers
0318    Not humble enough; too cocky/self-confident
0319    (Too) Careful/Cautious/Good judgment
0320    (Too) Impulsive/Careless/Bad/Poor judgment
0321    Helps People in the district on a personal level; has helped R personally with a problem (specific mention); tries to do things for the people
0322    Doesn't help people in the district on a personal level; was not helpful to R with a personal problem (specific mention) .
0323    Represents (well) the views of the district; close to people in the district; comes home regularly to chat and mix with people
0324    Does not represent (well) the views of the district; not close to the people in the district; doesn't interact enough with the people
0325    Keeps people well informed about governmental matters; communicates with constituents; any mention of R receiving newsletters or communications from him/her; explains well matters so people can understand
0326    Does not inform people enough about governmental matters; does not send enough newsletters or communications; doesn't explain well matters
0327    Listens to the people/solicits public opinion; any mention of polls or questionnaires; is accessible to constituents (NFS)
0328    Doesn't listen to the people/does not solicit public opinion; isn't accessible to constituents (NFS)
0329    Has helped local (district) economy; brought money, projects, job to district
0330    Has not helped local (district) economy; not brought money, projects, job to district
0331    Candidate helps the district; watches out for the interests of the district or region in general
Candidate has not protected/watched out for the interests of the district (specific mentions)

Other candidate leadership reasons

CANDIDATE ONLY -- PERSONAL QUALITIES

Honest/Sincere; keeps promises; man of integrity; means what he says; fair; not tricky; open and candid; straightforward; positive Playboy references -

Dishonest/Insincere; breaks promises; no integrity; doesn't mean what he says; tricky; not open and candid, not straightforward

Man of high principles/ideals; high moral purpose; idealistic (if too idealistic, code 0416)

Lacks principles/ideals

Racist/Bigoted/Prejudiced

Not a racist/bigoted/prejudiced

Public servant; man of duty; conscientious; hard-working; would be full-time President; good attendance record in Congress; dedicated; genuinely interested in serving people

Does not take Public service seriously; lazy; would be a part-time President; poor attendance record in office; not dedicated; not really interested in serving people

Doesn't use office for personal benefit; not in office to maximize personal benefit

Uses/in office (mostly) for personal benefits (junket trips, big salary, other perks)

Patriotic

Unpatriotic

Understands the nation's/district's problems; well-informed; studies up on issues

Doesn't understand the nation's/district's problems; poorly informed; doesn't study up on issues

Realistic

Unrealistic; too idealistic; (if "idealistic" in positive sense, code 0403)

Uses common sense; makes a lot of sense; pragmatic/practical/down-to-earth

Not sensible; impractical

(Too) Well educated; scholarly

Poorly educated; unschooled

Intelligent/Smart

Unintelligent/Stupid/Dumb

Religious; "moral" (in religious sense); God-fearing; "too" religious

Irreligious; "immoral" (in religious sense); Playboy interview (reflects on Carter)

Self-made; not well off; started out as poor; worked his way up; (started out) unpolished/unrefined/rough

Wealthy rich; born with silver spoon in mouth; polished/refined/well mannered

Old hat; has run before; a die-hard; "a loser" (in the past)

Someone new; a fresh face

Don't change horses in midstream

Time for a change

Unsafe/Unstable; dictatorial; craves power; ruthless

Safe/Stable
0433 Sense of humor; jokes a lot (too much)
0434 No sense of humor; humorless (too serious)
0435 Kind/Warm/Gentle
0436 Cold/Aloof
0437 Likeable, gets along with people, friendly, outgoing
0438 Not likeable, can't get along with people
0439 Democratic (in non-partisan sense)
0440 Undemocratic (in non-partisan sense)
0441 High-fallutin'/High-brow; talks in circles, can't talk to common man; can't communicate ideas well
0442 Not high-fallutin'/is low-brow; talks straight; can talk to common man; can communicate ideas well
0443 Well-known; "I know him/her"
0444 Unknown/Not well known
0445 Reference to his family (not 0457) (including "Billygate")
0446 Reference to his w /spouse
0447 Speaking ability
0448 Health
0449 Appearance/Looks/Face/Appearance on TV; his smile
0450 Age (NA how perceived)
0451 (Too) Old
0452 (Too) Young
0453 Mature
0454 Immature
0455 Regional reference; "he's a Southerner"; "he's a Midwesterner"; he comes from the country/a rural area; area reference
0456 Previous occupation; "Carter's a farmer"
0457 He's a family man
0458 Energetic; too energetic
0459 Not energetic
0460 Gender, e.g., "She's a woman"
0461 Racial/Ethnic attribute; "He is a black man"
0462 Other negative personal qualities
0463 Other positive personal qualities
0464 Other candidate personal qualities
0465 References to Playboy interview - NA direction or neutral; "it's OK," "that is what the Bible says" (not 0401)

CANDIDATE ONLY -- PARTY CONNECTIONS

0500 A Democrat; good Democrat; typical Democrat
0501 A Republican; good Republican; typical Republican
0502 Controlled by party regulars/bosses, machine
0503 Not controlled by party regulars/bosses
0504 Reference to men around him/staff/followers
0505 Reference to his speeches (except 0447), campaign tactics; mud-slinging
0506 Can win; best choice for party victory
0507 Cannot win; not good choice for party victory
0508 Reference to linkage with other party figures (he's close to the Kennedys, he was close to Eisenhower, etc.)
0509 Would continue/keep/follow Democratic policies (unspecified)
0510 Would change/get rid of "
0511 Would continue/keep/follow Democratic domestic policies (unspecified, not codeable in 0900's)
0512 Would change/get rid of "
0513 Would continue/keep/follow Democratic foreign Policies
(unspecified, not codeable in 1000's)
0514 Would change/get rid of "
0515 Would continue/keep/follow Republican Policies (unspecified)
0516 Would change/get rid of "
0517 Would continue/keep/follow Republican domestic Policies
(unspecified, not codeable in 0900's)
0518 Would change/get rid of "
0519 Would continue/keep/follow Republican foreign policies
(unspecified, not codeable in 1000's)
0520 Would change/get rid of "
0531 More liberal than most Democrats; a Northern Democrat
0532 More conservative " ; a Southern Democrat
0533 More liberal than most Republicans; an Eastern Republican
0534 More conservative " ; a Midwestern/Western/Southern Republican
0535 Will bring in/listen to the (party) liberals
0536 Will bring in/listen to the (party) conservatives
0541 Reference to the Eagleton affair
0542 Reference to vice-presidential incumbent/candidate, running mate
(pre. 1980; reference to Agnew)
0551 References to link with "Watergate" -- positive reference to
Watergate
0552 Not associated with "Watergate" -- negative reference to
Watergate; making too much out of Watergate
0553 Ford's pardon of Nixon -- NA direction or against pardon
0554 " -- pro; brave/right thing to do
0555 Positive references about Anderson's independent candidacy; maybe
the country needs a third party, third parties should have more
recognition; the two party system needs bucking
0556 Negative references/liabilities related to Anderson's independent
candidacy; "he's an independent" (NFS); "we don't need a third
party"; "he lacks backing from a party" -
0597 Other candidate party connection reasons

PARTY OR CANDIDATE - GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT

0601 Good/Efficient/Businesslike administration; balance budget;
lower/wouldn't increase national debt; cautious spending
0602 Bad/Inefficient/Unbusinesslike administration; wasteful;
"bureaucratic"; deficit budget; higher/increased national debt;
overspend
0603 Honest government; not corrupt; no "mess in Washington"
0604 Dishonest/Corrupt government; "mess in Washington"; immorality in
government; reference to Hayes, Mills; Lance
0605 (Would) Spend less (than other side); (would) spend too little
0606 (Would) Spend more (than other side); (would) spend too much
0607 Has brought/Will bring about bureaucratic reform
0608 Has not brought/Will not bring about bureaucratic reform
0609 General assessment of fob he/she would do/are doing; is good/bad
President; prior are providing good/bad administration
0610 Reference to management/performance in Congress/Supreme
Court/other government agency
0611 He has/has not worked well with (Democratic) Congress;
would/could have done better with (Republican) Congress; he
kept/would keep Congress in check
0612 He will work well/better with Democratic Congress
0613 Gets more done/accomplishes more/more productive
0614  Gets less done/don't accomplish as much/less productive
0615  Sympathy/understanding expressed for the complexity/magnitude of the job (e.g. President): tough job
0616  Sympathy/understanding expressed for the difficult situation ("a mess") inherited by the incumbent
0617  Will face (difficult) issues; faces problems directly; faces up to political reality
0618  Will not face (difficult) issues; will not face problems directly; ignores political reality
0697  Other government management reasons

PARTY OR CANDIDATE -- MISCELLANEOUS

0701  Just like him/them (NA why); like everything about him/them; "I was hoping he prior would win the (nomination/primaries")
0702  Just dislike/Don't like him/them (NA why); don't like anything about him/them
0703  Will save America; America needs him/them -
0704  Will ruin America; last thing America needs
0705  Will unite Americans/bring people together
0706  Will divide Americans/drive people apart
0707  Speaks of party/candidate as good protector(s); will know what to do; more intelligent
0708  Speaks of party/candidate as bad protector(s); won't know what to do
0709  Good for country (unspecified); trying to do good job; trying; not just out for self/own best interest; has/have country's interests at heart
0710  Bad for country (unspecified); don't have country's interests at heart; only looking out for their own interests
0711  Lesser of two evils
0719  Reference to Chappaquidick; Kennedy's personal problems
0720  Reference to Watergate affair
0721  The way the incumbent came to office; the people should select President
0722  The incumbent should have a chance (on his own)/another chance/second chance
0723  (I believe in/Necessary for a) two-party system; choice between candidates; opposition; balances power of other party
0724  Vote for the man rather than party; look for more qualified man; don't pay attention to parties
0725  The opponent who the candidate ran against; the candidate was the better of the two in general; the candidate ran against someone I really dislike
0796  References to unfair/undeserved/excessive criticism by media or public
0797  Other miscellaneous reasons; other miscellaneous reasons relating to image and candidate/party effect on nation
0726  Splits votes; will elect wrong candidate, "spoiler"
0737  Expression of sympathy/admiration for the candidate's underdog position; trying hard against terrible odds, courageous uphill battle; "I like underdogs"; "they are bucking the guy" (Keeping him off ballot, not taking him seriously, not giving him enough publicity)
0728  Negative comments about the candidate's switching parties, being a turncoat, disloyal to his original party
PARTY OR CANDIDATE -- GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY/PHILOSOPHY

0801 General assessment of ideas/policies/stands (specified)
0802 Different from other party/candidate
0803 Same as other party/candidate; not different enough issues (Too) negative; always tearing town other site; no solutions of his/they're Cloned
0805 For government activity; believe government should take care of things; for big government; supports social programs/spending (not 905-907)
0806 Against government activity; believe government involved in too many things; favors reduction in social programs/spending (not 905-907)
0807 Humanistic; favor human beings over property rights
0808 Not humanistic; favor property rights over human beings
0809 Favor social change/reform/progress/improvement of social conditions
0810 Against social change/reform/progress/improvement of social conditions
0811 Socialistic
0812 Anti-socialistic
0813 Communist/soft on Communism/apologist for Communists/dupe
0814 (Too) anti-Communist/hard-liner on Communism
0815 (Too) liberal (except 0531 or 0533)
0816 (Too) conservative (except 0532 or 0534)
0817 Moderate/middle of the road/for slow change; not an extremist/fanatic
0818 Extremist/fanatic/too far out; not too moderate/not a fence-sitter
0819 Pro Far Right/Birchers/reactionaries; encouraging fascist/police state
0820 Anti " " " ; discouraging
0821 Pro Far Left/radials/Yippies/SDS; encouraging anarchy/guerilla state
0822 Anti " " " " ; discouraging
0823 Pro Extremists (NA direction)/nuts/bomb-throwers
0824 Anti " " " "
0827 Pro States'/local/Community Rights; better local government
0828 Anti " " ; worse/weaker local government
0829 For equality; believe everyone should have things equally/be treated equally
0830 Anti-equality; believe some people should have more than others/people should not be treated equally
0831 Generous, compassionate, believe in helping others
0832 Selfish, only help themselves
0833 Acceptance of change/new ideas; less bound to status quo, more open to new ideas/ways of doing things; flexible, innovative
0834 Resistance to change/new ideas; stick to (protect) status quo, resist new - ways of doing things; rigid
0835 Has a well-defined set of beliefs/definite philosophy; does not compromise on principles; has (clear) understanding of goals they stand for
0836 Has poorly defined set of beliefs; lacks a definite philosophy; compromise on principles; has no (clear) understanding of goals they stand for
0837 Favors work ethic; believes in self-reliance/in people working hard to get ahead
0838 Doesn't favor work ethic; believes in people being handed things/in government, handouts (If specific policy mentioned, code in 900's)
0841 Keep track of/control over administration heads, cabinet members, etc.; follow through on policies; determine if programs are working 0842 Don't (as in 0841)
0843 Conditional evaluation: R suggests candidate/party cannot solve problems because not under his/their control (no negative connotations); will he/they be able to do what they say (determining factor outside his/their control); "I like what he says but wonder if he can do it" (if clearly negative, code in 0122 or 0402)
0845 Will involve/wants to involve people in government/decision making; will involve/wants to involve Congress/cabinet/advisors/other government officials
0846 Will not involve people/congress/cabinet/advisors/other government officials in government/decision making
0847 Separation of church and state/religion and politics--pro
0848 Separation of church and state/religion and politics--anti
0897 Other Government Activity/Philosophy Reasons

PARTY OR CANDIDATE -- DOMESTIC POLICIES

0900 General assessment of domestic ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)
0901 " of economic policy (unspecified)
0902 Government Economic Control
0903 " -- Pro; we need planned economy; control o
0904 " -- anti; we have too much interference in private enterprise
0905 Welfare/Poverty problems -- NA direction; give-aways
0906 " -- pro government aid/activity
0907 " -- anti government aid/activity; anti give-aways; pro self-help
0908 Social security/Pensions -- NA direction
0909 " -- pro expansion of coverage and/or increase in benefits
0910 " -- anti expansion of coverage and/or increase in benefits; favoring contraction and/or decrease
0911 Unemployment compensation -- NA direction
0912 " -- Pro expansion in coverage and/or increase in benefits
0913 " -- anti expansion in coverage and/or increase in benefits; favoring contraction and/or decrease
0914 Aid to education -- NA direction
0915 " -- pro
0916 " -- anti
0917 Aid to parochial schools -- NA direction
0918 " -
0919 " -- anti
0920 Housing -- NA direction
0921 " -- pro more public housing
0922 " -- anti more public housing
0923 Aid/Programs for older people/the aged, Medicare, Medicaid -- NA direction
0924 " -- pro
0925 " -- anti
0926 Monetary policy
0927 " -- pro loose(r) money; more availability of loans for housing, cars, etc.; lower interest rates
" -- anti loose(r) money; for tight money; less availability of loans; higher interest rates

Tax policy -- NA direction
" -- pro lower taxes
" -- anti lower taxes; for higher taxes
" -- pro reform/fairer system/end of loop-holes/write-offs/dodges
" -- anti reform/fairer system/end of loop-holes/write-offs/dodges

"The Times"/General condition~/Prosperity/The Economy -- better under him/them
" -- worse 

Inflation/Cost of living -- lower/better under him/them
" -- higher/worse

Wages/Salaries/Income/Employment -- higher better under him/them

Prices for producers -- higher/better under him/them
" -- lower/worse " (if farm, see 0943-0945)

General assessment of farm policy (unspecified)

Price supports for farmers -- NA direction
" -- pro higher levels and/or fairer system
" -- anti higher levels and/or change in system

Civil rights/Racial justice/Integration/Desegregation/Voting rights -- NA direction
" -- pro
" -- anti

Civil liberties/Freedom of expression/First Amendment/Privacy -- NA direction
" -- pro; against snooping, pol. trials, etc.
" -- anti// for snooping, pol. trials; etc. McCarthyite

General assessment of labor policy (unspecified)

Right to work laws -- NA direction
" -- pro (i.e., opposes unions (anti-labor, code 1208)
" -- anti (i.e., supports unions (pro-labor, code 1207)

 Strikes -- NA direction
" -- will have fewer/will handle better
" -- will have more/will handle worse

Public power/Utilities/TVA/Atomic Reactors/Nuclear power plants/Etc.-- NA direction
" -- anti

Ecology: Air and Water Pollution -- NA direction
" -- will crack down on polluters, will be activist
" -- won't crack down on polluters; doesn't care; in league with polluters

Veteran's Benefits -- NA direction
" -- pro expansion of coverage and/or increase in benefits
" -- anti expansion of coverage and/or increase in benefits; favoring contraction and/or decrease

Law and order -- NA direction
" -- soft line -- unspecified
" -- " -- blacks
" -- " -- campus demonstrators
" -- " -- criminals/organized crime/hoodlums/street crime
" -- " -- anti power of police; court interference
" -- hard line -- unspecified
" -- " -- blacks
" -- " -- campus demonstrators
" -- " -- criminals/organized crime/hoodlums/street crime
0978 " -- " -- pro power of police; reduced court interference
0979 Public morality -- NA direction
0980 " -- Strict/older/traditionalistic outlook; improve/renew
morality of country
0981 " -- permissive/newer/modernistic outlook
0982 Drugs -- NA direction
0983 " -- pro legalization/decriminalization; soft-liner
0984 " -- anti legalization/decriminalization; hard-liner
0985 Abortion and birth control -- NA direction
0986 " -- pro reform/legalization; new outlook
0987 " -- anti reform/legalization; traditional outlook
0988 Gun control -- NA direction
0989 " -- anti; "everyone has the right to own a gun"
0990 Busing -- NA direction
0992 " -- pro; against neighborhood school
0993 " -- anti; for neighborhood school
0994 Urban problem/Cities -- NA direction
0995 " -- pro government aid/activity
0996 " -- anti government aid/activity
0997 Other domestic policy reasons
1001 National Health Insurance -- NA direction
1002 " -- pro
1003 " -- anti
1004 Energy/Gas shortage -- Development of alternative energy source,
NA direction
1005 " -- pro development of alternative energy source, better/handled
better; more fuel
1006 " -- anti development of alternative energy source, worse/handled
worse; less fuel References to nuclear energy should be coded in
0959
1007 Government programs to make more jobs -- NA direction; make-work
programs; CETA; WPA; CCA
1008 " -- pro
1009 " -- anti
1010 Confidence/Trust in government -- NA direction
1011 " -- would handle better; restore confidence
1012 " -- would handle worse; cause loss of confidence
1013 ERA; women's rights -- NA direction
1014 " -- pro
1015 " -- anti
1016 Influx of political/economic refugees (Cubans, Haitians,
Mexicans, etc.)--NA direction
1017 Influx of political/economic refugees (Cubans, Haitians,
Mexicans, etc.)--pro
1018 " " " " --anti
1019 School prayer -- NA direction
1020 " --pro
1021 " --anti
1022 Gay rights -- NA direction
1023 " --pro
1024 " --anti
1025 Health -- NA direction
1026 " -- pro government programs/aid for mentally ill, disabled,
handicapped
1027 " --anti " " " (except 0923, 0924, 0925)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1101</td>
<td>General assessment of foreign ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1102</td>
<td>Foreign policies more clear-cut/decisive; less bungling - issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1103</td>
<td>Foreign policies less clear-cut/decisive; more bungling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1104</td>
<td>Internationalist/Interested in other countries' problems/Interested in world role/Pro-UN and allies; meddling in other people 'e problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1105</td>
<td>Isolationist/America First/Fortress America/Would meddle less in other people's problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1106</td>
<td>Strong military position/Preparedness/Weapons systems/Pentagon spending/Overkill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1107</td>
<td>Weak military portion/Pentagon spending cutbacks/No overkill/Reduce armed forces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1108</td>
<td>Against cold war/Wants thaw/Detente/Understanding with international communists (if NA whether international, code in 0813-0814)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1109</td>
<td>Military aid to allies -- NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1111</td>
<td>&quot; -- pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1112</td>
<td>&quot; -- anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113</td>
<td>Economic aid/Foreign aid/AID/Non-military aid -- NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114</td>
<td>&quot; -- pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1115</td>
<td>&quot; --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1116</td>
<td>Mideast -- _ direction; any references to oil embargo; boycott of companies -- dealing with Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1119</td>
<td>&quot; -- handle better/more experience; positive comments about Arab-Israeli peace treaty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1120</td>
<td>&quot; -- handle worse/less experience; negative comments about Arab-Israeli peace treaty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1121</td>
<td>&quot; -- pro-Israel/anti-Arabs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1122</td>
<td>&quot; -- anti-Israel/pro-Arabs; wishy-washy on Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1123</td>
<td>Red China -- NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1124</td>
<td>&quot; -- handle better/more experience/doing well, better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125</td>
<td>&quot; -- handle worse/ less experience/doing poorly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1126</td>
<td>&quot; -- pro understanding/thaw/detente/new relationships/recognition/ admission to UN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1127</td>
<td>&quot; -- anti understanding/thaw/detente/new relationships/recognition/ admission to UN; defender of Formosa/Chaiang Nationalists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1128</td>
<td>Russia -- NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1129</td>
<td>&quot; -- handle better/more experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1130</td>
<td>&quot; -- handle worse/less experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1131</td>
<td>&quot; -- pro understanding/thaw/detente/broadening of relations; SALT II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1132</td>
<td>&quot; -- anti understanding/thaw/detente/broadening of relations; SALT II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133</td>
<td>Eastern Europe -- NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1134</td>
<td>&quot; -- handle better/more experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1135</td>
<td>&quot; -- handle worse /less experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1136</td>
<td>&quot; -- pro defense of Iron-Curtain countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1137</td>
<td>&quot; -- anti defense of Iron-Curtain countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1138</td>
<td>Latin America -- NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1139</td>
<td>-- handle better/more experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1140</td>
<td>&quot; handle worse /less experience</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
"-- pro-third-world Mature; reach understanding with Castro/Chile/neutralists; anti-colonialism/European power
-- anti-third-world posture; hard anti-communism/anti-revolutionary policy; pro-colonialism/European powers

Africa -- NA direction
" -- handle better/more experience
-- handle worse /less experience
-- pro-third-world posture; reach understanding with leftists/neutralists; anti-colonialism/European powers
-- anti-third-world posture; hard anti-communism/anti-revolutionary policy; pro-colonialism/European powers

Asia/India -- NA direction
" -- handle better/more experience
-- handle worse /less experience
" -- pro India/Bangladesh
" -- pro Pakistan

Vietnam/Indochina/Southeast Asia -- NA direction
" -- better chance for peace
" -- poorer chance for peace; failed to end war
" -- pro military victory/preservation of Saigon regime
" -- anti military victory/willing to sacrifice Thieu/Ky favoring withdrawal
-- will bring Policy change (unspecified)

Trouble spats (not specifically coded)-- would handle better

(Panama) (Afghanistan)

-- would handle worse (Panama) (Afghanistan)

Would raise American Prestige
Would lower American prestige, not maintain American prestige
Would have better chance for Peace (unspecified); not get us into trouble abroad
Would have poorer chance for peace (unspecified); get Us into war/trouble abroad

Tariffs -- NA direction
" -- pro free trade/reduced tariffs; would not protect US labor from foreign competition
" -- anti free trade; for high tariffs; would protect US labor from foreign competition

Trade with communists -- NA direction
" -- pro
" -- anti

Draft -- NA direction
" -- pro volunteer army/abolition of peacetime draft
" -- anti volunteer army; for peacetime draft
" -- pro amnesty/pardon
" -- anti amnesty/draft dodgers/pardon

Amnesty -- NA direction
POW-MIA -- Will Bet prisoners back, will not abandon them
POW-MIA -- Will not Ret prisoners back, will abandon them
POW-MIA -- _ direction

Did a good job of getting the boys/country out of Vietnam war; got us out of Vietnam
Should have won Vietnam war; gave too much away and then pulled out
Kissinger's foreign policy; secrecy; shuttle diplomacy – NA direction
" -- pro
" -- anti
Military/Defense position/spending -- NA direction or neutral (not 1106, 1107)
Priorities in military/defense spending (not reduction or increase but allocation of existing defense budget - pro)
Priorities in military/defense spending (not reduction or increase but allocation of existing defense budget - anti)
Iranian crisis; American hostages – NA direction
" " - has handled well/would handle better
" " - has handled poorly/would handle worse
Nuclear freeze/disarmament – NA direction
" " -- pro
" " -- anti
Other foreign policy reasons

PARTY OR CANDIDATE – GROUP CONNECTIONS

Special interests/Privileged people/Influential people -- pro
" -- anti
"People like me" -- pro
" -- anti ) NA whether 1205, 1206
Common man/People/Little people/Working people -- pro
" -- anti
Labor/Unions/Labor bosses/Racketeers -- pro
" -- anti
Big Business/Corporate rich/The rich individuals/People with power/Wall Street/Industry/Upper classes -- pro
(As 1209) -- anti
Small businessman -- pro
" -- anti
White collar workers/Salaried people/Middle class -- pro
" -- anti
Farmers/Country people -- pro
" -- anti
Blacks/Black people/Negroes -- pro
" -- anti
People on welfare/ADC mothers/"Chiseler" -- pro
" -- anti
Old people/Senior citizens -- pro
" -- anti
Young people/Kids/"Freaks"/Hippies -- pro
" -- anti
Women/Feminists/Women's Liberationists -- pro
" -- anti
Veterans/Servicemen -- pro
" -- anti
Ethnic or racial group (except 1217-1218); Minority groups (NA composition) -- pro
Section of the country -- pro
" -- anti
Poor people/needy people -- pro
" " -- anti
Civil servants – pro
1236 Civil servants -- anti
1297 Other group connection reasons

MISSING DATA CODES

9001 R has been influenced by spouse
9002 R has been influenced by someone else
9996 Refused to say
9997 Other miscellaneous
9998 DK
9999 NA
0000 Inap, no further mentions
SOCIAL WELFARE PROBLEMS

001. General reference to domestic issues
005. POPULATION; any mention of population increase; reference to over-population/birth control
045. PRO-ABORTION
046. ANTI-ABORTION
049. Other specific references to abortion
010. UNEMPLOYMENT; general reference to employment/unemployment; compensation; job retraining, etc.
011. For increased unemployment compensation; more or better job retraining; aid to depressed economic areas; government creation of jobs
012. Against (increased) unemployment compensations; less job retraining; no government creation of jobs
019. Other specific references to employment/unemployment (no': 200's)
020. EDUCATION; general reference to financial assistance for schools/colleges; quality of education
021. For (increased) aid to education, financial assistance to schools and/or colleges; need for improved school materials; need for better teachers/education
022. Against aid to education
029. Other specific reference to education
030. AGED/ELDERLY; general reference to aged; social security; medicare, medical care for the aged; administration of social security
031. For (increased) assistance to the aged; increased social security; for government supported medical care for the aged, "medicate"
032. Against (increased) assistance to the aged; against additional social security; anti-"medicare"
039. Other specific references to the aged
040. HEALTH PROBLEMS; general reference to quality of care; COST OF MEDICAL CARE; medical research, training of doctors and other health personnel; hospitals; National Health Insurance
041. For increased (government supported) quality of care; more medical research and training of related personnel; for National Health Insurance
042. Against (government) supported medical care, National Health Insurance, etc.
043. MENTAL HEALTH care/facilities/problems - (all references)
048. Other specific references to health problems
050. HOUSING; general reference (not 054)
051. For (additional) legislation/expenditure on housing (not 055)
052. Against (additional) legislation/expenditures on housing (not 056)
054. URBAN RENEWAL/PLANNING; general reference to ghettos, slums, urban blight; model cities programs; include general reference to "urban problems"; (mass transit code 480)
055. For urban renewal; model cities program; rent subsidies, etc.
056. Against urban renewal; model cities program, rent subsidies, etc.
059. Other specific reference to housing and urban problems
060. POVERTY; general reference to poor/underprivileged people; welfare programs (such as ADC), general reference anti-poverty programs
061. For (additional) welfare assistance (for help, education/Jobs for the poor) (except 063)
062. Against additional welfare assistance (except 064)
063. For (additional) welfare assistance specifically to blacks and other minority groups
064. Against (additional) welfare assistance specifically to blacks and other minority groups: INDIANS, MEXICAN-AMERICANS, CHICANOS, PUERTO RICANS etc.
069. Other specific references to poverty
090. SOCIAL WELFARE PROBLEMS; vague or general reference to other social welfare problems; (except 091 and 092) or other specific reference; "welfare"
091. For general or other social welfare programs; "we need to help people more"
092. Against general or other social welfare programs; "too many give away programs for the people who don't deserve it"

AGRICULTURE Problems

100. FARM ECONOMICS; general reference to payment for crops, price of feed, cost of farming, etc.; cattle kill
101. Too much being Paid to farmers for crops (not 400)
102. Too little being Paid to farmers for crops (not 400)
103. For SUBSIDIES/crop payments/sld to farmers
104. Against subsidies/crop payments/aid to farmers
109. Other specific references to farm economics (including mention of unfavorable economic consequences to farmers from embargo of grain sales/shipments to Russia
120. WORLD FOOD PROBLEMS; general reference to food shortages/starvation/famine (not 406,407)
121. For (SURPLUS FOOD DISPOSAL; providing food to other countries; food programs)
122. airs; shouldn't provide food to other countries; food programs
129. Other specific references to surplus food distribution
140. FARM LABOR SUPPLY; general reference to supply of migrant labor
141. For increased migrant agricultural labor
142. Against increased migrant agricultural labor
145. Other specific reference to farm labor supplies
147. Other (specific) reference to NON-ECONOMIC FARM PROBLEMS/PROGRAMS (not dealing with* economics
148. Generally for other specific farm/agricultural programs/legislation/expenditures
149. Generally against other specific farm/agricultural programs/legislation/expenditures

NATURAL RESOURCES

150. CONSERVATION OF NATURAL RESOURCES; general reference to conservation, ecology; the environment in general
151. For conservation programs; additional legislation for controlling pollution, water and air; in favor of legislative solutions for environmental problems
152. Against (additional of increased) conservation programs; against legislation for controlling water and air pollution; against legislative of forced solutions for environmental problems
153. Pollution (no specific program mentioned, not codeable in 151, 152)
154. Disposal of radioactive west (dumps, landfills)
159. Other specific references to conservation/natural resources (not 160-169)
160. DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES; general reference to harbors, dams canals, irrigation, flood control, navigation, reclamation; location, mining, stock-piling of minerals; water power, atomic power; development of alternative sources of energy (includes mentions of solar or nuclear power)
161. For the DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES (not 151); need to develop alternative sources of energy (includes mentions of solar or nuclear power)
162. Against (additional or increased) DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES; high cost of development of natural resources to the environment (not 152); against programs to develop alternative sources of energy (includes mentions of solar or nuclear power)
169. Other specific reference to the development of natural resources
190. Other specific reference to other natural resources problems
191. For other specific (additional) natural resources programs/legislation
192. Against other specific (additional) natural resources legislation/programs

LABOR PROBLEMS: UNION-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS

200. LABOR/UNION PROBLEMS; general reference to union practices
201. Anti-Union; protection of workers from unions, right to work laws: democracy in unions; elimination of racketeers/communists in unions; financial accountability of union officials; anti-union legislation
202. SUPPORT OF UNIONS; vis a vis employers; freedom to strike; permission for closed shop; pro-union legislation (except 204)
203. For "United Farm Workers"; "Anti Teamsters"
204. For protection of migrant laborers (except 141, 142)
205. Against protection of migrant laborers (except 141, 142)
209. Other specific reference to labor/union problems
210. (ARBITRATION OF) LABOR MANAGEMENT DISPUTES; gen. rat reference to strikes/ walkouts, binding arbitration
211. For arbitration of labor management disputes; central prevention of strikes/ binding arbitration
212. Against arbitration of labor management disputes; in favor of strikes (as tactic)
219. Other specific reference to (arbitration of) labor management disputes
220. Anti-union; unions too powerful
290. Other specific references to labor problems
291. For other specific proposals/programs/legislation central to union management relations
292. Against other specific proposals/programs/legislation central to union management relations

RACIAL PROBLEMS

300. CIVIL RIGHTS/RACIAL PROBLEMS general or vague reference in which R's personal perspective is unclear; NA R's. perspective
301. Protection (EXPANSION) OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF BLACKS; housing integration; fair employment practices; right to vote; fair treatment by police
302. PROTECTION (expansion) OF WHITE MAJORITY; maintenance of segregation; right to choose own neighborhood; right to discriminate in employment

303. Protection (EXPANSION) OF CIVIL RIGHTS TO OTHER (or all) MINORITY GROUPS

304. Discrimination against whites; preferred treatment given to minorities

310. BUSING; general reference

311. PRO-BUSING; school integration

312. ANTI-BUSING; maintenance of segregated schools

317. Other specific reference to civil rights/racial problems

318. For other specific programs/proposals/legislation for (extending) civil rights

319. Against other specific programs/proposals/legislation for (extending) civil rights

PUBLIC ORDER PROBLEMS

330. WOMEN'S RIGHTS/LIBERATION; general reference

331. Pro-Women's rights/liberation; for ERA

332. Anti-Women's rights/liberation; against ERA

339. Other specific references to women's rights/liberation

350. CIVIL LIBERTIES; general reference to rights of accused, freedom of speech etc.

351. For (expansion of) civil liberties/rights of accused/freedom of speech, etc.

352. Against (expansion of) civil liberties/rights of accused/freedom of speech

340. CRIME/VIOLENCE; general reference to crime

342. Too much crime; streets aren't safe; mugging, murder, shoplifting

343. JUVENILE DELINQUENCY; any mention (not 320's or 383)

359. General or specific references to human rights; "discrimination" -- put codeable in 300-304, 330-339)

360. LAW AND ORDER; general reference to law/police enforcement (see also 369)

361. For greater law/police enforcement

362. Against police brutality, police state, wiretapping, etc.

363. Against PROTEST/DEMONSTRATIONS; violence in the streets (except 364)

364. Against STUDENT DISORDERS, DISTURBANCES; riots on campus

365. In favor of LEGALIZED GAMBLING; legal control of gambling; gambling (NA direction)

366. Against GAMBLING; against legal/legislative control of gambling

367. Against unregistered ownership of guns; legislative control of guns; "CONTROL OF GUNS"

368. For gun ownership; right to have guns; against gun control

320. NARCOTICS; general reference to drugs; drug addiction; pep pills, LSD, MARIJUANA

321. Against use of narcotics, LSD, Marijuana, alcohol, etc.

322. For legalizing marijuana; for controlled use of narcotics, LSD, etc.

329. Other specific mention of narcotics/drugs/alcohol etc.

369. Specific mention of "law and order"

370. General mention of extremist groups; terrorists; terrorist bombings; terrorist hijackings; political subversives; revolutionary ideas/approaches, NA direction

372. Against extremist groups; terrorists; subversives (except 373, 374)
373. Against Arab terrorists; PLO
374. Terrorist hijacking
395. Control of (internal) communist threat; Communists
379. Other specific mention of terrorist groups/subversives
380. General mention of MORAL/RELIGIOUS DECAY (of nation)
381. Family problems -- divorce; proper treatment of children; decay of family
383. YOUNG PEOPLE (except 361); general reference to drinking (except 321), sexual freedom, discipline, mixed-up thinking, "hippies"; communication with young, etc.
390. Other specific reference to public order problems

ECONOMIC AND BUSINESS PROBLEMS

If R mentions both "Inflation" (400) and rise in prices of specific items (407-409) in F52 code "Inflation" (400). (see also 496)

400. INFLATION; general reference to inflation; high prices; high cost of living
401. For wage and/or price guidelines; wage and/or price controls, freezing prices; control of business profits
402. Against wage and/or price controls; against control of business profits
403. High price of food, all mentions (except 100)
404. High price of other specific items and services
405. MINIMUM WAGE; any mention; any mention of wage levels
406. SHORTAGES -- general reference (except 120); NA what; phony shortages; people wasteful
407. Food shortages; economic aspects of food shortages e.g. price of sugar (other references code 120)
408. Fuel shortages; 'energy crisis'; oil companies making excessive profits
409. Other specific references to shortages
410. RECESSION, DEPRESSION; general reference, prosperity of the nation, economic growth
411. MONETARY RESTRAINTS/CONTROLS; general reference to interest rates, etc.
412. For loosening of monetary restraints; for lowering interest rates
413. Against loosening of monetary restraints; high interest rates
414. For (increased) government spending; other (specific) government stimulation of the economy e.g. work programs (not 412)
415. Against (increased) government spending; balancing of the (national) budget, against government stimulation of the economy
416. TAXES; general reference to tax structure; tax surcharge (NA R's DIRECTION); other specific tax reference
417. For tax cuts; against tax surcharge
418. Against tax cuts; for tax surcharge
419. Other specific references to recession/depression; other specific references to monetary/fiscal controls
420. CONSTRUCTION/HOUSING; all references to housing starts, construction costs, etc.
421. Business profits are (too) high
422. Business profits are not (too) high
423. Prices are declining, wholesale or retail -- any mention; optimistic economic indications
Increased productivity of American industry; "a day's work for a day's pay"; revitalize American industry

STOCK MARKET/GOLD PRICES; all references to gold prices, stock breakers, stock fluctuations, etc.

Gold outflow; control of foreign investments made by U.S. companies/citizens

Devaluation of the dollar

ANTI-TRUST LAWS PROTECTION OF SHALL BUSINESSES; general reference to anti-trust laws/legislation

For (increased) prosecution under anti-trust laws; protection of small businesses from discount houses, chains, large corporations; fair trade laws

Against prosecution under anti-trust laws; (additional) control of business, stifling of individual initiative/free enterprise

Large businesses taking over small businesses

Small businesses holding their own

Other specific references to Anti-Trust laws/legislation

Class oriented economic concerns -- middle class, working class (pro); MIDDLE CLASS GETTING SQUEEZED

Class oriented economic concerns ~ big business, monied interests (anti); too powerful

Concern for inequitable distribution of wealth

TREND TOWARD SOCIALISM; general reference - NA R's direction

For the regulation of interstate commerce, transportation; air travel, railways, government auto safety regulations; in favor of increased government regulation of business

Against (increased) regulation of interstate commerce, transportation; AIR TRAVEL, RAILWAYS, ETC.

For the regulation of communication; government control of content of the media; control of media channels

Against the regulation of communication; control of content of the media; control of media channels

Other specific references to government control, trends toward socialism

IMMIGRATION POLICY; general reference

For immigration policy; against open immigration

Immigration policies for open immigration, relaxation of immigration quotas

Problems relating to the influx of political/economic refugees (Cubans, Haitians, Mexicans, etc.)

Other specific references to immigration policies

Other specific references to economic problems

Economics-- general; "Economics" NFS

International economics -- general

U.S. foreign trade, balance of payments position; foreign oil dependency

The economy--not further specified (code specific mention if R clarifies by saying "inflation", etc.; also see 400)

Code 496 was added due to the great increase in the number of unqualified responses of --"the economy". In past studies such non-specific responses, far fewer in number, were* assumed to be references to the inflation problem and were coded as 400.

Control of foreign investment in US; limitation of foreign imports; restriction on foreign car imports; general reference to problems of automobile industry
CONSUMER PROTECTION 1

470. CONSUMER PROTECTION; general reference
471. For (increased) CONSUMER PROTECTION LEGISLATION; truth in labeling, packaging, lending; control of medicines; poisons, harmful products; no fault insurance
472. Against (increased) CONSUMER PROTECTION LEGISLATION; etc.
474. SAFETY; general reference to consumer safety
475. For (increased) AUTO, highway, airplane SAFETY
476. Against (increased) legislative AUTO, highway, airplane safety
479. Other specific references to consumer protection/safety
480. MASS TRANSPORTATION; general reference
481. For (increased) mass transportation; mass transportation legislation/expenditures
482. Against (increased) legislation/expenditures for mass transportation
483. Control of public utilities; utility rates
484. Roads, highways, bridges; general unspecified transportation
489. Other specific references to mass transportation
495. Other specific consumer protection problems

FOREIGN AFFAIRS

500. FOREIGN RELATIONS/FOREIGN AFFAIRS; general reference to foreign relations/affairs, prestige abroad
505. Relations with WESTERN EUROPE; Great Britain, France, Germany; our allies - general mention
506. For better relations with Western Europe
507. Against better relations with Western Europe
509. Other specific references to Western Europe
510. VIETNAM; general reference to "the war", Indochina, Cambodia; aid
511. For (increased) aid to Indochina, Vietnam, Cambodia
512. Against (increased) aid to Indochina, Vietnam, Cambodia
513. CUBA; any references
514. Latin America, South America - any references
515. Iran; mention of American hostages in Teheran
516. African countries; developing areas in Africa (not 518) any mention
517. Asian countries - any mention (not 510, 520)
518. Turkey/Greece
519. Other foreign countries/areas/specific trouble spots (except 520's, 530's)
520. COMMUNIST CHINA; general references
521. For peaceful relations with Communist China; increased aid/trade with Communist China
522. Against a policy of peaceful relations with Communist China; against increased aid/trade with Communist China
529. Other specific reference to Communist China
524. MIDDLE EAST-general reference, including Arab/Israeli conflict & Iran-Iraq War (not 518, 525, 526 or 527)
525. Support of policy favoring Israel; increased aid to Israel; against support of Arab states
526. Support of policy favoring the Arab states; increased aid to Arab states; against (increased) support of Israel
527. Mention of other problems or specific country in the Middle East (not 524-526, or 518)
530. RUSSIA; general reference to Russia(USSR), Eastern Europe, detente
531. For PEACEFUL RELATIONS with Russia/Detente/Eastern Europe; for increased TRADE with Russia
532. Against policy of Detente with Russia; COLD WAR; threat of external Communism
533. Prevention of Russian (Communist) Expansion; mention of Soviet invasion and occupation of Afghanistan - any reference
539. Other specific references to Russia/Detente/Eastern Europe; etc. (including changing site/boycotting 1980 Moscow Olympics)
540. FIRMNESS IN FOREIGN POLICY; any reference to maintenance of position of MILITARY/DIPLOMATIC STRENGTH (except 551; not 710-712)
550. U.S. FOREIGN (MILITARY) INVOLVEMENT/COMMITMENT, general reference to extent of U.S. Foreign involvement; military assistance/aid (except 510 and 524)
551. For increased foreign (military) involvement; for increased (military) commitment (except 511, 525, 526, 540);
552. Against increased foreign involvement; against increased (military) commitment (except 512, 525, 526)
559. Other specific reference to Foreign Military Involvement/Commitment
560. U.S. FOREIGN (ECONOMIC) INVOLVEMENT/COMMITMENTS; general reference to extent of U.S. (foreign) economic aid
561. For (increased) U.S. ECONOMIC INVOLVEMENT; foreign economic aid programs; "foreign aid" (except 520's)
562. Against (increased) U.S. economic involvement; foreign economic aid programs; "foreign aid"
569. Other specific reference to U.S. (economic) foreign aid
570. Prevention of war; ESTABLISHMENT OF PEACE; any reference
580. General reference to relation with the UNITED NATIONS
581. For the United Nations
582. Against (increased) support of United Nations
585. Obligation to TAKE CARE OF PROBLEMS AT HOME before helping foreign countries
590. Other specific foreign affairs problems/items

NATIONAL DEFENSE

700. NATIONAL DEFENSE; general reference, defense budget
710. DISARMAMENT; general reference to ENDING OF THE ARMS RACE; nuclear proliferation; test ban treaty (not 540); SALT
711. For DISARMAMENT; for extension of test ban treaty; support toward ending of arms race; against (additional) expenditures on military/arms development; SALT
712. Against (increased) policy of DISARMAMENT; against test ban treaty; for additional WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT; missile program; scientific/technological development in weapons/strategy; atomic bomb testing; increased DEFENSE BUDGET, increased arms expenditure (not 540); SALT; increased pay for military personnel
713. General or specific references to functioning and performance of defense; waste, inefficiency, not codeable in 710-712
719. Other specific reference to the Arms race/disarmament
730. General reference to THE DRAFT; selective service system; universal conscription
731. For reinstatement of the draft
732. Against reinstatement of the draft
734. AMNESTY; general reference to amnesty for draft dodgers/Ford's Plan
736. Against amnesty for draft dodgers/Ford's Plan
739. Other specific reference to amnesty for draft dodgers/Ford's Plan
740. SPACE RACE; any mention
750. MORALE OF NATION; Patriotism; National spirit; national unity;
greed, selfishness of people
760. BENEFITS FOR VETERANS; general reference
761. For (increased) benefits for veterans
762. Against (increased) benefits for veterans
769. Other specific references to benefits for veterans
770. Influence of-military; Civilian control of military; defense
management - any mention
790. Other specific national defense items

ISSUES RELATING TO THE FUNCTIONING OF GOVERNMENT

800. POWER OF THE (FEDERAL) GOVERNMENT; general reference, NA
   specifically
805. GOVERNMENT CONTROL OF INFORMATION; SECRECY; news management;
   over classification of information - any mention
810. (LACK OF) HONESTY IN GOVERNMENT; (LACK OF) ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT
   general reference (except 811)
811. LACK OF PERSONAL ETHICS/morality of persons related to/or part of
   government
815. (news) Media bias; slanting/twisting of the news
819. Other specific reference to honesty/ethics in government
820. CAMPAIGN DONATIONS/PUBLIC FINANCING OF ELECTIONS; any mentions
830. CONFIDENCE/TRUST in political leaders/system - general reference
831. Increased confidence/trust in political leaders/system, wisdom,
ability, general representativeness of political leaders,
congressmen, Supreme Court
832. Decreased confidence/trust in political leaders/system; little
   wisdom, ability, general representativeness of political leaders,
congressmen, Supreme Court, need for better
   politicians/political system
833. QUALITY/EFFICIENCY; general reference to the quality of public
   employees, diplomats, civil service, GOVERNMENT BUREAUCRACY: COST
   OF GOVERNMENT
834. Increased quality/efficiency of public employees, diplomats, civil
   service; need for large government bureaucracy; increase cost of
   government
835. Decreased quality/efficiency of public employees, diplomats, civil
   service; decrease government bureaucracy; decrease cost of
   government
836. COMPENSATION; all references to the compensation of government
   employees, officials, congressmen, judges, local
   politicians/bureaucrats
837. Waste in government spending; keeping tabs on where money goes
840. SIZE OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT; general reference to the (large) size
   of government/civil service/bureaucracy
841. For a more powerful (federal) government; less state rights;
   increased centralism
842. Against (increased) power of the (federal) government; more states
   rights; federal government is too powerful vis a vis states
849. Other specific references to the size of the government vis a vis states
850. POWER OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE; Power of the president - general
   reference
851. ~ power
852. Against (greater) presidential power
853. POWER OF CONGRESS - general reference
854. For (increased) Congressional power; congress should do more, take more initiative
855. Against (increased) Congressional power
856. POWER OF THE SUPREME COURT, all other references to the Supreme Court except 857, 858
857. For (increased) role by the Supreme Court
858. Against (increased) role by the Supreme Court
859. Other specific references to the (federal) balance of power
860. APPORTIONMENT; general reference to problems of representation
861. Need for reapportionment; under representation of cities/suburbs
862. FAIR ELECTION PROCEDURES; prevention of vote manipulation; curbing of political "bosses", smear campaigns
869. Other specific references to problems of representation
870. CAPABILITY/OTHER QUALITIES (pertaining to the representativeness) OF POLITICAL LEADERS, congressmen, judges (except Watergate)
871. Government/politicians catering to special/pressure groups; lack of devotion to general welfare; LACK OF SERVICE TO ALL THE PEOPLE; lobbying; lobbyist
872. PRESIDENT REAGAN; general reference to REAGAN's style/quality
873. Pro-Reagan comments, complimentary comments regarding quality and style of the president
874. Lack of support for Reagan; any anti-Reagan comments, negative reference REAGAN's quality, style, etc.
875. Incumbent Congressman: mentions relating to appraisals of incumbents performance or experience (including voting record, seniority, experience)
876. Mentions relating to candidate differences rooted in personal qualities, party affiliations or ideological positions
879. Other specific references to quality of political leaders
880. DEMOCRATIC LANDSLIDE; all references to unequal representation of parties/constituents in congress
881. New president/New administration getting started; other references specific to the President
882. Issues pertaining to the district economy; federal spending in the district, in general or for specific projects
885. PUBLIC APATHY/disinterest - all references
886. Getting people (back) together; unity of our people
890. Other specific issues relating to the functioning of government

WATERGATE Problems

950. WATERGATE/TAPES; general reference to Watergate except 951-957
951. Against government allocation of time/money for Watergate issues; trial too much time spent on Watergate
952. For the expose on Watergate/trial; get to the bottom of Watergate
954. NIXON PARDON; general mention of Ford's pardon of Nixon
955. Against Nixon's parson
956. For Nixon' B pardon
959. Other specific Watergate mentions
NON-POLITICAL PROBLEMS

995. "There were no issues;" "there were no issues, just party politics"
996. "There was no campaign in my district"
997. Other
998. DK
999  NA
000. Inap, no further mention; no problems
UNITED STATES

New England
101. Connecticut
102. Maine
103. Massachusetts
104. New Hampshire
105. Rhode Island
106. Vermont
109. General mention of area; two or more states in area

Middle Atlantic
111. Delaware
112. New Jersey
113. New York
114. Pennsylvania
118. General mention of area; two or more states in area
119. East; mention of states in both New England and Middle Atlantic areas

East North Central
121. Illinois
122. Indiana
123. Michigan
124. Ohio
125. Wisconsin
129. General mention of area; two or more states in area

West North Central
131. Iowa
132. Kansas
133. Minnesota
134. Missouri
135. Nebraska
136. North Dakota
137. South Dakota
138. General mention of area; two or more states in area
139. Midwest; mention of states in both East North Central and West North Central areas

Solid South
141. Alabama
142. Arkansas
143. Florida
144. Georgia
145. Louisiana
146. Mississippi
147. North Carolina
148. South Carolina
149. Texas
140. Virginia
157. General mention of area; the South; two or more states in area Border States

Border States
151. Kentucky
152. Maryland
153. Oklahoma
154. Tennessee
155. Washington, D.C.
156. West Virginia
158. General mention of area; two or more states in area
159. South; mention of states in both Solid South and Border States areas

Mountain States
161. Arizona
162. Colorado
163. Idaho
164. Montana
165. Nevada
166. New Mexico
167. Utah
168. Wyoming
169. General mention of area; two or more states in area

Pacific States
171. California
172. Oregon
173. Washington
178. General mention of area; two or more states in area
179. West; mention of states in both Mountain States and Pacific States areas

External States and Territories
180. Alaska
181. Hawaii
182. Puerto Rico
183. American Samoa, Guam
184. Panama Canal Zone
185. Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands
186. Virgin Islands
187. Other U.S. Dependencies, reference to Two or More States from Different Regions of the U.S.; or NA which State
191. Northeast and South (New England or Middle Atlantic and Solid-South or Border State=)
192. Northeast and Midwest (New England or Middle Atlantic and East North Central or West North Central)
194. West (Mountain States or Pacific States) or Midwest
195. West and South (Solid South or Border States)
196. Midwest and South
198. Lived in 3 or more regions (NA whether lived in one more than the rest)
199. United States, NA which state

WESTERN HEMISPHERE (except U.S.)

North America
201. North America (except U.S.) comb. Canada, Mexico, and/or Central America
207. Canada - ancestry of Anglo-Saxon origin
208. Canada - ancestry of French origin
209. Canada - NA origin or other origin
219. Mexico
229. Central America

West Indies (except Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands)
231. Barbados
232. Cuba
233. Dominican Republic
234. Haiti
235. Jamaica
236. Netherlands Antilles
237. Trinidad and Tobago
238. Islands of Lesser Antilles - except Virgin Islands and
Netherlands Antilles
239. West Indies (except Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands) or
"Caribbean" - reference
to two or more West Indian countries

South America
259. South America, South American country or countries British
Isles

Western Europe
301. England
302. Ireland (NA North or South); southern Ireland
303. Scotland
304. Wales
305. North Ireland (Ulster)
306. Scot-Irish
308. United Kingdom, Great Britain
309. "British Isles"; General mention of area - reference to two
or more countries of the British Isles
310. Austria
311. Belgium
312. France
313. Federal Republic of Germany (W. Germany)
314. German Democratic Republic (E. Germany)
315. Germany - NA East or West
316. Luxembourg
317. Netherlands; Holland
318. Switzerland
319. "Western Europe"; General mention of area - reference to two
or more countries of Western Europe
321. Denmark
322. Finland
323. Norway
324. Sweden
325. Iceland
328. General mention of area of Western Europe and/or Scandinavia
and/or British Isles and/or Mediterranean countries and/or
Greece; reference to two or more
countries in different areas listed
329. "Scandinavia"; General mention of area - reference to two or
more Scandinavian countries

Eastern Europe
331. Czechoslovakia (Slovak)
332. Estonia
333. Hungary
334. Latvia
335. Lithuania
336. Poland
337. Russia (or USSR)
338. Ukraine
339. "Eastern Europe"; General mention of area - reference to two
or more countries of Eastern Europe

Balkan Countries
341. Albania
342. Bulgaria
343. Greece
344. Rumania
345. Yugoslavia
348. General mention of area – reference to two or more Balkan countries
349. "Balkans"; general reference of area – reference to countries in Eastern Europe and Balkan Countries

Mediterranean Countries
351. Italy
352. Portugal
353. Spain
354. Malta or Gogo
399. "EUROPE"; general mention of area – reference to two or more countries of Europe in different areas

ASIA (except Near East)
401. Afghanistan
404. India
405. Pakistan
428. Southeast Asia – Indochina, Thailand, Malaysia, Burma, Philippines, Indonesia
431. China (Mainland)
432. Taiwan, Formosa
451. Japan
452. Korea
499. "ASIA"; general mention of area – reference to two or more countries of Asia

NEAR EAST
501. U.A.R. (Egypt)
502. Iran
503. Iraq
504. Israel (or Palestine), Jewish
505. Jordan
506. Lebanon _
507. Saudi Arabia
508. Syria
509. Turkey
599. "Near East," "Middle East"; general mention of area – reference to two or more countries of Near East

655. South Africa
699. Africa; any African country or countries, excluding only South Africa and U.A.R. (Egypt)

OCEANIA
704. Australia, New Zealand, Tasmania

997. Other (combinations) not codeable elsewhere
998. DK
999. NA
000. Inap, coded 1 in colt 66
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Nationality &amp; Ethnic Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>American Indian, tribal mentions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Canadian; not specified as French-Canadian (03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Canadian, of French origin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Mexican (excluding explicit mention of &quot;Chicano,&quot; &quot;Mexican-American&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Central American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Barbados</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Cuban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Haitian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Jamaican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Puerto Rican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>West Indian—not from one of the above countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>West Indian—NA which country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>South American any country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>English, British</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Irish (not specified as from North Ireland, Ulster--22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Scottish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Welsh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>From North Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Scot-Irish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>From British Isles; from two or more countries of British Isles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Austrian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Belgian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>French</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>German; also Pennsylvania Dutch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Netherlands, Holland; Dutch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Swiss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>From Western Europe, two or more countries of Western Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Danish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Finn, Finnish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Norwegian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Swedish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Icelander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Scandinavian; reference to two or more Scandinavian countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Reference to two or more countries from combination of the following areas: British Isles, Western Europe, Scandinavia, Mediterranean countries, Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Czechoslovakian, Slovak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Estonian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Hungarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Latvian</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
47. Lithuanian
48. Polish
49. Russian; from U.S.S.R.
50. Ukrainian
51. Eastern European; reference to two or more Eastern European countries

Balkan Countries
53. Albanian
54. Bulgarian
55. Greek
56. Rumanian
57. Yugoslavian
58. Mention of two or more Balkan countries

Mediterranean Countries
60. Italian
61. Portuguese
62. Spanish
63. Maltese
64. European. General mention of Europe, reference to two or more European countries, not codeable above.
66. Afghan
67. Indian (not American Indian, code 01)
68. Southeast Asia—Indochina, Thailand, Malaya, Burma, Philippines, Indonesia
69. Chinese
70. Japanese; Japanese American
71. Korean

NEAR EAST
73. Egyptian
74. Iranian, Persian
75. Iraqi
76. Israeli
77. Jordanian
78. Lebanese
79. Arab, Arabian, Saudi Arabian
80. Syrian
81. Turk, Turkish

AFRICA
83. African; from any African country except Egypt (U.A.R.); South African (formerly 90)

OCEANIA
85. Australian, New Zealander, Tasmanian

ETHNIC GROUPS
86. White, Caucasian
87. Black; Negro; American Black; Afro-American
88. Chicano; Mexican-American
90. NEITHER

OTHER MISCELLANEOUS GROUPS
91. Catholic
92. Protestant
93. Jewish
94. Mormon
95. Other religious groups
97. Other group; combinations not codeable above
98. DK
99. NA; NONE; "American"


BOTH DUNCAN AND NORC SCORES ARE BASED ON AN OCCUPATIONAL RANKING SCHEME. BOTH ARE THREE-DIGIT SCORES WITH A DECIMAL POINT TO BE UNDERSTOOD IN THE RIGHT-MOST COLUMN. THE DECIMAL POINT DOES NOT APPEAR IN THE DATA OR DICTIONARY. A SCORE OF 241, THEREFORE, SHOULD BE READ AS 24.1.

U.S. DUNCAN NORC CENSUS SEI PRESTIGE OCCUP.

PROFESSIONAL, TECHNICAL, AND KINDRED WORKERS
--------------------------------------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>DUNCAN</th>
<th>NORC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCOUNTANTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCHITECTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPUTER SPECIALISTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPUTER PROGRAMMERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>021</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>022</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>023</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>025</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>026</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LAWYERS AND JUDGES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>030</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>JUDGES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>031</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>LAWYERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Unemployment Rate</td>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>032</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>LIBRARIANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>033</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>ARCHIVISTS AND CURATORS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>034</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>ACTUARIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>035</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>MATHEMATICIANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>036</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>STATISTICIANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>AGRICULTURAL SCIENTISTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>043</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>ATMOSPHERIC AND SPACE SCIENTISTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>044</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>BIOLOGICAL SCIENTISTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>045</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>CHEMISTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>051</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>GEOLOGISTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>MARINE SCIENTISTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>PHYSICISTS AND ASTRONOMERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>054</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>LIFE AND PHYSICAL SCIENTISTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>OPERATIONS AND SYSTEMS RESEARCHERS AND ANALYSTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>056</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>PERSONNEL AND LABOR RELATIONS WORKERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>061</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>CHIROPRACTORS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DENTISTS

OPTOMETRISTS

PHARMACISTS

PHYSICIANS, MEDICAL AND OSTEOPATHIC

PODIATRISTS

VETERINARIANS

HEALTH PRACTITIONERS

NURSES, DIETITIANS, AND THERAPISTS

DIETITIANS

REGISTERED NURSES

THERAPISTS

HEALTH TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS

CLINICAL LABORATORY TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS

DENTAL HYGIENISTS

HEALTH RECORD TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS

RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS

THERAPY ASSISTANTS

HEALTH TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS

RELIGIOUS WORKERS

CLERGYMEN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Jon</th>
<th>Jane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>090</td>
<td>Religious Workers</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Scientists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>091</td>
<td>Economists</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Political Scientists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>092</td>
<td>Psychologists</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Scientists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>093</td>
<td>Sociologists</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban and Regional Planners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>094</td>
<td>Social Scientists</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social and Recreation Workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Teachers, College and University</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture Teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Atmospheric, Earth, Marine, and Space Teachers</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biology Teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Chemistry Teachers</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physics Teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Engineering Teachers</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics Teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Health Specialties Teachers</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
114  840  783
PSYCHOLOGY TEACHERS

115  840  783
BUSINESS AND COMMERCE TEACHERS

116  840  783
ECONOMICS TEACHERS

120  840  783
HISTORY TEACHERS

121  840  783
SOCIOLOGY TEACHERS

122  840  783
SOCIAL SCIENCE TEACHERS

123  556  486
ART, DRAMA, AND MUSIC TEACHERS

124  640  532
COACHES AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION TEACHERS

125  840  783
EDUCATION TEACHERS

126  840  783
ENGLISH TEACHERS

130  840  783
FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHERS

131  720  532
HOME ECONOMICS TEACHERS

132  840  783
LAW TEACHERS

133  840  783
THEOLOGY TEACHERS

134  840  783
TRADE, INDUSTRIAL, AND TECHNICAL TEACHERS

135  840  783
MISCELLANEOUS TEACHERS, COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY

140  840  783
TEACHERS, COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY, SUBJECT NOT SPECIFIED

TEACHERS, EXCEPT COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY

141  643  439
ADULT EDUCATION TEACHERS
142  714  592
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHERS

143  720  561
PREKINDERGARTEN AND KINDERGARTEN TEACHERS

144  705  601
SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS

145  577  449
TEACHERS, EXCEPT COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY

ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE TECHNICIANS

150  620  472
AGRICULTURE AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNICIANS, EXCEPT HEALTH

151  620  470
CHEMICAL TECHNICIANS

152  670  561
DRAFTSMEN

153  620  515
ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING TECHNICIANS

154  640  494
INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING TECHNICIANS

155  620  470
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING TECHNICIANS

156  530  468
MATHEMATICAL TECHNICIANS

161  484  531
SURVEYORS

162  620  470
ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE TECHNICIANS

TECHNICIANS, EXCEPT HEALTH, AND ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE

163  790  701
AIRPLANE PILOTS

164  690  428
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROLLERS

165  603  519
EMBALMERS

170  480  482
FLIGHT ENGINEERS

171  690  428
RADIO OPERATORS
TOOL PROGRAMMERS, NUMERICAL CONTROL

TECHNICIANS

 VOCATIONAL AND EDUCATIONAL COUNSELORS

WRITERS, ARTISTS, AND ENTERTAINERS

ACTORS

ATHLETES AND KINDRED WORKERS

AUTHORS

DANCERS

DESIGNERS

EDITORS AND REPORTERS

MUSICIANS AND COMPOSERS

PAINTERS AND SCULPTORS

PHOTOGRAPHERS

PUBLIC RELATIONS MEN AND PUBLICITY WRITERS

RADIO AND TELEVISION ANNOUNCERS

WRITERS, ARTISTS, AND ENTERTAINERS

RESEARCH WORKERS, NOT SPECIFIED

MANAGERS AND ADMINISTRATORS, EXCEPT FARM

ASSESSORS, CONTROLLERS, AND TREASURERS; LOCAL PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION
202  800  666
BANK OFFICERS AND FINANCIAL MANAGERS

203  503  429
BUYERS AND SHIPPERS, FARM PRODUCTS

205  721  500
BUYERS, WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE

210  740  488
CREDIT MEN

211  590  522
FUNERAL DIRECTORS

212  569  594
HEALTH ADMINISTRATORS

213  575  396
CONSTRUCTION INSPECTORS, PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

215  666  423
INSPECTORS, EXCEPT CONSTRUCTION, PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

216  320  383
MANAGERS AND SUPERINTENDENTS, BUILDING

220  731  560
OFFICE MANAGERS

221  499  567
OFFICERS, PILOTS, AND PURSERS; SHIP

222  665  603
OFFICIALS AND ADMINISTRATORS; PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

223  600  484
OFFICIALS OF LODGES, SOCIETIES, AND UNIONS

224  608  583
POSTMASTERS AND MAIL SUPERINTENDENTS

225  748  464
PURCHASING AGENTS AND BUYERS

226  582  409
RAILROAD CONDUCTORS

230  381  389
RESTAURANT, CAFETERIA AND BAR MANAGERS

231  698  478
SALES MANAGERS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS, RETAIL TRADE

233  746  542
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Employed</th>
<th>Unemployed</th>
<th>Not in Labor Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SALES MANAGERS, EXCEPT RETAIL TRADE</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHOOL ADMINISTRATORS, COLLEGE</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHOOL ADMINISTRATORS, ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANAGERS AND ADMINISTRATORS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_____________________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALES WORKERS</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVERTISING AGENTS AND SALESMEN</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUCTIONEERS</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEMONSTRATORS</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUCKSTERS AND PEDDLERS</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSURANCE AGENTS, BROKERS, AND UNDERWRITERS</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWSBOYS</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAL ESTATE AGENTS AND BROKERS</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOCK AND BOND SALESMEN</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALESMEN AND SALES CLERKS</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALES REPRESENTATIVES, MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES (IND.107-399)</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALES REPRESENTATIVES, WHOLESALE TRADE (IND. 017-058, 507-599)</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALES CLERKS, RETAIL TRADE (IND. 608-699 EXCEPT 618, 639, 649, 667, 668, 688)</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALESMEN, RETAIL TRADE (IND. 655, 618, 639, 649, 667, 668, 688)</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Kind</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td></td>
<td>SALESemen OF SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION (IND. 067-????, 407-499, 707-947)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td></td>
<td>BANK TELLERS</td>
<td>518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td></td>
<td>BILLING CLERKS</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td></td>
<td>BOOKKEEPERS</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td></td>
<td>CASHIERS</td>
<td>439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLERICAL ASSISTANTS, SOCIAL WELFARE</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLERICAL SUPERVISORS</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
<td>COLLECTORS, BILL AND ACCOUNT</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314</td>
<td></td>
<td>COUNTER CLERKS, EXCEPT FOOD</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td></td>
<td>DISPATCHERS AND STARTERS, VEHICLE</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENUMERATORS AND INTERVIEWERS</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321</td>
<td></td>
<td>ESTIMATORS AND INVESTIGATORS</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td></td>
<td>EXPEDITERS AND PRODUCTION CONTROLERS</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td></td>
<td>FILE CLERKS</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td></td>
<td>INSURANCE ADJUSTERS, EXAMINERS, AND INVESTIGATORS</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td></td>
<td>LIBRARY ATTENDANTS AND ASSISTANTS</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAIL CARRIERS, POST OFFICE</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332</td>
<td></td>
<td>MAIL HANDLERS, EXCEPT POST OFFICE</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MESSENGERS AND OFFICE BOYS

METER READERS, UTILITIES

OFFICE MACHINE OPERATORS

BOOKKEEPING AND BILLING MACHINE OPERATORS

CALCULATING MACHINE OPERATORS

COMPUTER AND PERIPHERAL EQUIPMENT OPERATORS

DUPLICATING MACHINE OPERATORS

KEYPUNCH OPERATORS

TABULATING MACHINE OPERATORS

OFFICE MACHINE OPERATORS

PAYROLL AND TIMEKEEPING CLERKS

POSTAL CLERKS

PROOFREADERS

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS

RECEPTIONISTS

SECRETARIES

SECRETARIES, LEGAL

SECRETARIES, MEDICAL

SECRETARIES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>374</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>299</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SHIPPING AND RECEIVING CLERKS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>STATISTICAL CLERKS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>433</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>STENOGRAPHERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>STOCK CLERKS AND STOREKEEPERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>488</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TEACHER AIDES, EXCEPT SCHOOL MONITORS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TELEGRAPH MESSENGERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>435</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TELEGRAPH OPERATORS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TELEPHONE OPERATORS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>354</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TICKET, STATION, AND EXPRESS AGENTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>413</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TYPISTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>354</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>WEIGHERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MISCELLANEOUS CLERICAL WORKERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NOT SPECIFIED CLERICAL WORKERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CRAFTSMEN AND KINDRED WORKERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>401</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>325</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>AUTOMOBILE ACCESSORIES INSTALLERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>338</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>BAKERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>BLACKSMITHS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>306</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>BOILERMakers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>BOOKBINDERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>357</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRICKMASONS AND STONEMASONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRICKMASONS AND STONEMASONS, APPRENTICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>323</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BULLDOZER OPERATORS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CABINETMAKERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CARPENTERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CARPENTER APPRENTICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>328</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CARPET INSTALLERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>316</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEMENT AND CONCRETE FINISHERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMPOSITORS AND TYPESETTERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRINTING TRADES APPRENTICES, EXCEPT PRESSMEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>387</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CRANEMEN, DERRICKMEN, AND HOISTMEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>374</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DECORATORS AND WINDOW DRESSERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>610</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DENTAL LABORATORY TECHNICIANS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>492</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELECTRICIANS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELECTRICIAN APPRENTICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELECTRIC POWER LINEMEN AND CABLEMEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>434</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELECTROTYPERS AND STEREOTYPERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>435</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>412</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ENGRAVERS, EXCEPT PHOTOENGRAVERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>315</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EXCAVATING, GRADING, AND ROAD MACHINE OPERATORS; EXCEPT BULLDOZER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
440  174  318
FLOOR LAYERS, EXCEPT TILE SETTERS

441  495  453
FOREMEN

442  228  355
FORGEMEN AND HAMMERMEN

443  178  291
FURNITURE AND WOOD FINISHERS

444  334  323
FURRIERS

445  253  267
GLAZIERS

446  217  353
HEAT TREATERS, ANNEALERS, AND TEMPERERS

450  225  310
INSPECTORS, SCALERS, AND GRADERS; LOG AND LUMBER

452  410  313
INSPECTORS

453  364  375
JEWELERS AND WATCHMAKERS

454  335  464
JOB AND DIE SETTERS, METAL

455  578  508
LOCOMOTIVE ENGINEERS

456  450  362
LOCOMOTIVE FIREMEN

461  329  477
MACHINISTS

462  410  408
MACHINIST APPRENTICES

MECHANICS AND REPAIRMEN

470  270  367
AIR CONDITIONING, HEATING, AND REFRIGERATION

471  480  482
AIRCRAFT

472  190  367
AUTOMOBILE BODY REPAIRMEN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Wage</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>AUTOMOBILE MECHANICS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>474</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>AUTOMOBILE MECHANIC APPRENTICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>475</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>DATA PROCESSING MACHINE REPAIRMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>FARM IMPLEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>481</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>HEAVY EQUIPMENT MECHANICS, INCLUDING DIESEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>482</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCE AND ACCESSORY INSTALLERS AND MECHANICS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>483</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>LOOM FIXERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>484</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>OFFICE MACHINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>485</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>RADIO AND TELEVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>486</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>RAILROAD AND CAR SHOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>491</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>MECHANIC, EXCEPT AUTO, APPRENTICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>492</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS MECHANICS AND REPAIRMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>495</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>NOT SPECIFIED MECHANICS AND REPAIRMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>MILLERS; GRAIN, FLOUR, AND FEED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>502</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>MILLWRIGHTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>MOLDERS, METAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>MOLDER APPRENTICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>MOTION PICTURE PROJECTIONISTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>506</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>OPTICIANS, AND LENS GRINDERS AND POLISHERS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
510  164  298
PAINTERS, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE

511  290  408
PAINTER APPRENTICES

512  135  275
PAPERHANGERS

514  430  387
PATTERN AND MODEL MAKERS, EXCEPT PAPER

515  611  397
PHOTOENGRAVERS AND LITHOGRAPHERS

516  380  320
PIANO AND ORGAN TUNERS AND REPAIRMEN

520  250  332
PLASTERERS

521  290  408
PLASTERER APPRENTICES

522  340  406
PLUMBERS AND PIPE FITTERS

523  330  408
PLUMBER AND PIPE FITTER APPRENTICES

525  500  388
POWER STATION OPERATORS

530  456  391
PRESSMEN AND PLATE PRINTERS, PRINTING

531  400  408
PRESSMAN APPRENTICES

533  220  360
ROLLERS AND FINISHERS, METAL

534  151  315
ROOFERS AND SLATERS

535  330  368
SHEETMETAL WORKERS AND TINSMITHS

536  330  408
SHEETMETAL APPRENTICES

540  340  355
SHIPFITTERS

542  119  326
SHOE REPAIRMEN
SIGN PAINTERS AND LETTERERS

STATIONARY ENGINEERS

STONE CUTTERS AND STONE CARVERS

STRUCTURAL METAL CRAFTSMEN

TAILORS

TELEPHONE INSTALLERS AND REPAIRMEN

TELEPHONE LINEMEN AND SPICERS

TILE SETTERS

TOOL OR DIE MAKERS

TOOL AND DIE MAKER APPRENTICES

UPHOLSTERERS

SPECIFIED CRAFT APPRENTICES

NOT SPECIFIED APPRENTICES

CRAFTSMEN AND KINDRED WORKERS

FORMER MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES

CURRENT MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES

OPERATIVES, EXCEPT TRANSPORT

ASBESTOS AND INSULATION WORKERS

ASSEMBLERS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>2012 Employment</th>
<th>2011 Employment</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>603</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>-211</td>
<td>Blasters and Powermen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>604</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td>Bottling and Canning Operatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>-144</td>
<td>Chainmen, Rodmen, and Axmen; Surveying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>-177</td>
<td>Checkers, Examiners, and Inspectors; Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>Clothing Ironers and Pressers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>-96</td>
<td>Cutting Operatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>-86</td>
<td>Dressmakers and Seamstresses, except Factory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>Drillers, Earth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>-119</td>
<td>Dry Wall Installers and Lathers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-130</td>
<td>Dyers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>Filers, Polishers, Sanders, and Buffers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>-148</td>
<td>Furnacemen, Smeltermen, and Pourers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td>Garage Workers and Gas Station Attendants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>624</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>-160</td>
<td>Graders and Sorters, Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>-108</td>
<td>Produce Graders and Packers, except Factory and Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>Heaters, Metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>Laundry and Dry Cleaning Operatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>Meat Cutters and Butchers, except Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>-73</td>
<td>Meat Cutters and Butchers, Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEAT WRAPPERS, RETAIL TRADE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>635</th>
<th>197</th>
<th>302</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>METAL PLATERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>636</th>
<th>460</th>
<th>334</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MILLINERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>640</th>
<th>165</th>
<th>264</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MINE OPERATIVES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>641</th>
<th>173</th>
<th>273</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIXING OPERATIVES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>642</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>242</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OILERS AND GREASERS, EXCEPT AUTO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>643</th>
<th>181</th>
<th>195</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PACKERS AND WRAPPERS, EXCEPT MEAT AND PRODUCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>644</th>
<th>181</th>
<th>288</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAINTERS, MANUFACTURED ARTICLES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>645</th>
<th>412</th>
<th>355</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHOTOGRAPHIC PROCESS WORKERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRECISION MACHINE OPERATIVES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>650</th>
<th>220</th>
<th>318</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DRILL PRESS OPERATIVES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>651</th>
<th>219</th>
<th>190</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRINDING MACHINE OPERATIVES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>652</th>
<th>216</th>
<th>319</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LATHE AND MILLING MACHINE OPERATIVES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>653</th>
<th>209</th>
<th>311</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRECISION MACHINE OPERATIVES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>656</th>
<th>195</th>
<th>303</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PUNCH AND STAMPING PRESS OPERATIVES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>660</th>
<th>206</th>
<th>315</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIVETERS AND FASTENERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>661</th>
<th>160</th>
<th>337</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAILORS AND DECKHANDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>662</th>
<th>049</th>
<th>276</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAWYERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>663</th>
<th>182</th>
<th>251</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEWERS AND STITCHERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
664 092 316
SHOEMAKING MACHINE OPERATIVES

665 244 361
SOLDERERS

666 166 317
STATIONARY FIREMEN

TEXTILE OPERATIVES

670 033 289
CARDING, LAPPING, AND COMBING OPERATIVES

671 210 294
KNITTERS, LOOPERS, AND TOPPERS

672 041 282
SPINNERS, TWISTERS, AND WINDERS

673 059 251
WEAVERS

674 086 288
TEXTILE OPERATIVES

680 240 401
WELDERS AND FLAME CUTTERS

681 217 336
WINDING OPERATIVES

690 190 285
MACHINE OPERATIVES, MISCELLANEOUS SPECIFIED

692 193 293
MACHINE OPERATIVES, NOT SPECIFIED

694 188 289
MISCELLANEOUS OPERATIVES

695 195 291
NOT SPECIFIED OPERATIVES

TRANSPORT EQUIPMENT OPERATIVES

701 240 368
BOATMEN AND CANALMEN

703 240 324
BUS DRIVERS

704 325 280
CONDUCTORS AND MOTORMEN, URBAN RAIL TRANSPORT
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Unemployment Compensation</th>
<th>Unemployment Insurance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>705</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>DELIVERYMEN AND ROUTEMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>706</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>FORK LIFT AND TOW MOTOR OPERATIVES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>710</td>
<td>030</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>MOTORMEN; MINE, FACTORY, LOGGING CAMP, ETC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>PARKING ATTENDANTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>712</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>RAILROAD BRAKEMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>713</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>RAILROAD SWITCHMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>714</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>TAXICAB DRIVERS AND CHAUFFEURS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>715</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>TRUCK DRIVERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LABORERS, EXCEPT FARM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>ANIMAL CARETAKERS, EXCEPT FARM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>000</td>
<td>CARPENTERS' HELPERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751</td>
<td>071</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION LABORERS, EXCEPT CARPENTERS' HELPERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>752</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>000</td>
<td>FISHERMEN AND OYSTERMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>753</td>
<td>089</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>FREIGHT AND MATERIAL HANDLERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>754</td>
<td>060</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>GARBAGE COLLECTORS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>755</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>GARDENERS AND GROUNDSKEEPERS, EXCEPT FARM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>LONGSHOREMEN AND STEVEDORES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>761</td>
<td>041</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>LUMBERMEN, RAFTSMEN, AND WOODCHOPPERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>762</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>STOCK HANDLERS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TEAMSTERS

VEHICLE WASHERS AND EQUIPMENT CLEANERS

WAREHOUSEMEN

MISCELLANEOUS LABORERS

NOT SPECIFIED LABORERS

FARMERS AND FARM MANAGERS

FARMERS (OWNERS AND TENANTS)

FARM MANAGERS

FARM LABORERS AND FARM FOREMEN

FARM FOREMEN

FARM LABORERS, WAGE WORKERS

FARM LABORERS, UNPAID FAMILY WORKERS

FARM SERVICE LABORERS, SELF-EMPLOYED

SERVICE WORKERS, EXCEPT PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD

CLEANING SERVICE WORKERS

CHAMBERMAIDS AND MAIDS, EXCEPT PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD

CLEANERS AND CHARWOMEN

JANITORS AND SEXTONS

FOOD SERVICE WORKERS

BARTENDERS
911  110  144
BUSBOYS

912  150  264
COOKS, EXCEPT PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD

913  110  218
DISHWASHERS

914  170  154
FOOD COUNTER AND FOUNTAIN WORKERS

915  160  203
WAITERS

916  110  208
FOOD SERVICE WORKERS, EXCEPT PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD

HEALTH SERVICE WORKERS

921  380  478
DENTAL ASSISTANTS

922  294  405
HEALTH AIDES, EXCEPT NURSING

923  510  451
HEALTH TRAINEES

924  370  233
LAY MIDWIVES

925  135  364
NURSING AIDES, ORDERLIES, AND ATTENDANTS

926  220  419
PRACTICAL NURSES

PERSONAL SERVICE WORKERS

931  310  364
AIRLINE STEWARDESSES

932  195  164
ATTENDANTS, RECREATION AND AMUSEMENT

933  288  225
ATTENDANTS, PERSONAL SERVICE

934  079  175
BAGGAGE PORTERS AND BELLHOPS

935  170  379
BARBERS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Work Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>940</td>
<td>070</td>
<td>300 221 BOARDING AND LODGING HOUSE KEEPERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>941</td>
<td>080</td>
<td>093 BOOTBLACKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>942</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>241 CHILD CARE WORKERS, EXCEPT PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>943</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>209 ELEVATOR OPERATORS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>944</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>332 HAIRDRESSERS AND COSMETOLOGISTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>945</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>408 PERSONAL SERVICE APPRENTICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>364 HOUSEKEEPERS, EXCEPT PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>952</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>141 SCHOOL MONITORS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>953</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>149 USHERS, RECREATION AND AMUSEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>954</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>144 WELFARE SERVICE AIDES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROTECTIVE SERVICE WORKERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Work Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>960</td>
<td>070</td>
<td>175 245 CROSSING GUARDS AND BRIDGE TENDERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>961</td>
<td>080</td>
<td>370 438 FIREMEN, FIRE PROTECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>962</td>
<td>090</td>
<td>182 223 GUARDS AND WATCHMEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>963</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>210 458 MARSHALS AND CONSTABLES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>964</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>404 477 POLICEMEN AND DETECTIVES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>965</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>340 550 SHERIFFS AND BAILIFFS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD WORKERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Work Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>980</td>
<td>070</td>
<td>029 229 CHILD CARE WORKERS, PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>981</td>
<td>070</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOKS, PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>982</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOUSEKEEPERS, PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>983</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAUNDRESSES, PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>984</td>
<td>070</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAIDS AND SERVANTS, PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>000</td>
<td>000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCCUPATION NOT KNOWN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>000</td>
<td>000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCCUPATION NOT ASCERTAINED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000</td>
<td>000</td>
<td>000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INAPPROPRIATE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1970 CENSUS INDUSTRY NOTE

This note is used in conjunction with variables which identify the industry in which the respondent, the head of household, and wife of head of household work(ed).

The industrial classification scheme used in the 1978, 1980, and 1982 studies is based on the 1970 Census coding system, whereas the 1972 and 1974 studies used the 1960 Census industrial classification system. The difference between the two versions is negligible at the level of major categories, although differences exist in the detailed codes within categories.


Allocation Categories

029. Agriculture, forestry, and fisheries -- allocated
058. Mining -- allocated
078. Construction -- allocated
267. Manufacturing, durable goods -- allocated
399. Manufacturing, nondurable goods -- allocated
499. Transportation, communications, and other public utilities -- allocated
599. Wholesale trade -- allocated
699. Retail trade -- allocated
719. Finance, insurance, and real estate -- allocated
767. Business and repair services -- allocated
799. Personal services -- allocated
817. Entertainment and recreation services -- allocated
899. Professional and related services -- allocated
947. Public administration -- allocated

Agriculture, forestry, and fisheries

017. Agricultural production
018. Agricultural services, except horticultural
019. Horticultural services
027. Forestry
028. Fisheries

Mining

047. Metal mining
048. Coal mining
049. Crude petroleum and natural gas extractions
057. Nonmetallic mining and quarrying, except fuel
CONSTRUCTION
  067. GENERAL BUILDING CONTRACTORS
  068. GENERAL CONTRACTORS, EXCEPT BUILDING
  069. SPECIAL TRADE CONTRACTORS
  077. NOT SPECIFIED CONSTRUCTION

MANUFACTURING

DURABLE GOODS
  LUMBER AND WOOD PRODUCTS, EXCEPT FURNITURE
  107. LOGGING
  108. SAWMILLS, PLANING MILLS, AND MILL WORK
  109. MISCELLANEOUS WOOD PRODUCTS
  118. FURNITURE AND FIXTURES

  STONE, CLAY, AND GLASS PRODUCTS
  119. GLASS AND GLASS PRODUCTS
  127. CEMENT, CONCRETE, GYPSUM, AND PLASTER PRODUCTS
  128. STRUCTURAL CLAY PRODUCTS
  137. POTTERY AND RELATED PRODUCTS
  138. MISCELLANEOUS NONMETALLIC MINERAL AND STONE PRODUCTS

  METAL INDUSTRIES
  139. BLAST FURNACES, STEEL WORKS, ROLLING AND FINISHING MILLS
  147. OTHER PRIMARY IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRIES
  148. PRIMARY ALUMINUM INDUSTRIES
  149. OTHER PRIMARY NONFERROUS INDUSTRIES
  157. CUTLERY, HAND TOOLS, AND OTHER HARDWARE
  158. FABRICATED STRUCTURAL METAL PRODUCTS
  159. SCREW MACHINE PRODUCTS
  167. METAL STAMPING
  168. MISCELLANEOUS FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS
  169. NOT SPECIFIED METAL INDUSTRIES

  MACHINERY, EXCEPT ELECTRICAL
  177. ENGINES AND TURBINES
  178. FARM MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT
  179. CONSTRUCTION AND MATERIAL HANDLING MACHINES
  187. METALWORKING MACHINERY
  188. OFFICE AND ACCOUNTING MACHINES
  189. ELECTRONIC COMPUTING EQUIPMENT
  197. MACHINERY, EXCEPT ELECTRICAL
  198. NOT SPECIFIED MACHINERY

  ELECTRICAL MACHINERY, EQUIPMENT, AND SUPPLIES
  199. HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES
  207. RADIO, T.V., AND COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT
  208. ELECTRICAL MACHINERY, EQUIPMENT, AND SUPPLIES
  209. NOT SPECIFIED ELECTRICAL MACHINERY, EQUIPMENT, AND SUPPLIES

  TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT
  219. MOTOR VEHICLES AND MOTOR VEHICLE EQUIPMENT
  227. AIRCRAFT AND PARTS
  228. SHIP AND BOAT BUILDING AND REPAIRING
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>RAILROAD LOCOMOTIVES AND EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>MOBILE DWELLINGS AND CAMPERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>CYCLES AND MISCELLANEOUS TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL AND PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT, AND WATCHES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>SCIENTIFIC AND CONTROLLING INSTRUMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>OPTICAL AND HEALTH SERVICES SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248</td>
<td>PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>WATCHES, CLOCKS, AND CLOCKWORK-OPERATED DEVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257</td>
<td>NOT SPECIFIED PROFESSIONAL EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>ORDNANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NONDURABLE GOODS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268</td>
<td>MEAT PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269</td>
<td>DAIRY PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278</td>
<td>CANNING AND PRESERVING FRUITS, VEGETABLES, AND SEAFOODS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279</td>
<td>GRAIN MILL PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287</td>
<td>BAKERY PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>288</td>
<td>CONFECTIONERY AND RELATED PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>289</td>
<td>BEVERAGE INDUSTRIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS FOOD PREPARATION AND KINDRED PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>NOT SPECIFIED FOOD INDUSTRIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299</td>
<td>TOBACCO MANUFACTURES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TEXTILE MILL PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>KNITTING MILLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>DYEING AND FINISHING TEXTILES, EXCEPT WOOD AND KNIT GOODS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>FLOOR COVERINGS, EXCEPT HARD SURFACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>YARN, THREAD, AND FABRIC MILLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS TEXTILE MILL PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APPAREL AND OTHER FABRICATED TEXTILE PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>APPAREL AND ACCESSORIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS FABRICATED TEXTILE PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAPER AND ALLIED PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328</td>
<td>PULP, PAPER, AND PAPERBOARD MILLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS PAPER AND PULP PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>PAPERBOARD CONTAINERS AND BOXES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRINTING, PUBLISHING, AND ALLIED INDUSTRIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>NEWSPAPER PUBLISHING AND PRINTING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>339</td>
<td>PRINTING, PUBLISHING, AND ALLIED INDUSTRIES, EXCEPT NEWSPAPERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHEMICALS AND ALLIED PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347</td>
<td>INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348</td>
<td>PLASTICS, SYNTHETICS, AND RESINS, EXCEPT FIBERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>349</td>
<td>SYNTHETIC FIBERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357</td>
<td>DRUGS AND MEDICINES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>358</td>
<td>SOAPS AND COSMETICS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359</td>
<td>PAINTS, VARNISHES AND RELATED PRODUCTS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
367. AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS
368. MISCELLANEOUS CHEMICALS
369. NOT SPECIFIED CHEMICALS AND ALLIED PRODUCTS

PETROLEUM AND COAL PRODUCTS
377. PETROLEUM REFINING
378. MISCELLANEOUS PETROLEUM AND COAL PRODUCTS

RUBBER AND MISCELLANEOUS PLASTIC PRODUCTS
379. RUBBER PRODUCTS
387. MISCELLANEOUS PLASTIC PRODUCTS

LEATHER AND LEATHER PRODUCTS
388. TANNED, CURRIED, AND FINISHED LEATHER
389. FOOTWEAR EXCEPT RUBBER
397. LEATHER PRODUCTS, EXCEPT FOOTWEAR
398. NOT SPECIFIED MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNICATIONS, AND OTHER PUBLIC UTILITIES

TRANSPORTATION
407. RAILROADS AND RAILWAY EXPRESS SERVICE
408. STREET RAILWAYS AND BUS LINES
409. TAXICAB SERVICE
417. TRUCKING SERVICE
418. WAREHOUSING AND STORAGE
419. WATER TRANSPORTATION
427. AIR TRANSPORTATION
428. PIPE LINES, EXCEPT NATURAL GAS
429. SERVICES INCIDENTAL TO TRANSFORMATION

COMMUNICATIONS
447. RADIO BROADCASTING AND TELEVISION
448. TELEPHONE (WIRE AND RADIO)
449. TELEGRAPH AND MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATION SERVICES

UTILITIES AND SANITARY SERVICES
467. ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER
468. ELECTRIC GAS UTILITIES
469. GAS AND STEAM SUPPLY SYSTEMS
477. WATER SUPPLY
478. SANITARY SERVICES
479. OTHER AND NOT SPECIFIED UTILITIES

WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE

WHOLESALE TRADE
507. MOTOR VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT
508. DRUGS, CHEMICALS, AND ALLIED PRODUCTS
509. DRY GOODS AND APPAREL
527. FOOD AND RELATED PRODUCTS
528. FARM PRODUCTS -- RAW MATERIALS
529. ELECTRICAL GOODS
537. HARDWARE, PLUMBING, AND HEATING SUPPLIES
538. NOT SPECIFIED ELECTRICAL AND HARDWARE PRODUCTS
539. MACHINERY EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES
557. METALS AND MINERALS
558. PETROLEUM PRODUCTS
559. SCRAP AND WASTE MATERIALS
567. ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES
568. PAPER AND ITS PRODUCTS
569. LUMBER AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS
587. WHOLESALERS
588. NOT SPECIFIED WHOLESALE TRADE

RETAIL TRADE
655. LUMBER AND BUILDING MATERIAL RETAILING
608. HARDWARE AND FARM EQUIPMENT STORES
609. DEPARTMENT AND MAIL ORDER ESTABLISHMENTS
617. LIMITED PRICE VARIETY STORES
618. VENDING MACHINE OPERATORS
619. DIRECT SELLING ESTABLISHMENTS
627. MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL MERCHANDISE STORES
628. GROCERY STORES
629. DAIRY PRODUCTS STORES
637. RETAIL BAKERIES
638. FOOD STORES
639. MOTOR VEHICLE DEALERS
647. TIRE, BATTERY, AND ACCESSORY DEALERS
648. GASOLINE SERVICE STATIONS
649. MISCELLANEOUS VEHICLE DEALERS
657. APPAREL AND ACCESSORIES STORES, EXCEPT SHOE STORES
658. SHOE STORES
667. FURNITURE AND HOME FURNISHINGS STORES
668. HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES, TV, AND RADIO STORES
669. EATING AND DRINKING PLACES
677. DRUG STORES
678. LIQUOR STORES
679. FARM AND GARDEN SUPPLY STORES
687. JEWELRY STORES
688. FUEL AND ICE DEALERS
689. RETAIL FLORISTS
697. MISCELLANEOUS RETAIL STORES
698. NOT SPECIFIED RETAIL TRADE

FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE
707. BANKING
708. CREDIT AGENCIES
709. SECURITY, COMMODITY BROKERAGE, AND INVESTMENT COMPANIES
717. INSURANCE
718. REAL ESTATE, INCLUDING REAL ESTATE INSURANCE LAW OFFICES

BUSINESS AND REPAIR SERVICES
727. ADVERTISING
728. SERVICES TO DWELLINGS AND OTHER BUILDINGS
729. COMMERCIAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND TESTING LABS
737. EMPLOYMENT AND TEMPORARY HELP AGENCIES
738. BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND CONSULTING SERVICES
739. COMPUTER PROGRAMMING SERVICES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Service Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>747</td>
<td>DETECTIVE AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748</td>
<td>BUSINESS SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>749</td>
<td>AUTOMOBILE SERVICES, EXCEPT REPAIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>757</td>
<td>AUTOMOBILE REPAIR AND RELATED SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>758</td>
<td>ELECTRICAL REPAIR SHOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>759</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS REPAIR SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>769</td>
<td>PERSONAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>777</td>
<td>PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>778</td>
<td>HOTELS AND MOTELS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>779</td>
<td>LODGING PLACES, EXCEPT HOTELS AND MOTELS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789</td>
<td>LAUNDERING, CLEANING, AND OTHER GARMENT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>787</td>
<td>BEAUTY SHOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>788</td>
<td>BARBER SHOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789</td>
<td>SHOE REPAIR SHOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>797</td>
<td>DRESSMAKING SHOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>798</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS PERSONAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>807</td>
<td>ENTERTAINMENT AND RECREATION SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>808</td>
<td>THEATERS AND MOTION PICTURES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>809</td>
<td>BOWLING ALLEYS, BILLIARD AND POOL PARLORS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>817</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS ENTERTAINMENT AND RECREATION SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>828</td>
<td>PROFESSIONAL AND RELATED SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>829</td>
<td>OFFICES OF PHYSICIANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>837</td>
<td>OFFICES OF DENTISTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>838</td>
<td>OFFICES OF CHIROPRACTORS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>847</td>
<td>HOSPITALS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>848</td>
<td>CONVALESCENT INSTITUTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>849</td>
<td>OFFICES OF HEALTH PRACTITIONERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>857</td>
<td>HEALTH SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>858</td>
<td>LEGAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>859</td>
<td>ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>867</td>
<td>COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>868</td>
<td>LIBRARIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>869</td>
<td>NOT SPECIFIED EDUCATIONAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>877</td>
<td>MUSEUMS, ART GALLERIES, AND ZOOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>878</td>
<td>RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>879</td>
<td>WELFARE SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>887</td>
<td>RESIDENTIAL WELFARE SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>888</td>
<td>NONPROFIT MEMBERSHIP ORGANIZATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>889</td>
<td>ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>897</td>
<td>ACCOUNTING, AUDITING, AND BOOKKEEPING SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>898</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS PROFESSIONAL AND RELATED SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>907</td>
<td>PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>917</td>
<td>POSTAL SERVICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>927</td>
<td>FEDERAL PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>937</td>
<td>STATE PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>LOCAL PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000</td>
<td>INDUSTRY NOT REPORTED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This list was developed from the 1973 World Almanac and Book of Facts, pp. 152-188 (1970 population figure).

The first four digits are a unique code number for each city.

The fifth digit in the urbanicity code for each city, as used in the 1971 quality of Life study (468110).

1 = city of over 1,000,000
2 = city of over 25,000 and up to 250,000 in an SMSA of 1,000,000 or more
3 = city of under 25,000 in an SHEA of 1,000,000 or more
4 = city of over 250,000 and up to 1,000,000
5 = city of over 50,000 and up to 250,000 not in SMSA of 1,000,000 or more
6 = city of 50,000 or less not in SMSA of 1,000,000 or more
9 = NA

* Place added in 1982 (having population of 25,000+ in 1980 census) coded on basis of 1970 status in area. (see example Chandler, Arizona—it’s SMSA was not over one million in 1970, so coded 6 here. This was done to avoid glaring inconsistencies in adjacent areas. The areas affected by this decision are the four places where the population of the SMSA topped the one million mark between 1970 and 1980 (Phoenix, Arizona; San Antonio, Texas; Ft Lauderdale/Hollywood, Florida; Sacramento, California).

(141) ALABAMA

0001 6. Anniston
*0015 6. Auburn
0002 6. Bessemer
0003 4. Birmingham
0004 6. Decatur
0005 6. Dothan
0006 6. Florence
0007 5. Gadsden
0008 5. Huntsville
0009 5. Mobile
0010 5. Montgomery
0011 6. Phenix City
0012 6. Prichard
0013 6. Selma
0014 5. Tuscaloosa

(180) ALASKA

0100 6. Anchorage

(161) ARIZONA

*0208 6. Chandler
0200 6. Flagstaff
0201 6. Glendale
0202 5. Mesa
0203 4. Phoenix
0204 5. Scottsdale
*0209 6. Sun City
0205 5. Tempe
0206 4. Tucson
0207 6. Yuma
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(142) ARKANSAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0300 6. Blytheville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0301 6. El Dorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0302 6. Fayetteville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0303 5. Fort Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0304 6. Hot Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0310 6. Jacksonville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0305 6. Jonesboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0306 5. Little Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0307 5. North Little Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0308 5. Pine Bluff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0309 5. West Memphis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(171) CALIFORNIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0400 2. Alameda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0401 2. Alhambra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0402 2. Altadena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0403 2. Anaheim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0404 2. Antioch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0405 2. Arcadia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0406 5. Arden-Arcade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0407 2. Azusa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0408 5. Bakersfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0409 2. Baldwin Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0557 2. Bell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0410 2. Bellflower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0411 2. Bell Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0412 2. Belmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0413 2. Berkley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0414 2. Beverly Hills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0415 2. Buena Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0416 2. Burbank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0417 2. Burlingame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0559 6. Camarillo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0560 2. Campbell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0561 2. Castro Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0562 6. Chico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0563 2. Chino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0422 2. Chula Vista</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0564 6. Citrus Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0423 2. Claremont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0565 6. Clovis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0424 2. Compton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0425 2. Concord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0426 2. Corona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0427 2. Costa Mesa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0428 2. Covina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0429 2. Culver City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0566 2. Cupertino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0430 2. Cypress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0431 2. Daly City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0567 2. Danville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0474 5. Modesto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0475 2. Monrovia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0476 2. Montebello</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0477 2. Monterey Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0478 2. Mountain View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0480 6. Napa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0481 2. National City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0482 2. Newark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0483 2. Newport Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0484 6. North Highlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0485 2. Norwalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0486 2. Novato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0487 4. Oakland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0488 2. Oceanside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0558 2. Brea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0415 2. Buena Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0492 2. Pacifica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0576 2. Palm Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0493 2. Palo Alto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0494 2. Palos Verdes Peninsula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0495 2. Paramount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0496 6. Parkway-Sacramento South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0497 2. Pasadena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0498 6. Petaluma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0499 2. Pico Rivera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0577 2. Pittsburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0578 2. Placentia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0500 2. Pleasant Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0579 2. Pleasanton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0501 2. Pomona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0580 2. Poway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0502 6. Rancho Cordova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0581 2. Rancho Cucamonga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0582 2. Rancho Palos Verdes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0583 6. Redding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0503 2. Redlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0504 2. Redondo Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*0566 2. Cupertino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0505 2. Redwood City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0506 2. Rialto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0507 2. Richmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0508 2. Riverside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(162)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*1035 6. Coral Springs  
1004 6. Daytona Beach  
*1036 6. Deerfield Beach  
*1037 6. Delray Beach  
*1038 2. Dunedin  
1024 5. Pensacola  
*1050 6. Pine Hills  
1007 6. Fort Myers  
1008 6. Fort Pierce  
1009 5. Gainesville  
1025 6. Pompano Beach  
*1053 6. Port Charlotte  
1010 2. Hialeah  
1011 5. Hollywood  
1012 4. Jacksonville  
1027 6. Sarasota  
*1055 6. Sunrise  
1028 5. Tallahassee  
1014 6. Key West  
1015 6. Lakeland  
*1041 6. Lake Worth  
*1042 2. Largo  
*1043 6. Lauderdale Lakes  
*1058 2. Westchester  
*1059 2. West Little River  
1031 5. West Palm Beach  
1016 6. Melbourne

(144) GEORGIA

1100 5. Albany  
1101 6. Athens  
1102 4. Atlanta  
1103 5. Augusta  
*1113 2. Candler-McAfee  
1104 5. Columbus  
1105 2. East Point  
1106 6. Fort Benning  
*1114 2. Mableton  
1107 5. Macon

1108 2. Marietta  
*1115 2. North Atlanta  
1109 6. Rome  
*1116 2. Sandy Springs  
1110 5. Savannah  
*1117 6. South Augusta  
1118 2. Tucker  
1111 6. Valdosta  
1112 6. Warner Robins

(181) HAWAII

*1205 6. Aiea  
1200 6. Hilo  
1201 4. Honolulu  
1202 6. Kailua

1203 6. Panoeha  
*1206 6. Pearl City  
1204 6. Wiapahu

(163) IDAHO

1300 5. Boise City  
1301 6. Idaho Falls  
1302 6. Lewiston

*1304 6. Nampa  
1303 6. Pocatello  
*1305 6. Twin Falls
(121) ILLINOIS

1400 2. Addison
1401 2. Alton
1402 2. Arlington Heights
1403 2. Aurora
1404 2. Belleville
1405 2. Berwyn
1406 6. Bloomington
*1461 2. Bolingbrook
1407 2. Burbank
1408 2. Calumet City
*1462 6. Carbondale
1409 2. Carpentereville
1410 5. Champaign
1411 1. Chicago
1412 2. Chicago Heights
1413 2. Cicero
1414 6. Danville
1415 5. Decatur
*1463 6. DeKalb
1416 2. Des Plaines
1417 2. Dolton
1418 2. Downers Grove
1419 2. East St. Louis
1420 2. Elgin
*1464 2. Elk Grove
1421 2. Elmhurst
1422 2. Elmwood Park
1423 2. Evanston
1424 2. Evergreen Park
1425 6. Freeport
1426 6. Galesburg
1427 2. Glenview
1428 2. Granite City
*1465 2. Hanover Park
1429 2. Harvey
1430 2. Highland Park
1431 2. Joliet
1432 6. Kankakee
1433 2. Lombard
1434 2. Maywood
1435 6. Moline
*1466 2. Hoffman Estates
1436 6. Morton Grove
1437 2. Naperville
1438 2. Mount Prospect
1439 2. Niles
1440 6. Normal
1441 2. Northbrook
1442 2. North Chicago
1443 2. Oak Lawn
1444 2. Oak Park
1445 2. Palatine
1446 2. Park Forest
1447 2. Park Ridge
1448 6. Pekin
1449 5. Peoria
1450 6. Quincy
1451 6. Rantoul
1452 5. Rockford
1453 5. Rock Island
1454 2. Skokie
*1467 2. Naperville
1455 5. Springfield
*1468 2. Oak Forest
1456 6. Urbana
1457 2. Villa Park
1458 2. Waukegan
1459 2. Wheaton
1460 2. Wilmette

(122) INDIANA

1500 5. Anderson
1501 6. Bloomington
1502 6. Columbus
1503 2. East Chicago
1504 6. Elkhart
1505 5. Evansville
1506 5. Fort Wayne
1507 2. Gary
1508 2. Hammond
1509 2. Highland
1510 4. Indianapolis
1511 6. Kokomo
1512 6. Lafayette
*1521 2. Lawrence
1513 6. Marion
*1522 2. Merrillville
1514 6. Michigan City
1515 6. Mishawaka
1516 5. Muncie
1517 6. New Albany
*1523 2. Portage
1518 6. Richmond
1519 5. South Bend
1520 5. Terre Haute
(131) IOWA

1600 6. Ames                    1608 5. Dubuque
1610 6. Iowa City               1602 6. Cedar Falls
1611 6. Marshalltown            1603 5. Cedar Rapids
1612 6. Mason City              1604 6. Clinton
1613 6. Ottawa                  1605 6. Fort Dodge
1605 5. Council Bluffs           1614 5. Sioux City
1606 5. Davenport               1615 5. Waterloo
1607 5. Des Moines              1616 6. Bettendorf

(151) KENTUCKY

1800 6. Ashland                 1804 5. Lexington-Fayette
1802 2. Covington               1806 2. Newport
1803 6. Fort Knox               1808 6. Paducah
1809 6. Paducah                 1810 6. Valley Station

(145) LOUISIANA

1900 6. Alexandria             1907 5. Lake Charles
1901 5. Baton Rouge            1908 2. Marrero
1903 2. Chalmette              1910 5. Monroe
1906 5. Lafayette              1913 5. Shreveport
1906 5. Lafayette              1915 2. Slidell

(102) MAINE


(132) KANSAS

*1710 6. Emporia                1705 2. Overland Park
1700 6. Hutchinson              1706 2. Prairie Village
1701 2. Kansas City             1707 6. Salina
1702 6. Lawrence                1712 2. Shawnee
1703 6. Leavenworth             1708 5. Topeka
*1711 2. Olathe
### Maryland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>Annapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2101</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2102</td>
<td>Bethesda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2103</td>
<td>Bowie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2104</td>
<td>Catonsville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2105</td>
<td>Chillum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2106</td>
<td>College Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2107</td>
<td>Cumberland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2108</td>
<td>Dundalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2109</td>
<td>Essex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2110</td>
<td>Glen Burnie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2111</td>
<td>Hagerstown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2112</td>
<td>Hillerest Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2113</td>
<td>Lutherville-Timonium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2114</td>
<td>Parkville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2115</td>
<td>Pikesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2116</td>
<td>Randallstown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2117</td>
<td>Rockville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2118</td>
<td>Silver Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2119</td>
<td>Suitland-Silver Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2120</td>
<td>Towson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2121</td>
<td>Wheaton-Glenmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2122</td>
<td>Westminster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2123</td>
<td>Aspen Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2124</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2125</td>
<td>Frederick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2126</td>
<td>Gaithersburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2127</td>
<td>Lochearn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2128</td>
<td>Lutherville-Timonium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2129</td>
<td>Montage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2130</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2131</td>
<td>Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2132</td>
<td>Parkville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2133</td>
<td>Parkville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2134</td>
<td>Pikesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2135</td>
<td>Prince George</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2136</td>
<td>Prince George</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2137</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2138</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2139</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2140</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2141</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2142</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2143</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2144</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2145</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2146</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2147</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2148</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2149</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2150</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2151</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2152</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2153</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2154</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2155</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2156</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2157</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2158</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2159</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2160</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2161</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2162</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2163</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2164</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2165</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2166</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2167</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2168</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2169</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2170</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2171</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2172</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2173</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2174</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2175</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2176</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2177</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2178</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2179</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2180</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2181</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2182</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2183</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2184</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2185</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2186</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2187</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2188</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2189</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2190</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2191</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2192</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2193</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2194</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2195</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2196</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2197</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2198</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2199</td>
<td>Potomac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2200</td>
<td>Agawam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2201</td>
<td>Andover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2202</td>
<td>Arlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2203</td>
<td>Attleboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2204</td>
<td>Belmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2205</td>
<td>Beverly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2206</td>
<td>Billerica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2207</td>
<td>Boston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2208</td>
<td>Braintree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2209</td>
<td>Brockton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2210</td>
<td>Brookline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2211</td>
<td>Cambridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2212</td>
<td>Chelmsford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2213</td>
<td>Chelaea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2214</td>
<td>Chicopee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2215</td>
<td>Danvers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2216</td>
<td>Dedham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2217</td>
<td>Everett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2218</td>
<td>Fall River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2219</td>
<td>Fitchburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2220</td>
<td>Framingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2221</td>
<td>Gloucester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2222</td>
<td>Haverhill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2223</td>
<td>Holyoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2224</td>
<td>Lawrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2225</td>
<td>Leominster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2226</td>
<td>Lexington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2227</td>
<td>Lowell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2228</td>
<td>Lynn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2229</td>
<td>Maiden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2230</td>
<td>Marlborough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2231</td>
<td>Medford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2232</td>
<td>Melrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2233</td>
<td>Methuen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2234</td>
<td>Milton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235</td>
<td>Natick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2236</td>
<td>Needham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2237</td>
<td>New Bedford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2238</td>
<td>Newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2239</td>
<td>Northampton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2240</td>
<td>Norwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2241</td>
<td>Peabody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2242</td>
<td>Pittsfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2243</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2244</td>
<td>Randolph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2245</td>
<td>Revere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2246</td>
<td>Salem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2247</td>
<td>Saugua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2248</td>
<td>Somerville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2249</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2250</td>
<td>Tauton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2251</td>
<td>Wakefield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2252</td>
<td>Waltham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2253</td>
<td>Watertown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2254</td>
<td>Wellesley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2255</td>
<td>Westfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2256</td>
<td>West Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2257</td>
<td>Weymouth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2258</td>
<td>Hoburn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2259</td>
<td>Worcester</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Michigan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2300</td>
<td>2. Allen Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2301</td>
<td>5. Ann Arbor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2302</td>
<td>6. Battle Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2303</td>
<td>6. Bay City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2304</td>
<td>2. Birmingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2305</td>
<td>5. Ann Arbor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2306</td>
<td>2. Clinton Twp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2307</td>
<td>1. Detroit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2308</td>
<td>2. East Detroit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2309</td>
<td>6. East Lansing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2310</td>
<td>2. Farmington Hills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2311</td>
<td>5. Flint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2312</td>
<td>2. Garden City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2313</td>
<td>5. Grand Rapids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2314</td>
<td>2. Hamtramick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2315</td>
<td>2. Highland Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2316</td>
<td>6. Holland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2317</td>
<td>2. Inkster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2318</td>
<td>6. Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2319</td>
<td>5. Kalamazoo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2320</td>
<td>5. Lansing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2321</td>
<td>2. Lincoln Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2322</td>
<td>2. Livonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2323</td>
<td>2. Madison Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2324</td>
<td>6. Midland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2325</td>
<td>6. Muskegon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2326</td>
<td>2. Oak Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2327</td>
<td>2. Pontiac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2328</td>
<td>6. Portage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2329</td>
<td>6. Port Huron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2330</td>
<td>2. Roseville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2331</td>
<td>2. Royal Oak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2332</td>
<td>5. Saginaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2333</td>
<td>2. St. Clair Shores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2334</td>
<td>2. Southfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2335</td>
<td>2. Southgate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2336</td>
<td>2. Sterling Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2337</td>
<td>2. Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2338</td>
<td>2. Trenton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2339</td>
<td>2. Troy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2340</td>
<td>2. Warren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2341</td>
<td>2. Webster Groves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2342</td>
<td>2. Wyandotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2343</td>
<td>5. Wyoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2344</td>
<td>6. Ypsilanti</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mississippi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>6. Biloxi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2501</td>
<td>6. Columbus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2502</td>
<td>6. Greenville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2503</td>
<td>6. Gulfport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2504</td>
<td>6. Hattiesburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2505</td>
<td>5. Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2506</td>
<td>6. Laurel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2507</td>
<td>6. Meridian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2508</td>
<td>6. Pascagoula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2509</td>
<td>6. Vicksburg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Missouri

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2600</td>
<td>2. Affton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2601</td>
<td>6. Cape Girardeau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2602</td>
<td>5. Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2603</td>
<td>2. Ferguson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2604</td>
<td>2. Florissant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2605</td>
<td>6. Fort Leonard Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2606</td>
<td>2. Independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2607</td>
<td>6. Jefferson City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2608</td>
<td>4. Joplin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2609</td>
<td>4. Kansas City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2610</td>
<td>2. Kirkwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2611</td>
<td>2. Lemay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2612</td>
<td>2. Overland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2613</td>
<td>2. Raytown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2614</td>
<td>2. St. Charles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2615</td>
<td>5. St. Joseph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2616</td>
<td>4. St. Louis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2617</td>
<td>5. Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2618</td>
<td>2. University City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2619</td>
<td>2. Webster Groves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(133) MINNESOTA

2400 6. Austin                         2411 9. Maplewood
*2423 2. Blaine                         2412 4. Minneapolis
2401 2. Bloomington                   2413 2. Minnetonka
2402 2. Brooklyn Center                2414 6. Moorhead
2403 6. Brooklyn Park                  *2425 2. Plymouth
*2424 2. Burnsville                     2415 2. Richfield
2404 2. Coon Rapids                    2416 5. Rochester
2405 2. Crystal                        2417 2. Roseville
2407 2. Edina                          2419 2. St. Louis Park
2408 2. Fridley                        2420 4. St. Paul
2409 2. Golden Valley                  2421 2. South St. Paul

(164) MONTANA

2700 5. Billings                       2701 5. Great Falls

(135) NEBRASKA

2800 6. Grand Island                   2802 4. Omaha
2801 5. Lincoln

(165) NEVADA

*2905 6. Carwn City                    2903 5. Reno
2900 5. Las Vegas                      2904 6. Sparka
2902 6. Paradiae

(104) NEW HAMPSHIRE

3000 6. Concord                        3002 5. Nashua
3001 5. Manchester                     3003 6. Portsmouth
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NEW JERSEY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3101</td>
<td>2. Bayonne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3103</td>
<td>2. Bergenfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3104</td>
<td>2. Bloomfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3106</td>
<td>2. Camden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3107</td>
<td>2. Cherry Hill Twp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3108</td>
<td>2. Clifton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3109</td>
<td>2. Cranford Twp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3110</td>
<td>2. Deptford Twp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3111</td>
<td>2. East Brunswick Twp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3112</td>
<td>2. East Orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3113</td>
<td>2. Etison T'wp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3114</td>
<td>2. Elizabeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3115</td>
<td>2. Englewood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3117</td>
<td>2. Fair Lawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3118</td>
<td>6. Fort Dix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3119</td>
<td>2. Fort Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3120</td>
<td>2. Garfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3122</td>
<td>2. Hoboken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3123</td>
<td>2. Irvington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3124</td>
<td>4. Jersey City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3125</td>
<td>2. Kearny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3126</td>
<td>2. Linden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3127</td>
<td>2. Livingston Twp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3128</td>
<td>2. Lodi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3129</td>
<td>6. Long Branch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(166) NEW MEXICO

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3200</td>
<td>5. Albuquerque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3207</td>
<td>6. Carlabat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3201</td>
<td>6. Clovis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3208</td>
<td>6. Farmington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3202</td>
<td>6. Hobbs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(113) NEW YORK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New York Region</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>New York Region</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3300 5.</td>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>3327 2.</td>
<td>Long Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3301 6.</td>
<td>Amsterdam</td>
<td>3328 2.</td>
<td>Massapqua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3302 6.</td>
<td>Auburn</td>
<td>3329 2.</td>
<td>Merrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3303 2.</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>3330 2.</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3305 2.</td>
<td>Brentwood</td>
<td>3332 2.</td>
<td>New City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3355 2.</td>
<td>Centereach</td>
<td>3335 2.</td>
<td>Niagara Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3307 2.</td>
<td>Central Islip</td>
<td>3336 2.</td>
<td>North Babylon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3356 2.</td>
<td>Cheektowaga</td>
<td>*3360 2.</td>
<td>North Bay Shore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3308 2.</td>
<td>Cossack</td>
<td>3337 2.</td>
<td>North Tonawanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3309 2.</td>
<td>Deer Park</td>
<td>3338 2.</td>
<td>Oceanside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3357 2.</td>
<td>Dix Hills</td>
<td>3339 2.</td>
<td>Plainview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3310 2.</td>
<td>East Meadow</td>
<td>3340 2.</td>
<td>Port Chester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3312 2.</td>
<td>Elmont</td>
<td>3342 4.</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3313 2.</td>
<td>Franklin Square</td>
<td>3343 2.</td>
<td>Rockville Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3314 2.</td>
<td>Freeport</td>
<td>3344 5.</td>
<td>Rome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3315 2.</td>
<td>Garden City</td>
<td>3345 6.</td>
<td>Rotterdam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3316 2.</td>
<td>Glen Cove</td>
<td>3346 5.</td>
<td>Schenectady</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3317 2.</td>
<td>Hempstead</td>
<td>*3361 2.</td>
<td>Smithtown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3318 2.</td>
<td>Hicksville</td>
<td>3347 5.</td>
<td>Syracuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3319 2.</td>
<td>Huntington Station</td>
<td>3348 2.</td>
<td>Tonawanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3358 6.</td>
<td>Irondeqoit</td>
<td>3349 5.</td>
<td>Troy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3320 6.</td>
<td>Ithaca</td>
<td>3349 5.</td>
<td>Utica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3321 6.</td>
<td>Jamestown</td>
<td>3350 2.</td>
<td>Valley Stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3323 2.</td>
<td>Lackawanna</td>
<td>*3363 2.</td>
<td>West Babylon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3359 2.</td>
<td>Lake Ronkonkoma</td>
<td>*3364 2.</td>
<td>West Islip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3324 2.</td>
<td>Levittown</td>
<td>*3365 2.</td>
<td>West Seneca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3325 2.</td>
<td>Lindenhurst</td>
<td>3352 2.</td>
<td>White Plains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3326 2.</td>
<td>Lockport</td>
<td>3353 2.</td>
<td>Yonkers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(147) NORTH CAROLINA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Carolina Region</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>North Carolina Region</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3400 5.</td>
<td>Asheville</td>
<td>3410 5.</td>
<td>Greensboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3402 6.</td>
<td>Camp Lejeune</td>
<td>3412 5.</td>
<td>High Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3403 6.</td>
<td>Chapel Hill</td>
<td>3413 6.</td>
<td>Rannapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3404 5.</td>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>3414 5.</td>
<td>Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3405 5.</td>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>3415 6.</td>
<td>Rocky Mount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3406 5.</td>
<td>Fayetteville</td>
<td>3416 6.</td>
<td>Wilmington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3408 6.</td>
<td>Gastonia</td>
<td>3418 5.</td>
<td>Winston-Salem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(136) NORTH DAKOTA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Dakota Region</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>North Dakota Region</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3501 5.</td>
<td>Fargo</td>
<td>3503 6.</td>
<td>Minot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Ohio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Akron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3601</td>
<td>Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3602</td>
<td>Athens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3603</td>
<td>Austintown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3604</td>
<td>Barberton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3651</td>
<td>Beavercreek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3605</td>
<td>Boardman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3652</td>
<td>Bowling Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3606</td>
<td>Brook Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3653</td>
<td>Brunswick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3607</td>
<td>Canton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3608</td>
<td>Cincinnati</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3609</td>
<td>Cleveland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3610</td>
<td>Cleveland Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3611</td>
<td>Columbus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3612</td>
<td>Cuyahoga Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3613</td>
<td>Dayton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3654</td>
<td>Delhi Hills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3614</td>
<td>East Cleveland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3615</td>
<td>Elyria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3616</td>
<td>Euclid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3655</td>
<td>Fairborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3656</td>
<td>Fairfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3618</td>
<td>Findlay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3619</td>
<td>Garfield Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3620</td>
<td>Hamilton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3657</td>
<td>Huber Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3622</td>
<td>Kent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3623</td>
<td>Lakewood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3624</td>
<td>Lancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3625</td>
<td>Lima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3626</td>
<td>Lorain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3627</td>
<td>Mansfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3628</td>
<td>Maple Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3629</td>
<td>Marion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3630</td>
<td>Massillon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3631</td>
<td>Mentor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3632</td>
<td>Middletown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3633</td>
<td>Newark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3634</td>
<td>North Olmsted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3635</td>
<td>Norwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3636</td>
<td>Parma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3637</td>
<td>Parma Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3638</td>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3639</td>
<td>Sandusky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3640</td>
<td>Shaker Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3641</td>
<td>South Euclid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3642</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3643</td>
<td>Steubenville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3644</td>
<td>Toledo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3645</td>
<td>Upper Arlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3646</td>
<td>Warren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3647</td>
<td>Whitehall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3648</td>
<td>Xenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3649</td>
<td>Youngstown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3650</td>
<td>Zanesville</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Oklahoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3700</td>
<td>Bartlesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3712</td>
<td>Broken Arrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3701</td>
<td>Del City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3713</td>
<td>Edmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3702</td>
<td>Enid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3703</td>
<td>Lawton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3704</td>
<td>Midwest City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3714</td>
<td>Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3705</td>
<td>Muskogee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3706</td>
<td>Norman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3707</td>
<td>Oklahoma City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3708</td>
<td>Ponca City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3709</td>
<td>Shawnee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3710</td>
<td>Stillwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3711</td>
<td>Tulsa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Oregon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*3806</td>
<td>Albany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3807</td>
<td>Beaverton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3800</td>
<td>Corvallis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3801</td>
<td>Eugene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3808</td>
<td>Gresham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3809</td>
<td>Haxlewood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3810</td>
<td>Hillsboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3802</td>
<td>Medford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3803</td>
<td>Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3804</td>
<td>Salem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3805</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(114) PENNSYLVANIA

3900 5. Allentown 3916 2. Norristown
3901 5. Altoona *3937 2. Penn Hills
3902 9. Baldwin
3917 1. Philadelphia *3929 2. Bensalem Twp
3918 4. Pittsburgh 3903 2. Bethel Park
*3938 2. Plum
3904 5. Bethlehem 3919 2. Pottstown
*3930 2. Bristol Twp *3939 2. Radnor Twp
*3931 2. Cheltenham 3920 5. Reading
3905 2. Chester *3940 2. Ridley Twp
3906 6. Easton *3941 2. Ross Twp
3907 5. Erie
3921 5. Scranton *3932 2. Falls Twp
*3942 2. Shaler Twp 3908 5. Harrisburg
*3943 2. Springfield
*3933 2. Haverford Twp 3922 6. State College
3909 6. Hasleton *3944 2. Upper Darby
3910 6. Johnstown *3945 2. Upper Merion
3911 5. Lancaster *3946 2. Upper Moreland Twp
3912 6. Lebanon *3947 2. Warminster
*3934 2. Lower Merion Twp 3923 2. West Mifflin
*3935 2. McCandless Twp 3924 5. Wilkes-Barre
3913 2. McKeesport 3925 2. Wilkinsburg

(105) RHODE ISLAND

4000 5. Cranston 4007 5. Pawtucket
4001 6. Cumberland 4008 5. Providence
4002 6. East Providence 4009 5. Warwick
4005 6. North Ringetown

(148) SOUTH CAROLINA

4101 5. Charleston 4105 6. Rock Hill
4102 5. Columbia 4106 6. Spartanburg
4104 5. Greenville

(137) SOUTH DAKOTA

4200 6. Aberdeen 4202 5. Sioux Falls
4201 6. Rapid City
### TENNESSEE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4300</td>
<td>Chattanooga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4301</td>
<td>Clarksville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4310</td>
<td>Cleveland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4311</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4312</td>
<td>Hendersonville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4302</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4303</td>
<td>Johnson City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4304</td>
<td>Kingsport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4305</td>
<td>Knoxville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4306</td>
<td>Memphis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4307</td>
<td>Murfreesboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4308</td>
<td>Nashville-Davidson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4309</td>
<td>Oak Ridge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TEXAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4400</td>
<td>Abilene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4401</td>
<td>Amarillo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4402</td>
<td>Arlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4403</td>
<td>Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4404</td>
<td>Baytown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4405</td>
<td>Bearmont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4406</td>
<td>Big Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4407</td>
<td>Brownsville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4408</td>
<td>Bryan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4409</td>
<td>College Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4410</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4411</td>
<td>Del Rio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4412</td>
<td>Denton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4413</td>
<td>Duncanville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4414</td>
<td>Farmers Branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4415</td>
<td>Fort Hood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4416</td>
<td>Fort Worth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4417</td>
<td>Galveston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4418</td>
<td>Garland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4419</td>
<td>Grand Prairie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4420</td>
<td>Haltom City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4421</td>
<td>Harlingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4422</td>
<td>Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4423</td>
<td>Hurst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4434</td>
<td>Mesquite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4435</td>
<td>McAllen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4436</td>
<td>Richardson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4437</td>
<td>Odessa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4438</td>
<td>San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4439</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4440</td>
<td>Temple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4441</td>
<td>Texarkana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4442</td>
<td>Texas City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4443</td>
<td>Tyler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4444</td>
<td>Victoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4445</td>
<td>Waco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4446</td>
<td>Wichita Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4424</td>
<td>Irving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4425</td>
<td>Killeen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4426</td>
<td>Kingsville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4427</td>
<td>Laredo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4428</td>
<td>Longview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4429</td>
<td>Lubbock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4450</td>
<td>Midland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4451</td>
<td>Lufkin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4452</td>
<td>Nacogdoches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4453</td>
<td>North Richland Hills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4454</td>
<td>Paris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4455</td>
<td>Plano</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### UTAH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td>Bountiful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4501</td>
<td>East Millcreek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4502</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4503</td>
<td>Orem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4504</td>
<td>Provo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4505</td>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4506</td>
<td>Logan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4507</td>
<td>Murray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4508</td>
<td>Sandy City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4509</td>
<td>West Jordan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4510</td>
<td>West Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4506</td>
<td>Logan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4507</td>
<td>Murray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4508</td>
<td>Sandy City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4509</td>
<td>West Jordan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4510</td>
<td>West Valley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VERMONT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4600</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(140) VIRGINIA

4700 2. Alexandria
4701 2. Annandale
4702 2. Arlington
*4718 2. Blacksburg
*4719 2. Burke
4703 6. Charlottesville
4704 5. Chesapeake
*4720 2. Dale City
4705 6. Danville
4706 5. Hampton
4707 9. Jefferson
4708 5. Lynchburg
4709 5. Newport News

4710 4. Norfolk
4711 6. Petersburg
*4821 2. McLean
*4722 2. Reston
4712 5. Portsmouth
4713 4. Richmond
4714 5. Roanoke
4715 6. Staunton
4716 5. Virginia Beach
*4723 6. Suffolk
*4724 6. Tuckahoe
4717 2. Wodbridge-Marumsco

(173) WASHINGTON

*4815 2. Auburn
4800 2. Bellevue
4801 6. Bellingham
4802 6. Bremerton
4810 4. Seattle
4803 2. Edmonds
4804 2. Everett
4805 6. Fort Lewis
*4816 6. Kennewick
4806 9. Lakes District
4807 6. Longview
*4817 6. Olympia
4808 2. Renton
4809 6. Richland
4811 5. Spokane
4812 2. Tacoma
4813 5. Vancouver
*4818 6. Walla Walla
4814 6. Yakima

(125) WISCONSIN

5000 5. Appleton
5001 6. Beloit
5002 2. Brookfield
5003 6. Eau Claire
5004 6. Fond Du Lac
5005 5. Green Bay
5006 2. Greenfield
5007 6. Janesville
5008 5. Kenosha
5009 5. La Crosse
5010 5. Madison
5011 6. Manitowoc
5012 2. Menomonee Falls
5013 4. Milwaukee
5014 2. New Berlin
5015 5. Oshkosh
5016 5. Racine
5017 6. Sheboygan
5018 6. Superior
5019 2. Waukesha
5020 6. Wausau
5021 2. Wanwatosa
5022 2. West Allis

(156) WEST VIRGINIA

4900 5. Charleston
4901 6. Fairmont
4902 5. Huntington
4903 6. Morgantown
4904 6. Parkersburg
4905 6. Wiermont
4906 6. Wheeling

(168) WYOMING

5100 6. Casper
5101 6. Cheyenne
1. The two 1970 Standard Consolidated Areas (New York-Northeastern New Jersey, and* Chicago, Ill.-Northwestern Indiana), the remaining 1970 SMSA's one million or more, plus the Anaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove, CA SMSA (which was attached to the Los Angeles SMSA).
01. Professional, Technical and Kindred Workers
02. Managers and Administrators, except Farm
03. Sales Workers
04. Clerical and Kindred Workers
05. Craftsmen and Kindred Workers
06. Operatives, except Transport
07. Transport Equipment Operatives
08. Laborers, except Farm
09. Farmers (owners and tenants), Farm Managers, Farmer--NA type
10. Farm Foreman, Farm Laborer (wage-worker)
11. Service Workers, except Private Household and Government Protective Services
12. Government Protective Services: Firemen; Police; Marshals and Constables
13. Private Household Workers
14. Member of Armed Forces
15. Not in LABOR FORCE

98. DK
99. NA

00. INAP
This Note is used in conjunction with variables which record the county of the interview. The first 2 digits of this variable contain the FIPS state code; the last 3 digits contain the county code. Each list of counties for a particular state is proceeded by the 2 digit state code and the name of the state. This information was obtained from: U.S. Bureau of the Census, County and City Data Book, 1972.

Alabama

01001 Autauga 01053 Escambia
01003 Baldwin 01055 Etowah
01005 Barbour 01057 Fayette
01007 Bibb 01059 Franklin
01009 Blount 01061 Geneva
01011 Bullock 01063 Greene
01013 Butler 01065 Hale
01015 Calhoun 01067 Henry
01017 Chambers 01069 Houston
01019 Cherokee 01071 Jackson
01021 Chilton 01073 Jefferson
01023 Choctaw 01075 Lamar
01025 Clarke 01077 Lauderdale
01027 Clay 01079 Lawrence
01029 Cleburne 01081 Lee
01031 Coffee 01083 Limestone
01033 Colbert 01085 Lowndes
01035 Conecuh 01087 Macon
01037 Coosa 01089 Madison
01039 Covington 01091 Marengo
01041 Crenshaw 01093 Marion
01043 Cullman 01095 Marshall
01045 Dale 01097 Mobile
01047 Dallas 01099 Monroe
01049 De Kalb 01101 Montgomery
01051 Elmore 01103 Morgan
01105 Perry 01121 Talladega
01107 Pickens 01123 Tallapoosa
01109 Pike 01125 Tuscaloosa
01111 Randolph 01127 Walker
01113 Russell 01129 Washington
01115 St Clair 01131 Wilcox
01117 Shelby 01133 Winston
01119 Sumter
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>02 Alaska</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02010 Aleutian Islands 02100 Kuskokwim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02020 Anchorage 02170 Matanuska-Susitna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02030 Angoon 02180 Nome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02040 Barrow 02190 Outer Ketchikan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02050 Bethel 02200 Prince Of Wales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02060 Bristol Bay Borough 02210 Seward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02070 Bristol Bay Division 02220 Sitka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02080 Cordova-Mc Carthy 02230 Skagway-Yakutat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02090 Fairbanks 02240 Southeast Fairbanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02100 Haines 02250 Upper Yukon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02110 Juneau 02260 Valdez-Chitina-Whittier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02120 Kenai-Cook inlet 02270 Wade Hampton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02130 Ketchikan 02280 Wrangell-Petersburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02140 Kobuk 02290 Yukon-Koyukuk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02150 Kodiak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>04 Arizona</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04001 Apache 04015 Mohave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04003 Cochise 04017 Navajo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04005 Coconino 04019 Pima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04007 Gila 04021 Pinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04009 Graham 04023 Santa Cruz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04011 Greenlee 04025 Yavapal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04013 Maricopa 04027 Yuma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
05 Arkansas

06 California

06001 Alameda 06059 Orange
06003 Alpine 06061 Placer
06005 Amador 06063 Plumas
06007 Butte 06065 Riverside
06009 Calaveras 06067 Sacramento
06011 Colusa 06069 San Benito
06013 Contra Costa 06071 San Bernardino
06015 Del Norte 06073 San Diego
06017 El Dorado 06075 San Francisco
06019 Fresno 06077 San Joaquin
06021 Glenn 06079 San Luis
06023 Humboldt 06081 San Mateo
06025 Imperial 06083 Santa Barbara
06027 Inyo 06085 Santa Clara
06029 Kern 06087 Santa Cruz
06031 Kings 06089 Shasta
06033 Lake 06091 Sierra
06035 Lassen 06093 Siskiyou
06037 Los Angeles 06095 Solano
06039 Madera 06097 Sonoma
06041 Marin 06099 Stanislaus
06043 Mariposa 06101 Sutter
06045 Mendocino 06103 Tehama
06047 Merced 06105 Trinity
06049 Modoc 06107 Tulare
06051 Mono 06109 Tuolumne
06053 Monterey 06111 Ventura
06055 Napa 06113 Yolo
06057 Nevada 06115 Yuba
08 Colorado

08001 Adams 08063 Kit Carson
08003 Alamosa 08065 Lake
08005 Arapahoe 08067 La Plata
08007 Archuleta 08069 Larimer
08009 Baca 08071 Las Animas
08011 Bent 08073 Lincoln
08013 Boulder 08075 Logan
08015 Chaffee 08077 Mesa
08017 Cheyenne 08079 Mineral
08019 Clear Creek 08081 Moffat
08021 Conejos 08083 Montezuma
08023 Costilla 08085 Montrose
08025 Crowley 08087 Morgan
08027 Custer 08089 Otero
08029 Delta 08091 Ouray
08031 Denver 08093 Park
08033 Dolores 08095 Phillips
08035 Douglas 08097 Pitkin
08037 Eagle 08099 Prowers
08039 Elbert 08101 Pueblo
08041 El Paso 08103 Rio Blanco
08043 Fremont 08105 Rio Grande
08045 Garfield 08107 Routt
08047 Gilpin 08109 Saguache
08049 Grand 08111 San Juan
08051 Gunnison 08113 San Miguel
08053 Hinsdale 08115 Sedgwick
08055 Huerfano 08117 Summit
08057 Jackson 08119 Teller
08059 Jefferson 08121 Washington
08061 Kiowa 08123 Weld
08063 Kit Carson 08125 Yuma

09 Connecticut

09001 Fairfield 09009 New Haven
09003 Hartford 09011 New London
09005 Litchfield 09013 Tolland
09007 Middlesex 09015 Windham

10 Delaware

10001 Kent 10005 Sussex
10003 New Castle

11 Washington DC

11001 Washington DC
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12001</td>
<td>Alachua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12003</td>
<td>Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12005</td>
<td>Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12007</td>
<td>Bradford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12009</td>
<td>Brevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12011</td>
<td>Broward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12013</td>
<td>Calhoun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12015</td>
<td>Charlotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12017</td>
<td>Citrus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12019</td>
<td>Clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12021</td>
<td>Collier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12023</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12025</td>
<td>Dade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12027</td>
<td>De Soto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12029</td>
<td>Dixie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12031</td>
<td>Duval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12033</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12035</td>
<td>Flagler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12037</td>
<td>Franklin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12039</td>
<td>Gadsden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12041</td>
<td>Gilchrist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12043</td>
<td>Glades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12045</td>
<td>Gulf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12047</td>
<td>Hamilton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12049</td>
<td>Hardee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12051</td>
<td>Hendry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12053</td>
<td>Hernando</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12055</td>
<td>Highlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12057</td>
<td>Hillsborough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12059</td>
<td>Holmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12061</td>
<td>Indian River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12063</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12065</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12067</td>
<td>Lafayette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13001</td>
<td>Appling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13003</td>
<td>Atkinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13005</td>
<td>Bacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13007</td>
<td>Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13009</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13011</td>
<td>Banks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13013</td>
<td>Barrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13015</td>
<td>Bartow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13017</td>
<td>Ben Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13019</td>
<td>Berrien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13021</td>
<td>Bibb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13023</td>
<td>Bleckley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13025</td>
<td>Brantley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13027</td>
<td>Brooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13029</td>
<td>Bryan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13031</td>
<td>Bulloch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13033</td>
<td>Burke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13035</td>
<td>Butts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13037</td>
<td>Calhoun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13039</td>
<td>Camden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13043</td>
<td>Candler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13045</td>
<td>Carroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13047</td>
<td>Catoosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13049</td>
<td>Charlton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13051</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13053</td>
<td>Chattahoochee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13055</td>
<td>Chattooga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13057</td>
<td>Cherokee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13059</td>
<td>Clarke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13061</td>
<td>Clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13063</td>
<td>Clayton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13065</td>
<td>Clinch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13067</td>
<td>Cobb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13069</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13071</td>
<td>Colquitt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13073</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13075</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13077</td>
<td>Coweta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13079</td>
<td>Crawford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13081</td>
<td>Crisp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13083</td>
<td>Dade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13085</td>
<td>Dawson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13087</td>
<td>Decatur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13089</td>
<td>De Kalb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13091</td>
<td>Dodge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13093</td>
<td>Dooly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13095</td>
<td>Dougherty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13097</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13099</td>
<td>Early</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13101</td>
<td>Echols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13103</td>
<td>Effingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13105</td>
<td>Elbert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13107</td>
<td>Emanuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13109</td>
<td>Evans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13111</td>
<td>Fannin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17 Illinois

17001 Adams 17103 Lee
17003 Alexander 17105 Livingston
17005 Bond 17107 Logan
17007 Boone 17109 McDonough
17009 Brown 17111 Mc Henry
17011 Bureau 17113 Mc Lean
17013 Calhoun 17115 Macon
17015 Carroll 17117 Macoupin
17017 Cass 17119 Madison
17019 Champaign 17121 Marion
17021 Christian 17123 Marshall
17023 Clark 17125 Mason
17025 Clay 17127 Massac
17027 Clinton 17129 Menard
17029 Coles 17131 Mercer
17031 Cook 17133 Monroe
17033 Crawford 17135 Montgomery
17035 Cumberland 17137 Morgan
17037 De Kalb 17139 Moultrie
17039 De Witt 17141 Ogle
17041 Douglas 17143 Peoria
17043 Du Page 17145 Perry
17045 Edgar 17147 Platt
17047 Edwards 17149 Pike
17049 Effinaham 17151 Pope
17051 Fayette 17153 Pulaski
17053 Ford 17155 Putnam
17055 Franklin 17157 Randolph
17057 Fulton 17159 Richland
17059 Gallatin 17161 Rock Island
17061 Greene 17163 St Clair
17063 Grundy 17165 Saline
17065 Hamilton 17167 Sangamon
17067 Hancock 17169 Schuyler
17069 Hardin 17171 Scott
17071 Henderson 17173 Shelby
17073 Henry 17175 Stark
17075 Iroquois 17177 Stephenson
17077 Jackson 17179 Tazewell
17079 Jasper 17181 Union
17081 Jefferson 17183 Vermilion
17083 Jersey 17185 Wabash
17085 Jo Davies 17187 Warren
17087 Johnson 17189 Washington
17089 Kane 17191 Wayne
17091 Kankskee 17193 White
17093 Kendall 17195 Whiteside
17095 Knox 17197 Will
17097 Lake 17199 Williamson
17099 La Salle 17201 Winnebago
17101 Lawrence 17203 Woodford
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams</td>
<td>Lawrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>Madison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartholomew</td>
<td>Marion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>Marshall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackford</td>
<td>Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boone</td>
<td>Miami</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll</td>
<td>Montgomery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>Morgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark</td>
<td>Newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>Noble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford</td>
<td>Orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies</td>
<td>Owen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dearborn</td>
<td>Parke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur</td>
<td>Perry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Kalb</td>
<td>Pike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>Porter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubois</td>
<td>Posey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elkhart</td>
<td>Pulaski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette</td>
<td>Putnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floyd</td>
<td>Randolph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fountain</td>
<td>Ripley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>Rush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton</td>
<td>St Joseph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibson</td>
<td>Scott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Shelby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>Spencer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>Starke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hancock</td>
<td>Steuben</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>Sullivan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendricks</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>Tippecanoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>Tipton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington</td>
<td>Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>Vanderburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper</td>
<td>Vermillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jay</td>
<td>Vigo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>Wabash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennings</td>
<td>Warren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Warrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knox</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosciusko</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagrange</td>
<td>Wells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Porte</td>
<td>Whitley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19 Iowa

19001 Adair 19101 Jefferson
19003 Adams 19103 Johnson
19005 Allamakee 19105 Jones
19007 Appanoose 19107 Keokuk
19009 Audubon 19109 Kossuth
19111 Benton 19111 Lee
19113 Black Hawk 19113 Linn
19115 Boone 19115 Louisa
19117 Bremer 19117 Lucas
19119 Buchanan 19119 Lyon
19021 Buena Vista 19121 Madison
19023 Butler 19123 Mahaska
19025 Calhoun 19125 Marion
19027 Carroll 19127 Marshall
19029 Cass 19129 Mills
19031 Cedar 19131 Mitchell
19033 Cerro Gordo 19133 Monona
19035 Cherokee 19135 Monroe
19037 Chickasaw 19137 Montgomery
19039 Clarke 19139 Muscatine
19041 Clay 19141 O'Brien
19043 Clayton 19143 Osceola
19045 Clinton 19145 Page
19047 Crawford 19147 Palo Alto
19049 Dallas 19149 Plymouth
19051 Davis 19151 Pocahontas
19053 Decatur 19153 Polk
19055 Delaware 19155 Pottawattamie
19057 Des Moines 19157 Poweshiek
19059 Dickinson 19159 Ringgold
19061 Dubuque 19161 Sac
19063 Emmet 19163 Scott
19065 Fayette 19165 Shelby
19067 Floyd 19167 Sioux
19069 Franklin 19169 Story
19071 Fremont 19171 Tama
19073 Greene 19173 Taylor
19075 Grundy 19175 Union
19077 Guthrie 19177 Van Buren
19079 Hamilton 19179 Wapello
19081 Hancock 19181 Warren
19083 Hardin 19183 Washington
19085 Harrison 19185 Wayne
19087 Henry 19187 Webster
19089 Howard 19189 Winnebago
19091 Humboldt 19191 Winneshiek
19093 Ida 19193 Woodbury
19095 Iowa 19195 Worth
19097 Jackson 19197 Wright
19099 Jasper
Kansas

Allen  Linn  20001
Anderson  Logan  20003
Atchison  Lyon  20005
Barber  Mc Pherson  20007
Barton  Marion  20009
Bourbon  Marshall  20111
Brown  Meade  20113
Butler  Miami  20115
Chase  Mitchell  20117
Chautauqua  Montgomery  20119
Cherokee  Morris  20121
Cheyenne  Morton  20123
Clark  Nemaha  20125
Clay  Neosho  20127
Cloud  Ness  20129
Coffey  Norton  20131
Comanche  Osage  20133
Cowley  Osborne  20135
Crawford  Ottawa  20137
Decatur  Pawnee  20139
Dickinson  Phillips  20141
Doniphan  Pottawatomie  20143
Douglas  Pratt  20145
Edwards  Rawlins  20147
Elk  Reno  20149
Ellis  Republic  20151
Ellsworth  Rice  20153
Finney  Riley  20155
Ford  Rooks  20157
Franklin  Rush  20159
Geary  Russell  20161
Gove  Saline  20163
Graham  Scott  20165
Grant  Sedgwick  20167
Gray  Seward  20169
Greeley  Shawnee  20171
Greenwood  Sheridan  20173
Hamilton  Sherman  20175
Harper  Smith  20177
Harvey  Stafford  20179
Haskell  Stanton  20181
Hodgeman  Stevens  20183
Jackson  Sumner  20185
Jefferson  Thomas  20187
Jewell  Trego  20189
Johnson  Wabaunsee  20191
Kearny  Wallace  20193
Kingman  Washington  20195
Kiowa  Wichita  20197
Labette  Wilson  20199
Lane  Woodson  20201
Leavenworth  Wyandotte  20203
Lincoln  20105
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Name</th>
<th>County Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adair</td>
<td>21121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>21123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>21125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballad</td>
<td>21127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barren</td>
<td>21129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bath</td>
<td>21131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell</td>
<td>21133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boone</td>
<td>21135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourbon</td>
<td>21137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyd</td>
<td>21139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyle</td>
<td>21141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bracken</td>
<td>21143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathed</td>
<td>21145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckinridge</td>
<td>21147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullitt</td>
<td>21149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler</td>
<td>21151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell</td>
<td>21153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calloway</td>
<td>21155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>21157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlisle</td>
<td>21159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll</td>
<td>21161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter</td>
<td>21163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey</td>
<td>21165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>21167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark</td>
<td>21169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>21171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td>21173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crittenden</td>
<td>21175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland</td>
<td>21177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daviess</td>
<td>21179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonson</td>
<td>21181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliott</td>
<td>21183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estill</td>
<td>21185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayette</td>
<td>21187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleming</td>
<td>21189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floyd</td>
<td>21191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>21193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton</td>
<td>21195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallatin</td>
<td>21197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrard</td>
<td>21199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>21201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graves</td>
<td>21203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayson</td>
<td>21205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>21207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenup</td>
<td>21209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hancock</td>
<td>21211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardin</td>
<td>21213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harlan</td>
<td>21215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>21217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hart</td>
<td>21219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson</td>
<td>21221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>21223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickman</td>
<td>21225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopkins</td>
<td>21227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>21229</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
21111 Jefferson                          21231 Wayne
21113 Jessamine                         21233 Webster
21115 Johnson                           21235 Whitley
21117 Kenton                            21237 Wolfe
21119 Knott                             21239 Woodford

22 Louisiana

22001 Acadia                            22065 Madison
22003 Allen                             22067 Morehouse
22005 Ascension                         22069 Natchitoches
22007 Assumption                        22071 Oricans
22009 Avoyelles                         22073 Ouachita
22011 Beaugard                          22075 Plaquemines
22013 Bienville                          22077 Pointe Coupee
22015 Bossier                           22079 Rapides
22017 Caddo                             22081 Red River
22019 Calcasieu                         22083 Richland
22021 Caldwell                          22085 Sabine
22023 Cameron                           22087 St Bernard
22025 Catahoula                         22089 St Charles
22027 Claiborne                         22091 St Helena
22029 Concordia                         22093 St James
22031 De Soto                           22095 St John The Baptist
22033 East Baton Rouge                  22097 St Landry
22035 East Carroll                      22099 St Martin
22037 East Feliciana                    22101 St Mary
22039 Evangeline                        22103 St Tammany
22041 Franklin                          22105 Tangipahoa
22043 Grant                             22107 Tensas
22045 Iberia                            22109 Terrebonne
22047 Iberville                         22111 Union
22049 Jackson                           22113 Vermilion
22051 Jefferson                         22115 Vernon
22053 Jefferson Davis                   22117 Washington
22055 Lafayette                         22119 Webster
22057 Lafourche                         22121 West Baton Rouge
22059 La Salle                          22123 West Carroll
22061 Lincoln                           22125 West Feliciana
22063 Livingston                        22127 Winn

23 Maine

23001 Androscoggin                      23017 Oxford
23003 Aroostook                         23019 Penobscot
23005 Cumberland                        23021 Piscataquis
23007 Franklin                          23023 Sagadahoc
23009 Hancock                           23025 Somerset
23011 Kennebec                          23027 Waldo
23013 Knox                              23029 Washington
23015 Lincoln                           23031 York
24 Maryland

24001 Allegany 24027 Howard
24003 Anne Arundel 24029 Kent
24005 Baltimore 24031 Montgomery
24009 Caivert 24033 Prince Georges
24011 Caroline 24035 Queen Annes
24013 Carroll 24037 St Marys
24015 Cecil 24039 Somerset
24017 Charles 24041 Talbot
24019 Dorchester 24043 Washington
24021 Frederick 24045 Wicomico
24023 Garrett 24047 Worcester
24025 Harfo 24049 Baltimore City

25 Massachusetts

25001 Barnstable 25015 Hampshire
25003 Berkshire 25017 Middlesex
25005 Bristol 25019 Nantucket
25007 Dukes 25021 Norfolk
25009 Essex 25023 Plymouth
25011 Franklin 25025 Suffolk
25013 Hampden 25027 Worcester
26 Michigan

26001 Alcona 26085 Lake
26003 Alger    26087 Lapeer
26005 Allegan  26089 Leelanau
26007 Alpena   26091 Lenawee
26009 Antrim   26093 Livingston
26011 Arenac   26095 Luce
26013 Baraga   26097 Mackinac
26015 Barry    26099 Macomb
26017 Bay      26101 Manistee
26019 Benzie   26103 Marquette
26021 Berrien  26105 Mason
26023 Branch   26107 Mecosta
26025 Calhoun  26109 Menominee
26027 Cass     26111 Midland
26029 Charlevoix 26113 Missaukee
26031 Cheboygan 26115 Monroe
26033 Chippewa  26117 Montcalm
26035 Clare     26119 Montmorency
26037 Clinton   26121 Muskegon
26039 Crawford  26123 Newaygo
26041 Delta     26125 Oakland
26043 Dickinson 26127 Oceana
26045 Eaton     26129 Ogemaw
26047 Emmet     26131 Ontonagon
26049 Genessee  26133 Osceola
26051 Gladwin   26135 Oscoda
26053 Gogebic   26137 Otsego
26055 Grand Traverse 26139 Ottawa
26057 Gratiot  26141 Presque Isle
26059 Hillsdale 26143 Roscommon
26061 Houghton  26145 Saginaw
26063 Huron     26147 St Clair
26065 Ingham    26149 St Joseph
26067 Ionia     26151 Sanilac
26069 Iosco     26153 Schoolcraft
26071 Iron      26155 Shiawassee
26073 Isabella  26157 Tuscola
26075 Jackson   26159 Van Buren
26077 Kalamazoo 26161 Washtenaw
26079 Kalkaska  26163 Wayne
26081 Kent     26165 Wexford
26083 Keweenaw
27 Minnesota

27001 Aitkin  27089 Marshall
27003 Anoka  27091 Martin
27005 Becker  27093 Meeker
27007 Beltrami  27095 Mille Lacs
27009 Benton  27097 Morrison
27011 Big Stone  27099 Mower
27013 Blue Earth  27101 Murray
27015 Brown  27103 Nicollet
27017 Carlton  27105 Nobles
27019 Carver  27107 Norman
27021 Cass  27109 Olmsted
27023 Chippewa  27111 Otter Tail
27025 Chicago  27113 Pennington
27027 Clay  27115 Pine
27029 Clearwater  27117 Pipestone
27031 Cook  27119 Polk
27033 Cottonwood  27121 Pope
27035 Crow Wing  27123 Ramsey
27037 Dakota  27125 Red Lake
27039 Dodge  27127 Redwood
27041 Douglas  27129 Renville
27043 Faribault  27131 Rice
27045 Fillmore  27133 Rock
27047 Freeborn  27135 Roseau
27049 Goodhue  27137 St Louis
27051 Grant  27139 Scott
27053 Hennepin  27141 Sherburne
27055 Houston  27143 Sibley
27057 Hubbard  27145 Stearns
27059 Isanti  27147 Steele
27061 Itasca  27149 Stevens
27063 Jackson  27151 Swift
27065 Kanabec  27153 Todd
27067 Kandiyohi  27155 Traverse
27069 Kittson  27157 Wabasha
27071 Koochiching  27159 Wadena
27073 Lac Qui Parle  27161 Waseca
27075 Lake  27163 Washington
27077 Lake Of The Woods  27165 Watonwan
27079 Le Soeur  27167 Wilkin
27081 Lincoln  27169 Winona
27083 Lyon  27171 Wright
27085 Mc Lead  27173 Yellow
               Medicine
27087 Mahnomen
28 Mississippi

28001 Adams 28083 Leflore
28003 Alcorn 28085 Lincoln
28005 Amite 28087 Lowndes
28007 Attala 28089 Madison
28009 Benton 28091 Marion
28011 Bolivar 28093 Marshall
28013 Calhoun 28095 Monroe
28015 Carroll 28097 Montgomery
28017 Chickasaw 28099 Neshoba
28019 Choctaw 28101 Newton
28021 Claiborne 28103 Noxubee
28023 Clarke 28105 Oktibbeha
28025 Clay 28107 Panola
28027 Coahoma 28109 Pearl River
28029 Copiah 28111 Perry
28031 Covington 28113 Pike
28033 De Soto 28115 Pontotoc
28035 Forrest 28117 Prentiss
28037 Franklin 28119 Quitman
28039 George 28121 Rankin
28041 Greene 28123 Scott
28043 Grenada 28125 Sharkey
28045 Hancock 28127 Simpson
28047 Harrison 28129 Smith
28049 Hinds 28131 Stone
28051 Holmes 28133 Sunflower
28053 Humphreys 28135 Tallahatchie
28055 Issaquena 28137 Tate
28057 Itawamba 28139 Tippah
28059 Jackson 28141 Tishomingo
28061 Jasper 28143 Tunica
28063 Jefferson 28145 Union
28065 Jefferson Davis 28147 Walthall
28067 Jones 28149 Warren
28069 Kemper 28151 Washington
28071 Lafayette 28153 Wayne
28073 Lamar 28155 Webster
28075 Lauderdale 28157 Wilkinson
28077 Lawrence 28159 Winston
28079 Leake 28161 Yalobusha
28081 Lee 28163 Yazoo
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adair</td>
<td>Livingston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew</td>
<td>McDonald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atchison</td>
<td>Macon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audrain</td>
<td>Madison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry</td>
<td>Maries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barton</td>
<td>Marion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates</td>
<td>Mercer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bollinger</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boone</td>
<td>Moniteau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buchanan</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler</td>
<td>Montgomery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell</td>
<td>Morgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callaway</td>
<td>New Madrid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>Newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Girardeau</td>
<td>Nodaway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter</td>
<td>Osage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>Ozark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar</td>
<td>Pemiscot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chariton</td>
<td>Perry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>Pettis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark</td>
<td>Phelps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>Pike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td>Platte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole</td>
<td>Polk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper</td>
<td>Pulaski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford</td>
<td>Putnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dade</td>
<td>Ralls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>Randolph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies</td>
<td>Ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Kalb</td>
<td>Reynolds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dent</td>
<td>Ripley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>St Charles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunklin</td>
<td>St Clair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>St Francois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasconade</td>
<td>St Louis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentry</td>
<td>Ste Genevieve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>Saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grundy</td>
<td>Schuyler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>Scott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickory</td>
<td>Shannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Shelby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>Stoddard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howell</td>
<td>Stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Sullivan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>Taney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper</td>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Warren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knox</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laclede</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>Webster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td>Worth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29111</td>
<td>Lewis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29113</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29115</td>
<td>Linn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30 Montana

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>City Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30001</td>
<td>Beaverhead</td>
<td>30059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30003</td>
<td>Big Horn</td>
<td>30061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30005</td>
<td>Blaine</td>
<td>30063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30007</td>
<td>Broadwater</td>
<td>30065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30009</td>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>30067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30011</td>
<td>Carter</td>
<td>30069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30013</td>
<td>Cascade</td>
<td>30071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30015</td>
<td>Chouteau</td>
<td>30073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30017</td>
<td>Custer</td>
<td>30075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30019</td>
<td>Daniels</td>
<td>30077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30021</td>
<td>Dawson</td>
<td>30079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30023</td>
<td>Deer Lodge</td>
<td>30081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30025</td>
<td>Fallon</td>
<td>30083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30027</td>
<td>Fergus</td>
<td>30085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30029</td>
<td>Flathead</td>
<td>30087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30031</td>
<td>Gallatin</td>
<td>30089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30033</td>
<td>Garfield</td>
<td>30091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30035</td>
<td>Glacier</td>
<td>30093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30037</td>
<td>Golden Valley</td>
<td>30095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30039</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>30097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30041</td>
<td>Hill</td>
<td>30099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30043</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>30101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30045</td>
<td>Judith Basin</td>
<td>30103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30047</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>30105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30049</td>
<td>Lewis and Clark</td>
<td>30107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30051</td>
<td>Liberty</td>
<td>30109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30053</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>30111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30055</td>
<td>Mc Cone</td>
<td>30113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30057 Madison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>City Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30059</td>
<td>Meagher</td>
<td>30061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30063</td>
<td>Missoula</td>
<td>30065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30067</td>
<td>Park</td>
<td>30069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30071</td>
<td>Phillips</td>
<td>30073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30075</td>
<td>Powder River</td>
<td>30077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30079</td>
<td>Powell</td>
<td>30081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30083</td>
<td>Richland</td>
<td>30085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30087</td>
<td>Rosebud</td>
<td>30089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30091</td>
<td>Sheridan</td>
<td>30093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30095</td>
<td>Stillwater</td>
<td>30097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30099</td>
<td>Sweet Grass</td>
<td>30101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30103</td>
<td>Treasure</td>
<td>30105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30107</td>
<td>Wheatland</td>
<td>30109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30111</td>
<td>Yellowstone</td>
<td>30113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30101</td>
<td>Toole</td>
<td>30103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30105</td>
<td>Valley</td>
<td>30109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30107</td>
<td>Wheatland</td>
<td>30111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30109</td>
<td>Wibaux</td>
<td>30113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30111</td>
<td>Yellowstone</td>
<td>30113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30113</td>
<td>Yellowstone</td>
<td>30113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30115</td>
<td>Yellowstone</td>
<td>30113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31001 Adams</td>
<td>31095 Jefferson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31003 Antelope</td>
<td>31097 Johnson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31005 Arthur</td>
<td>31099 Kearney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31007 Banner</td>
<td>31101 Keith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31009 Blaine</td>
<td>31103 Keya Paha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31011 Boone</td>
<td>31105 Kimball</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31013 Box Butte</td>
<td>31107 Knox</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31015 Boyd</td>
<td>31109 Lancaster</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31017 Brown</td>
<td>31111 Lincoln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31019 Buffalo</td>
<td>31113 Logan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31021 Burt</td>
<td>31115 Loup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31023 Butler</td>
<td>31117 Mc Pherson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31025 Cass</td>
<td>31119 Madison</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31027 Cedar</td>
<td>31121 Merrick</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31029 Chase</td>
<td>31123 Morrill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31031 Cherry</td>
<td>31125 Nance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31033 Cheyenne</td>
<td>31127 Nemaha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31035 Clay</td>
<td>31129 Nuckolls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31037 Colfax</td>
<td>31131 Otoe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31039 Coming</td>
<td>31133 Pawnee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31041 Custer</td>
<td>31135 Perkins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31043 Dakota</td>
<td>31137 Phelps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31045 Dawes</td>
<td>31139 Pierce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31047 Dawson</td>
<td>31141 Platte</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31049 Deuel</td>
<td>31143 Polk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31051 Dixon</td>
<td>31145 Red Willow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31053 Dodge</td>
<td>31147 Richardson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31055 Douglas</td>
<td>31149 Rock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31057 Dundy</td>
<td>31151 Saline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31059 Fillmore</td>
<td>31153 Sarpy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31061 Franklin</td>
<td>31155 Saunders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31063 Frontier</td>
<td>31157 Scotts Bluff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31065 Furnas</td>
<td>31159 Seward</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31067 Gage</td>
<td>31161 Sheridan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31069 Garden</td>
<td>31163 Sherman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31071 Garfield</td>
<td>31165 Sioux</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31073 Gosper</td>
<td>31167 Stanton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31075 Grant</td>
<td>31169 Thayer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31077 Greeley</td>
<td>31171 Thomas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31079 Hall</td>
<td>31173 Thurston</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31081 Hamilton</td>
<td>31175 Valley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31083 Harlan</td>
<td>31177 Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31085 Hayes</td>
<td>31179 Wayne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31087 Hitchcock</td>
<td>31181 Webster</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31089 Halt</td>
<td>31183 Wheeler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31091 Hooker</td>
<td>31185 York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31093 Howard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Jersey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34037 Sussex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34039 Union</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
35 New Mexico

35001 Bernalillo 35031 Mc Kinley
35003 Caron 35033 Mora
35005 Chaves 35035 Otero
35007 Colfax 35037 Quay
35009 Curry 35039 Rio Arriba
35011 De Baca 35041 Roosevelt
35013 Dona Ana 35043 Sandoval
35015 Eddy 35045 San Juan
35017 Grant 35047 San Miguel
35019 Guadalupe 35049 Santa Fe
35021 Harding 35051 Sierra
35023 Hidalgo 35053 Socorro
35025 Lea 35055 Taos
35027 Lincoln 35057 Torrance
35028 Los Alamos 35059 Union
35029 Luna 35061 Valencia

36 New York

36001 Albany 36011 Cayuga
36003 Allegany 36013 Chautauqua
36005 Bronx 36015 Chemung
36007 Broome 36017 Chenango
36009 Cattaraugus 36019 Clinton

37 North Carolina

37001 Alamance 37051 Cumberland
37003 Alexander 37053 Currituck
37005 Alleghany 37055 Dare
37007 Anson 37057 Davidson
37009 Ashe 37059 Davie
37011 Avery 37061 Duplin
37013 Beaufort 37063 Durham
37015 Bertie 37065 Edgecombe
37017 Bladen 37067 Forsyth
37019 Brunswick 37069 Franklin
37021 Buncombe 37071 Gaston
37023 Burke 37073 Gates
37025 Cabarrus 37075 Graham
37027 Caldwell 37077 Granville
37029 Camden 37079 Greene
37031 Carteret 37081 Guilford
37033 Caswell 37083 Halifax
37035 Catawba 37085 Harnett
37037 Chatham 37087 Haywood
37039 Cherokee 37089 Henderson
37041 Chowan 37091 Hertford
37043 Clay 37093 Hoke
37045 Cleveland 37095 Hyde
37047 Columbus 37097 Iredell
37049 Craven 37099 Jackson
38 North Dakota

38001 Adams 38053 Mc Kenzie
38003 Barnes 38055 Mc Lean
38005 Benson 38057 Mercer
38007 Billings 38059 Morton
38009 Bottineau 38061 Mountrail
38011 Bowman 38063 Nelson
38013 Burke 38065 Oliver
38015 Burleigh 38067 Pembina
38017 Cass 38069 Pierce
38019 Cavalier 38071 Ramsey
38021 Dickey 38073 Ransom
38023 Divide 38075 Renville
38025 Dunn 38077 Richland
38027 Eddy 38079 Rolette
38029 Emmons 38081 Sargent
38031 Foster 38083 Sheridan
38033 Golden Valley 38085 Sioux
38035 Grand Forks 38087 Slope
38037 Grant 38089 Stark
38039 Griggs 38091 Steele
38041 Hettinger 38093 Stutsman
38043 Kidder 38095 Towner
38045 La Moure 38097 Traill
38047 Logan 38099 Walsh
38049 Mc Henry 38101 Ward
38051 Mc Intosh 38103 Wells

39 Ohio

39097 Madison 39137 Putnam
39099 Mahoning 39139 Richland
39101 Marion 39141 Ross
39103 Medina 39143 Sandusky
39105 Meigs 39145 Scioto
39107 Mercer 39147 Seneca
39109 Miami 39149 Shelby
39111 Monroe 39151 Stark
39113 Montgomery 39153 Summit
39115 Morgan 39155 Trumbull
39117 Morrow 39157 Tuscarawas
39119 Muskingum 39159 Union
39121 Noble 39161 Van Wert
39123 Ottawa 39163 Vinton
39125 Paulding 39165 Warren
39127 Perry 39167 Washington
39129 Pickaway 39169 Wayne
39131 Pike 39171 Williams
39133 Portage 39173 Wood
39135 Preble 39175 Wyandot
40 Oklahoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40001</td>
<td>Adair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40003</td>
<td>Alfalfa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40005</td>
<td>Atoka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40007</td>
<td>Beaver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40009</td>
<td>Beckham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40011</td>
<td>Blaine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40013</td>
<td>Bryan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40015</td>
<td>Caddo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40017</td>
<td>Canadian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40125</td>
<td>Pottawatomie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40127</td>
<td>Pushmataha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40129</td>
<td>Roger Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40001</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40131</td>
<td>Seminole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40133</td>
<td>Sequoyah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40135</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40137</td>
<td>Stephens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40139</td>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40141</td>
<td>Tillman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40143</td>
<td>Tulsa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40145</td>
<td>Wagoner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40147</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40149</td>
<td>Washita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40151</td>
<td>Woods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40153</td>
<td>Woodward</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

41 Oregon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41001</td>
<td>Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41003</td>
<td>Benton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41005</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41007</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41009</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41011</td>
<td>Coos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41013</td>
<td>Crook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41015</td>
<td>Curry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41017</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41019</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41021</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41023</td>
<td>Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41025</td>
<td>Harney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41027</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41029</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41031</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41033</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41001</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41035</td>
<td>Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41037</td>
<td>Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41039</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41041</td>
<td>Linn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41043</td>
<td>Linn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41045</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41047</td>
<td>Marion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41049</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41051</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41053</td>
<td>Polk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41055</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41057</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41059</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41061</td>
<td>Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41063</td>
<td>Wallowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41065</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41067</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

42 Pennsylvania

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42095</td>
<td>Northampton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42097</td>
<td>Northumberland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42099</td>
<td>Perry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42101</td>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42103</td>
<td>Pike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42105</td>
<td>Potter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42107</td>
<td>Schuylkill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42109</td>
<td>Snyder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42111</td>
<td>Somerset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42113</td>
<td>Sullivan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42095</td>
<td>Susquehanna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42117</td>
<td>Tioga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42119</td>
<td>Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42121</td>
<td>Venango</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42123</td>
<td>Warren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42125</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42127</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42129</td>
<td>Westmoreland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42131</td>
<td>Wyoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42133</td>
<td>Wyoming</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

44 Rhode Island

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44001</td>
<td>Bristol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44003</td>
<td>Kent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44005</td>
<td>Newport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44001</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44009</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29001</td>
<td>Abbeville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29003</td>
<td>Aiken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29005</td>
<td>Allendale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29007</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29009</td>
<td>Bamberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29011</td>
<td>Barnwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29013</td>
<td>Beaufort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29015</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29017</td>
<td>Calhoun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29019</td>
<td>Charleston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29021</td>
<td>Cherokee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29023</td>
<td>Chester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29025</td>
<td>Chesterfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29027</td>
<td>Clarendon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29029</td>
<td>Colleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29031</td>
<td>Darlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29033</td>
<td>Dillon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29035</td>
<td>Dorchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29037</td>
<td>Edgefield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29039</td>
<td>Fairfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29049</td>
<td>Faulk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29051</td>
<td>Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29053</td>
<td>Gregory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29055</td>
<td>Haakon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29057</td>
<td>Hamlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29059</td>
<td>Hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29061</td>
<td>Hanson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29063</td>
<td>Harding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29065</td>
<td>Hughes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29067</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29069</td>
<td>Hyde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29071</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29073</td>
<td>Jerauld</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
47 Tennessee

47001 Anderson                          47149 Rutherford
47003 Bedford                          47151 Scott
47005 Benton                           47153 Sequatchie
47007 Bledsoe                          47155 Sevier
47009 Blount                           47157 Shelby
47117 Marshall                         47159 Smith
47119 Maury                            47161 Stewart
47121 Meigs                             47163 Sullivan
47123 Monroe                           47165 Sumner
47125 Montgomery                       47167 Tipton
47127 Moore                            47169 Trousdale
47129 Morgan                           47171 Unicoi
47131 Obion                             47173 Union
47133 Overton                           47175 Van Buren
47135 Perry                            47177 Warren
47137 Pickett                           47179 Washington
47139 Polk                             47181 Wayne
47141 Putnam                            47183 Weakley
47143 Rhea                              47185 White
47145 Roane                            47187 Williamson
47147 Robertson                        47189 Wilson

48 Texas

48001 Anderson                          48361 Orange
48003 Andrews                           48363 Palo Pinto
48005 Angelina                          48365 Panola
48007 Aransas                           48367 Parker
48009 Archer                            48369 Farmer
48011 Armstrong                         48371 Pecos
48013 Atascosa                          48373 Polk
48015 Austin                            48375 Potter
48017 Bailey                            48377 Presidio
48019 Bandera                           48379 Rains
48021 Bastrop                           48381 Randall
48023 Baylor                            48383 Reagan
48131 Duval                             48385 Real
48133 Eastland                          48387 Red River
48135 Ector                             48389 Reeves
48137 Edwards                           48391 Refugio
48139 Ellis                             48393 Roberts
48141 El Paso                           48395 Robertson
48143 Erath                             48397 Rockwall
48145 Falls                             48399 Runnels
48147 Fannin                            48401 Rusk
48149 Fayette                           48403 Sabine
48151 Fisher                            48405 San Augustine
48153 Floyd                             48407 San Jacinto
48155 Foard                             48409 San Patricia
48157 Fort Bend                         48411 San Saba
48159 Franklin                          48413 Schleicher
48161 Freestone                         48415 Scurry
48163 Frio                              48417 Shackelford
48165 Gaines                            48419 Shelby
48167 Galveston                         48421 Sherman
48169 Garza
48171 Gillespie
48173 Glascock
48175 Goliad
48177 Gonzales
48179 Gray
48181 Grayson
48183 Gregg
48185 Grimes
48187 Guadalupe
48189 Hale
48191 Hall
48193 Hamilton
48195 Hansford
48197 Hardeman
48199 Hardin
48201 Harris
48203 Harrison
48205 Hartley
48207 Haskell
48209 Hays
48211 Hemphill
48213 Henderson
48215 Hidalgo
48217 Hill
48219 Hockley
48221 Hood
48223 Hopkins
48225 Houston
48227 Howard
48229 Hudspeth
48231 Hunt
48233 Hutchinson
48235 Irion
48343 Morris
48345 Motley
48347 Nacogdoches
48349 Navarro
48351 Newton
48353 Nolan
48355 Nueces
48357 Ochiitree
48359 Oldham
48170 Smith
48423 Somervell
48425 Starr
48429 Stephens
48431 Sterling
48433 Stonewall
48435 Sutton
48437 Swiskier
48439 Tarrant
48441 Taylor
48443 Terrell
48445 Terry
48447 Throckmorton
48449 Titus
48451 Tom Green
48453 Travis
48455 Trinity
48457 Tyler
48459 Upshur
48461 Upton
48463 Uvalde
48465 Val Verde
48467 Van Zandt
48469 Victoria
48471 Walker
48473 Waller
48475 Ward
48477 Washington
48479 Webb
48481 Wharton
48483 Wheeler
48485 Wichita
48487 Wilbarger
48489 Willacy
48491 Williamson
48493 Wilson
48495 Winkler
48497 Wise
48499 Wood
48501 Yoakum
48503 Young
48505 Zapata
48507 Zavala
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>49001 Beaver</td>
<td>49031 Piute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49003 Box Elder</td>
<td>49033 Rich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49005 Cache</td>
<td>49035 Salt Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49007 Carbon</td>
<td>49037 San Juan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49009 Daggett</td>
<td>49039 Sanpete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49011 Davis</td>
<td>49041 Sevier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49013 Duchesne</td>
<td>49043 Summit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49015 Emery</td>
<td>49045 Tooele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49017 Garfield</td>
<td>49047 Uintah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49019 Grand</td>
<td>49049 Utah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49021 Iron</td>
<td>49051 Wasatch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49023 Juab</td>
<td>49053 Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49025 Kane</td>
<td>49055 Wayne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49027 Millard</td>
<td>49057 Weber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49029 Morgan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>50001 Addison</td>
<td>50015 Lamoille</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50003 Bennington</td>
<td>50017 Orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50005 Caledonia</td>
<td>50019 Orleans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50007 Chittenden</td>
<td>50021 Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50009 Essex</td>
<td>50023 Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50011 Franklin</td>
<td>50025 Windham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50013 Grand Isle</td>
<td>50027 Windsor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>51001 Accomack</td>
<td>51139 Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51003 Albemarle</td>
<td>51141 Patrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51005 Alleghany</td>
<td>51143 Pittsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51007 Amelia</td>
<td>51145 Powhatan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51009 Amherst</td>
<td>51147 Prince Edward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51011 Appomattox</td>
<td>51149 Prince George</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51013 Arlington</td>
<td>51153 Prince William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51015 Augusta</td>
<td>51155 Pulaski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51017 Bath</td>
<td>51157 Rappahannock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51019 Bedford</td>
<td>51159 Richmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51021 Bland</td>
<td>51161 Roanoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51023 Botetourt</td>
<td>51163 Rockbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51025 Brunswick</td>
<td>51165 Rockingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51027 Buchanan</td>
<td>51167 Russell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51029 Buckingham</td>
<td>51169 Scott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51031 Campbell</td>
<td>51171 Shenandoah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51033 Caroline</td>
<td>51173 Smyth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51035 Carroll</td>
<td>51175 Southampton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51036 Charles City</td>
<td>51177 Spotsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51037 Charlotte</td>
<td>51179 Stafford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51041 Chesterfield</td>
<td>51181 Surry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51043 Clarke</td>
<td>51183 Sussex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51045 Craig</td>
<td>51185 Tazewell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51047 Culpeper</td>
<td>51187 Warren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51049 Cumberland</td>
<td>51191 Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51051 Dickenson</td>
<td>51193 Westmoreland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51053 Dinwiddie</td>
<td>51195 Wise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
51057 Essex                              51197 Wythe
51059 Fairfax                            51199 York
51061 Fauquier                           51510 Alexandria
      City
51063 Floyd                              51515 Bedford City
51065 Fluvanna                           51520 Bristol City
      City
51067 Franklin                           51530 Buena Vista
      City
51069 Frederick                          51540 Charlottesville City
      City
51071 Gibes                              51550 Chesapeake
      City
51073 Gloucester                         51560 Clifton
      Forge City
51075 Goochland                          51570 Colonial Heights City
51077 Grayson                            51580 Cotington City
51079 Greene                             51590 Danville City
51081 Greensville                        51595 Emporia City
51083 Halifax                            51600 Fairfax City
      City
51085 Hanover                            51610 Falls Church
51087 Henrico                            51620 Franklin City
51089 Henry                              51630 Fredericksburg City
51091 Highland                           51640 Galax City
51093 Isle Of Wight                      51650 Hampton City
51095 James City                         51660 Harrisonburg City
51097 King And Queen                     51670 Hopewell City
51099 King George                        51678 Lexington City
51101 King William                       51680 Lynchburg City
51103 Lancaster                          51690 Martinsville City
51105 Lee                                51700 Newport News City
51107 Loudoun                            51710 Norfolk City
51109 Louisa                             51720 Norton City
51111 Lunenberg                          51730 Petersburg City
51113 Madison                            51740 Portsmouth City
51115 Mathews                            51750 Radford City
51117 Mecklenburg                        51760 Richmond City
51119 Middlesex                          51770 Roanoke City
51121 Montgomery                         51775 Salem City
51123 Nansemond                          51780 South Boston City
51125 Nelson                             51790 Staunton City
51127 New Kent                           51800 Suffolk City
51131 Northampton                        51810 Virginia Beach City
51133 Northumberland                     51820 Waynesboro City
51135 Nottoway                           51830 Williamsburg City
51137 Orange                             51840 Winchester City
53 Washington

| 53001 Adams  | 53041 Lewis  |
| 53003 Asotin  | 53043 Lincoln |
| 53005 Benton  | 53045 Mason  |
| 53007 Chelan  | 53047 Okanogan |
| 53009 Clallam | 53049 Pacific |
| 53011 Clark   | 53051 Pend Oreille |
| 53013 Columbia| 53053 Pierce  |
| 53015 Cowlitz | 53055 San Juan |
| 53017 Douglas | 53057 Skagit  |
| 53019 Ferry   | 53059 Skamania|
| 53021 Franklin| 53061 Snohomish|
| 53023 Garfield| 53063 Spokane |
| 53025 Grant   | 53065 Stevens |
| 53027 Grays Harbor | 53067 Thurston |
| 53029 Island  | 53069 Wahkiakum|
| 53031 Jefferson| 53071 Walla Walla|
| 53033 King    | 53073 Whatcom |
| 53035 Kitsap  | 53075 Whitman |
| 53037 Kittitas| 53077 Yakima  |
| 53039 Klickitat|             |

54 west Virginia

| 54001 Barbour | 54057 Mineral |
| 54003 Berkeley| 54059 Mingo  |
| 54005 Boone   | 54061 Monongalia |
| 54007 Braxton | 54063 Monroe |
| 54009 Brooke  | 54065 Morgan |
| 54011 Cabell  | 54067 Nicholas |
| 54013 Calhoun | 54069 Ohio |
| 54015 Clay    | 54071 Pendleton |
| 54017 Doddridge| 54073 Pleasants |
| 54019 Fayette | 54075 Pocahontas |
| 54021 Gilmer  | 54077 Preston |
| 54023 Grant   | 54079 Putnam |
| 54025 Greenbrier| 54081 Raleigh |
| 54027 Hampshire| 54083 Randolph |
| 54029 Hancock | 54085 Ritchie |
| 54031 Hardy   | 54087 Roane |
| 54033 Harrison| 54089 Summers |
| 54035 Jackson | 54091 Taylor |
| 54037 Jefferson| 54093 Tucker |
| 54039 Kanawha | 54095 Tyler |
| 54041 Lewis   | 54097 Upshur |
| 54043 Lincoln | 54099 Wayne |
| 54045 Logan   | 54101 Webster |
| 54047 Me Dowell| 54103 Wetzel |
| 54049 Marion  | 54105 Wirt |
| 54051 Marshall| 54107 Wood |
| 54053 Mason   | 54109 Wyoming |
| 54055 Mercer  |             |
55 Wisconsin

55001 Adams 55073 Marathon
55003 Ashland 55075 Marinette
55005 Barron 55077 Marquette
55007 Bayfield 55078 Menominee
55009 Brown 55079 Milwaukee
55011 Buffalo 55081 Monroe
55013 Burnett 55083 Oconto
55015 Calumet 55085 Oneida
55017 Chippewa 55087 Outagamie
55019 Clark 55089 Ozaukee
55021 Columbia 55091 Pepin
55023 Crawford 55093 Pierce
55025 Dane 55095 Polk
55027 Dodge 55097 Portage
55029 Door 55099 Price
55031 Douglas 55101 Racine
55033 Dunn 55103 Richland
55035 Eau Claire 55105 Rock
55037 Florence 55107 Rusk
55039 Fond Du Lac 55109 St Croix
55041 Forest 55111 Sauk
55043 Grant 55113 Sawyer
55045 Green 55115 Shawano
55047 Green Lake 55117 Sheboygan
55049 Iowa 55119 Taylor
55051 Iran 55121 Trempealeau
55053 Jackson 55123 Vernon
55055 Jefferson 55125 Vilas
55057 Juneau 55127 Walworth
55059 Kenosha 55129 Washburn
55061 Kewaunee 55131 Washington
55063 La Crosse 55133 Waukesha
55065 Lafayette 55135 Waupaca
55067 Langlade 55137 Waushara
55069 Lincoln 55139 Winnebago
55071 Manitowoc 55141 Wood

56 Wyoming

56001 Albany 56025 Natrona
56003 Big Horn 56027 Niobrara
56005 Campbell 56029 Park
56007 Carbon 56031 Platte
56009 Converse 56033 Sheridan
56011 Crook 56035 Sublette
56013 Fremont 56037 Sweetwater
56015 Goshen 56039 Teton
56017 Hot Springs 56041 Uinta
56019 Johnson 56043 Washakie
56021 Laramie 56045 Weston
56023 Lincoln
This is the list of candidate names used in the administration of the 1982 Personal Interviews. In the field identifying the candidate's partisan affiliation the following party abbreviations are used:

DEM=Democratic  
REP=Republican  
CON=Conservative  
LIB=Liberal  
RTL=Right to Life  
IND=Independent  
D-L=Democratic and Liberal  
R-C=Republican and Conservative  
DRL=Democratic and Republican and Liberal

**MEANING OF FIELDS**

| COL 1 | TYPE OF RECORD (1=HOUSE/2=SENATE/3=GOVERNOR) |
| COL 3-4 | ICPSR STATE CODE |
| COL 6-7 | STATE ALPHABETIC ABBREVIATION |
| COL 9-10 | ACTUAL CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT NUMBER |
| COL 12 | CANDIDATE GENDER (1=MALE/2=FEMALE) |
| COL 15-16 | FUNCTIONAL CANDIDATE CODE |
| COL 19-54 | CANDIDATE NAME |
| COL 55-57 | PARTY OF CANDIDATE |
| COL 65-BO | STATE NAME (ALPHA) |

**ALABAMA**

| 3 41 AL 00 1 | 51 | George C Wallace | DEM Alabama |
| 3 41 AL 00 1 | 52 | Emory Folmar | REP Alabama |
| 2 41 AL 00 1 | 17 | Howell Heflin | DEM Alabama |
| 2 41 AL 00 1 | 18 | Jeremiah Denton | REP Alabama |
| 1 41 AL 02 1 | 34 | William L Dickinson | REP Alabama |
| 1 41 AL 02 1 | 35 | Billy Joe Camp | DEM Alabama |
| 1 41 AL 03 1 | 33 | Bill Nichols | DEM Alabama |

**ARKANSAS**

| 3 42 AR 00 1 | 54 | Frank D White | REP Arkansas |
| 3 42 AR 00 1 | 55 | Bill Clinton | DEM Arkansas |
| 2 42 AR 00 1 | 17 | Dale Bumpers | DEM Arkansas |
| 2 42 AR 00 1 | 18 | David Pryor | DEM Arkansas |
| 1 42 AR 01 1 | 33 | Bill Alexander | DEM Arkansas |
| 1 42 AR 01 1 | 36 | Chuck Banks | REP Arkansas |
| 1 42 AR 02 1 | 34 | Ed Bethune | REP Arkansas |
| 1 42 AR 02 1 | 35 | Charles L George | DEM Arkansas |
| 1 42 AR 04 1 | 33 | Beryl Anthony Jr | DEM Arkansas |
| 1 42 AR 04 1 | 36 | Bob Leslie | REP Arkansas |

**ARIZONA**

| 3 61 AZ 00 1 | 53 | Bruce Babbitt | DEM Arizona |
| 3 61 AZ 00 1 | 56 | Leo Corbet | REP Arizona |
| 2 61 AZ 00 1 | 13 | Dennis DeConcclin | DEM Arizona |
| 2 61 AZ 00 1 | 16 | Pete Dunn | REP Arizona |
| 2 61 AZ 00 1 | 19 | Barry Goldwater | REP Arizona |
| 1 61 AZ 01 1 | 31 | William E Hegarty | DEM Arizona |
| 1 61 AZ 01 1 | 32 | John McCain | REP Arizona |
1 61 AZ 02 1  33  Morris K Udall  DEM Arizona
1 61 AZ 02 1  36  Roy B Laos  REP Arizona
1 61 AZ 03 1  34  Bob Stump  REP Arizona
1 61 AZ 03 1  35  Pat Bosch  DEM Arizona
1 61 AZ 04 1  34  Eldon Rudd  REP Arizona
1 61 AZ 04 1  35  Wayne D Earley  DEM Arizona

CALIFORNIA
3 71 CA 00 1  51  Tom Bradley  DEM California
3 71 CA 00 1  52  George Deukme Ian  REP California
2 71 CA 00 1  11  Edmund G ~Jerry" Brown Jr  DEM California
2 71 CA 00 1  12  Pete Wilson  REP California
2 71 CA 00 1  19  Alan Cranston  DEM California
1 71 CA 02 1  34  Gene Chappie  REP California
1 71 CA 02 1  35  John Newmeyer  DEM California
1 71 CA 04 1  33  Vic Fazio  DEM California
1 71 CA 04 1  36  Roger B Canfield  REP California
1 71 CA 05 1  33  Phillip Burton  DEM California
1 71 CA 05 1  36  Milton Marks  REP California
1 71 CA 06 2  31  Barbara Boxer  DEM California
1 71 CA 06 1  32  Dennis McQuaid  REP California
1 71 CA 08 1  33  Ronald V Dellums  DEM California
1 71 CA 08 1  36  Claude B Hutchison Jr  REP California
1 71 CA 09 1  33  Fortney H ~Pete~ Stark  DEM California
1 71 CA 09 1  36  Bill Kennedy  REP California
1 71 CA 11 1  33  Tom Lantos  DEM California
1 71 CA 11 1  36  Bill Royer  REP California
1 71 CA 12 1  31  Emmett Lynch  DEM California
1 71 CA 12 1  32  Ed Zechau  REP California
1 71 CA 14 1  34  Norman D Shumway  REP California
1 71 CA 14 1  35  Baron Reed  DEM California
1 71 CA 17 1  34  Charles Pashayan Dr.  REP California
1 71 CA 17 1  35  Gene Tackett  DEM California
1 71 CA 21 2  34  Bobbi Fiedler  REP California
1 71 CA 21 1  35  George Henry Margolis  DEM California
1 71 CA 22 1  34  Carlos Moorhead  REP California
1 71 CA 22 1  35  Harvey L Goldhammer  DEM California
1 71 CA 23 1  33  Anthony C Bellenson  DEM California
1 71 CA 23 1  36  David Armor  REP California
1 71 CA 24 1  33  Henry A Waxman  DEM California
1 71 CA 24 1  36  Jerry Zerg  REP California
1 71 CA 25 1  33  Edward R. Roybal  DEM California
1 71 CA 26 1  31  Howard L Berman  DEM California
1 71 CA 26 1  32  Hal Phillips  REP California
1 71 CA 27 1  31  Mel Levine  DEM California
1 71 CA 27 1  32  Bart W Christensen  REP California
1 71 CA 28 1  33  Julian C Dixon  DEM California
1 71 CA 28 1  36  David Goerz  REP California
1 71 CA 32 1  33  Glenn M Anderson  DEM California
1 71 CA 32 1  36  Brian Lungren  REP California
1 71 CA 33 1  34  David Dreiler  REP California
1 71 CA 33 1  35  Paul Servelle  DEM California
1 71 CA 34 1  31  Esteban Torres  DEM California
1 71 CA 34 1  32  Paul R Jackson  REP California
1 71 CA 38 1  33  Jerry M Patterson  DEM California
1 71 CA 38 1  36  William F Dohr  REP California
1 71 CA 39 1  34  William E Dannemeyer  REP California
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Frank G Verges</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bill Lowery</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Tony Brandenburg</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Dan Lungren</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>James P Spellman</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Roy &quot;Pat&quot; Archer</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Johnny Crean</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Ron Packard</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Jim Bates</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Shirley M Glesendanner</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Duncan L Hunter</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Richard Hill</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Richard O Lamm</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>John D Fuhr</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Gary Hart</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>William R Armstrong</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Hank Brown</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Charles L &quot;Bud&quot; Bishop</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>William A O'Neill</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Lewis B Rome</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lowell P Welcker Jr</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Toby Moffett</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Christopher J Dodd</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Sam Geidenson</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Tony Guglilmo</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Stewart B McKinney</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>John A Phillips</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Robert Graham</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>LA &quot;Skip&quot; Bafalis</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Lawton Chiles</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Van B Poole</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Paula Hawkins</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bill McCollum</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Dick Batchelor</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Andy Ireland</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Dana N Stevens</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Connie Mack</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Larry Smith</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Maurice Berkowitz</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>William Lehman</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Claude Pepper</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Ricardo Nunez</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Dante B Fascell</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Glenn Rinker</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Joe Frank Harnis</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Bob Bell</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Sam Nunn</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mack Mattingly</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Charles Hatcher</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>44 GA 04 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Elliott H Levitas</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>44 GA 04 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Diak Winder</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>44 GA 05 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Wyche Fowler Jr</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>44 GA 05 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Paul Jones</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>44 GA 05 1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>J D &quot;Billy&quot; McKinney</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>44 GA 09 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Ed Jenkins</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>44 GA 09 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Charles Sherwood</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>31 IA 00 2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Roxanne Conlin</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>31 IA 00 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Terry Branstad</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>31 IA 00 1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Roger W Oepsen</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>31 IA 00 1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Charles E Grassley</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>31 IA 03 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Cooper Evans</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>31 IA 03 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Lynn G Cutler</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>31 IA 05 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Tom Harkin</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>31 IA 05 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Arlyn E Danker</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 00 1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>James R Thompson</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 00 1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Adlai E Stevenson III</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 00 1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Charles H Percy</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 00 1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Alan O Dixon</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 01 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Harold Washington</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 01 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Charles Allen Taliaferro</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 03 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Marty Russo</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 03 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Richard D Murphy</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 04 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>George M O'Brien</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 04 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Michael A Murer</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 05 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>William O. Lipinski</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 05 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Daniel Partyka</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 06 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Henry O Hyde</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 06 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Leroy E Kennel</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 08 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Dan Rostenkowski</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 08 2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Bonnie Hickey</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 09 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Sidney R Yates</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 09 2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Catherine Bertini</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 10 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>John Edward Porter</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 10 2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Eugenia S Chapman</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 11 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Frank Annunzio</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 11 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>James Moynihan</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 12 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Phillip M Crane</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 12 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Daniel G DeFosse</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 13 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>John N Eltenborn</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 13 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Robert Billy</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 14 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Tom Corcoran</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 14 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Dan McGrath</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 15 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Edward R Madigan</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 15 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Tim L Hall</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 18 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Robert H Michel</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 18 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>G Douglas Stephens</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 21 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Melvin Price</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 21 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Robert H Gaffner</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 22 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Paul Simon</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>21 IL 22 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Peter G Prineas</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Congress</td>
<td>Representative</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDIANA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 22 IN 00 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Richard G Logan</td>
<td>REP Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 22 IN 00 1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Floyd Ilthian</td>
<td>DEM Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 22 IN 00 1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Dan Quayle</td>
<td>REP Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 22 IN 01 2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Katie Hall</td>
<td>DEM Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 22 IN 01 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Thomas H Krieger</td>
<td>REP Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 22 IN 06 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>George Gralanowski</td>
<td>DEM Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 22 IN 06 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Dan Burton</td>
<td>REP Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 22 IN 10 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Andrew Jacobs Jr</td>
<td>DEM Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 22 IN 10 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Michael A Carrel</td>
<td>REP Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KENTUCKY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 51 KY 00 1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Walter &quot;Deer&quot; Huddleston</td>
<td>DEM Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 51 KY 00 1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Wendell H Ford</td>
<td>DEM Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 51 KY 01 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Carroll Hubbard Jr</td>
<td>DEM Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 51 KY 03 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Romano L Mazzoli</td>
<td>DEM Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 51 KY 03 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Carl Brown</td>
<td>REP Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 51 KY 04 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Gene Snyder</td>
<td>REP Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 51 KY 04 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Terry L Mann</td>
<td>DEM Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOUISIANA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 45 LA 00 1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Russell B Long</td>
<td>DEM Louisiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 45 LA 00 1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>J Bennett Johnston</td>
<td>DEM Louisiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 45 LA 05 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Jerry Huckaby</td>
<td>DEM Louisiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 45 LA 07 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>John B Breaux</td>
<td>DEM Louisiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MASSACHUSETTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 03 MA 00 1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Michael S Dukakis</td>
<td>DEM Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 03 MA 00 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>John W Sears</td>
<td>REP Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 03 MA 00 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Edward M &quot;Ted&quot; Kennedy</td>
<td>DEM Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 03 MA 00 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Raymond Shamie</td>
<td>REP Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 03 MA 00 1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Paul E Tsongas</td>
<td>DEM Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 03 MA 01 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Silvio O Conte</td>
<td>REP Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 03 MA 02 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Edward P Boland</td>
<td>DEM Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 03 MA 02 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Thomas P Swank</td>
<td>REP Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 03 MA 03 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Joseph D Early</td>
<td>DEM Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 03 MA 07 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Edward J Markey</td>
<td>DEM Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 03 MA 07 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>David M Basile</td>
<td>REP Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 03 MA 08 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Thomas P O'Neill Jr</td>
<td>DEM Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 03 MA 08 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Frank Luke McNamara</td>
<td>REP Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 03 MA 09 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Joe Moakley</td>
<td>DEM Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 03 MA 09 2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Deborah R Cochran</td>
<td>REP Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MARYLAND</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 52 MD 00 1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Harry R Hughes</td>
<td>DEM Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 52 MD 00 1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Robert A Pascal</td>
<td>REP Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 52 MD 00 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Paul Sarbanes</td>
<td>DEM Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 52 MD 00 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lawrence J Hogan</td>
<td>REP Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 52 MD 00 1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Charles Mc Mathias</td>
<td>REP Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 52 MD 01 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Roy Dyson</td>
<td>DEM Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 52 MD 01 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>C A Porter Hopkins</td>
<td>REP Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 52 MD 02 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Clarence D Long</td>
<td>DEM Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 52 MD 02 2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Helen Dellich Bentley</td>
<td>REP Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 52 MD 07 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Parren J Mitchell</td>
<td>DEM Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 52 MD 07 2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>M Leonora Jones</td>
<td>REP Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 52 MD 08 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Michael D Barnes</td>
<td>DEM Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 52 MD 08 2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Elizabeth W Spencer</td>
<td>REP Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Representative Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>Joseph E Brennan</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>Charles L Cragin</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>George J Mitchell</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>David F Emery</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>William S Cohen</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>John M Kerry</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>John R McKernan Jr</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>James J Blanchard</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Richard H Headlee</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Donald W Riegle Jr</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Philip E Rupple</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Carl Levin</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>John Conyers Jr</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mark Siljander</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>David A Masiokas</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Dale E Kildee</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>George R Darrah</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Dennis M Hertel</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>William D Ford</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mitchell Moran</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>John D Dingell</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>David K Haskins</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sander Levin</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Gerald E Rosen</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>William S Broomfield</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Allen J Sipher</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Rudy Perpich</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Wheelock Whitney</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>David Durenberger</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Mark Dayton</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Rudy Boschwitz</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Bruce F Vento</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Bill James</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Martin Olav Sabo</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Keith W Johnson</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Arlen Erdahl</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Gerry Sikorski</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>John C Danforth</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Harriet Woods</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Thomas F Eagleton</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Richard A Gephartt</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Richard Forlstdel</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bill Emerson</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Jerry Ford</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Harold L Volkmer</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Larry E Mead</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>John C Stennis</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Haley Barbour</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Thad Cochran</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>G V &quot;Sonny&quot; Montgomery</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Wayne Dowdy</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Liles Williams</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Jesse Helms</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>John P East</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Walter B Jones</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>James F McIntyre III</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Charles Thone</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Bob Kerrey</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Edward Zortnsky</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Jim Keck</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>J James Exon</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Virginia Smith</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Frank R Lautenberg</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Millicent Fenwick</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Bill Bradley</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>James L Florid</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>John A Dramesi</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Christopher H Smith</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Joseph F Merlino</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Bernard J Dwyer</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Bertram L Buckler</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Matthew O Rinaldo</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Adam K Levis</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Joseph G Minish</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rey Redington</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Jim Courter</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Jeff Connor</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Edwin B Forsythe</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>George Callas</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Frank J Guarini</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Charles J Catrillo</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Mario M Cuomo</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Lew Lehrman</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Daniel Patrick Moynihan</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Florence Sullivan</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Alfonse M D'Amato</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>John LeBoutillier</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Robert J Mrazek</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Edward Birnbaum</td>
<td>LIB</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Norman F Lent</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>John J Dunkle</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Joseph P Addabbo</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mark E Scott</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>James H Schemer</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>John T Blume</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Charles E Schumer</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stephen Marks</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alice J Bertolotti</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Edolphus Towns</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>James W Smith</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Patrick W Giagnacova</td>
<td>LIB</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Major R Owens</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>David Katan So</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>David E Rosenstroch</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Leo C Zeferetti</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Guy V Molinari</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Carl F Grillo</td>
<td>LIB</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bill Green</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Betty G Lall</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Henry VanRossem</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Charles B Rangel</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Michael T Berns</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ted Weiss</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Louis S Antonelli</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Robert Garcia</td>
<td>DRL</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mario Pichler</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Richard L Ottinger</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Don S Fossel</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Florence T O'Grady</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anita Maxwell</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sherwood L Boehlert</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Donald J Thomas</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>George C Wortley</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elaine Lytel</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Thomas M Hunter</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Matthew F McHugh</td>
<td>O-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>David F Crowley</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mark Masterson</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Richard F Celeste</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clarence O Brown</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Howard M Metzenbaum</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Paul E Pfeffer</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>John Glenn</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tony P Hall</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Michael Oxley</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Robert W Moon</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Roger D Tackett</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Michael Dewine</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Thomas N Kindness</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>John W Griffin</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ed Weber</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marcy Kaptur</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dennis E Eckart</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Glen W Warner</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Edward F Feighan</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Richard G Anter II</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mary Rose Oakar</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Zip</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>24, 21</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Paris T LeJeune</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Louis Stokes</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Alan G Shatteen</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>53, 53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>George Nigh</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>53, 53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Tom Daxon</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>53, 53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>David L Boren</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>53, 53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Don Nickles</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>53, 53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>James R Jones</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>53, 53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Richard C Freeman</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>53, 53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Mike Synar</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>53, 53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Lou Striegel</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Victor L Atlyeh</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Ted Kulongoski</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Mark O Hatfield</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Bob Packwood</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>James Weaver</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Ross Anthony</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Richard L Thornburgh</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Allen E Ertel</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>John Heinz</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cecil H Wecht</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Arlen Specter</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Thomas M Fogiletta</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Michael Marino</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>William H Gray III</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Milton Street</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Charles F Dougherty</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Robert A Borski</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Richard T Schulze</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bob Burger</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>James L Nelligan</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Frank Harrison</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>William J Coyne</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>John R Clark</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Robert S Walker</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Dean D Mowery</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Larry D Hochendoner</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>George W Gekas</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Doug Walgren</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ted Jacob</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Austin J Murphy</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14, 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Frank Paterra</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>48, 48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Richard Riley</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>48, 48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>William D Workman Dr.</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>48, 48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Strom Thurmond</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>48, 48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Ernest F Hollings</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>48, 48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Floyd Spence</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>48, 48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Ken Mosely</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Member Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37 SD 00 1</td>
<td>William J Danklow</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37 SD 00 1</td>
<td>Mike O'Connor</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37 SD 00 1</td>
<td>Larry Pressler</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37 SD 98 1</td>
<td>James Abdnor</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37 SD 98 1</td>
<td>Thomas A Daschle</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37 SD 98 1</td>
<td>Clint Roberts</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>54 TN 00 1</td>
<td>Lamar Alexander</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>54 TN 00 1</td>
<td>Randy Tyree</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54 TN 00 1</td>
<td>Jim Sasser</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54 TN 00 1</td>
<td>Robin L Beard</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54 TN 04 1</td>
<td>Howard H Baker Jr</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54 TN 04 2</td>
<td>Jim Cooper</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54 TN 04 2</td>
<td>Cissy Baker</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>49 TX 00 1</td>
<td>William P Clements Jr</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>49 TX 00 1</td>
<td>Mark White</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49 TX 00 1</td>
<td>Lloyd Bentsen</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49 TX 00 1</td>
<td>James M Collins</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49 TX 07 1</td>
<td>John Tower</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49 TX 07 1</td>
<td>Bill Archer</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49 TX 08 1</td>
<td>Dennis Scoggins</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49 TX 08 1</td>
<td>Jack Fields</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49 TX 08 1</td>
<td>Henry E Allee</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49 TX 17 1</td>
<td>Charles W Stenholm</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49 TX 18 1</td>
<td>Mickey Leland</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49 TX 18 1</td>
<td>C Leon Pickett</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49 TX 22 1</td>
<td>Ron Paul</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>67 UT 00 1</td>
<td>Doris G Hatch</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>67 UT 00 1</td>
<td>Ted Wilson</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>67 UT 00 1</td>
<td>Jake Garn</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67 UT 02 1</td>
<td>Dan Marriott</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67 UT 02 2</td>
<td>Frances Farley</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67 UT 03 1</td>
<td>Howard C Nielson</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67 UT 03 1</td>
<td>Henry A Huish</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40 VA 00 1</td>
<td>Richard J Davis</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40 VA 00 1</td>
<td>Paul S Trivle Jr</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40 VA 00 1</td>
<td>John W Warner</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40 VA 03 1</td>
<td>Thomas J Billey Jr</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40 VA 03 1</td>
<td>John Waldrop Jr</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40 VA 08 1</td>
<td>Stan Parris</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40 VA 08 1</td>
<td>Herbert E Harris II</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40 VA 10 1</td>
<td>Frank R Wolf</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40 VA 10 1</td>
<td>Ira M Lechner</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73 WA 00 1</td>
<td>Henry M &quot;Scoop&quot; Jackson</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73 WA 00 1</td>
<td>Doug Jewett</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73 WA 00 1</td>
<td>Slade Gorton</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Joel Pritchard</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Brian Long</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Al Swift</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Joan Houchen</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Mike Lowry</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Bob Douse</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Beth Bland</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Rodney Chandler</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Anthony S Earl</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Terry Kohler</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>William Proxmire</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Scott McCallum</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Robert W Kasten Jr</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Thomas E Petri</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Gordon E Loehr</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F James Sensenbrenner Jr</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Robert C Byrd</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cleve Benedict</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Jennings Randolph</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>David Michael Staton</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Bob Wise</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This is the list of candidate names used in the administration the ISR and BERKELEY CATI interviews. Each cluster is headed by a line containing a three digit code which is the Telephone Cluster Identification Number documented in the codebook as v4. Correct pronunciation of the candidate name was inserted where necessary to insure consistent pronunciation and appears here < >. In the field identifying the candidate's partisan affiliation the following party abbreviations are used:

DEM=Democratic  
REP=Republican  
CON=Conservative  
LIB=Liberal  
RTL=Right to Life  
IND=Independent  
D-L=Democratic and Liberal  
R-C=Republican and Conservative  
DRL=Democratic and Republican and Liberal

**MEANING OF FIELDS**
- **COL 1**: TYPE OF RECORD (1=HOUSE/2=SENATE/3=GOVERNOR)  
- **COL 2**: SEQUENTIAL CO NUMBER WITHIN CLUSTER  
- **COL 3-4**: ICPSR STATE COOK  
- **COL 6-7**: STATE ALPHABETIC ABBREVIATION  
- **COL 9-10**: ACTUAL CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT NUMBER  
- **COL 12**: CANDIDATE GENDER (1=MALE/2=FEMALE)  
- **COL 15-16**: FUNCTIONAL CANDIDATE CODE  
- **COL 19-54**: CANDIDATE NAME  
- **COL 55-57**: PARTY OF CANDIDATE  
- **COL 65-80**: STATE NAME (ALPHA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Record Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>086</td>
<td>3 41 AL 00 1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>George C Wallace</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 41 AL 00 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Emory Folmar</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1141 AL 03 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Bill Nichols</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>074</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Bruce Babbitt</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 61 AZ 00 1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Leo Corbet</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 61 AZ 00 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Dennis DeConcklin</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 61 AZ 00 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Pete Dunn</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1161 AZ 01 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>William E Hegarty</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1161 AZ 01 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>John McCain</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1261 AZ 02 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Morris K Udall</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1261 AZ 02 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Roy B Laos &lt;LAHos&gt;</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1361 AZ 04 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Eldon Rudd</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1361 AZ 04 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Wayne O Earley</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>082</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Frank D White</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 42 AR 00 1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Bill Clinton</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1142 AR 02 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Ed Bethune &lt;bethOON&gt;</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1142 AR 02 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Charles L George</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 71 CA 00 1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Tom Bradley</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 71 CA 00 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>George Deukmanian</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 71 CA 00 1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Edmund G &quot;Derry&quot; Brown Dr.</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 71 CA 00 1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pete Wilson</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 21 2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bobbi Fiedler</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 21 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>George Henry Margolis</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 22 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Carlos d Moorhead</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 22 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Harvey L Goldhammer</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371 CA 26 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Howard L Berman</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371 CA 26 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Hal Phillips</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 27 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Mel Levine</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 27 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Bart W Christensen</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 31 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Mervyn M O'Mally</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 31 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Henry C Minturn</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371 CA 42 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Dan Lungren</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371 CA 42 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>James P Spellman</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 23 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Anthony C Bellenson</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 23 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>David Armor</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 24 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Henry A Waxman</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 24 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Derry Zerg</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371 CA 26 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Howard L Berman</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371 CA 26 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Hal Phillips</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 27 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Mel Levine</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 27 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Bart W Christensen</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 31 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Mervyn M O'Mally</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 31 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Henry C Minturn</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371 CA 42 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Dan Lungren</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371 CA 42 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>James P Spellman</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 10 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Don Edwards</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 10 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Bob Herriott</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 12 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Emmett Lynch</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 12 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Ed Zechau</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371 CA 13 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Norman Y Mineta</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1371 CA 13 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Tom Kelly</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 09 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Fortney H &quot;Pete&quot; Stark</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 09 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Bill - Kennedy</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 10 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Don Edwards</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1271 CA 10 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Bob Herriott</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 45 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Duncan L Hunter</td>
<td>REP California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171 CA 45 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Richard Hill</td>
<td>DEM California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
072
1171 CA 41 1 34 Bill Lowery <LAHWree> REP California
1171 CA 41 1 35 Tony Brandenburg DEM California
1271 CA 44 1 31 Jim Bates DEM California
1271 CA 44 2 32 Shirley M Glessendanner REP California
1371 CA 45 1 34 Duncan L Hunter REP California
1371 CA 45 1 35 Richard Hill DEM California

071
1171 CA 41 1 34 Bill Lowery <LAHWree> REP California
1171 CA 41 1 35 Tony Brandenburg DEM California
1271 CA 44 1 31 Jim Bates DEM California
1271 CA 44 2 32 Shirley M Glessendanner REP California
1371 CA 45 1 34 Duncan L Hunter REP California
1371 CA 45 1 35 Richard Hill DEM California

073
1171 CA 35 1 34 Jerry Lewis REP California
1171 CA 35 1 35 Robert E Erwin DEM California
1271 CA 36 1 33 George E Brown dr DEM California
1271 CA 36 1 36 John Paul Stark REP California
1371 CA 37 1 31 Curtis P "Sam. Cross DEM California
1371 CA 37 1 32 Al McCandless REP California

063
1171 CA 03 1 33 Robert T Matsui DEM California
1271 CA 04 1 33 Vic Fazio <FAYzio> DEM California
1271 CA 04 1 36 Roger B Canfield REP California

110
3 43 FL 00 1 53 Robert Graham <GRAHahm> DEM Florida
3 43 FL 00 1 56 L A "Skip" Bafalis REP Florida
2 43 FL 00 1 13 Lawton Chiles <ch-EYE-is> DEM Florida
2 43 FL 00 1 16 Van B Poole REP Florida
1143 FL 12 1 31 Brad Culverhouse DEM Florida
1143 FL 12 1 32 Tom Lewis REP Florida

108
1143 FL 15 1 34 E Clay Shaw Or REP Florida
1143 FL 15 1 35 Edward U Stack DEM Florida
1243 FL 16 1 31 Larry Smith DEM Florida
1243 FL 16 1 32 Maurice Berkowitz REP Florida

107
1143 FL 16 1 31 Larry Smith DEM Florida
1143 FL 16 1 32 Maurice Berkowitz REP Florida
1243 FL 17 1 33 William Lehman <LAYman> DEM Florida
1343 FL 18 1 33 Claude Pepper DEM Florida
1343 FL 18 1 36 Ricardo Nunez <NOONyes> REP Florida

111
1143 FL 05 1 34 Bill McCollum <mahCULLum> REP Florida
1143 FL 05 1 35 Dlak Batchelor <BAHchelor> DEM Florida
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Larry Smith</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Maurice Berkowitz</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>William Lehman</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Andy Ireland</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Earl Hutto</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>J Terryl Bechtol</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Bill Chappell</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Larry Gaudet</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Earl Hutto</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>U Terryl Bechtol</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Joe Frank Harnis</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Bob Bell</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Ed Jenkins</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Charles Sherwood</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>087</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Elliott H Levitas</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>087</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Dick Winder</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>087</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Wyche Fowler</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>087</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Paul Jones</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>087</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>d. D. &quot;Billy~ McKinney</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>087</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Newt Gingrich</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>James R Thompson</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Adlai E Stevenson III</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Edward R Madigan</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Tim L Hall</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Daniel B Crane</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>John Gwinn</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Robert H Michel &lt;MYkel&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>G Douglas Stephens</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Paul Findley</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Richard 0 Durbin</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>043</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Lane Evans</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>043</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Kenneth G McMillan</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>043</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Robert H Michel &lt;MYkel&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>043</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>G Douglas Stephens</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1121 IL 09 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Sidney R Yates</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1121 IL 09 2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Catherine Bertini</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1221 IL 10 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>John Edward Porter</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1221 IL 10 2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Eugenia S Chapman</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1121 IL 07 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Cardiss Collins</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1121 IL 07 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Daneby Cheeks</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1221 IL 08 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Dan Rostenkowski</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1221 IL 08 2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Bonnie Hickey</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1321 IL 11 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Frank Annunzio &lt;ahNOONzio&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1321 IL 11 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>dames F Moynihan</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1121 IL 06 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Henry Hyde</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1121 IL 06 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Leroy E Kennel</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1221 IL 13 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>John N Erlenborn</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1221 IL 13 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Robert Billy &lt;BYlee&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1321 IL 14 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Tom Corcoran</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1321 IL 14 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Dan McGrath</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1121 IL 19 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Daniel B Crane</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1121 IL 19 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>John Gwinn &lt;win&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1122 IN 01 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Thomas H Krieger &lt;KREEger&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1122 IN 01 2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Katie Hall</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 31 IA 00 2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Roxanne Conlin</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 31 IA 00 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Terry Branstad</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1131 IA 02 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Tom Tauke &lt;TAWkey&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1131 IA 02 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Brent Appel &lt;ahPELL&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1131 IA 05 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Tom Harkin</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1131 IA 05 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Arlyn E Danker</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1145 LA 04 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Buddy Roomer &lt;ROEmer&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1245 LA 07 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>John B Breaux &lt;brow&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 52 MD 00 1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Harry R Hughes</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 52 MD 00 1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Robert A Pascal &lt;PAHSkel&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 52 MD 00 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Paul Sarbanes &lt;SAHRbanes&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 52 MD 00 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lawrence U Hogan</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1152 MD 02 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Clarence D Long</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1152 MD 02 2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Helen Delict Bentley</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1252 MD 03 2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Barbara A Mikulski</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1252 MD 03 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>H Robert Scherr &lt;share&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Code</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1352 MD 07 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Parren Mitchell</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1352 MD 07 2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>M Leonora Jones</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 03 MA 00 1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Michael S Dukakis</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 03 MA 00 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>John W Sears</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 03 MA 00 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Edward M Ted Kennedy</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 03 MA 00 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Raymond Shamie &lt;SHAMee&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1103 MA 03 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Joseph D Early</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1203 MA 05 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>James M Shannon</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1103 MA 05 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>James M Shannon</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1203 MA 07 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>David M Basile &lt;bahSEAL&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1103 MA 04 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Barney Frank</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1103 MA 04 2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Margaret U Heckler</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1103 MA 02 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Edward P Boland &lt;BOWLand&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1103 MA 02 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Thomas P Swank</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1203 MA 03 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Joseph D Early</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133 MN 01 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Tom Hagedorn &lt;HAHgedorn&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133 MN 01 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Timothy J Penny</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 33 MN 00 1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Rudy PERPICH &lt;PERPICH&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 33 MN 00 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Wheelock Whitney</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 33 MN 00 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>David Durenberger</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 33 MN 00 1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Mark Dayton</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133 MN 01 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Tom Hagedorn &lt;HAHgedorn&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133 MN 01 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Timothy Penny</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133 MN 01 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bill Frenzel &lt;FRENzel&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1233 MN 03 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Joel Sallterman</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133 MN 04 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Bruce F Vento &lt;VENtoe&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133 MN 04 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Bill dames</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1233 MN 06 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Arlen Erdahl &lt;Erdahl&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1233 MN 06 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Gerry Sikorski</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
085
2 46 MS 00 1  13  John C Stennis  DEM Mississippi
2 46 MS 00 1  16  Haley Barbour  REP Mississippi
1146 MS 01 1  33  Jamie L Whitten <Witten>  DEM Mississippi
1146 MS 01 2  36  Fran Fawcett  REP Mississippi

084
1146 MS 03 1  33  G V "Sonny" Montgomery  DEM Mississippi

055
2 34 MO 00 1  14  John C Danforth  REP Missouri
2 34 MO 00 2  15  Harriet Woods  DEM Missouri
1134 MO 09 1  33  Harold L Volkmer  DEM Missouri
1134 MO 09 1  36  Larry E Mead  REP Missouri

056
1134 MO 01 1  33  William Clay  DEM Missouri
1134 MO 01 1  36  William E White  REP Missouri
1234 MO 02 1  33  Robert A Young  DEM Missouri
1234 MO 02 1  36  Harold L Dielmann  REP Missouri
1334 MO 03 1  33  Richard A Gephardt  DEM Missouri
1334 MO 03 1  36  Richard Foristel  REP Missouri

054
1134 MO 06 1  34  E Thomas Coleman  REP Missouri
1134 MO 06 1  35  Jim Russell  DEM Missouri

058
3 35 NE 00 1  54  Charles Thone <THOEn>  REP Nebraska
3 35 NE 00 1  55  Bob Kerrey <kerry>  DEM Nebraska
2 35 NE 00 1  13  Edward Zorinsky  DEM Nebraska
2 35 NE 00 1  16  William Keck  REP Nebraska
1135 NE 03 2  34  Virginia Smith  REP Nebraska

057
1135 NE 02 1  34  Hal Daub <DAHWb>  REP Nebraska
1135 NE 02 1  35  Richard M Fellman  DEM Nebraska

001
3 04 NH 00 1  53  Hugh Gallen  DEM New Hampshire
3 04 NH 00 1  56  John H Sununu <SOOnoonoo>  REP New Hampshire
1104 NH 02 1  34  Judd Gregg  REP New Hampshire
1104 NH 02 1  35  Robert L Dupay <dooPAY>  DEM New Hampshire

020
2 12 NJ 00 1  11  Frank R Lautenberg  DEM New Jersey
2 12 NJ 00 2  12  Millicent Fenwick  REP New Jersey
1112 NJ 07 1  34  Matthew ~ Rinaldo  REP New Jersey
1112 NJ 07 1  35  Adam K Levin  DEM New Jersey
1212 NJ 12 1  34  Jm Courter  REP New Jersey
1212 NJ 12 1  35  Jeff Connor  DEM New Jersey
021
1112 NO 01 1  33   James J Florio       DEM New Jersey
1112 NJ 01 1  36   John A Dramesi      REP New Jersey
1212 NJ 04 1  34   Christopher H Smith  REP New Jersey
1212 NJ 04 1  35   Joseph P Merlino    DEM New Jersey
1312 NJ 13 1  34   Edwin B Forsythe   REP New Jersey
1312 NJ 13 1  35   George Callas      DEM New Jersey

075.
3 66 NM 00 1  51   Toney Anaya <ahANAhyah> DEM New Mexico
3 66 NM 00 1  52   John B Irick <EYERick> REP New Mexico
2 66 NM 00 1  14   Harrison "Jack" Schmitt REP New Mexico
2 66 NM 00 1  15   Jeff Bingaman <BINGahman> DEM New Mexico
1166 NM 01 1  34   Manuel LuJan Jr <looHANN> REP New Mexico
1166 NM 01 1  35   Jan Alan Hartke <HEARTkey> DEM New Mexico
1266 NM 02 1  34   Joe Skenen          REP New Mexico
1266 NM 02 1  35   Caleb Chandler      DEM New Mexico

012
3 13 NY 00 1  51   Mario M Cuomo <CUOMO> D-L New York
3 13 NY 00 1  52   Lew Lehrman <LAIRman> R-C New York
2 13 NY 00 1  13   Daniel Patrick Moynihan D-L New York
2 13 NY 00 2  16   Florence Sullivan  R-C New York
1113 NY 07 1  33   Benjamin S Rosenthal D-L New York
1113 NY 07 1  36   Albert Lemishow     R-C New York
1213 NY 08 1  33   James H Schemer <SHAWyer> D-L New York
1213 NY 08 1  36   John T Blame <bloom> R-C New York

014
1113 NY 10 1  33   Charles E Schemer   D-L New York
1113 NY 10 1  36   Stephen Marks      R-C New York
1113 NY 10 2  39   Alice J Bertolotti  RTL New York
1213 NY 11 1  31   Edolphus Towns     DEM New York
1213 NY 11 1  32   James W Smith       REP New York
1213 NY 11 1  37   Patrick W Giagnacova LIB New York
1313 NY 12 1  31   Major R Owens      D-L New York
1313 NY 12 1  32   David Katan So <kahTAN> REP New York
1313 NY 12 1  37   David E Rosenstroch CON New York

011
1113 NY 18 1  33   Robert Garcia <garSEEah> DRL New York
1113 NY 18 1  37   Mario Pichler       CON New York

013
1113 NY 07 1  33   Benjamin S Rosenthal D-L New York
1113 NY 07 1  36   Albert Lemishow     R-C New York
1213 NY 08 1  33   James H Schemer <SHAWyer> D-L New York
1213 NY 08 1  36   John T Blame <bloom> R-C New York

009
1113 NY 03 1  34   John LeBoutillier    R-C New York
1113 NY 03 1  35   Robert J Mrazek <MARzeck> DEM New York
1113 NY 03 1  37   Edward Birnbaum     LIB New York
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Norman F Lent</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Robert P Zimmerman</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>John J Dunkle</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1313 NY 05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Raymond J McGrath</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1313 NY 05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Arnold J Miller</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Thomas J Boyle</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Norman F Lent</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Robert T Zimmerman</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>John J Dunkle</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Raymond J McGrath</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Arnold J Miller</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Thomas J Boyle</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>David O'B. Martin</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>David P Landy</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Anita Maxwell</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Sherwood L Boehlert</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Donald J Thomas</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Matthew F McHugh</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>David F Crowley</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Mark Masterson</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Stanley N Lundine</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>James J Snyder</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Genevieve F Ronan</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Jack F Kemp</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>James A Martin</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>John J LaFalce &lt;lahFAHLC&gt;</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Raymond R Walker</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Timothy J Hubbard</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1313 NY 33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Henry J Nowak</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1313 NY 33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Walter J Pillich &lt;PILLich&gt;</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1313 NY 33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>James Gallagher</td>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Hamilton Fish Jr</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1113 NY 21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>J Morgan Strong</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Gerald B Solomon</td>
<td>R-C</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213 NY 24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Roy Esiason</td>
<td>D-L</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1147 NC 09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>James G Martin</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1147 NC 09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Preston Cornelius</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1147 NC 10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>James T Broyhill</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 24 OH 00 1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Richard F Celeste</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 24 OH 00 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Clarence J Brown</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 24 OH 00 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Howard M Metzenbaum</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 24 OH 00 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Paul E Pfefler</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 16 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Ralph Regula</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 16 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Jeffrey R Orenstein</td>
<td>OEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 14 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>John F Seiberling</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 14 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Louis A Mangels</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 13 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Don J Pease &lt;peaze&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 13 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Timothy Paul Martin</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224 OH 14 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>John F Selberling</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224 OH 14 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Louis A Mangels</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 01 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Thomas A Luken &lt;LOOken&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 01 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>John <del>Jake</del> Held</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224 OH 02 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bill Gradison &lt;GRAHdison&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224 OH 02 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>L Arthur Safer</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 01 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bill Gradison &lt;GRAHdison&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 01 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>L Arthur Safer</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224 OH 06 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bob McEwen</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224 OH 06 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Lynn Alan Grimshaw</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 12 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Bob Shamansky</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1124 OH 12 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>John R Kasich &lt;KAYslck&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224 OH 15 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Chalmers P Wyle</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224 OH 15 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Greg Kostelac &lt;COSTehlack&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 53 OK 00 1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>George Nigh</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 53 OK 00 1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Tom Daxon &lt;DAXon&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1153 OK 03 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Wes Watkins</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1153 OK 03 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Patrick K. Miller</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 72 OR 00 1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Victor L Atlyeh</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 72 OR 00 1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Ted Kulongoski</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1172 OR 02 2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Larryann Willis</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1172 OR 02 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Bob Smith</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1272 OR 04 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>James Weaver</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1272 DR 04 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Ross Anthony</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 14 PA 00 1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Richard L Thornburgh</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 14 PA 00 1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Allen E Ertel &lt;AIRtel&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 14 PA 00 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>John Heinz &lt;HInz&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 14 PA 00 1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cyril H Wecht &lt;weckt&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 01 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Thomas M Fogletta</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 01 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Michael Marino &lt;marEEno&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214 PA 02 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>William H Gray III</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214 PA 02 1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Milton Street</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1314 PA 13 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lawrence Coughlin</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1314 PA 13 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Martin Cunningham Sr</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA OS 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Richard T Schulze</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA OS 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Bob Berger</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214 PA 13 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lawrence Coughlin</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214 PA 13 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Martin d Cunningham dr</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 01 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Thomas M Foglietta</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 01 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Michael Marino &lt;marEEno&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214 PA 02 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>William H Gray III</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214 PA 02 1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Milton Street</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1314 PA 03 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Charles F Dougherty</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1314 PA 03 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Robert A Borski &lt;BORekey&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 08 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Jm Coyne &lt;coln&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 08 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Peter H Kostmayer</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 08 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Jm Coyne &lt;coin&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 08 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Peter H Kostmayer</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 04 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Eugene V Atkinson</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 04 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Joseph P Kolter &lt;COALter&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214 PA 12 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>John P Murtha &lt;MIRTHa&gt;DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214 PA 12 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>William N Tuscano</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1314 PA 20 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Joseph M Gaydos &lt;GAYdoze&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1314 PA 20 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Terry T Ray</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 10 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Joseph M McDade</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 10 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Robert J Rafalko</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 17 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Larry J Hochendoner</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 17 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>George W Gekas</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 11 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>dames L Nelligan</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 11 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Frank Harrison</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 09 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Bud Shuster &lt;SHOOSter&gt;REP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114 PA 09 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Eugene d Duncan</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>031</td>
<td>PA 21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anthony Andrezeski</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>031</td>
<td>PA 21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Thomas Ridge</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>RI 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Joseph Garraby &lt;GAHRabbee&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>RI 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vincent Marzullo</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>RI 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>John N Chafee</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>RI 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Julius C Michaelson</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>RI 01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fernand d St Germain</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>RI 01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Burton Stallwood</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>RI 02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Claudine Schneider</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>RI 02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>James V Aukerman &lt;Okerman&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008</td>
<td>RI 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>William D Workman dr</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>SC 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Richard Riley</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>SC 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Butler Derrick</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>095</td>
<td>TN 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lamar Alexander</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>095</td>
<td>TN 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Randy Tyree &lt;TIEree&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>095</td>
<td>TN 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jim Sasser &lt;SAHser&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>095</td>
<td>TN 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Robin L Beard</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>095</td>
<td>TN 04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jim Cooper</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>095</td>
<td>TN 04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cissy Baker</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>096</td>
<td>TN 03</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marilyn Lloyd Bouquard</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>096</td>
<td>TN 03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Glen Byers &lt;BUYers&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>094</td>
<td>TN 04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jim Cooper</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>094</td>
<td>TN 04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cissy Baker</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>094</td>
<td>TN 06</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Albert Gore Jr</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>092</td>
<td>TN 01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>James H Quillen &lt;OUILen&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>092</td>
<td>TN 01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jessie Cable</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>092</td>
<td>TN 02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>John J Duncan</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>093</td>
<td>TN 01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>James H Quillen &lt;OUILen&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>093</td>
<td>TN 01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jessie J Cable</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080</td>
<td>TX 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>William P Clements Jr</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080</td>
<td>TX 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mark White</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080</td>
<td>TX 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lloyd Bentsen</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080</td>
<td>TX 00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>James M Collins</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080</td>
<td>TX 26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tom Vandergriff</td>
<td>DEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080</td>
<td>TX 26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jim Bradshaw</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1149 TX 20 1</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Henry B Gonzalez</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1249 TX 23 1</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Abraham Kazen Jr</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1249 TX 23 1</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Jeff Wentworth</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1149 TX 10 1</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>J J Pickle</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1249 TX 11 1</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Marvin Leath &lt;LEEth&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1349 TX 14 1</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Bill Patman</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1149 TX 02 1</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Charles Wilson</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1149 TX 21 1</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Tom Loeffler &lt;LEFFler&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1149 TX 21 1</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Charles S Stough &lt;stoe&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 06 VT 00 1</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>Richard A Shelling</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 06 VT 00 2</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>Madeleine M Kunin</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 06 VT 00 1</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>Robert T Stafford</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 06 VT 00 1</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>James A Guest</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1106 VT 98 1</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>James M Jeffords</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1106 VT 98 1</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>Mark A Kaplan &lt;KAHplan&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 40 VA 00 1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Richard J Davis</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 40 VA 00 1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Paul S Trible Jr</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1140 VA 09 1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>William C Sampler</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1140 VA 09 1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Frederick Boucher</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1140 VA 07 1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>J Kenneth Robinson</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1140 VA 07 1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Lindsay G Dorrier Jr</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1240 VA 08 1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Stan Parris</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1240 VA 08 1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Herbert E Harris II</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1340 VA 10 1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Frank R Wolf</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1340 VA 10 1</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Ira M Lechner &lt;LESHner&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 73 WA 00 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Henry M &quot;Scoop&quot; Jackson</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 73 WA 00 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Doug Jewett &lt;JEWet&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1173 WA 01 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Joel Pritchard</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1173 WA 01 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Brian Long</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1273 WA 07 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Mike Lowry &lt;LAHWry&gt;</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1273 WA 07 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Bob Dorse &lt;DORsee&gt;</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1373 WA 08 2</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Beth Bland</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1373 WA 08 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Rodney Chandler</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1173 WA 03 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Don Booker</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1173 WA 03 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>J T Oulgg</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1173 WA 01 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Joel Pritchard</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1173 WA 01 1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Brian Long</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>00 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Robert C Byrd &lt;bird&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>00 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Cleve Benedict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>01 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Alan B. Mollohan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>01 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>John F. Mc Cuskey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>00 1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Anthony S Earl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>00 1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Terry Kohler &lt;COAler&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>00 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>William Proxmire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>00 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Scott McCallum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>04 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Clement J Zablocki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>05 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>dim Moody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>05 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Rod K Johnston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>06 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>REP</td>
<td>Thomas E Petri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>06 1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>Gordon E Loehr &lt;lair&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION: THE NES RATIONALE FOR CATI AND METHOD COMPARISON

Potential Advantages of Telephone Surveys for Electoral Research
Method Comparison and CATI Evaluation Issues
- Differences in sample or measurement quality
  Instrument complexity and new measurement formats
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Immediate Analytic Objectives
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-- Differences in coverage, completion, and measurement
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A SUMMARY OF INITIAL RESULTS

Sample outcomes and response rates for the three projects
Modest (but significant) differences in social characteristics
Consistent differences in opinionation or involvement
Modest (but inconsistent) differences in political attitudes
Measurement differences and relationships between variables
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GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

General similarity or comparability between the two methods
The importance of maintaining personal and telephone methods
The need for continuing analysis and experimentation on cost-quantity tradeoffs for alternative data collection procedures
Organizational issues: Staffing implications of growing technical complexity; and alternatives for large sample designs

INTRODUCTION

Since the discussions which led eventually to the initial proposal for the National Election Studies, no single subject has taken more time within the NES community than the appropriateness of alternative survey designs based on telephone methods -- and the potential advantages and/or disadvantages associated with the newer systems for computer-assisted telephone interviewing (or CATI). This general interest first came sharply into focus in 1978-79, during the Board's deliberations concerning the most efficient way to study the primary election period before the
1980 presidential nominating conventions. The Board's 1980 Committee ultimately chose to recommend against using telephone-based methods for any of the major design elements for that election, because of uncertainty in the areas to be discussed below. But many of the same issues also arose in the Board's discussions of alternative approaches to research on congressional elections, based on analytic limitations imposed by the sample design used in NES' otherwise successful study of the 1978 election. Issues concerning the design and/or data quality tradeoffs associated with telephone vs. conventional survey methods -- and the new (but still uncertain) potential of computer-assisted systems for data collection -- have therefore been central to Board deliberations throughout NES' short history as a national research facility. In 1982, these issues culminated in a Board decision to sponsor a direct comparison between the two methods in conjunction with the regular 1982 study. Although the initial results of that study were not available until mid-February of 1983, they have already influenced many of the Board's decisions concerning NES data collection plans for 1984. Analyses of the complex set of method comparisons made possible by the 1982 project will no doubt continue for some time, both within the Board and staff and in the larger NES research community, and the results of those analyses will structure Board deliberations concerning alternative designs throughout the next grant period.

The purpose of this report is to review the background and or rationale for the 1982 Method Comparison Project, and to summarize those initial results from the Project which bear directly on the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of the new survey techniques. We also hope to encourage other researchers to exploit the (unique) methodological data produced by the project, for this report represents only the first step in what should become a continuing program of research and development on telephone- and CATI-based techniques. As we will argue, much remains to be done before NES can take full advantage of the new survey techniques involved.

Potential Advantages

In its 1983 proposal to the National Science Foundation, the NES Board has identified those substantive areas where NES surveys are likely to depart from prior studies, based on recommendations from active scholars who use NES materials on a continuing basis. In specifying the research objectives which the Board has given a high priority for the mid-1980's, the proposal also emphasizes the method- or design-related implications of several recommendations, for they would require an at least partial shift to telephone-based methods. In general, recommendations of this sort -- that NES utilize telephone surveys and/or computer-assisted systems -- cite one or more of the following potential advantages of the new techniques:

1. With telephone-based techniques, it may be possible to achieve a major reduction in cost per interview or a major increase in sample size, through some combination of lower interviewing costs on the telephone and greater production efficiency through the use of computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI);

2. CATI systems make it possible to use more complicated survey instruments or measurement procedures, based on computer-assisted branching, cycling, and automatic modification of question content;
3. CATI may minimize the amount of error involved in survey data through "online" verification and/or edit checking;

4. Both centralized telephone interviewing and CATI may make it easier to control (or schedule) the administration of NES instruments to independent national samples in relatively short periods of time, in order to provide a Time sensitive design for assessing the impact of campaign-related and other political events; and

5. Both telephone methods and CATI should facilitate the administration of NES instruments to specific sub-national constituencies (such as deliberately selected states and/or congressional districts), for which the traditional NES national sampling frame and interviewing staff is impractical.

As discussed more fully in the 1983 NES proposal, the above data collection objectives have played a central role in discussions about a wide variety of future NES research objectives. Prior to 1982, however, the Board and staff were reluctant to commit significant data collection resources to the new techniques involved because of general uncertainty about the consequences of such a decision, and because of specific concerns about the tradeoffs between cost, sample size, and data quality involved.

Possible Problems or Methodological Issues

In particular, Board discussions about telephone interviewing have for some time reflected the following concerns or reservations:

1. Telephone-based methods might lead to a loss in sample quality, based on a combination of non-coverage (of citizens living in households without telephones) and the potential for lower response rates in telephone surveys.

2. Host observers have shared a belief that the average length of telephone interviews cannot be extended to the 60 to 70 minutes required to cover the traditional NES content.

3. Many researchers have also been concerned about the absence of show cards and other visual displays in telephone interviews. NES instruments have made extensive use of such aids, so that telephone methods might lead to losses in comparability or data quality vis a vis the traditional (face-to-face) interviews. It was also recognized that in principle CATI systems might compensate for the loss of visual aids by reformulating questions into complex sequences which take advantage of automatic "branching", but no evidence existed concerning the effectiveness of such compensating capabilities for NES-type items.

4. Telephone surveys based on national samples produced by random digit dialing may not be feasible for research objectives which require that each interview be assigned to a particular congressional district before substantive questions begin.

5. The use of telephone-based surveys for studies which need probability sampling, high response rates, and long interviews is relatively new. As a result, information presented to the Board about the relative costs of telephone vs. traditional methods has been inconsistent at best. It has thus been impossible to assess the hard cost-related tradeoffs involved in any design changes for future studies.
6. This uncertainty about costs has been compounded with respect to computer-assisted telephone interviewing, for CATI systems themselves -- and detailed NES procedures for using CATI -- were still in an exploratory stage. Thus, even the cost-related results of demonstration CATI projects were difficult for NES to assess, because the operational procedures involved had not reached sufficient popularity to permit stable cost estimates for large scale NES utilization.

During the early 1980's, however, experience with telephone interviewing and CATI systems within the academic, governmental and private sectors advanced to the point where the new techniques demanded serious consideration by NES. In particular, the Berkeley Program in Computer-Assisted Survey Methods had demonstrated in 1981 that the length of telephone interviews on political topics could be extended to 50-55 minutes, thereby overcoming what had been assumed to be a fundamental barrier to NES use of telephone surveys (See Shanks, et al, 1981). Nevertheless, it was still the case that the concerns about tradeoffs in cost and data quality for NES could not be effectively addressed by reviewing other CATI demonstration or method comparison projects.

DESIGN AND GOALS FOR THE 1982 METHOD COMPARISON PROJECT

For these reasons, the Board decided to commit all of its R&D resources for 1982 to a systematic comparison of the two methods. As originally proposed, the same NES interview schedule would be administered to two independent national random adult samples, one of which would use traditional household sampling and face-to-face personal interviewing, and the other would use an RDD sample of telephone households and computer-assisted telephone interviewing. As the project developed, it was further proposed that the CATI component for the study be equally (and randomly) divided between the two approaches to CATI system design and production data collection being developed at Michigan and Berkeley. Given probable budgetary constraints, the specific goals for these three independent data collection projects were set at 1500 traditional (or face-to-face) interviews, 500 interviews produced by ISR's CATI facility, and 500 interviews produced by CSli's CATI facility.

With both approval and supplementary support from the Foundation, this specific design was carried out during the period following the 1982 congressional elections. The next section of this report reviews the objectives and procedures used in our first analysis of the resulting data, and the following section highlights the analytic results which have already been presented to the NES Board. A concluding section then provides a brief summary of the lessons so far obtained from the Method Comparison and CATI demonstration aspects of the 1982 study. This discussion includes our own recommendations concerning the methodological and/or technical issues which need further attention before NES can regard the 1982 design as "established" or routine, and a short discussion of the organizational and staffing issues associated with large scale NES utilization of the new (computer-assisted) techniques.

Immediate Analytic Objectives. Given the above set of general goals, answers to preceded questions from the 1982 survey were processed during the few weeks between the completion of all interviewing activity and the Board's February meeting. As of this writing, coding and editing for open-ended questions and "other specify" were still underway, but method comparisons based on those materials will be incorporated in a subsequent version of this report.
In the following pages, we have summarized our early conclusions from the 1982 Method Comparison Project in six broad categories, dealing with:

1. Univariate differences between the traditional and CATI samples, with respect to:
   -- social and demographic characteristics;
   -- the existence of political interest and opinion; and
   -- the direction of political opinions (when present);

2. Where possible, univariate differences between methods are discussed in terms of the contributions made by method differences in sample coverage, response rates, and measurement techniques;

3. Differences between the traditional and CATI samples in relationships between variables;

4. Alternative approaches to congressional district classification;

5. "House" effects, based on differences between the Michigan and Berkeley portions of the CATI sample; and,

6. The impact of telephone methods and CATI on costs and study organization.


Previous studies provide some guidance concerning the probable direction and magnitude of any differences which might arise between telephone-based and traditional methods -- and the extent to which they may be attributed to differences in coverage, response rates, or measurement (Groves and Kahn, 1979, Freeman, et al, 1982). The NES Board and Staff, however, are primarily concerned with the combined effect of all three of these sources (or types) of "method effects". For this reason, and because the three types of effect cannot always be separated, our initial analyses have been structured in terms of an overall null hypothesis. Thus, we have repeatedly tested the proposition that our traditional and telephone methods produced two independent random samples from the same population, or that each difference between the two 1982 samples can be attributed solely to chance.

As our first, and deliberately exploratory, analytic step, we therefore examined the relationships between type of data collection (personal vs. CATI) and substantive NES variables in each of the three areas mentioned above -- i.e. in social or demographic characteristics, in the degree to which respondents expressed political opinions or interest, and in the direction of political attitudes. For each such relationship (between method and substance), we then calculated the apparent probability that a relationship (or a departure from complete independence) that large could have arisen by chance. Since this part of the analysis has necessarily examined a very large number of potential differences between the two methods, our search for differences was carried out on only a random half of the interviews from both samples. In those cases where this exploratory procedure yielded evidence of a difference which is "significant" at the .05 level, the same calculations are then replicated on the second random half of our sample. For those variables where a significant difference persists, we then discuss the degree to which those differences may be due to the three types or sources of method differences -- i.e. to coverage (of non-telephone households), differential
completion rates, or differences by mode in the measurement of identical concepts. In some sections, we have re-examined the same relationships after restricting the analysis to those respondents who voted in 1982. Many NES analyses are necessarily limited to the active (instead of the potential) electorate, so it is essential to see if overall method differences persist when the sample is restricted in that fashion.

The above split-sample procedure was also used to test for differences between the two CATI-based survey organizations that collected the data. But "methods differences were much more numerous (and significant) than ~house" differences in the 1982 project.

A SUMMARY OF INITIAL RESULTS

All three data collection projects achieved their objectives in terms of completed interviews, and the final response rates for the three projects were consistent with prior expectations. Thus, 1418 complete or partial interviews were conducted in respondents' houses, for a completion rate of 72%. The Berkeley CATI study yielded 501 complete or partial interviews, the Michigan CATI operation produced 500 complete or partial interviews, and both CATI samples represent a completion rate of 62%. Based on the information now available, all three projects adhered to their design specifications for households and respondent selection, so that the three data sets can be interpreted as independent samples from the same population -- i.e. of adult citizens living in households during the 1982 post-election period. Any differences between the three sampler that cannot be attributed to chance should therefore be attributed to one or more intentional differences in method or procedure, rather than defects in the execution of our basic design.

Method-Related Differences in Social Characteristics.

Out of 11 comparisons, five fixed personal characteristics or demographic variables yielded statistically significant differences between the traditional and CATI samples. These differences in respondent's education, income, race, religion, and region were of course initially detected in an exploratory analysis based on a random half of both samples, but Table A (on the following page) highlights those differences for the total 1982 sample, along with selected results for variables with no method-related differences.

The relationship between data collection method and education is of course familiar from previous studies (Groves and Kahn, 1979, Freeman, et Al, 1982). As in other studies, some of this difference can be attributable to the small proportion (approximately 5%) of the population excluded from telephone surveys because they live in households without telephones. Thus, when this "coverage" effect is removed by restricting the traditional (or face-to-face) sample to those respondents who live in telephone households, the magnitude of the educational difference between the two samples is reduced, but it is still clearly significant. In any production utilization of telephone-based methods, weight variables would presumably be used to correct for differential response rates between areas, and to correct for the disproportionate representation of better educated citizens in telephone samples. But the magnitude of education-related differences should be recognized wherever those weights are used.
Table A

METHOD-RELATED DIFFERENCES IN SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant Differences</th>
<th>Total Personal Interview Sample</th>
<th>Total CATI Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education -- Percent with no High School</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent With College Degree</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cases (Base N) (1401)</td>
<td>(948)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race -- Percent Black</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Non-Black</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>94.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cases (Base N) (1402)</td>
<td>(945)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household -- Percent With Income Less Than $5,000</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent With Income Greater Than $35,000</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cases (Base N) (1246)</td>
<td>(861)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion Percent Protestant</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent With No Preference</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cases (Base N) (1398)</td>
<td>(954)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region of -- Percent in Northeast</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent in South</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cases (Base N) (1407)</td>
<td>(954)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Significant Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union -- Percent With Union Member in Household</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital -- Percent Married Living with Spouse</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age -- Percent Less Than 26 Years Old</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The noticeable differences between traditional and CATI samples with respect to race and income present more difficult problems of interpretation, for these effects may be at least partially caused by differences in the conceptualization and measurement of the basic variables involved -- as well as sample-related differences due to non-telephone households and differential response rates. Thus, race was (necessarily) measured through a direct question asked of all respondents on the telephone, while the 1982 NES personal interviews relied on direct interviewer observation. And income was measured on the telephone through a complex series of questions which took advantage of CATI's capability for automatic branching, since the "card" which is used to show income categories to respondents in personal interviews could not be used.

Whatever the cause of these differences, however, it seems important to note that household sampling and face-to-face interviews produced a national sample
of the potential electorate in 1982 with nearly twice as many blacks as a comparably sized sample produced by random digit dialing sampling and telephone interviewing. And the 1982 telephone sample under-represented low income families in general -- and included only half as many families with income less than $5,000 per year.

As in the case of education, these differences can -- and should -- be partially corrected through weight variables which compensate for known under-representation of specific demographic groups. In developing such a weighting procedure, however, our current evidence is less than decisive, for the national data which might be used as a standard for correction are themselves based on different data collection procedures i.e. on interviewer observation or self-administered questionnaires. As discussed below, we recommend that NES continue to support research and development in a variety of telephone-related areas. The whole question of demographic representation and weighting provides a good case in point, for it raises a variety of issues concerning the correct standard for re-weighting both the telephone and the personal interview sample.

Although the representative quality of telephone vs. traditional samples must be judged on the basis of total sample comparisons, it is worth noting that both the education and income differences are much weaker (and in fact fail to obtain statistical significance on a half sample basis) when the analysis is restricted to those who actually voted in 1982. Thus, as in our prior analysis of non-telephone household distinctiveness based on data from the 1980 NES study, the impact of telephone methods on sample composition would appear to be much less for the active (instead of the potential) electorate.

As shown is Table A above, the 1982 CATI sample departed from the 1982 personal interview sample (and previous NES studies) in the proportion of respondents who live in the Northeast and Southern regions of the country. As of this writing, the explanation of this regional difference is not clear, but it appears to stem from the original telephone sample, rather than from differential response rates by region. Regional differences will be removed when the data are weighted, but the weight variable to be used has yet to be developed, and will depend on an extended analysis that has only recently begun. The apparent method-related difference in religious preference was entirely unexpected, and may be a by-product of the regional and educational differences discussed above, As in many other sections of this report, however, further analysis of this difference must await the completion of a weight variable which compensates for known differences in other social characteristics.

In summary, it seems likely that some demographic differences will persist between samples produced by traditional vs. telephone-based methods. But the effects involved are modest in nature, they are to a considerable extent concentrated among non-voters, and they can be largely removed through the utilization of appropriate weights. To reinforce this general conclusion, we have begun to compare the distributions on variables from the traditional NES sample in 1982 with comparable figures from the 1980 census.
Table B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years of School</th>
<th>1980 Completed</th>
<th>1982 Personal Interviews</th>
<th>1982 CATI Interviews</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-11</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Yrs High School</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 Yrs College</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+ Yrs College</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table B, the difference in education between the CATI and traditional NES samples represents only an extension of an already noticeable discrepancy between traditional NES samples and Census Bureau estimates for the national adult population. In general, even political surveys carried out by academic organizations that use probability sampling and seek the highest possible response rates underrepresent citizens with low levels of education and income. In making inferences about the potential electorate, these differences have posed a potential threat to correct inferences about univariate distributions — if not about relationships between variables for some time, and the use of telephone methods would appear to accentuate those difficulties. Were it not for considerations of cost, timing, or geographical location, traditional survey methods (based on household sampling and face-to-face interviewing) would apparently produce a slightly more accurate portrait of the potential electorate. But these method-related differences are generally modest, while the cost differences between the two techniques are not.

Differences in Political Interest and Opinionation. The clearest and most consistent differences between CATI and traditional methods arise when we focus on the extent to which respondents offer opinions on issues, and on the level of political interest or involvement. As before, the magnitude of those differences is not great, but 21 out of 54 comparisons made in this area between the CATI and personal half samples produced differences which were significant at the .05 level. Most of those differences were again significant in our second half sample, but (perhaps understandably) only seven remained significant when the analysis was restricted to the active electorate. Table C (on the following page) presents five of these comparisons, based on the full 1982 sample for both techniques. We are not yet sure whether the differences in Table C are solely due to the greater ease with which politically uninterested potential respondents can refuse to participate in a telephone interview. It may also be the case that telephone respondents are less willing to admit they “don’t know” in response to attitudinal questions, or that probing is more effective on the telephone. But we are tempted to conclude that non-response is more sensitive to low levels of political interest on the telephone than in face-to-face (personal) interviews.

Differences in the Direction of Political Attitudes. For those respondents in both samples who did express an opinion or attitude on NES issue variables, we have also tested the hypothesis that the distribution or balance of opinion is the same in both populations — and then checked to see if significant differences persist when the analysis is restricted to the active electorate. Out of 64 (half sample) comparisons of that sort, only 19 are statistically significant at the .05 level, and 10 of these are no longer significant when the analysis is restricted to the active electorate. Table D presents six of these significant differences, along with insignificant differences in ideology and overall approval of Ronald Reagan’s performance — in order to illustrate the modest and inconsistent nature of method-related effects in this area.
To help us in interpreting these results, we have begun to use summary variables within each category of NES questions, in order to remove some of the idiosyncratic (and/or random) differences between items. In initial analyses, variables which summarize perceptions (traits) and feelings about Ronald Reagan have not shown a significant relationship to data collection mode, but we are not yet prepared to conclude that "no differences" exist. And we are not yet certain how to summarize sample differences in policy preferences. There are significant differences in individual 7-pt scales, but the direction of those differences is inconsistent. There is no significant difference in overall ideological self-identification, and we have as yet no insights into the role played by sampling and measurement differences between the two techniques.

Table D

DIFFERENCES IN THE DIRECTION OF POLITICAL ATTITUDES
(Base N's are in Parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Personal</th>
<th>Total CATI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interview Sample</td>
<td>Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Average Score on Ideology (ISR)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1=Liberal, 7=Conservative)</td>
<td>(888)</td>
<td>(331)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average score on Aid to Minorities (ISR)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1=Favor Aid, 7=Oppose)</td>
<td>(1186)</td>
<td>(399)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Score on Gov't Spending (Berkeley)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1=Cut, 7=Increase)</td>
<td>(1115)</td>
<td>(410)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Score on Gov't Services (ISR)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Average Score on Gov't Jobs Responsibility (Berkeley)  
(1=Reduce, 7=Increase)  
4.3 (1202)  
4.5 (407)

* Percent Who Approve of Reagan's Performance As Pres.  
51.4 (1310)  
54.3 (902)

Percent who Approve of the way Reagan is Handling National Defense  
55.7 (1198)  
61.5 (832)

Percent who are Strong or Weak Democratic Identifiers  
45.2 (1373)  
37.4 (940)

Percent who are Strong or Weak Republican Identifiers  
24.5 (1373)  
26.0 (940)

* Not significant at the .05 level

# Because of the branching experiment, these results are based on either the ISR or the Berkeley half-samples who were asked the questions in the conventional 7-point (non-branching) format.

Based on our results so far, we have concluded that telephone methods yielded consistently (and significantly) different distributions in political attitudes only for partisan identification and some party-related evaluations. Both the ISR and Berkeley telephone samples included fewer democrats, and this difference remains when the analysis is restricted to actual voters. As in all other comparisons in this report, we do not yet know whether this sample difference in partisanship will disappear when we re-weight the telephone sample to correct for known differences in demographic characteristics. When appropriate weight variables have been constructed, all of the above analyses will be repeated, so that we can assess the degree to which the two methods produce different results through mechanisms other than the telephone's under-representation of less educated and politically interested citizens.

Measurement-related Differences As discussed above in connection with sample differences in race and income, results of telephone surveys (and CATI studies in particular) may differ substantially from those of traditional surveys because of measurement differences associated with the loss of visual aids. In the case of questions about income and detailed contacts with congressional candidates, this change in instrumentation is unavoidable, and the revised questions for CATI represent only a natural adaptation of the same basic objectives and categories.

In the area of political issues, however, the 1982 project included an additional experiment on the consequences of different approaches to the conceptualization and measurement of both the respondent's position and his perception of the position held by several candidates and parties. By again taking advantage of the random allocation of cases to Michigan or Berkeley, respondents were randomly assigned to one of the two following measurement
"treatments."

-- with respect to ideological position, attitudes towards government services, defense spending, aid to minorities, equality for women, and governmental responsibility for jobs and standard of living:

1. In the "traditional" treatment, the "card" used in personal interviews to explain the seven point scale was simply evoked for telephone interviews as part of the question text, and respondents were asked telephone questions which closely followed the traditional NES wording;

2. In the CATI or "branching" approach, respondents were first asked a simple trichotomous question concerning their own opinion, and their answer to that question determined the (different) follow-on question used to classify each respondent into one of seven final categories. (The same two stage process was then used to capture respondents' perceptions of candidates and party "positions" on the same policy issues.) In constructing these questions, care was taken to maintain the definition of the end points on the traditional 7 point scale, but the branching format led inevitably to some changes in the definition of final response categories.

Based on the 1979 NES pilot survey, and subsequent discussions concerning the potential advantages of CATI-type branching, some researchers have anticipated improvement in the accuracy or validity of data on issue positions produced through this multi-stage process. That possibility will be addressed below in our discussion of "Differences in Relations Between Variables", but it also suggests the importance of comparing the overall marginal distributions between the two approaches to measurement. We are therefore using our (random) half samples to test the hypothesis that the branching format produces a systematically different impression of the overall balance of public opinion in each attitude area. Comparisons of this sort will be discussed in greater detail in subsequent versions of this Report, but the following results are already clear:

1. There are no consistent differences between the two methods in their tendency to over (or under) represent one side of the bipolar dimensions represented by the four NES questions used for this comparison;

2. The branching format does reduce the number of respondents who remain at an indeterminate or "middle" position, although it is not yet clear if such a change represents an improvement;

3. For whatever reasons, the branching approach maximizes the variation between respondents' in their apparent position on the 7 underlying dimensions, a fact which should be kept mind when interpreting differences between methods in relations between variables;

4. We believe that branching results in a noticeable increase in the amount of missing data, possibly because the initial trichotomous question provides more encouragement for respondents to say they "haven't thought much about this" -- but this result has not yet been fully tested; and

5. The branching method takes noticeably longer to administer when placements are needed for a substantial number of candidates.

This last point deserves special emphasis, as the Board's 1984 Committee begins its deliberations concerning the multiple design elements for that
year. Continuity with previous election studies may well require that the
traditional 7-pt scale be continued for the Fall (time series) component of
the 1984 study. But branching methods may be attractive for the pre-convention
portions of the study unless the instrument calls for "placements" for a large
number of candidates. As of this writing, method comparisons in the issue and
branching areas have only begun, but future NES Committees may well have to
choose between better measures of respondent positions and issue placements
for more candidates.

Relationships Between Variables. In our initial -- and exploratory --
comparisons of the CATI and traditional samples, we have also examined the
strength of relationships for each method between basic political
predispositions and a substantial number of attitudinal or opinion variables.
In our results so far, no significant differences have been found between the
telephone and traditional samples in bivariate relationships between party
identification (and ideology) and several dozen other political variables.
There is an inconsistent (and insignificant) tendency for relationships
between party identification and specific partisan evaluations to be slightly
stronger in the personal interview sample. But this faint tendency is if
anything reversed in relationships between ideological self designation and
issue position, and we suspect that these preliminary differences are to some
extent confounded by the differences between the two samples in levels of
education and by the differences between branching vs. 7-pt measures -- as
discussed above.

Confirmation (or rejection) of these last possibilities will require further
analysis, and these same comparisons may have to be replicated in a subsequent
election before we can dismiss sampling error as an explanation, for none of
our differences in relationships represent more than a 15% change in the
measures of association involved. In summary, our initial results suggest that
NES analysts who are primarily interested in relationships between variables
need not worry about the impact of telephone-based methods on their results.

Congressional District Classification. Since 1978, NES Board and Committee
meetings devoted to research on congressional elections have repeatedly dwelled
on the potential advantages of telephone surveys for congressional research
primarily because of the larger sample size which could be produced. But all
parties involved were also concerned about the potential barrier to such an
application posed by the initial ambiguity concerning the current
congressional district for households selected through random digit dialing
(RDD). Since the instrumentation developed for the 1978 NES study requires
that detailed information about the candidates be used to automatically modify
all questions about congressional elections, some method had to be found and
tested to produce such information before each interview.

For this reason, one of the major objectives of the 1982 Method Comparison
study was an assessment of the relative efficiency and accuracy of alternative
techniques for assigning randomly selected household telephone numbers to
congressional districts. On the basis of extensive staff and Board
discussions, it was decided that only a "streamlined" method would be used in
1982, in which congressional districts were simply attributed to each randomly
selected household telephone number (where any possibility of ambiguity
existed) based on information provided by the respondent -- so that the
maximum number of interviews could be conducted with the resources available.
In principle, the Board might recommend (and may do so in the future) that
interviews involving some ambiguity concerning district identification be
interrupted, so that geographic information may be used to identify the
district involved before resuming the interview as a callback. This option was
not exercised in 1982, both because of the extra costs associated with such a
venture, and because detailed geographic maps were not available from the 1981
and 1982 redistricting in time to support such a technique. Instead, the 1982
interviews included questions about the geographic location of the
respondent's household, and each case was ultimately associated with a "true"
congressional district, based on address information and detailed maps -- but
that classification took place after all the interviews were completed. Based
on that effort, an analysis is now underway concerning the detailed
correspondence between the apparent district (to which each respondent was
attributed during the interview) and the actual district which a more careful
(but expensive) procedure could (in principle) have established before
substantive questioning began.

Before discussing these results, it may be helpful to review what we believe
is the major important conceptual and measurement issue before the Board
concerning survey measurement in the congressional area. Based on the data
from prior election studies, it has been evident for some time that a
substantial proportion of NES respondents are unable to evaluate congressional
candidates, and appear to have very little information about any of the
alternatives involved. Nevertheless, previous election studies have maintained
the practice of asking all potential voters for their evaluations of the
candidates and for evidence of contact with both the incumbent and/or
challengers. Thus, a substantial amount of interview time has been used to
obtain repeated negative answers like "no contact, or no specific "likes" or
"dislikes". Many analysts (and the NES staff) have recommended for some time
that screening questions be introduced, so that interviewers would
automatically skip all questions about congressional candidates for
individuals who fail some minimal standard for candidate visibility. The
question of potential comparability between telephone surveys and the
traditional NES procedure rests fundamentally on the way in which the Board
resolves this question.

In 1982 two different methods were used to identify congressional districts
and to select the subsample of respondents for whom detailed congressional
questions were asked, and these two methods are directly linked to the two
alternative answers to the above general question. In the random half of
the telephone (CATI) sample administered by Michigan's Survey Research Center,
respondents were "attributed" to a congressional district when the names
recalled implied one and only one of the districts possible for that
telephone number. At the other extreme, respondents who failed to recognize
any of the major party candidates in any of the congressional districts to
which their telephone cluster might belong were not asked any of the
congressional questions. When the respondent failed the recall test, but
save signs of candidate recognition for one of the congressional
districts -- but no such recognition in the other possible
districts -- that respondent was automatically "attributed" to the district
in which recognition took place and the detailed congressional questions
were administered in terms of the candidates in that district. In the
approach used at Michigan, only those respondents who had failed the recall
test but recognized candidates in more than one district were then explicitly
asked to choose between the two or three districts that might be involved.
Out of 468 interviews for which the essential information, is currently
available, this first (Michigan) method produced a national sample in which
77 respondents (or 16%) were asked no congressional questions because they
failed to recognize any of the potential candidates involved. And an
additional 7 respondents (or 1%) were not asked the congressional questions because they recognized candidates in different districts, and were unable to resolve the conflict in response to a direct question.

In contrast, respondents interviewed by Berkeley CSM's staff were asked the congressional questions even if they failed to recognize any of the congressional candidates involved if they lived in single district clusters. In multi-district clusters, except in those cases where the respondent was able to select a district through unaided recall, all respondents with telephone numbers for which there was some prior ambiguity about the correct congressional district were asked to make an explicit choice between the multiple districts involved. Thus, "recognition" information was not used to attribute each respondent to a congressional district. As a result of this procedure, out of a total of 443 interviews for which the appropriate information is currently available, no respondents were "skipped" for the congressional questions because of a failure in recognition, but 66 respondents (or 14%) were not asked the congressional questions because they were unable to select their congressional district in a direct choice between the possible alternatives. The two methods were also different in the accuracy of the congressional districts assigned to respondents on the basis of their answers to questions. As the following table shows, the method used for Berkeley's half sample permitted a larger proportion of the sample to be asked the congressional questions, and that a higher proportion of the attributions proved ultimately to be accurate. But nearly all of the "gain" in the Berkeley sample (both in the number of respondents asked congressional questions and the accuracy of congressional district attribution) was concentrated in those respondents that were unable to recognize any of the candidates involved. Therefore, if the Board subsequently decides to systematically skip all congressional questions for respondents who are ill-informed about the candidates in their district, the method of "attribution through recognition" used in the ISR half of the 1982 study would be superior.

### VERIFICATION AND ASSIGNMENT OF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

**Alternative CATI-Based Procedures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True CD Equals Assigned CD</th>
<th>True CD Does Not Equal Assigned CD</th>
<th>Never Assigned</th>
<th>DC+MD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISR Method (N=484)</td>
<td>69% (335)</td>
<td>13% (65)</td>
<td>17% (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSM Method (N=461)</td>
<td>76% (352)</td>
<td>9% (43)</td>
<td>14% (66)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, it seems clear that the losses or errors in both 1982 methods are heavily concentrated among those respondents who have very little to say when asked the detailed congressional questions. The random assignment of respondents to two different methods of congressional district classification in 1982 does permit us to assess the kind of information lost (or gained) when one method of district attribution is chosen instead of the other. For example, we believe that the Berkeley respondents who were not asked congressional questions because they could not explicitly choose their district had (on the average) very little to say about the candidates involved, so that we expect that the two samples will be nearly identical in the overall "amount" of information collected in response to the open-ended
questions about the congressional candidates. And Berkeley results should make it clear that those respondents who were asked congressional questions (even though they failed to recognize any the candidates involved) had very little to say in response to those questions -- or that the method used at ISR lost very little information by skipping all congressional questions for such respondents.

Differences Between Survey Organizations and CATI Systems. Although the questions used in the two CATI-based segments of the 1982 Method Comparison Project were identical, there are differences between the two CATI systems and survey organizations involved. Although the senior staff from both organizations in the 1982 project did not expect any significant differences between results in the Berkeley and Michigan samples, one of the study's major objectives was to test the validity of that expectation. For this reason, all of the comparisons discussed above between traditional and telephone methods have been calculated for the ISR and Berkeley halves of the CATI sample. As before, because so many potential differences were being "tested", only a random half of the two CATI half samples was used for these large scale (and exploratory) comparisons.

In summary, out of 125 potential relationships between specific variables and the "house" or system which collected the data, only 19 differences appear to be significant at the .05 level. These differences, which are not large in substantive terms, involve variables as diverse as length of residence (at both the current address and city), turnout, and the frequency of "don't know" responses to attitude questions. A cursory examination of the content of these variables also suggests a slight difference in socio-economic status and attitudes towards the Reagan administration, but these differences fail to pass a test of significance which takes into account the very large number of tests involved.

Based on our results so far, it seems likely that the two CATI systems and the basic procedures used by the two survey organizations are sufficiently similar to produce national samples which are fairly difficult to distinguish. To some extent, this similarity in results may be due to the standardization in interviewer behavior imposed by the CATI systems and the detailed instrumentation used for the 1982 project. But the two organizations also share a great deal in their approach to interviewer recruitment, training, and supervision. Whatever the cause, however, the new survey technology and methodology can apparently be used to produce similar results in separate organizational settings, despite modest differences between the survey organizations and CATI systems involved.

In a subsequent version of this report, we will present the detailed results of these comparisons between CATI organizations. That presentation will also review the specific areas in which survey practices were known to differ between organizations. As background for that discussion, however, it may be helpful to summarize the major differences between the two organizations and CATI systems involved. The Michigan Survey Research Center (SRC) has maintained a telephone survey facility and professional staff for several years, but the CATI system used by SRC only recently became available for production utilization. In contrast, the Berkeley Program in Computer-Assisted Survey Methods (CSM) is a research and development group devoted to the creation of new systems and techniques, and does not maintain a production survey capability. The Berkeley system is now in use in several other survey organizations around the country, but the CSM unit maintains staff expertise at only the senior level. As a result, Berkeley's interviewers, supervisors,
and coders were recruited specially for the 1982 project, while Michigan's effort relied on a continuing professional staff for these services. These differences should be kept in mind in reviewing both the general similarity and modest differences between the "houses" involved in 1982. And these same organizational differences should be helpful in drawing conclusions from 1982 concerning the probable costs and the staffing requirements for future NES CATI utilization.

Costs and Staffing for Traditional vs. Telephone Methods. Projections for future studies concerning the comparative costs for traditional- and telephone-based methods are still problematic in several respects. But the budgets and cost information from the 1982 project, coupled with revised cost estimates for the future, do support some conclusions about the tradeoffs in cost or sample size associated with the two alternative techniques. Thus, after appropriate adjustments have been made for both 1982 cost overruns and future cost reductions based on the lessons and instrumentation from 1982, we believe that the 1982 study will confirm that telephone-based methods can produce more than two (and less than three) times as many interviews (lasting an average of 50 minutes) as can be collected using traditional household sampling and face-to-face interviewing. This ratio of cost and/or sample size could become larger (surpassing three to one) if comparatively short interviews were conducted with those citizens who did not vote in the most recent election. But future planning activities would appear to be on safe ground if they operated within the above range of possibilities.

Even these approximate answers are of course based on a number of operating assumptions and ambiguities, each of which deserve emphasis. In particular, the above estimates are based on the following assumptions:

(a) Both techniques are used to collect fresh (i.e. no reinterview) random adult cross-sections of the nation's eligible electorate, with a sample size between 1500 and 2500. (Smaller studies will drive up the cost per case somewhat differentially between the two methods, but the 1982 experience makes it difficult to assess the comparative startup costs associated with relatively small projects, due to ambiguities in the division of labor between the NES study staff and the survey organizations involved.)

(b) The above estimates assume that the basic instrument design (including both the analysis-ready codebook and instructions for coding open-ended questions as well as the interviewer's instrument) are developed by the study staff or on a separate budget, so that the above estimates reflect only "production" costs associated with sampling, field, coding, and direct data entry

(c) None of the figures which have gone into the above comparisons incorporate any institutional overhead or indirect costs.

(d) No attempt has been made to explicitly adjust for the loss in data associated with the shorter length of telephone interviews (e.g. from 70 to 50 minutes).

This last point deserves special emphasis. Although it might be said that telephone interviews "produce" 5/7ths as much information as the single traditional interview, we have not used that fraction to compare the costs per interview or interview equivalent. In many contexts, we suspect that the maximum sample size which can be produced is the more important figure for planning purposes, but it should be noted that the telephone survey will
continue to require some sacrifice in the number of questions which can be
asked. In this connection, it also seems appropriate to point out that no
attempt was made in 1982 to administer a telephone interview which exceeds an
average length of 50-55 minutes. Just as the results of 1981 and 1982 CATI
surveys have given support to the idea that telephone studies can approach one
hour in length, subsequent pilot studies should explore the possibility of
even longer interviews, on at least a subsample basis, so that future NES
analysts may gain the maximum benefit from each completed interview.

The Impact of CATI. As discussed at the beginning of this report, the 1982
Method Comparison Project was structured in a way which would permit the
Election Studies to assess the potential utility of CATI systems as well as
telephone-based sampling and interviewing. Thus, the final 1982 data tape will
contain cases that were produced by three different systems for the entry,
management, and cleaning of survey information. The face-to-face interviews
were of course first collected in the traditional paper and pencil form, and
then converted to numeric form and cleaned using the Institute’s system for
direct data entry.

In contrast, the data records from Michigan's telephone sample were created in
machine readable form by interviewers using ISR’s CATI system, and then
converted to use the same procedures used for cleaning and coding the
face-to-face interviews. At Berkeley, the (CSM) CATI system was used for all
interviewing and coding-cleaning functions, but a special (post-CATI) program
was written to convert the resulting data records to precisely match the ISR
data and codebook.

These three approaches to survey data processing are different from each other
in several respects, so that the 1982 study required a major investment of
staff time in both organizations to insure comparability between techniques --
and to produce a final codebook which documents the complexities in this
multi-method data set. This experience has been extremely instructive in its
own right, for it illustrates both the strength and irritations associated
with alternative strategies for computer-assisted data collection. During
this process, those responsible for the systems involved have obtained new
insights concerning ways to save time or improve data quality on complex
projects. And the Election Studies staff have gained a much deeper
understanding of the issues at stake in long term planning for computer-based
survey data collection and documentation.

The detailed CATI "lessons" from the 1982 project, and the different
experiences of the two organizations involved will be discussed in a
subsequent report. But it is clear that the decision to use CATI, and to
simultaneously test two different CATI systems imposed a major additional
burden on the staffs and budget for the 1982 project. At this point, it is not
yet clear how much of this effort and cost represents an investment in future
cost reduction -- and how much must be repeated for each study.

NES decisions with respect to CATI utilization may therefore vary considerably
in future studies -- as a function of cost and design requirements. For the
design elements proposed for 1984, the NES Board has decided to defer
decisions concerning CATI until later in 1983, but all parties concerned are
agreed that decisions in this area should be based on the degree to which
measurement objectives require CATI-type capability, on the degree to which
CATI offers a clear advantage in the overall cost of data collection, and on
the impact of CATI on senior technical staff.
The general implications of the new survey technology for survey organization and staffing have been evident for some time. But the NES 1982 project has provided a powerful independent demonstration of the need for addition development and testing of phases, as well as advance planning required calls for a substantial increase in the permanent (senior) technical staff assigned to direct the studies. The two CATI systems used in the 1982 Method Comparison Project were designed in such a way as to save time and costs in the later stages of survey data preparation. But the 1982 project has shown that this expectation will only be realized if sufficient staff expertise and time are available for instrument development at the Front end" of the process, and if study budgets reflect the complexities associated with the coding of open ended NES Questions and the reformatting of data and documentation for ICPSR distribution.

The NES Board has proposed that future Election Studies include a variety of separate (or independently executed) data collection operations -- each of which would require substantial advance planning for full CATI utilization. The full implications of such a change for the permanent staff of the National Election Studies are just now becoming visible, and we urge the staff to proceed carefully, in order to maximize the integrity of each data collection involved while making an orderly transition to the new technology. In general, we recommend that future studies use CATI-type systems only if (or when) they meet the following guidelines:

1. CATI should only be used when the system and instrumentation involved have reached a level of technical stability that reduces the overall costs or provides a demonstrable enhancement in the overall quality of the resulting data; or

2. When the complexity of instrumentation is such that computer-assisted techniques are essential in order to satisfy the research objectives involved; and

3. The extra investment in advance planning and instrument development required for CATI studies should not be undertaken without the prior recruitment and training of additional senior staff with the appropriate substantive and technical expertise.

GENERAL CONCLUSIONS MID RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the 1982 Method Comparison Study suggest that telephone-based methods are feasible and cost-effective for NES. Given our current estimates of comparative costs for future studies, it is clear that the newer survey methods are generally appropriate for those NES research objectives which could only be accomplished with telephone surveys, and that telephone-based methods can also serve as a valuable complement to household sampling and face-to-face interviewing for the NES time series.

This last point deserves special emphasis, for the Board is considering a dual-frame design for the traditional (Fall) surveys which extend the NES time series. In such a plan, both traditional and telephone-based methods would be employee simultaneously to collect two independent (but complementary) national cross-sections. The resulting telephone interviews would be collected at lower cost and would be used to enlarge the overall sample size for those analyses which require more cases or better coverage of specialized subpopulations. And each sample would serve to correct for known weaknesses in the other, based on the differences better, methods in both coverage and
response rates. As a result, the combined national sample would provide a better description of the potential electorate than has heretofore been possible. At the same time, however, time series analyses are restricted to the personal interview-only component, in to avoid confusing true differences with method effects interpretation of aggregate change over time.

Continuing Methodological And Technical Issues. These general conclusions are already reflected in the Board's long term proposals for data collection although the dual frame design is not currently scheduled for use in 1984. But it is increasingly clear that NES has only begun what must become a continuing program of method-related research, for the cost and data quality tradeoffs associated with newer survey technology continue to change at a very rapid pace. In particular, NES staff and Board members will be engaged in continuing analyses of the 1982 study, with special emphasis on its internal experiments with respect to measurement, congressional district identification, and CATI effectiveness. And any use of a dual frame design for the traditional (or time series) component of subsequent studies will make it possible for NES to replicate basic results from the 1982 study -- and thereby monitor changed in the cost and data quality tradeoffs associated with telephone-based methods. We therefore urge the Board to allocate resources for continuing evaluation of method differences in sample or measurement quality, and of the cost- and staff-related tradeoffs associated with computer-based techniques.

In summary, the 1982 Method Comparison Project has made it possible for NES to take a major step forward in utilizing new survey techniques to maximize the efficiency of data collection, while maintaining the maximum possible comparability with the NES time series. If appropriate attention is given to the complications posed by using two parallel systems for data collection, future studies should be able to substantially enlarge the set of research objectives that can be accommodated.
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